

Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) 2023 Standard Questionnaire Item Rationale

Obesity, Overweight, and Weight Control

QUESTION(S):

6. How tall are you without your shoes on?
7. How much do you weigh without your shoes on?
66. How do **you** describe your weight?

RATIONALE:

These questions measure self-reported height and weight and perceived body weight. Data on self-reported height and weight are used to calculate body mass index (BMI) and determine the corresponding BMI percentile for adolescents. BMI percentile takes into account that young people are still growing and are growing at different rates depending on their age and sex. There are different ways that BMI data can be presented; CDC recommends using BMI percentile when assessing weight status for youth ages 2–20. Although BMI calculated from self-reported height and weight underestimates the prevalence of obesity compared to BMI calculated from measured height and weight,^(1,2) self-reported height and weight are useful for tracking BMI trends over time.⁽³⁻⁵⁾

Children with obesity are at higher risk of having other chronic health conditions and diseases that influence physical health. These include asthma, sleep apnea, bone and joint problems, type 2 diabetes, and risk factors for heart disease.⁽⁶⁾ Obesity has psychological consequences as well; many youth with obesity experience weight stigma, including being bullied and teased.⁽⁷⁻⁸⁾ In the long term, youth with obesity are more likely to have obesity as an adult than children or adolescents who did not have obesity.⁽⁹⁾

Continued monitoring of height and weight data through the YRBS provides information at the national, state, and local levels that can be used to track progress in efforts to curb the spread of obesity.⁽³⁾ The YRBS is the only survey that provides obesity data among high school students that is representative at the state and local level. Nationwide in 2019, 16% of high school students had obesity and 16% were overweight.⁽¹⁰⁾ During 1999–2019, significant linear increases occurred in the percentage of students with obesity (11%–16%) and who were overweight (14%–16%). Additionally, YRBS data can be used to describe trends in weight status since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

REFERENCES:

1. Mei Z, Grummer-Strawn LM, Pietrobelli A, Goulding A, Goran MI, Dietz WH. Validity of body mass index compared with other body-composition screening indexes for assessment of body fatness in children and adolescents. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2002;75(6):978–985.
 2. Jayawardene W, Lohrmann D, YoussefAgha A. Discrepant body mass index: behaviors associated with height and weight misreporting among US adolescents from the National Youth Physical Activity and Nutrition Study. *Childhood Obesity* 2014;10(3).
 3. Hoelscher DM, Ranjit N, Pérez A. Surveillance systems to track and evaluate obesity prevention efforts. *Annual Review of Public Health* 2017;38:187-214.
 4. Institute of Medicine. *Evaluating Obesity Prevention Efforts: A Plan for Measuring Progress*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2013.
 5. Brener ND, McManus T, Galuska DA, Lowry R, Wechsler H. Reliability and validity of self-reported height and weight among high school students. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 2003;32:281–287.
 6. Lloyd LJ, Langley-Evans SC, McMullen S. Childhood obesity and risk of the adult metabolic syndrome: a systematic review. *International Journal of Obesity* 2012;36(1):1-11.
 7. Pont FJ, Puhl R, Cook SR, Slusser W, Section on Obesity, The Obesity Society. Stigma experienced by children and adolescents with obesity. *Pediatrics* 2017;140(6):e20173034.
 8. Van Geel M, Vedder P, Tanilon J. Are overweight and obese youths more often bullied by their peers? A meta-analysis on the correlation between weight status and bullying. *International Journal of Obesity* 2014;38(10):1263–1267.
 9. Simmonds M, Llewellyn A, Owen CG, and Woolacott N. Predicting adult obesity from childhood obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obesity Reviews* 2016;17(2): 95-107.
 10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *1991-2019 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data*. Available at: <https://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/>
-

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

QUESTION(S):

67. Which of the following are you trying to do about your weight?

RATIONALE:

This question measures weight goals. The prevention of childhood obesity involves maintaining a healthy weight while protecting overall health, growth and development, and nutritional status.⁽¹⁾ The goals of obesity prevention in children and adolescents also include the avoidance of potentially harmful weight concern and restrictive eating behaviors.⁽²⁾ For these reasons, understanding adolescents' weight goals, both independently and relative to weight status, is of public health importance. Nationwide in 2019, 48% of high school students were trying to lose weight. The percentage of students who were trying to lose weight increased significantly during 1991–2019 (42%–48%).⁽³⁾

REFERENCES:

1. Institute of Medicine. *Accelerating Progress in Obesity Prevention: Solving the Weight of the Nation*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2012. Available at: <https://www.nap.edu/read/13275/chapter/1>.
 2. Golden NH, Schneider M, Wood C, Committee on Nutrition, Committee on Adolescence, Section on Obesity. Preventing obesity and eating disorders in adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2016;138(3):e20161649.
 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *1991-2019 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data*. Available at: <https://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/>.
-

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

Behaviors that Result in Unintentional Injuries

QUESTION(S):

8. How often do you wear a seat belt when **riding** in a car driven by someone else?

RATIONALE:

This question measures the frequency with which seat belts are worn when riding in a car driven by someone else. Motor vehicle crashes are a leading cause of death among adolescents aged 13–19 years in the United States.^(1,2) In 2020, about 2,800 adolescents (aged 13–19 years) were killed^(1,3) and approximately 227,000 were treated in emergency departments for motor vehicle crash-related injuries.⁽¹⁾ When used correctly, seat belts reduce the risk of death and serious injury in crashes for passenger car occupants by about half.^(4,5) However, in 2020, among all fatally injured 13- to 19-year-old occupants, seat belt use among passengers (32%) was considerably lower than among drivers (44%).⁽³⁾ In 2019, approximately 43% of high school students nationwide did not always wear a seat belt when riding in a car driven by someone else.^(2,6) Additionally, there is evidence of disparities in the prevalence of adolescent seat belt use by geographic location that are important to monitor. For example, a CDC study using national YRBS data found that students in the Northeast were 40% *more likely* than students in the Midwest to not always wear a seat belt.⁽⁷⁾

REFERENCES:

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. WISQARS (Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System). U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; December 2021. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/injury/wisqars/index.html>.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. Teen Drivers: Get the Facts. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; October 2021. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/transportationsafety/teen_drivers/teendrivers_factsheet.html.
3. Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. Fatality Facts 2020: Teenagers. Highway Loss Data Institute; May 2022. Available at <https://www.iihs.org/topics/fatality-statistics/detail/teenagers>.
4. Kahane CJ. Lives Saved by Vehicle Safety Technologies and Associated Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards, 1960 to 2012 – Passenger Cars and LTVs – With Reviews of 26 FMVSS and the Effectiveness of Their Associated Safety Technologies in Reducing Fatalities, Injuries, and Crashes (Report No. DOT HS 812 069). U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; January 2015. Available at: <https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/Api/Public/ViewPublication/812069>.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

5. Kahane CJ. Fatality Reduction by Seat Belts in the Center Rear Seat and Comparison of Occupants' Relative Fatality Risk at Various Seating Positions (Report No. DOT HS 812 369). U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA); February 2017. Available at: <https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/Api/Public/ViewPublication/812369>.
 6. Yellman MA, Bryan L, Sauber-Schatz EK, Brener N. Transportation risk behaviors among High School students — Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2019. *MMWR Suppl.* 2020;69(1):77–83.
 7. Shults RA, Shaw KM, Yellman MA, Jones SE. Does geographic location matter for transportation risk behaviors among U.S. public high school students? *Journal of Transport and Health* 2021;22:101134.
-

QUESTION(S):

9. During the past 30 days, how many times did you **ride** in a car or other vehicle **driven by someone who had been drinking alcohol**?
10. During the past 30 days, how many times did you **drive** a car or other vehicle **when you had been drinking alcohol**?

RATIONALE:

These questions measure the frequency with which high school students drive a motor vehicle after drinking alcohol or ride as a passenger in a motor vehicle operated by someone who has been drinking alcohol. In 2019, 19% of 15- to 20-year-old drivers who were involved in fatal motor vehicle crashes had been drinking alcohol.⁽¹⁾ Among those young drivers who were involved in fatal motor vehicle crashes and had been drinking alcohol, 82% had a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) equal to or above the legal threshold for adults (which is 0.08% in all states but Utah).⁽¹⁾ In 2020, approximately one-fourth of drivers 16–19 years of age who were killed in motor vehicle crashes had BACs at or above 0.08%.⁽²⁾ Even at BACs of 0.050%–0.079%, drivers 16–20 years of age are about 6 times as likely to be involved in a fatal crash as their sober counterparts.⁽³⁾ In 2019, among the approximately 60% of U.S. high school students who had driven a car or other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey, 5% drove one or more times when they had been drinking alcohol.^(4–6) From 2013–2019, among U.S. high school students who had driven a car or other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey, the prevalence of students who had driven one or more times when they had been drinking alcohol decreased from 10% to 5%.⁽⁶⁾

Riding with a driver of any age who has been drinking alcohol is dangerous. Riding with a drinking driver is also associated with adolescent drinking and driving.^(4,7) In addition,

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

longitudinal research indicates that adolescents who ride with impaired drivers at a young age are more likely to drive while impaired themselves as they get older and start driving.⁽⁸⁾ In 2019, among high school students nationwide, 17% had ridden in a car or other vehicle driven by someone who had been drinking alcohol at least once during the 30 days before the survey.^(4–6) Among students nationwide, the prevalence of riding with a driver who had been drinking alcohol decreased during 1991–2009 (40%–28%) and then further decreased from 2009–2019 (28%–17%).⁽⁶⁾

REFERENCES:

1. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). Traffic Safety Facts 2019: Young Drivers (Report No. DOT HS 813 130). U.S. Department of Transportation; June 2021. Available at: <https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/Api/Public/ViewPublication/813130>.
2. Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS). Fatality Facts 2020: Teenagers. Highway Loss Data Institute; May 2022. Available at: <https://www.iihs.org/topics/fatality-statistics/detail/teenagers>.
3. Voas RB, Torres P, Romano E, Lacey JH. Alcohol-related risk of driver fatalities: an update using 2007 data. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs* 2012;73(3):341–350.
4. Yellman MA, Bryan L, Sauber-Schatz EK, Brener N. Transportation risk behaviors among high school students — Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2019. *MMWR Suppl.* 2020;69(1):77–83.
5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. Teen Drivers: Get the Facts. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; October 2021. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/transportationsafety/teen_drivers/teendrivers_factsheet.html.
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Division of Adolescent and School Health. YRBS Explorer. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Available at: <https://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/#/>.
7. Leadbeater BJ, Foran K, Grove-White A. How much can you drink before driving? The influence of riding with impaired adults and peers on the driving behaviors of urban and rural youth. *Addiction* 2008;103(4):629–637.
8. Li K, Simons-Morton BG, Vaca FE, Hingson R. Association between riding with an impaired driver and driving while impaired. *Pediatrics* 2014;133:620–626.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

QUESTION(S):

11. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you **text or e-mail** while **driving** a car or other vehicle?

RATIONALE:

This question measures the frequency with which students engage in texting or e-mailing while driving a motor vehicle. Motor vehicle crashes are a leading cause of death among U.S. adolescents aged 13–19 years in the United States.^(1,2) Drivers aged 15–20 are overrepresented in distraction-affected fatal crashes and distraction-affected fatal crashes that involve cell phone use.^(3,4) In 2019, 9% of all drivers aged 15–20 involved in fatal crashes were reported as distracted at the time of the crash, and 19% of these distracted teens were distracted by the use of cell phones.⁽³⁾ The performance of distracting secondary tasks while driving, such as texting while driving, significantly increases risk for a crash or near-crash among novice, inexperienced drivers.^(2,5,6) Texting while driving is an especially risky type of distracted driving, as it involves three types of driver distraction: visual, physical/manual, and cognitive.^(4,7) Teen drivers are more vulnerable to the effects of distraction^(5,8,9) and are less able to disengage from distracting behaviors as road hazards emerge than adults.⁽¹⁰⁾ In 2019, among the approximately 60% of high school students nationwide who had driven a car or other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey, the prevalence of texting while driving one or more times during the 30 days before the survey was 39%.^(2,4,11,12) The prevalence of texting while driving among high school students who had driven a car or other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey has not changed significantly since this version of the question was first included in the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System questionnaire in 2013.⁽¹²⁾ In addition, there are disparities in the prevalence of texting/e-mailing while driving among adolescent drivers by geographic location that need to be monitored. For example, a CDC study using national YRBS data found that high school students (aged ≥ 16 years) in the Northeast who drove were 20% *less likely* than students in the Midwest to text/e-mail while driving.⁽¹³⁾ Also, students (aged ≥ 16 years) attending suburban or town schools who drove were 20%–30% *more likely* to text/e-mail while driving than students attending urban schools.⁽¹³⁾

REFERENCES:

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. WISQARS (Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System). U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; December 2021. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/injury/wisqars/index.html>.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. Teen Drivers: Get the Facts. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; October 2021. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/transportationsafety/teen_drivers/teendrivers_factsheet.html.
3. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. Traffic Safety Facts Research Note: Distracted Driving 2019 (Report No. DOT HS 813 111). U.S. Department of

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

Transportation; April 2021. Available at:

<https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/Api/Public/ViewPublication/813111>.

4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. Distracted Driving. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; April 2022. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/transportationsafety/distracted_driving/index.html.
 5. Klauer SG, Guo F, Simons-Morton BG, Ouimet MC, Lee S, Dingus TA. Distracted driving and risk of road crashes among novice and experienced drivers. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2014;370(1):54–59.
 6. Simons-Morton BG, Klauer SG, Ouimet MC, Guo F, Albert PS, Lee SE, Ehsani JP, Pradhan AK, Dingus TA. Naturalistic teenage driving study: findings and lessons learned. *Journal of Safety Research* 2015;54:41–48.
 7. Caird JK, Johnston KA, Willness CR, Asbridge M, Steel P. A meta-analysis of the effects of texting on driving. *Accident Analysis and Prevention* 2014;71:311–318.
 8. Alderman EM, Johnston BD; Committee on Adolescence; Council on Injury, Violence, and Poison Prevention. American Academy of Pediatrics Policy Statement – The Teen Driver. *Pediatrics* 2018;142(4):e20182163.
 9. Llerena LE, Aronow KV, Macleod J, Bard M, Salzman S, Greene W, Haider A, Schupper A. An evidence-based review: distracted driver. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery* 2015;78(1):147–152.
 10. Lee SE, Klauer SG, Olsen ECB, Simons-Morton BG, Dingus TA, Ramsey DJ, Ouimet MC. Detection of road hazards by novice teen and experienced adult drivers. *Transportation Research Record* 2008;2078(1):26–32.
 11. Yellman MA, Bryan L, Sauber-Schatz EK, Brener N. Transportation risk behaviors among High School students — Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2019. *MMWR Suppl.* 2020;69(1):77–83.
 12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Division of Adolescent and School Health. YRBS Explorer. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Available at: <https://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/#/>.
 13. Shults RA, Shaw KM, Yellman MA, Jones SE. Does geographic location matter for transportation risk behaviors among U.S. public high school students? *Journal of Transport and Health* 2021;22:101134.
-

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

Behaviors that Result in Violence

QUESTION(S):

12. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you carry a **weapon** such as a gun, knife, or club **on school property**?
13. **During the past 12 months**, on how many days did you carry a **gun**? (Do **not** count the days when you carried a gun only for hunting or for a sport, such as target shooting.)
14. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you **not** go to school because you felt you would be unsafe at school or on your way to or from school?
15. During the past 12 months, how many times has someone threatened or injured you with a **weapon** such as a gun, knife, or club **on school property**?

RATIONALE:

These questions measure violence-related behaviors and school-related violent behaviors. Violence is a significant public health issue among youth, with homicide being the second leading cause of death among youth ages 13–19 years (9.3 per 100,000).⁽¹⁾ Homicide is the leading cause of death among non-Hispanic black youth ages 13–19 years (39.1 per 100,000) and the second leading cause of death for Hispanic youth ages 13–19 years (7.9 per 100,000).⁽¹⁾ Approximately 11% of homicide victims in the United States in 2020 were aged 13–19 years; of these victims, 93% were killed with a firearm.⁽¹⁾ Over 62% of all single-victim and 95% of all multiple-victim school-associated youth homicides involve firearms.⁽²⁾ Nearly 100% of school districts have a policy prohibiting weapon possession or use by high school students on school property.⁽³⁾ Also, in 2020, almost 138,000 (469.0 per 100,000) nonfatal, physical assault injuries among youth aged 13–19 years were treated in U.S. emergency departments.⁽¹⁾

Among high school students nationwide in 2019, 13.2% had carried a weapon and 2.8% had carried a weapon on school property on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey.⁽⁴⁾ The prevalence of having carried a weapon decreased significantly between 1991–2019 (26.1%–13.2%).⁽⁴⁾ The prevalence of having carried a weapon on school property decreased during 1993–1997 (11.8%–8.5%) and then decreased more slowly during 1997–2019 (8.5%–2.8%).⁽⁴⁾ For the first time in 2017, the question assessing prevalence of having carried a gun during the 12 months before the survey instructed respondents not to count the days when they carried a gun only for hunting or for a sport, such as target shooting. In 2019, 4.4% of high school students carried a gun (not counting the days when they carried a gun only for hunting or for a sport, such as target shooting) during the 12 months before the survey, decreasing slightly from 4.8% in 2017.⁽⁴⁾

Among high school students nationwide in 2019, 8.7% had not gone to school on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey because they felt they would be unsafe at school or on their way to or from school and 7.4% had been threatened or injured with a weapon on school property one or more times during the 12 months before the survey.⁽⁴⁾ Among students

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

nationwide, the prevalence of having not gone to school because of safety concerns increased significantly during 1993–2019 (4%–8.7%).⁽⁴⁾ Among students nationwide, the prevalence of having been threatened or injured with a weapon on school property did not change significantly during 1993–2003 (7.3%–9.2%) and then decreased during 2003–2019 (9.2%–7.4%).⁽⁴⁾

REFERENCES:

1. Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System (WISQARS) [database online]. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; 2020.
 2. Holland KM, Hall JE, Wang J, et al. Characteristics of school-associated youth homicides — United States, 1994–2018. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2019;68:53–60.
 3. Miller G, Wilson L, Rice KL, DePadilla L, Mercado MC, Everett Jones S. Injury prevention activities in US schools, School Health Policies and Practices Survey 2014. *Journal of School Health* 2022. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/josh.13190>.
 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *1991-2019 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data*. Available at: <https://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/>.
-

QUESTION(S):

16. During the past 12 months, how many times were you in a **physical fight**?
17. During the past 12 months, how many times were you in a **physical fight on school property**?

RATIONALE:

These questions measure the frequency of physical fights in general and on school property during the 12 months before the survey. Physical fighting is associated with an increased likelihood of serious injury-related health outcomes, including being hospitalized for fighting-related injuries.^(1,2) Physical fighting is also a marker for other problem behaviors including school absenteeism and suspension.⁽³⁻⁹⁾ and is associated with serious injury-related health outcomes.^(2,3) Among high school students nationwide in 2019, 21.9% had been in a physical fight and 8.0% had been in a physical fight on school property one or more times during the 12 months before the survey.⁽¹⁰⁾ The percentage of high school students who were in a physical fight decreased during 1991–2011 (42.5%–32.8%) and then decreased further during 2011–2019 (32.8%–21.9%).⁽¹⁰⁾ The percentage of high school students who were in a physical fight on school property also decreased significantly during 1993–2019 (16.2%–8.0%).⁽¹⁰⁾

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

REFERENCES:

1. Sosin DM, Koepsell TD, Rivara FP, Mercy JA. Fighting as a marker for multiple problem behaviors in adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 1995;16:209–215.
 2. Borowsky IW, Ireland M. Predictors of future fight-related injury among adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2004;113:530–536.
 3. Pickett W, Craig W, Harel Y, Cunningham J, Simpson K, Molcho M, Mazur J, Dostaler S, Overpeck MD, Currie CE, HBSC Violence and Injuries Writing Group. Cross-national study of fighting and weapon carrying as determinants of adolescent injury. *Pediatrics* 2005;116:855–863.
 4. Sosin DM, Koepsell TD, Rivara FP, Mercy JA. Fighting as a marker for multiple problem behaviors in adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 1995;16:209–215.
 5. Furlong M, Casas JM, Corral C, Chung A, Bates M. Drugs and school violence. *Education and Treatment of Children* 1997;20(3):263–280.
 6. Rudatsikira E, Muula AS, Siziya S. Variables associated with physical fighting among US high-school students. *Clinical Practice and Epidemiology in Mental Health* 2008;4(1):1–8.
 7. Basch CE. Aggression and violence and the achievement gap among urban minority youth. *Journal of School Health* 2011;81(10):619–625.
 8. Ramirez M, Wu Y, Kataoka S, Wong M, Yang J, Peek-Asa C, Stein B. Youth violence across multiple dimensions: a study of violence, absenteeism, and suspensions among middle school children. *The Journal of Pediatrics* 2012;161(3):542–546.
 9. DuRant RH, Krowchuk DP, Kreiter S, Sinal SH, Woods CR. Weapon carrying on school property among middle school students. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine* 1999;153(1):21–6.
 10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *1991-2019 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data*. Available at: <https://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/>.
-

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

QUESTION(S):

18. Have you ever seen someone get physically attacked, beaten, stabbed, or shot in your neighborhood?

RATIONALE:

This question measures exposure to community violence. Data from a 2015 Monroe County survey found that 19% of students had seen someone shot, stabbed, or beaten in their community.⁽¹⁾ In a nationally representative U.S. sample, Finkelhor, Turner, Shattuck, and Hamby (2015) found that 33% of adolescents ages 14-17 reported witnessing an assault in their community (58% in their lifetime) and 5% reported being exposed to a shooting (including hearing gunshots or seeing someone shot) in the past year (13% in their lifetime).⁽²⁾ In addition, data from a 2009 survey of New York State adult residents found that 25% of adults sampled indicated they were exposed to violence in their community before age 18.⁽³⁾ The World Health Organization considers exposure to community violence to be an adverse childhood experience that can have lifelong consequences.⁽⁴⁻⁶⁾ Measuring exposure to community violence is important because adverse childhood experiences are a focus area of CDC, and this measure seeks to capture the community context for violence.

REFERENCES:

1. ACEs Connection. *Trauma Informed Schools*. Available at <https://www.acesconnection.com/g/aces-in-education/fileSendAction/fcType/0/fcOid/444911896540751289/filePointer/444911896540751306/fodoid/444911896540751300/Paper%20Tigers%20Data%20Slides.pdf>.
2. Finkelhor D, Turner HA, Shattuck A, Hamby SL. Prevalence of childhood exposure to violence, crime, and abuse: results from the National Survey of Children's Exposure to Violence. *JAMA Pediatrics* 2015;169(8):746–754.
3. Lee E, Larkin H, Saki N. Exposure to community violence as a new adverse childhood experience category: promising results and future considerations. *Families in Society* 2017;98(1):69–78.
4. World Health Organization (WHO). Adverse Childhood Experiences International Questionnaire. In Adverse Childhood Experiences International Questionnaire (ACE-IQ): Geneva: WHO, 2018. Available at https://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/violence/activities/adverse_childhood_experiences/en/.
5. Merrick MT, Ford DC, Ports KA, Guinn AS, Chen J, Klevens J, Metzler M, Jones CM, Simon TR, Daniel VM, Ottley P, Mercy JA. Vital Signs: estimated proportion of adult health problems attributable to adverse childhood experiences and implications for prevention –25 states, 2015-2017. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2019;68(44):999–1005.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

6. Bellis MA, Hughes K, Ford K, Rodriguez GRR, Sethi D, Passmore J. Life course health consequences and associated annual costs of adverse childhood experiences across Europe and North America: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Public Health* 2019;4(10):e517–e528
-

QUESTION(S):

19. Have you ever been physically forced to have sexual intercourse when you did not want to?
20. During the past 12 months, how many times did **anyone** force you to do sexual things that you did not want to do? (Count such things as kissing, touching, or being physically forced to have sexual intercourse.)
21. During the past 12 months, how many times did **someone you were dating or going out with** force you to do sexual things that you did not want to do? (Count such things as kissing, touching, or being physically forced to have sexual intercourse.)
22. During the past 12 months, how many times did **someone you were dating or going out with** physically hurt you on purpose? (Count such things as being hit, slammed into something, or injured with an object or weapon.)

RATIONALE:

These questions measure the frequency of sexual violence and dating violence experienced by students. Sexual and dating violence victimization are associated with a range of negative consequences including suicide ideation and attempts, major depressive episodes, increased alcohol, tobacco, and other substance use, eating disorders, and risky sexual behavior.⁽¹⁻⁶⁾ According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey, 1 in 4 U.S. women have experienced (completed or attempted) rape and 1 in 9 U.S. men have been made to sexually penetrate someone else (completed or attempted) in their lifetime; among female victims of rape, 49.0% were under 18 years old at the time of their first victimization, and among male victims of being made to penetrate, 41.1% were under 18 at the time of the first victimization.⁽⁷⁾ About 1 in 3 women (32.5%) and 1 in 4 men (24.6%) have experienced severe physical violence by an intimate partner (e.g., hit with a fist or something hard, beaten, slammed against something) at some point in their lifetime.⁽⁸⁾ Among adults who ever experienced contact sexual violence, physical violence, and/or stalking by an intimate partner, 25.9% of women and 20.5% of men first experienced some form of violence by that partner between 11 and 17 years of age.⁽⁸⁾

All three sexual violence questions are important for understanding the public health burden of sexual violence against young people, guiding prevention strategies, and monitoring changes over time. These data are particularly useful for monitoring changes in trends and the effects of prevention efforts such as CDC’s Rape Prevention Education Program.⁽⁹⁾ Data on forced sexual

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

activity by any perpetrator — not just a dating partner — provides a better understanding of the burden of sexual violence among high school students because studies have shown that perpetrators can include current or former friends, acquaintances, family members, and other adults.^(7,10) Preventing sexual violence by any perpetrator and dating violence are focus areas for CDC because they are types of adverse childhood experiences. Knowing the proportion of high school students who are sexually and physically victimized by a dating partner is also crucial because it provides a more complete measure of teen dating violence and prevention strategies often focus specifically on violence in dating relationships. These estimates are critically important for monitoring progress in this area.

In 2019, 7.3% of high school students nationwide had ever been physically forced to have sexual intercourse when they did not want to.⁽¹¹⁾ The percentage of high school students who had ever been physically forced to have sexual intercourse when they did not want to decreased significantly during 2001–2019 (7.7%–7.3%).⁽¹¹⁾ Among the students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey, 8.2% experienced physical dating violence by a dating partner, and 8.2% experienced sexual dating violence by a dating partner.⁽¹²⁾ The percentage of high school students who experienced physical dating violence and sexual dating violence both decreased significantly during 2013–2019 (10.3%–8.2% and 10.4%–8.2%).⁽¹¹⁾ Nationwide, 10.8% of students experienced sexual violence by anyone one or more times during the 12 months before the survey.⁽¹²⁾

REFERENCES:

1. Basile KC, Clayton HB, Leemis RW, Rostad W. Sexual violence victimization of youth and health risk behaviors. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 2020;58(4):570–579. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2019.11.020>.
2. Ackard DM, Eisenberg ME, Neumark-Sztainer D. Long-term impact of adolescent dating violence on the behavioral and psychological health of male and female youth. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2007;151(5):476–481.
3. Vagi K, Olson E, Basile KC, Vivolo-Kantor A. Teen dating violence (physical and sexual) among US high school students: findings from the 2013 National Youth Risk Behavior Survey. *JAMA Pediatrics* 2015;169(5):474–482.
4. Young A, Grey M, Boyd CJ, McCabe SE. Adolescent sexual assault and the medical and nonmedical use of prescription medication. *Journal of Addictions Nursing* 2011;11(1–2):25–31. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3109/10884601003628138>.
5. Wolitzky-Taylor KB, Ruggiero JK, Danielson CK, Resnick HS, Hanson RF, Smith DW, Saunders BE, Kilpatrick DG. Prevalence and correlates of dating violence in a national sample of adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 2008;47(7):755–762.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

6. Lormand DK, Markham CM, Peskin MF, Byrd TL, Addy RC, Baumler E, Tortolero SR. Dating violence among urban, minority, middle school youth and associated sexual risk behaviors and substance use. *Journal of School Health* 2013;83(6):415–421.
7. Basile KC, Smith SG, Kresnow M, Khatiwada S, Leemis RW. The National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey: 2016/2017 Report on Sexual Violence. Atlanta, GA: National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2022.
8. Leemis RW, Friar N, Khatiwada S, Chen MS, Kresnow M, Smith SG, Caslin S, Basile KC. The National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey: 2016/2017 Report on Intimate Partner Violence. Atlanta, GA: National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2022.
9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Division of Violence Prevention. Rape prevention and education: Transforming communities to prevent sexual violence. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/sexualviolence/rpe/index.html>.
10. Kilpatrick DG, Resnick HS, Ruggiero KJ, Conoscenti LM, McCauley J. Drug-facilitated, incapacitated, and forcible rape: a national study. Charleston, SC: Medical University of South Carolina, National Crime Victims Research & Treatment Center; 2007. Available at: <https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/219181.pdf>.
11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *1991-2019 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data*. Available at: <https://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/>.
12. Basile KC, Clayton HB, DeGue S, Gilford JW, Vagi KJ, Suarez NA, Zwald ML, Lowry R. Interpersonal violence victimization among high school students—Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2019. *MMWR Suppl* 2020;69(1):28-37.

QUESTION(S):

23. During your life, how often have you felt that you were treated badly or unfairly **in school** because of your race or ethnicity?
24. During the past 12 months, have you ever been bullied **on school property**?
25. During the past 12 months, have you ever been **electronically** bullied? (Count being bullied through texting, Instagram, Facebook, or other social media.)

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

RATIONALE:

Bullying is a form of youth violence and an adverse childhood experience (ACE). Bullying is “any unwanted aggressive behavior(s) by another youth or group of youths, who are not siblings or current dating partners, that involves an observed or perceived power imbalance, and is repeated multiple times or is highly likely to be repeated. Bullying may inflict harm or distress on the targeted youth including physical, psychological, social, or educational harm.”⁽¹⁾ These questions measure the frequency of bullying victimization. Bullying victimization is associated with depression,⁽¹⁻⁴⁾ anxiety,⁽⁴⁾ suicidal ideation,^(1,4-6) self-injury,^(2,4) suicide attempts,^(2,4-6) increased odds of repeated common health problems,^(5,7) school absenteeism,⁽⁸⁾ academic difficulties,⁽⁹⁾ psychological distress,^(5,7) externalizing problems,⁽¹⁰⁾ sleep disturbances,⁽⁵⁾ tobacco and illicit drug use,⁽⁴⁾ and feeling unsafe at school.^(6,8) Electronic bullying victimization has been associated with discipline problems in school, skipping school, weapon carrying,⁽¹¹⁾ psychological distress,^(8,12) lower self-esteem,⁽¹³⁾ depression,^(12,14) suicidal ideation,^(6,15) self-injury,^(12,15) and suicide attempts.^(2,6,15) Among high school students nationwide in 2019, 19.5% reported that they had been bullied on school property during the 12 months before the survey and 15.7% had been electronically bullied through texting, Instagram, Facebook, or other social media during the 12 months before the survey.⁽¹⁵⁾ Racial–ethnic minority youth simultaneously and persistently face multiple types of victimization, including bullying, harassment, and racial discrimination.⁽¹⁶⁾ One study of identity-based bullying (including bullying based on race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, and gender identity) found that bullying based on race/ethnicity was the one most commonly reported.⁽¹⁷⁾

REFERENCES:

1. Gladden RM, Vivolo-Kantor AM, Hamburger ME, Lumpkin CD. Bullying surveillance among youths: uniform definitions for public health and recommended data elements, Version 1.0. Atlanta, GA; National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and U.S. Department of Education; 2014.
2. Kessel Schneider S, O’Donnell L, Stueve A, Coulter RWS. Cyberbullying, school bullying, and psychological distress: a regional census of high school students. *American Journal of Public Health* 2012;102:171–177.
3. Hawker DS, Boulton MJ. Twenty years’ research on peer victimization and psychosocial maladjustment: a meta-analytic review of cross-sectional studies. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* 2000;41(4):441–455.
4. Moore SE, Norman RE, Suetani S, Thomas HJ, Sly PD, Scott JG. Consequences of bullying victimization in childhood and adolescence: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World Journal of Psychiatry* 2017;7(1):60.
5. van Geel M, Vedder P, Tanilon J. Relationship between peer victimization, cyberbullying, and suicide in children and adolescents. *Journal of American Medical Association Pediatrics* 2014;168(5):435–442.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

6. Rigby K. Consequences of bullying in school. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry* 2003;48(9):583–590.
 7. Schoeler T, Duncan L, Cecil CM, Ploubidis GB, Pingault JB. Quasi-experimental evidence on short-and long-term consequences of bullying victimization: a meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin* 2018;144(12):1229
 8. McDougall P, Vaillancourt T. Long-term adult outcomes of peer victimization in childhood and adolescence: pathways to adjustment and maladjustment. *American Psychologist* 2015;70(4):300–310.
 9. Glew GM, Fan MY, Katon W, Rivara FR, Kernic MA. Bullying, psychosocial adjustment, and academic performance in elementary school. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine* 2005;159:1026–1031.
 10. Ybarra ML, Diener-West M, Leaf PJ. Examining the overlap in internet harassment and school bullying: Implications for school intervention. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 2007;41:42–50.
 11. Kiriakidis SP, Kavoura A. Cyberbullying: a review of the literature on harassment through the internet and other electronic means. *Family & Community Health* 2010;33(2):82–93.
 12. Patchin JW, Hinduja S. Cyberbullying and self-esteem. *Journal of School Health* 2010;80:614–621.
 13. Hu Y, Bai Y, Pan Y, Li S. Cyberbullying victimization and depression among adolescents: a meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Research* 2021;305:114198.
 14. John A, Glendenning AC, Marchant A, Montgomery P, Stewart A, Wood S, Lloyd K, Hawton K. Self-harm, suicidal behaviours, and cyberbullying in children and young people: systematic review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 2018;20(4):e9044.
 15. Basile KC, Clayton HB, DeGue S, Gilford JW, Vagi KJ, Suarez NA, Zwald ML, Lowry R. Interpersonal violence victimization among high school students—Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2019. *MMWR Suppl* 2020;69(1):28–37.
 16. Weinstein M, Jensen MR, Tynes BM. Victimized in many ways: online and offline bullying/harassment and perceived racial discrimination in diverse racial–ethnic minority adolescents. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology* 2021;27(3):397–407. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1037/cdp0000436>.
 17. Galán CA, Stokes LR, Szoko N, Abebe KZ, Culyba AJ. (2021). Exploration of experiences and perpetration of identity-based bullying among adolescents by race/ethnicity and other marginalized identities. *JAMA Network Open* 2021;4(7): e2116364–e2116364.
-

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

Suicide and Mental Health

QUESTION(S):

26. During the past 12 months, did you ever feel so sad or hopeless almost every day for **two weeks or more in a row** that you stopped doing some usual activities?
27. During the past 12 months, did you ever **seriously** consider attempting suicide?
28. During the past 12 months, did you make a plan about how you would attempt suicide?
29. During the past 12 months, how many times did you actually attempt suicide?
30. **If you attempted suicide** during the past 12 months, did any attempt result in an injury, poisoning, or overdose that had to be treated by a doctor or nurse?
84. During the past 30 days, how often was your mental health not good? (Poor mental health includes stress, anxiety, and depression.)

RATIONALE:

These questions measure sadness, suicidal ideation and planning, attempted suicide, the severity of suicide attempts, and mental health quality of life. Suicide is the second leading cause of death among youth aged 13–19 years.⁽¹⁾ The suicide rate for persons aged 13–19 years was 9.6 per 100,000 in 2018.⁽¹⁾ A prior suicide attempt is one of the most significant risk factors for a suicide fatality.^(2,3) Among high school students nationwide in 2019, 37% felt so sad or hopeless almost every day for 2 or more weeks in a row that they stopped doing some usual activities.⁽⁴⁾ Among high school students nationwide in 2019, 19% had seriously considered attempting suicide, 16% had made a plan about how they would attempt suicide, 9% had attempted suicide one or more times, and 3% had a suicide attempt that resulted in an injury, poisoning, or overdose that had to be treated by a doctor or nurse (injured in a suicide attempt) during the 12 months before the survey.⁽⁴⁾ The percentage of students who seriously considered attempting suicide decreased during 1991–2007 (29%–15%) and then increased slightly during 2007–2019 (15%–16%).⁽⁵⁾ The percentage of students who made a suicide plan decreased during 1991–2009 (19%–11%) and then increased during 2009–2019 (11%–16%).⁽⁵⁾ The percentage of students who attempted suicide slightly increased during 1991–2019 (7%–9%).⁽⁵⁾ No significant trends over time were observed for being injured in a suicide attempt.

The question assessing mental health status during the past 30 days has been used extensively in adult populations to assess overall mental health. For example, this question has been included on the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System questionnaire since 1993. People reporting that their mental health was not good for 14 or more of the preceding 30 days are usually considered as having frequent mental distress.⁽⁵⁾ Frequent mental distress is associated with poor general health, frequent physical distress,⁽⁶⁾ and frequent activity limitation.⁽⁷⁾

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

REFERENCES:

1. Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System (WISQARS) [database online]. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; 2018. Accessed June 4, 2020.
 2. Borowsky IW, Ireland M, Resnick MD. Adolescent suicide attempts: Risks and protectors. *Pediatrics* 2001;107:485–493.
 3. Bridge JA, Goldstein TR, Brent DA. Adolescent suicide and suicidal behavior. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* 2006;47(3/4):372–394.
 4. Ivey-Stephenson AZ, Demissie Z, Crosby AE, Stone DM, Gaylor E, Wilkins N, Lowry R, Brown M. Suicidal ideation and behaviors among high school students—Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2019. *MMWR Suppl* 2020;69(1):47–55.
 5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Self-reported frequent mental distress among adults—United States, 1993-2001. *MMWR* 2004;53(41):963–966.
 6. Dwyer-Lindgren L, Mackenbach JP, van Lenthe FJ, Mokdad AH. Self-reported general health, physical distress, mental distress, and activity limitation by US county, 1995-2012. *Population Health Metrics* 2017;15(16):1–12. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12963-017-0133-5>.
 7. Hoare E, Milton K, Foster C, Allender S. The associations between sedentary behaviour and mental health among adolescents: a systematic review. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* 2016;13(108):1–22. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-016-0432-4>.
-

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

Tobacco Use

QUESTION(S):

31. Have you ever tried cigarette smoking, even one or two puffs?
32. How old were you when you first tried cigarette smoking, even one or two puffs?
33. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you smoke cigarettes?
34. During the past 30 days, on the days you smoked, how many cigarettes did you smoke per day?

RATIONALE:

These questions measure lifetime and current smoking patterns, and age of initiation. Cigarette smoking is the leading cause of preventable death in the United States⁽¹⁾ and accounts for more than 480,000 deaths each year.⁽¹⁾ Each day across the United States, about 1,600 youth under 18 years of age try their first cigarette and nearly 200 youth start smoking daily.⁽²⁾ More than 80% of adult smokers begin before the age of 18.⁽³⁾ Cigarette smoking increases risk of heart disease; chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; acute respiratory illness; stroke; and 12 cancers, including cancers of the lung, larynx, oral cavity, pharynx, pancreas, and cervix.^(1,3) In addition, as compared to nonsmokers, cigarette smokers are more likely to drink alcohol, use marijuana and cocaine, engage in risky sexual behaviors, engage in physical fighting, carry a weapon, and attempt suicide.^(3,4) Among high school students nationwide in 2019, 24% had ever tried cigarette smoking and 6% had smoked cigarettes on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey.⁽⁵⁾ The percentage of high school students who had ever tried cigarette smoking did not change during 1991–1999 and then decreased significantly during 1999–2019 (70%–24%).^(5,6) The percentage of high school students who had smoked cigarettes on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey increased significantly during 1991–1997 (28%–36%) and then decreased during 1997–2019 (36%–6%).^(5,6)

REFERENCES:

1. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *The Health Consequences of Smoking – 50 Years of Progress: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion; Office on Smoking and Health; 2014. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/sgr/50th-anniversary/index.htm.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Youth and tobacco use. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/fact_sheets/youth_data/tobacco_use/index.htm.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

3. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Preventing Tobacco Use Among Youth and Young Adults: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Coordinating Center for Health Promotion, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health; 2012. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK99237/>.
 4. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. *Results from the 2010 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Summary of National Findings*. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; 2011. NSDUH Series H-41, HHS Publication No. (SMA) 11-4658. Available at: <https://www.samhsa.gov/data/report/results-2010-national-survey-drug-use-and-health-summary-national-findings-and-detailed>.
 5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *1991-2019 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data*. Available at: <https://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/>
 6. Creamer MR, Everett Jones S, Gentzke AS, Jamal A, King BA. Tobacco product use among high school students — Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2019. *MMWR Suppl* 2020;69(1):56-63.
-

QUESTION(S):

35. Have you ever used an electronic vapor product?
36. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use an electronic vapor product?
37. During the past 30 days, how did you **usually** get your electronic vapor products?

RATIONALE:

These questions measure the prevalence of use of electronic vapor products and access to these products. Electronic vapor products are battery-powered electronic devices that usually contain a nicotine-based liquid that is vaporized and inhaled by the user.⁽¹⁾ Electronic vapor products come in many shapes and sizes and may be shaped like cigarettes or other tobacco products, USB devices, pen-shaped devices, or tank-style devices. Electronic vapor products include electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes), vapes, vape pens, electronic cigars (e-cigars), electronic hookahs (e-hookahs), and mods. Depending on the brand, e-cigarette cartridges or refillable e-liquids typically contain nicotine, a component to produce the aerosol (e.g., propylene glycol or glycerol), and flavorings (e.g., fruit, mint, or chocolate).⁽²⁾

According to the National Youth Tobacco Survey, e-cigarettes have remained the most commonly used tobacco product among high school students since 2014.⁽³⁾ Recognizing this as a key component of youth tobacco product use surveillance, the YRBS has assessed the use of

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

electronic vapor products since 2015.⁽⁴⁾ Among high school students nationwide in 2019, 50% had ever tried electronic vapor products and 33% of high school students had used electronic vapor products on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey. From 2017-2019, a significant increase was found in current use of electronic vapor products (13%-33%).⁽⁵⁾

In 2016, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration finalized a rule to regulate e-cigarettes and other electronic vapor products as tobacco products.⁽⁶⁾ This rule prevented sales to minors, prohibited samples, prohibited vending machine sales (unless in a facility that never admits minors), and mandates warning labels on packaging.⁽⁶⁾ On December 20, 2019, legislation amended the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and raised the federal minimum age of sale of tobacco products from 18 to 21 years of age.⁽⁷⁾ Given this evolving landscape, continued monitoring of how youth usually get their e-cigarettes will be important to inform surveillance and evaluation efforts in identifying potential shifts in youth e-cigarette access patterns. The question assessing access to electronic vapor products provides a distinct response option for social sources inclusive of both paid and unpaid means of obtaining e-cigarettes.

REFERENCES:

1. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *E-Cigarette Use Among Youth and Young Adults. A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health; 2016. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/sgr/e-cigarettes/index.htm.
2. Cobb NK, Byron MJ, Abrams DB, Shields PG. Novel nicotine delivery systems and public health: The rise of the "e-cigarette." *American Journal of Public Health* 2010;100:2340–2342. Available at: <https://ajph.aphapublications.org/doi/10.2105/AJPH.2010.199281>.
3. Gentzke AS, Creamer M, Cullen KA, et al. Vital Signs: Tobacco product use among middle and high school students — United States, 2011–2018. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2019;68:157–164. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6806e1>.
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *1991-2019 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data*. Available at: <https://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/>.
5. Creamer MR, Everett Jones S, Gentzke AS, Jamal A, King BA. Tobacco product use among high school students — Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2019. *MMWR Suppl* 2020;69(1):56-63.
6. Food and Drug Administration. Deeming Tobacco Products to Be Subject to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as Amended by the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act; Regulations on the Sale and Distribution of Tobacco Products and Required Warning Statements for Tobacco Products; Final Rule. *Federal Register* 2016;81(90): 28973-29106. Available at: <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2016-05-10/pdf/2016-10685.pdf>.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

7. Food and Drug Administration. Newly Signed Legislation Raises Federal Minimum Age of Sale of Tobacco Products to 21. Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/tobacco-products/ctp-newsroom/newly-signed-legislation-raises-federal-minimum-age-sale-tobacco-products-21>.
-

QUESTION(S):

38. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use **chewing tobacco, snuff, dip, snus, or dissolvable tobacco products**, such as Copenhagen, Grizzly, Skoal, Camel Snus, or Velo Nicotine Lozenges? (Do not count any electronic vapor products.)
39. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you smoke **cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars**, such as Swisher Sweets, Middleton's (including Black & Mild), or Backwoods??

RATIONALE:

These questions measure smokeless tobacco use and cigar use. Smokeless tobacco products include chewing tobacco, snuff, dip, snus or dissolvable tobacco products.⁽¹⁾ The smokeless tobacco brands provided as examples reflect the most commonly used brands based on market-share data.⁽²⁾ Smokeless tobacco contains 28 known human carcinogens.⁽¹⁾ Use of smokeless tobacco products increases the risk of developing cancer of the oral cavity.⁽¹⁾ Other oral health problems strongly associated with smokeless tobacco use are leukoplakia (a lesion of the soft tissue that consists of a white patch or plaque that cannot be scraped off) and recession of the gums.^(1,3,4) Smokeless tobacco use also causes an increased risk of heart disease and stroke.⁽⁵⁾ In addition, adolescent smokeless tobacco users are more likely than nonusers to become adult cigarette smokers.⁽⁴⁾ Smokeless tobacco may appeal to youth because it can come in flavors such as mint, fruit, or spice.⁽⁴⁾ Among high school students nationwide in 2019, 4% had used smokeless tobacco (e.g., chewing tobacco, snuff, or dip) on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey.⁽⁶⁾ Smokeless tobacco use significantly declined from 2017-2019 (from 6% to 4%).⁽⁶⁾

Cigar smoking can cause lung cancer, coronary heart disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.⁽⁷⁻⁹⁾ The overall risk of oral and pharyngeal cancer is 7–10 times higher among cigar smokers compared to those who never smoked.⁽¹⁰⁾ In 2019, 6% of high school students nationwide had smoked cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey; a significant decrease in overall prevalence of current cigar smoking from 2017 (8.0%).⁽⁶⁾ The question assessing cigar use has been modified for the 2023 YRBS questionnaire to include examples of commonly used brands.⁽²⁾

REFERENCES:

1. World Health Organization. *Smokeless Tobacco and Some Tobacco-Specific N-Nitrosamines*. Lyon, France: World Health Organization; 2007. International Agency for

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

- Research on Cancer Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, Vol. 89. Available at: <http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol89/mono89.pdf>.
2. Wells Fargo Securities. Equity Research/Tobacco. Nielsen: Tobacco ‘All Channel’ Data 4/21. May 2018. Available at: <https://qhi7a3oj76cn9awl3qcqrh3o-wpengine.netdna-ssl.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Wells-Fargo-report.pdf>.
 3. Johnson GK, Slach NA. Impact of tobacco use on periodontal status. *Journal of Dental Education* 2001;65:313–321. Available at: <http://www.jdentaled.org/content/65/4/313.long>.
 4. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Preventing Tobacco Use Among Youth and Young Adults: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Coordinating Center for Health Promotion, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health; 2012. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK99237/>.
 5. Henley SJ, Thun MJ, Connell C, Calle EE. Two large prospective studies of mortality among men who use snuff or chewing tobacco (United States). *Cancer Causes and Control* 2005;16:347–358. Available at: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10552-004-5519-6>.
 6. Creamer MR, Everett Jones S, Gentzke AS, Jamal A, King BA. Tobacco product use among high school students — Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2019. *MMWR Suppl* 2020;69(1):56-63.
 7. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Smoking and Tobacco Control Monograph No. 9: Cigars: Health Effects and Trends*. Bethesda, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Cancer Institute; 1998. No. 98-4302:217. Available at: http://cancercontrol.cancer.gov/brp/tcrb/monographs/9/m9_complete.PDF.
 8. Shaper AG, Wannamethee SG, Walker M. Pipe and cigar smoking and major cardiovascular events, cancer incidence and all-cause mortality in middle-age British men. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2003;32:802–808. Available at: <https://academic.oup.com/ije/article/32/5/802/665725>.
 9. Rodriguez J, Jiang R, Johnson WC, MacKenzie BA, Smith LJ, Barr RG. The association of pipe and cigar use with cotinine levels, lung function, and airflow obstruction. A cross-sectional study. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2010;152:201–10. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2906916/>.
 10. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Oral Health in America: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute for Dental and Craniofacial Research, National Institutes of Health;

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

2000. Available at: <https://www.nidcr.nih.gov/sites/default/files/2017-10/hck1ocv.%40www.surgeon.fullrpt.pdf>.

QUESTION(S):

40. During the past 12 months, did you ever try **to quit** using **all** tobacco products?

RATIONALE:

This question measures attempts to quit using all tobacco products. Nicotine exposure during adolescence, a critical period for brain development, can cause addiction, might harm brain development, and could lead to sustained tobacco product use among youths.^(1,2,3) Therefore, among youth, there is no safe exposure to nicotine, be it from combustible, non-combustible, or electronic sources. Before 2017, the YRBS assessed the prevalence of high school students who attempted to quit smoking cigarettes during the 12 months before the survey. The questionnaire item was expanded in 2017 to include all tobacco products. In 2019, among high school students nationwide who used any tobacco products during the 12 months before the survey, 48% had tried to quit using all tobacco products during those 12 months.⁽⁴⁾

REFERENCES:

1. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *The Health Consequences of Smoking – 50 Years of Progress: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion; Office on Smoking and Health; 2014. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/sgr/50th-anniversary/index.htm.
 2. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *The Health Consequences of Smoking: Nicotine Addiction: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control; 1988. Available at: <https://profiles.nlm.nih.gov/spotlight/nn/catalog.nlm.nlmuid-101584932X423-doc>.
 3. England LJ, Bunnell RE, Pechacek TF, Tong VT, McAfee TA. Nicotine and the developing human: a neglected element in the electronic cigarette debate. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 2015;49(2):286–93. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4594223/>.
 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 1991-2019 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data. Available at: <https://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/>.
-

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

Alcohol Use

QUESTION(S):

41. How old were you when you had your first drink of alcohol other than a few sips?
42. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you have at least one drink of alcohol?
43. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you have **4** or more drinks of alcohol in a row, that is, within a couple of hours (if you are **female**) or **5** or more drinks of alcohol in a row, that is, within a couple of hours (if you are **male**)?
44. During the past 30 days, what is the largest number of alcoholic drinks you had in a row, that is, within a couple of hours?
45. During the past 30 days, how did you **usually** get the alcohol you drank?

RATIONALE:

These questions measure lifetime and current use of alcohol, age of initiation, binge drinking, the largest number of alcoholic drinks consumed during a drinking occasion, and access to alcohol. Excessive drinking is responsible for nearly 4,000 deaths among underage youth each year, and drinking by individuals younger than age 21 years cost the U.S. \$24 billion in 2010.^(1,2) Underage drinking contributes to a wide range of health and social problems, including motor vehicle crashes, interpersonal violence (e.g., physical and sexual assaults), unintentional injuries (e.g., burns, falls, drownings), sexual risk behaviors, academic and memory problems, alcohol poisonings and other substance use.⁽³⁻⁵⁾ Early initiation of drinking is also associated with suicide and an increased risk of developing alcohol and substance use disorders later in life.^(3,6,7)

Binge drinking (i.e., the consumption of 5 or more drinks for males, or 4 or more drinks for females, on an occasion) is the most common pattern of excessive alcohol use in the United States, and most people younger than age 21 who drink alcohol report binge drinking, often consuming large amounts of alcohol.^(4,8) More than two in five high school students who reported binge drinking consumed eight or more drinks in a row.⁽⁸⁾ Limiting youth access to alcohol has reduced underage alcohol use and alcohol-related problems.^(9,10) However, youth continue to obtain alcohol from a variety of sources, particularly from adults of legal drinking age.^(8,11)

Among high school students nationwide in 2019, 15% reported they had their first drink of alcohol (more than a few sips) before age 13⁽¹¹⁾ and 29% reported they drank at least one drink of alcohol during the 30 days before the survey.⁽¹²⁾ In addition, 14% of high school students reported binge drinking during the 30 days before the survey.⁽¹²⁾ The percentage of high school students who reported current alcohol use decreased significantly during 1991–2019 (from 51% to 29%).⁽¹¹⁾ Likewise, the percentage of high school students reporting alcohol initiation younger than 13 years decreased significantly during 1991–2019 and the percentage reporting 10 or more

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

drinks as the largest number of drinks during an occasion decreased significantly during 2013-2019.⁽¹¹⁾

REFERENCES

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Alcohol-Related Disease Impact (ARDI) application, 2022*. Available at www.cdc.gov/ARDI.
2. Sacks JJ, Gonzales KR, Bouchery EE, Tomedi LE, Brewer RD. 2010 National and state costs of excessive alcohol consumption. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 2015; 49(5):e73–e79. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2015.05.031>.
3. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. 2020. Report to Congress on the Prevention and Reduction of Underage Drinking. Available at: https://www.stopalcoholabuse.gov/media/ReportToCongress/2020/report_main/2020_Report_to_Congress.pdf.
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Underage Drinking Fact Sheet. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/alcohol/fact-sheets/underage-drinking.htm>.
5. Esser MB, Pickens CM, Guy GP Jr, Evans ME. Binge drinking, other substance use, and concurrent use in the U.S., 2016-2018. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 2021;60:169–178.
6. Swahn MH, Bossarte RM, Sullivent EE. Age of alcohol use initiation, suicidal behavior, and peer and dating violence victimization and perpetration among high-risk, seventh-grade adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2008;121(2):297–305. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2006-2348>.
7. Bossarte RM, Swahn MH. The associations between early alcohol use and suicide attempts among adolescents with a history of major depression. *Addictive Behaviors* 2011;36(5):532–535. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2010.12.031>.
8. Esser MB, Clayton H, Demissie Z, Kanny D, Brewer RD. Current and binge drinking among high school students – United States, 1991–2015. *MMWR* 2017;66(18):474–478. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6618a4>.
9. DeJong W, Blanchette J. Case closed: research evidence on the positive public health impact of the age 21 minimum legal drinking age in the United States. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs* 2014;75(Suppl 17):108–115. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.15288/jsads.2014.s17.108>.
10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Age 21 Minimum Legal Drinking Age Fact Sheet. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/alcohol/fact-sheets/minimum-legal-drinking-age.htm>.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *1991-2019 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data*. Available at: <https://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/>.
 12. Jones CM, Clayton HB, Deputy N, Roehler D, Ko J, Esser M, Brookmeyer K, Hertz M. Prescription opioid misuse and use of alcohol and other substances among high school students—Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2019. *MMWR Suppl* 2020;69(No. Suppl 1):38–46. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.su6901a5>.
-

Drug Use

QUESTION(S):

46. During your life, how many times have you used marijuana?
47. How old were you when you tried marijuana for the first time?
48. During the past 30 days, how many times did you use marijuana?
49. During your life, how many times have you taken **prescription pain medicine** without a doctor's prescription or differently than how a doctor told you to use it?
50. During your life, how many times have you used **any** form of cocaine, including powder, crack, or freebase?
51. During your life, how many times have you sniffed glue, breathed the contents of aerosol spray cans, or inhaled any paints or sprays to get high?
52. During your life, how many times have you used **heroin** (also called smack, junk, or China White)?
53. During your life, how many times have you used **methamphetamines** (also called speed, crystal meth, crank, ice, or meth)?
54. During your life, how many times have you used **ecstasy** (also called MDMA or Molly)?
55. During your life, how many times have you used a needle to inject any **illegal** drug into your body?

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

RATIONALE:

These questions measure lifetime and current use of marijuana and ever use of cocaine, inhalants, heroin, methamphetamines, ecstasy, and injected drugs; use of prescription pain medicine without a doctor's prescription or used in a manner differently than instructed by the doctor; and illegal drug activity on school property. Among youth, illicit drug use is associated with heavy alcohol and tobacco use,⁽¹⁾ violence and delinquency,⁽²⁻⁴⁾ and suicide.⁽⁵⁾ Marijuana use among youth—especially among youth who use marijuana more frequently—may harm the developing brain,^(6,7) increase risk of mental health issues,⁽⁶⁾ and increase the potential for addiction.⁽⁸⁾ All school districts prohibit illegal drug possession or use by students on school property.⁽⁹⁾

Among high school students nationwide in 2019, 37% had used marijuana, 4% had used any form of cocaine, 2% had used heroin, 2% had used methamphetamines, 4% had used ecstasy, and 14% had taken prescription pain medicine without a doctor's prescription or differently than how a doctor told them to use it one or more times during their life.⁽¹⁰⁾ In 2019, 6% of high school students nationwide had sniffed glue, breathed the contents of aerosol spray cans, or inhaled any paints or sprays to get high and 2% had used a needle to inject any illegal drug into their body one or more times during their life.⁽¹⁰⁾ The percentage of high school students who had used marijuana one or more times during their life increased during 1991–1997 (31%–47%) and then decreased during 1997–2019 (47%–37%).⁽¹⁰⁾ The percentage of high school students who had used cocaine one or more times during their life increased during 1991–2001 (6%–9%) and then decreased during 2001–2019 (9%–4%).⁽¹⁰⁾ The percentage of high school students who had used heroin one or more times during their life did not change significantly during 1999–2011 (2%–3%) then decreased slightly during 2011–2019 (3%–2%).⁽¹⁰⁾ The percentage of high school students who had used methamphetamines one or more times during their life decreased significantly during 1999–2019 (9%–2%).⁽¹⁰⁾ The percentage of high school students who had used ecstasy one or more times during their life decreased significantly from 2001–2019 (11%–4%).⁽¹⁰⁾

REFERENCES:

1. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. *Results from the 2010 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Summary of National Findings*. NSDUH Series H-41, HHS Publication No. (SMA) 11-4658. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2011. Available at: <https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/NSDUHNationalFindingsResults2010-web/2k10ResultsRev/NSDUHresultsRev2010.pdf>.
2. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Youth violence and illicit drug use. *The NSDUH Report* 2006;5:1–3. Available at: <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED495798.pdf>.
3. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Marijuana use and delinquent behaviors among youths. *The NSDUH Report* January 9, 2004. Available at:

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

<http://jtnn.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/Marijuana-Behaviors-and-Delinquent-Behaviors-Among-Youth.pdf>.

4. Young AM, Glover N, Havens JR. Nonmedical use of prescription medications among adolescents in the United States: a systematic review. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 2012;51(1):6–17.
 5. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Substance use and the risk of suicide among youths. *The NHSDA Report* July 12, 2002. Available at: <https://www.datafiles.samhsa.gov/study-publication/substance-use-and-risk-suicide-among-youths-nid14738>.
 6. National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine. *The health effects of cannabis and cannabinoids: current state of evidence and recommendations for research*. Washington, DC; 2017.
 7. Batalla A, Bhattacharyya S, Yücel M, Fusar-Poli P, Crippa JA, Nogué S, Torrens M, Pujol J, Farré M, Martin-Santos R. Structural and functional imaging studies in chronic cannabis users: a systematic review of adolescent and adult findings. *PLoS One* 2013;8(2):e55821.
 8. Hasin DS, Saha TD, Kerridge BT, Goldstein RB, Chou SP, Zhang H, Jung J, Pickering RP, Ruan WJ, Smith SM, Huang B, Grant BF. Prevalence of marijuana use disorders in the United States between 2001-2002 and 2012-2013. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2015;72(12):1235–1242.
 9. Everett Jones S, Fisher CJ, Greene BZ, Hertz MF, Pritzl J. Healthy and safe school environment, part I: results from the School Health Policies and Programs Study 2006. *Journal of School Health* 2007;77(8):522–543.
 10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *1991-2019 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data*. Available at: <https://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/>.
-

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

Sexual Behaviors that Contribute to Unintended Pregnancy and Sexually Transmitted Diseases, Including HIV Infection

QUESTION(S):

56. Have you ever had sexual intercourse?
57. How old were you when you had sexual intercourse for the first time?
58. During your life, with how many people have you had sexual intercourse?
59. During the past 3 months, with how many people did you have sexual intercourse?
60. Did you drink alcohol or use drugs before you had sexual intercourse the **last time**?
61. The **last time** you had sexual intercourse, did you or your partner use a condom?
62. The **last time** you had sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner, what **one** method did you or your partner use to **prevent pregnancy**?

RATIONALE:

These questions measure the prevalence of sexual activity, age at first intercourse, number of sexual partners, alcohol and other drug use related to sexual activity, condom use, and contraceptive use. Early initiation of sexual intercourse is associated with having a greater number of lifetime sexual partners.^(1,2) In addition, adolescents who initiate sexual intercourse early are less likely to use contraception^(2,3) and are at higher risk for sexually transmitted diseases (STDs),⁽⁴⁾ unintended pregnancy,^(5,6) and substance use.⁽⁷⁾ The number of STDs continues to increase in the United States, especially among adolescents and young adults. Estimates suggest that while representing 25% of the ever sexually active population, persons aged 15 to 24 years acquire more than half of all new STDs.⁽⁸⁾ Both chlamydia and gonorrhea rates are high among adolescent females between the ages of 15 to 19 years (2857.9 cases per 100,000 individuals and 616.4 cases per 100,000 individuals, respectively in 2020).^(9,10) Similarly, among young women between the ages of 20 to 24 chlamydia and gonorrhea rates remain high (3729.6 cases per 100,000 individuals and 866.9 cases per 100,000 individuals, respectively in 2020).^(9,10)

The annual number of HIV infections in 2019, compared with 2015, decreased among persons aged 13–24 years;⁽¹¹⁾ yet in the United States and dependent areas, there were an estimated 7,200 persons ages 13–24 years newly diagnosed with HIV infection⁽¹²⁾ and 45,900 living with diagnosed or undiagnosed HIV infection.⁽¹³⁾ In 2019, young people aged 13–24 accounted for 21% of all new HIV infections in the United States.⁽¹¹⁾

From 2009 to 2019, data showed declines in sexual risk behaviors among U.S. high school students.⁽¹⁴⁾ Nationwide, in 2019, 38.4% of high school students had ever had sexual intercourse, 8.6% had had sexual intercourse with four or more persons during their life, and 27.4% had had sexual intercourse with at least one person during the 3 months before the survey.⁽¹⁵⁾ The

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

percentage of students who ever had sexual intercourse decreased during 2009–2019 (46.0%–38.4%).⁽¹⁴⁾ The percentage of students who had sexual intercourse with four or more persons during their life decreased during 2009–2019 (13.8%–8.6%).⁽¹⁴⁾ During 1991–2013, there was a significant decrease in the percentage of students who had had sexual intercourse with at least one person during the 3 months before the survey (38%–34%), and the percentage further decreased during 2013–2019 (34%–27.4%).⁽¹⁶⁾

In 2019, among the 27.4% of students who were currently sexually active, 54.3% reported that either they or their partner had used a condom during last sexual intercourse, while 30.9% reported they or their partner used effective hormonal birth control during last sex.⁽¹⁵⁾ Between 2009–2019, the percentage of sexually active students who used a condom during last sexual intercourse decreased (61.1%–54.3%).⁽¹⁴⁾

To reach STD/HIV and unintended pregnancy prevention goals for all adolescents, monitoring condom and contraceptive use behaviors among sexually active youths is essential.^(17–19) Data from 2019 show that 9.1% of sexually active high school students report either they or their partner had used a condom with an intrauterine device (IUD), implant, shot, patch, ring, or birth control pills at last sexual intercourse.⁽¹⁵⁾

REFERENCES:

1. Santelli JS, Brener ND, Lowry R, Bhatt A, Zabin LS. Multiple sexual partners among U.S. adolescents and young adults. *Family Planning Perspectives* 1998;30:271–275.
2. Martinez GM, Abma JC. Sexual activity and contraceptive use among teenagers aged 15–19 in the United States, 2015–2017. National Center for Health Statistics, 2020;336. Hyattsville, MD. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db366>.
3. Manning WD, Longmore MA, Giordano PC. The relationship context of contraceptive use at first intercourse. *Family Planning Perspectives* 2000;32(3):104–110.
4. Kaestle CE, Halpern CT, Miller WC, Ford CA. Young age at first sexual intercourse and sexually transmitted infections in adolescents and young adults. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2005;161(8):774–780.
5. Osterman MJK, Hamilton BE, Martin JA, Driscoll AK, Valenzuela CP. Births: final data for 2020. *National Vital Statistics Reports* 2022;70(17). Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. Available at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.15620/cdc:112078>.
6. Thornberry TP, Smith CA, Howard GJ. Risk factors for teenage fatherhood. *Journal of Marriage & Family* 1997;59:505–522.
7. O'Donnell L, O'Donnell CR, Stueve A. Early sexual initiation and subsequent sex-related risks among urban minority youth: the reach for health study. *Family Planning Perspectives* 2001:268–275.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance 2020*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2021. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.15620/cdc.79370>.
9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance 2020*, Table 4: Chlamydia—reported cases and rates of reported cases by age group and sex, United States, 2016–2020, Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2020. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/std/statistics/2020/tables/4.htm>.
10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance 2020*, Table 9: Gonorrhea—reported cases and rates of reported cases by age group and sex, United States, 2016–2020, Atlanta: HHS, 2020. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/std/statistics/2020/tables/9.htm>
11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Estimated HIV incidence and prevalence in the United States, 2015–2019. *HIV Surveillance Supplemental Report 2021*;26(1). Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/reports/surveillance/cdc-hiv-surveillance-supplemental-report-vol-26-1.pdf>.
12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Estimated HIV incidence and prevalence in the United States, 2015–2019, Table 1. Estimated HIV incidence among persons aged ≥ 13 years, by year of infection and selected characteristics, 2015–2019—United States. *HIV Surveillance Supplemental Report 2021*;26(No. 1). Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/reports/surveillance/cdc-hiv-surveillance-supplemental-report-vol-26-1.pdf>.
13. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Estimated HIV incidence and prevalence in the United States, 2015–2019, Table 7. Estimated HIV prevalence and undiagnosed infection among persons aged ≥ 13 years, by selected characteristics, 2019—United States. *HIV Surveillance Supplemental Report 2021*;26(No. 1). Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/reports/surveillance/cdc-hiv-surveillance-supplemental-report-vol-26-1.pdf>.
14. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Youth Risk Behavior Survey: Data Summary & Trends Report 2009-2019*. 2020. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/data/yrbs/yrbs_data_summary_and_trends.htm.
15. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System – United States and Selected Sites, Supplementary Tables 2019*. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/data/yrbs/2019_tables/pdf/2019_MMWR-SS_Tables.pdf.
16. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *1991-2019 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data*. Available at: <http://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/>.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

17. Szucs LE, Lowry R, Fasula AM, Pampati S, Copen CE, Hussaini KS, Kachur RE, Koumans EH, Steiner RJ. Condom and contraceptive use behaviors among sexually active high school students—Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2019. *MMWR Suppl* 2020;69(1):11–18. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/su/su6901a2.htm>.
 18. Workowski KA, Bachmann LH, Chan PA, Johnston CM, Muzny CA, Park I, Reno H, Zenilman JM, Bolan GA.. Sexually transmitted infections treatment guidelines, 2021. *MMWR Recommendations and Reports* 2021;70(4):1. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/rr/rr7004a1.htm>.
 19. Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. (n.d.). *Adolescents*. Healthy People 2030. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Available at: <https://health.gov/healthypeople/objectives-and-data/browse-objectives/adolescents>.
-

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

HIV/STD Testing

QUESTION(S):

81. Have you ever been tested for HIV, the virus that causes AIDS? (Do **not** count tests done if you donated blood.)
82. During the past 12 months, have you been tested for a sexually transmitted disease (STD) other than HIV, such as chlamydia or gonorrhea?

RATIONALE:

These questions measure whether high school students have ever been tested for HIV and if they have been tested for an STD during the 12 months before the survey. Because adolescents and young people contract HIV and other STDs at high rates,⁽¹⁻⁴⁾ national recommendations and clinical guidelines suggest HIV testing and regular STD testing for sexually active young people.⁽⁵⁻⁷⁾ HIV testing is an integral part of the National HIV/AIDS Strategy for the United States, and routine testing is one of the most important strategies recommended for reducing the spread of HIV and improving the health outcomes for those already infected.^(5,8) State and local education agencies and schools are essential partners in this effort. In particular, schools have a critical role to play in facilitating delivery of HIV and STD prevention for adolescents.^(9,10) State and local data on HIV and STD testing will help agencies examine local trends in testing behaviors, identify disparities in testing, and determine whether high risk youth are being tested.^(9,10) In 2019, 9% of high school students nationwide had ever tested for HIV.⁽¹¹⁾ The percentage of high school students who have ever been tested for HIV did not change from 2005–2011 (12%–13%), but significantly decreased from 2011–2019 (13%–9%).⁽¹¹⁾ In 2019, 20.4% of sexually active high school students reporting having been tested for an STD during the 12 months before the survey.⁽¹²⁾

REFERENCES:

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *HIV Surveillance Report, 2019*. 2021;32. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/hiv-surveillance.html>.
2. Satterwhite CL, Torrone E, Meites E, Dunne EF, Mahajan R, Bañez Ocfemia MC, Su J, Xu F, Weinstock H. Sexually transmitted infections among US women and men: prevalence and incidence estimates, 2008. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases* 2013;40(3):187–193.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance 2020. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/std/statistics/2020/default.htm>.
4. Forhan SE, Gottlieb SL, Sternberg MR, Xu F, Datta SD, McQuillan GM, Berman SM, Markowitz LE. Prevalence of sexually transmitted infections among female adolescents ages 14 to 19 in the United States. *Pediatrics* 2009;124(6):1505–1512.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Revised recommendations for HIV testing of adults, adolescents, and pregnant women in health-care settings. *MMWR* 2006;55(RR-14). Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5514a1.htm>.
 6. Committee on Adolescence, Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine. Screening for nonviral sexually transmitted infections in adolescents and young adults. *Pediatrics* 2014;134(1):e302-311. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2014-1024>.
 7. US Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for Chlamydia and Gonorrhea: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *JAMA* 2021;326(10):9490956. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.14081>.
 8. The White House Office of National AIDS Policy. National HIV/AIDS Strategy for the United States 2022-2025. Washington, DC: The White House Office of National AIDS Policy. Available at: <https://hivgov-prod-v3.s3.amazonaws.com/s3fs-public/NHAS-2022-2025.pdf>.
 9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *How Schools Can Support HIV Testing Among Adolescents*. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2020. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/sexualbehaviors/pdf/hiv_testing_fact_sheet.pdf.
 10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. PS18-1807 program guidance: Guidance for school-based HIV/STD prevention (component 2) recipients of PS18-1807. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2019. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/fundedprograms/1807/resources/PS18-1807-GUIDANCE508.pdf>.
 11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *1991-2019 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data*. Available at <https://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/>.
 12. Liddon N, Pampati S, Dunville R, Kilmer G, Steiner RJ. Annual STI testing among sexually active adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2022;149(5):e2021051893.
-

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

Sexual Identity

QUESTION(S):

63. During your life, with whom have you had sexual contact?
64. Which of the following best describes you?
65. Some people describe themselves as transgender when their sex at birth does not match the way they think or feel about their gender. Are you transgender?

RATIONALE:

These questions measure sex of sexual partners, sexual identity, and gender identity. Measuring sex of sexual partners and sexual identity enables identification of youth who are sexual minorities. Sexual minority refers to individuals who identify as gay, lesbian, bisexual, or some other non-heterosexual identity, as well as those who have sexual contact with persons of the same or both sexes. Measuring gender identity enables identification of youth who are gender minorities. Gender minority refers to individuals who identify as transgender or another gender identity that is different from their sex assigned at birth, as opposed to cisgender individuals whose gender identity aligns with their sex assigned at birth. Both sexual and gender minority youth are diverse populations, representing all races, ethnicities, socioeconomic statuses, and all parts of the country.

While many sexual and gender minority youth cope with the transition from childhood to adulthood successfully and become healthy and productive adults, others struggle as a result of challenges such as stigma, discrimination, family disapproval, social rejection, and violence.^(1,2) YRBS data support this reality, finding that sexual minority youth, both by sexual identity and sex of sexual contacts, have higher rates of violence victimization than youth who identify as heterosexual or only report opposite-sex sexual contacts.⁽³⁾ State and local YRBS data also reveal that transgender youth have higher rates of violence victimization than cisgender youth.⁽⁴⁾ Sexual and gender minority youth also face well-documented health disparities. For example, young gay and bisexual males have disproportionately high rates of HIV and syphilis,^(5,6) adolescent bisexual females are more likely engage in sexual risk behaviors such as not using condoms or other contraceptives compared to heterosexual females,⁽⁷⁾ and transgender youth are at increased risk of suicidality, substance use, and sexual risk behaviors compared to their cisgender peers.⁽⁴⁾ Data on the sexual and gender minority status of young people are critical for documenting the disproportionate rates at which sexual and gender minority students experience many health risks compared to sexual and gender majority students and for developing, implementing, and evaluating policies and programs designed to mitigate these disparities. In 2019, 84% of high school students nationwide identified as heterosexual, 3% identified as gay or lesbian, 9% identified as bisexual, and 5% responded not sure about their sexual identity.⁽⁸⁾ Also in 2019, 45% of high school students nationwide had had sexual contact with only the opposite sex, 2% had had sexual contact with only the same sex, 5% had had sexual contact with both sexes, and 48% had had no sexual contact.⁽⁸⁾ Among the nineteen jurisdictions measuring gender identity in 2017, nearly 2% of youth identified as transgender.⁽⁴⁾

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

REFERENCES:

1. Meyer IH, Frost DM. Minority stress and the health of sexual minorities. In: Patterson CJ, D'Augelli AR, eds. *Handbook of Psychology and Sexual Orientation*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2013:252-266.
 2. Hendricks ML, Testa RJ. A conceptual framework for clinical work With transgender and gender nonconforming clients. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice* 2012;43(5):460-467. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029597>.
 3. Johns MM, Lowry R, Haderxhanaj LT, Rasberry CN, Robin L, Scales L, Stone D, Suarez NA. Trends in violence victimization and suicide risk by sexual identity among high school students—Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2019. *MMWR Suppl* 2020;69(Suppl 1):19-27
 4. Johns MM, Lowry R, Andrzejewski J, Barrios LC, Demissie Z, McManus T, Rasberry CN, Robin L, Underwood JM. Transgender identity and experiences of violence victimization, substance use, suicide risk, and sexual risk behaviors among high school students — 19 states and large urban school districts, 2017. *MMWR* 2019;68:67–71.
 5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV surveillance — adolescents and young adults. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2010. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/statistics_surveillance_Adolescents.pdf.
 6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance 2016*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2017.
 7. Rasberry CN, Lowry R, Johns M, Robin L, Dunville R, Pampati S, Dittus PJ, Balaji A. Sexual Risk Behavior Differences Among Sexual Minority High School Students — United States, 2015 and 2017. *MMWR* 2018;67:1007–1011.
 8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *1991-2019 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data*. Available at: <https://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/>.
-

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

Dietary Behaviors

QUESTION(S):

68. During the past 7 days, how many times did you drink **100% fruit juices** such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice? (Do **not** count punch, Kool-Aid, sports drinks, or other fruit-flavored drinks.)
69. During the past 7 days, how many times did you eat **fruit**? (Do **not** count fruit juice.)
70. During the past 7 days, how many times did you eat **green salad**?
71. During the past 7 days, how many times did you eat **potatoes**? (Do **not** count french fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips.)
72. During the past 7 days, how many times did you eat **carrots**?
73. During the past 7 days, how many times did you eat **other vegetables**? (Do **not** count green salad, potatoes, or carrots.)
74. During the past 7 days, how many times per day did you usually drink a **can, bottle, or glass** of soda or pop, such as Coke, Pepsi, or Sprite? (Do **not** count diet soda or diet pop.)
75. During the past 7 days, on how many days did you eat **breakfast**?

RATIONALE:

These questions measure dietary behaviors, including consumption of fruits, vegetables, beverages, and breakfast. The fruit and vegetable questions are similar to questions asked of adults on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System 2009 survey questionnaire.⁽¹⁾ Fruits and vegetables are good sources of complex carbohydrates, fiber, vitamins, minerals, and other substances that are important for good health.⁽²⁾ There is probable evidence to suggest that dietary patterns with higher intakes of fruits and vegetables are associated with a decreased risk for some types of cancer, cardiovascular disease, and stroke.^(2,3) Although data are limited, an increased intake of fruits and vegetables appears to be associated with a decreased risk of being overweight.^(2,4) However, most youth do not meet the recommendations for fruit and vegetable consumption.⁽⁵⁻⁷⁾ In 2019, during the 7 days before the survey, 42% of high school students nationwide had eaten fruit or drunk 100% fruit juice less than one time per day and 41% of students had eaten vegetables less than one time per day.⁽⁸⁾ During 2009–2019, the percentage of students who had eaten fruit or drunk 100% fruit juices less than one time per day increased.⁽⁸⁾ There was also a significant increase in the percentage of students who had eaten vegetables less than one time per day between 2009 and 2019.⁽⁸⁾

Although total sugar-sweetened beverage consumption has significantly decreased during the last decade, mainly due to the decrease in regular soda intake, the calorie intake from sugar-

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

sweetened beverages remain high.⁽⁹⁾ Furthermore, sugar-sweetened beverages are a primary source of added sugars in the diet of U.S. children,⁽¹⁰⁾ and contribute on average 132 kcal/day.⁽⁹⁾ Consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages is associated with a less healthy diet,⁽¹¹⁾ increased risk of dental decay⁽¹²⁾ and obesity among children,⁽¹³⁾ and the development of metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes.⁽¹⁴⁾ Nationwide in 2019, 15% of high school students had drunk a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop (not counting diet soda or diet pop) one or more times per day during the 7 days before the survey.⁽⁸⁾ The percentage of students who drank soda or pop one or more times per day decreased significantly during 2009–2019 (29%–15%).⁽⁸⁾

Eating breakfast is associated with weight loss and weight loss maintenance,⁽²⁾ improved nutrient intake,⁽²⁾ and better cognitive function, academic performance, school attendance rates, psychosocial function, and mood.⁽¹⁴⁻¹⁸⁾ In 2019, 17% of high school students nationwide did not eat breakfast on all 7 days before the survey.⁽⁸⁾ The percentage of students who did not eat breakfast on all 7 days increased significantly during 2011–2019 (13%–17%).⁽⁸⁾

REFERENCES:

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System survey questionnaire. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2009. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/questionnaires/pdf-ques/2009brfss.pdf>.
2. U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2020–2025*. 9th Edition. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, 2020. Available at: <https://www.dietaryguidelines.gov/>.
3. Boeing H, Bechthold A, Bub A, Ellinger S, Haller D, Kroke A, Leschik-Bonnet E, Müller MJ, Oberritter H, Schulze M. Critical review: vegetables and fruit in the prevention of chronic diseases. *European Journal of Nutrition* 2012;51:637–663.
4. Nour M, Lutze SA, Grech A, Allman-Farinelli. The relationship between vegetable intake and weight outcomes: a systematic review of cohort studies. *Nutrients* 2018;10(11):1626.
5. Kim SA, Moore LV, Galuska D, Wright AP, Harris D, Grummer-Strawn LM, Merlo CL, Nihiser AJ, Rhodes DG. Vital signs: fruit and vegetable intake among children — United States, 2003–2010. *MMWR* 2014;63(31):671–676.
6. Krebs-Smith SM, Guenther PM, Subar AF, Kirkpatrick SI, Dodd KW. Americans do not meet dietary recommendations. *Journal of Nutrition* 2010;140:1832–1838.
7. Lange SJ, Moore LV, Harris DM, Merlo CL, Lee SH, Demissie Z, Galuska DA. Percentage of adolescents meeting federal fruit and vegetable intake recommendations — Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System, United States, 2017. *MMWR* 2021;22;70(3):69–74.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

8. Merlo CL, Jones SE, Michael SL, et al. Dietary and physical activity behaviors among high school students—Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2019. *MMWR Suppl* 2020;69(1):64-76.
 9. Bleich SN, Vercammen KA, Koma JW, Li Z. Trends in beverage consumption among children and adults, 2003–2014. *Obesity* 2018;26(2):432–441.
 10. Drewnowski A, Rehm CD. Consumption of added sugars among US children and adults by food purchase location and food source. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2014;100(3):901–907.
 11. Leung CW, DiMatteo SG, Gosliner WA, Ritchie LD. Sugar-sweetened beverage and water intake in relation to diet quality in U.S. children. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 2018;54(3):394–402.
 12. Valenzuela MJ, Waterhouse B, Aggarwal VR, Bloor K, Doran T. Effect of sugar-sweetened beverages on oral health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *European Journal of Public Health* 2020;31(1):122–129.
 13. Luger M, Lafontan M, Bes-Rastrollo M, Winzer E, Yumuk V, Farpour-Lambert N. Sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain in children and adults: a systematic review from 2013 to 2015 and a comparison with previous studies. *Obesity Facts* 2017;10(6):674–693.
 14. Malik VS, Hu FB. Sugar-sweetened beverages and cardiometabolic health: An update of the evidence. *Nutrients* 2019;11(8):1840.
 15. Rampersaud GC, Pereira M, Girard BL, Adams J, Metz J. Breakfast habits, nutritional status, body weight, and academic performance in children and adolescents. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 2005;105:743–760.
 16. Hoyland A, Dye L, Lawton CL. A systematic review of the effect of breakfast on the cognitive performance of children and adolescents. *Nutrition Research Reviews* 2009;22:220–243.
 17. Michael SL, Merlo CL, Basch CE, Wentzel KR, Wechsler H. Critical connections: health and academics. *Journal of School Health* 2015;85:740–758.
 18. Hawkins GT, Lee SH, Michael SL, Merlo CL, Lee SM, King BA, Rasberry CN, Underwood JM. Individual and collective positive health behaviors and academic achievement among U.S. high school students, Youth Risk Behavior Survey 2017. *American Journal of Health Promotion* 2022;36(4):651–661.
-

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

Physical Activity

QUESTION(S):

76. During the past 7 days, on how many days were you physically active for a total of **at least 60 minutes per day**? (Add up all the time you spent in any kind of physical activity that increased your heart rate and made you breathe hard some of the time.)
77. In an average week when you are in school, on how many days do you go to physical education (PE) classes?
78. During the past 12 months, on how many sports teams did you play? (Count any teams run by your school or community groups.)

RATIONALE:

These questions measure participation in physical activity and team sports and attendance in physical education classes. Participation in regular physical activity among young people can help build and maintain healthy bones and muscles, maintain body weight and reduce body fat, reduce feelings of depression and anxiety, and promote psychological well-being.⁽¹⁾ Over time, regular physical activity decreases the risk of high blood pressure, heart disease, diabetes, obesity, some types of cancer, and premature death.⁽¹⁾ In 2018, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services recommended that children and adolescents ages 6 through 17 years do 60 minutes (1 hour) or more of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity daily.⁽²⁾ In 2019, 23% of high school students were physically active doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time for a total of at least 60 minutes per day on each of the 7 days before the survey.⁽³⁾

In 2012, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services released a mid-course report on the *Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans*.⁽⁴⁾ This report focused on strategies to increase physical activity among youth. The report concluded that school-based settings had the strongest evidence and multi-component physical activity programs, including physical education, had the most promise for increasing physical activity. These strategies were reiterated in the *2018 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans*, 2nd edition.⁽²⁾ In 2013, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) released *Educating the Student Body: Taking Physical Activity and Physical Education to School*.⁽⁵⁾ This report also stressed the importance of a comprehensive, multi-component, whole school approach to physical activity in schools. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and many other federal and national partners are promoting Comprehensive School Physical Activity Programs (CSPAP) to create school environments that offer many opportunities for students to be physically active throughout the school day.⁽⁶⁾ A CSPAP includes strong coordination across five components: physical education, physical activity during school, physical activity before and after school, staff involvement, and family and community engagement. Physical education is the cornerstone of CSPAP with research showing that school physical education classes can increase adolescent participation in physical activity⁽⁷⁻¹³⁾ and help high school students develop the knowledge, attitudes, and skills they need to engage in lifelong

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

physical activity.^(4,14,15) In 2019, 26% of high school students nationwide went to physical education classes on all 5 days in an average week when they were in school.⁽³⁾

REFERENCES:

1. 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee. *2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Scientific Report*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2018. Available at: <https://health.gov/paguidelines/second-edition/report.aspx>.
2. US Department of Health and Human Services. *Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans, 2nd edition*. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2018. Available at: https://health.gov/sites/default/files/2019-09/Physical_Activity_Guidelines_2nd_edition.pdf.
3. Merlo CL, Jones SE, Michael SL, Chen TJ, Sliwa SA, Lee SH, Brener ND, Lee SM, Park S. Dietary and physical activity behaviors among high school students—Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2019. *MMWR Suppl* 2020;69(1):64-76.
4. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans Midcourse Report: Strategies to Increase Physical Activity among Youth*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2012. Available at: <http://www.health.gov/paguidelines/midcourse/>.
5. Institute of Medicine. *Educating the Student Body: Taking Physical Activity and Physical Education to School*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2013. Available at: http://books.nap.edu/openbook.php?record_id=18314&page=R1.
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Comprehensive School Physical Activity Programs: A Guide for Schools*. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2013. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/physicalactivity/pdf/13_242620-A_CSPAP_SchoolPhysActivityPrograms_Final_508_12192013.pdf.
7. Metcalf B, Henley M, Wilkin T. Effectiveness of intervention on physical activity of children: systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials with objectively measured outcomes. *British Medical Journal* 2012:e345–347.
8. Dobbins M, Husson H, DeCorby K, LaRocca RL. School-based physical activity programs for promoting physical activity and fitness in children and adolescents aged 6 to 18 (Review). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD007651. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007651.pub2>.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

9. Lonsdale C, Rosenkranz RR, Peralta LR, Bennie A, Fahey P, Lubans DR. A systematic review and meta-analysis of interventions designed to increase moderate-to-vigorous physical activity in school physical education. *Preventive Medicine* 2013; 56(2):152–161.
 10. Kuhn A, Stoepker P, Dauenhauer B, Carson RL. Comprehensive school physical activity program (CSPAP) research to practice literature review. Washington, DC: Active Schools 2018.
 11. Brusseau TA, Kulinna PH. An examination of four traditional school physical activity models on children's step counts and MVPA. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport* 2015;86(1):88-93. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2014.977431>.
 12. Erfle SE, Gamble A. Effects of daily physical education on physical fitness and weight status in middle school adolescents. *Journal of School Health* 2015;85(1):27-35. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/josh.12217>.
 13. Reed JA, Maslow AL, Long S, Hughey M. Examining the impact of 45 minutes of daily physical education on cognitive ability, fitness performance, and body composition of African American youth. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health* 2013;10(2):185-97. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.10.2.185>.
 14. Grim Melissa, Petosa R, Hertz B, Hunt L. Formative evaluation of MyFit: a curriculum to promote self-regulation of physical activity among middle school students. *Journal of Health Education* 2013;44(2):81-87. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1080/19325037.2013.764238>.
 15. SHAPE America. *The Essential Components of Physical Education*. Reston, VA: SHAPE America – Society of Health and Physical Educators; 2015. Available at: <http://www.shapeamerica.org/upload/TheEssentialComponentsOfPhysicalEducation.pdf>.
-

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

Concussion

QUESTION(S):

79. During the past 12 months, how many times did you have a concussion **from playing a sport or being physically active?**

RATIONALE:

This question measures the prevalence of self-reported concussions from playing sports or being physically active. Among high school students nationwide in 2019, 15% of students experienced a sports- or physical activity-related concussion during the 12 months before the survey.⁽¹⁾ Compared with older athletes, high school athletes have shown increased susceptibility to concussions and longer recovery times,⁽²⁾ making concussions among youths playing a sport or being physically active an area of concern. Also of concern are the short-term and long-term sequelae of concussions, which can include cognitive, affective, and behavioral changes.⁽²⁾ In 2013, the Institute of Medicine (now National Academy of Sciences) produced a report entitled *Sports Related Concussions in Youth: Improving the Science, Changing the Culture* that challenged CDC to improve the surveillance of sports-related concussions among youth.⁽²⁾ The report identified a number of gaps in current surveillance efforts. Specifically, current surveillance systems only captured concussions experienced in organized, school-based sports at the high school or college level, or only captured sports-related concussions seen in emergency departments.⁽²⁾ As a result, there were no comprehensive national incidence estimates of sports- and recreation-related concussions experienced by youth.

States may be particularly interested in more comprehensive estimates of sports- and recreation-related concussions because legislation related to sports concussions was passed in all 50 states within the past 10-12 years. This legislation, commonly referred to as “Return to Play” laws, typically have three core components: concussion education for athletes, parents, and coaches; restrictions on returning to play on the same day of a suspected concussion; and medical clearance prior to returning to play after a concussion. Being able to monitor the incidence of sports- and recreation-related concussions at the state level could allow states to monitor the effects of this legislation as well as the impact of prevention efforts.

REFERENCES:

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *1991-2019 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data*. Available at <https://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/>.
2. Institute of Medicine and National Research Council. *Sports-related Concussions in Youth: Improving the Science, Changing the Culture*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2014. Available at: <http://www.nationalacademies.org/hmd/Reports/2013/Sports-Related-Concussions-in-Youth-Improving-the-Science-Changing-the-Culture.aspx>.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

Oral Health

QUESTION(S):

83. When was the last time you saw a dentist for a check-up, exam, teeth cleaning, or other dental work?

RATIONALE:

This question measures the prevalence of use of oral health care. YRBS is the only surveillance data source to monitor use of oral health care among high school students at the national, state, and local levels. Past-year dental visits among high school students from YRBS is a key indicator included in the National Oral Health Surveillance System.⁽¹⁾ Increasing use of the oral health system is also a Leading Health Indicator, a high-priority objective identified by Healthy People 2030.⁽²⁾

Despite improvements in oral health status in the United States, disparities remain in some population groups as classified by sex, income, age, and race/ethnicity.^(3,4) Oral diseases and conditions can occur throughout the life span.^(3,4) Nearly every American has experienced the most common oral disease, dental caries.⁽⁴⁾ Among adolescents aged 12–19 years more than half experienced dental caries in permanent teeth and 1 in 6 had untreated tooth decay in 2011–2016.⁽⁴⁾

Oral health is related to general health. A number of studies suggest that oral diseases are associated with other diseases such as diabetes, heart disease and stroke, and adverse pregnancy outcomes.⁽³⁾ General health risk factors, such as tobacco use and poor dietary behaviors, are also major risk factors for oral diseases.⁽³⁾ Regular access to oral health care is important for prevention and early detection and control of oral diseases. Dental settings also offer a unique venue to integrate oral health into coordinated prevention and control of chronic diseases.⁽³⁾ According to 2019 YRBS data, nationwide, 76% of students saw a dentist for a check-up, teeth cleaning, or other dental work during the 12 months before the survey.⁽⁵⁾ Practicing healthy behaviors (e.g., not using tobacco, not using illegal substances, not drinking soda) is associated with receiving dental care in the past year among high school students.⁽⁶⁾

REFERENCES:

1. Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (CSTE). *CSTE Position Statement: Revision to the National Oral Health Surveillance System (NOHSS) Indicators*. 2015. Available at: <https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.cste.org/resource/resmgr/2015PS/2015PSFinal/15-CD-01-ALL.pdf>.
2. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Healthy People 2030. Leading Health Indicators*. 2020. Available at: <https://health.gov/healthypeople/objectives-and-data/leading-health-indicators>.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

3. National Institutes of Health. *Oral Health in America: Advances and Challenges*. Bethesda, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, 2021. Available at: <https://www.nidcr.nih.gov/research/oralhealthinamerica>.
 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Oral Health Surveillance Report: Trends in Dental Caries and Sealants, Tooth Retention, and Edentulism, United States, 1999–2004 to 2011–2016*. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, US Dept of Health and Human Services; 2019. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/oralhealth/publications/OHSR-2019-index.html>.
 5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *1991-2019 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data*. Available at: <https://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/>.
 6. Chertok IRA, Chertok N, Haile ZT, Chavan B. Association of youth characteristics and recent utilization of dental services in the United States. *Frontiers in Pediatrics* 2018;6:104.
-

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

Sleep

QUESTION(S):

85. On an average school night, how many hours of sleep do you get?

RATIONALE:

This question measures the amount of sleep students get on an average school night. Sleep is necessary for physical and mental health and is particularly important during adolescence, a phase of rapid biologic growth and development.⁽¹⁾ In 2015, nearly three quarters of high school students reported getting less than the recommended amount of sleep on school nights.⁽²⁾ Lack of adequate sleep among adolescents is associated with daytime sleepiness,^(3,4) falling asleep during class,⁽⁵⁾ general inattentiveness,⁽⁵⁾ classroom behavioral problems,⁽⁵⁾ drowsy driving,^(1,3) depressed mood,^(1,3,6) headaches,⁽⁶⁾ and poor school performance.⁽⁷⁾ Evidence tying insufficient sleep to poor health outcomes such as obesity, cardiovascular disease, and diabetes is also growing.^(8–10)

Analysis of data from the national YRBS has shown that insufficient sleep is associated with higher odds of current use of cigarettes, marijuana, and alcohol; current sexual activity; seriously considering attempting suicide; feeling sad or hopeless; physical fighting; physical inactivity; obesity; engaging in injury-related risk behaviors; and engaging in unhealthy weight-control behaviors.^(11–14)

In 2016, the American Academy of Sleep Medicine recommended that children aged 6–12 years should regularly sleep 9–12 hours per 24 hours and teens aged 13–18 years should sleep 8–10 hours per 24 hours.⁽¹⁵⁾ Among high school students nationwide in 2019, 22% of students got 8 or more hours of sleep on an average school night.⁽¹⁶⁾ The percentage of students getting 8 or more hours of sleep did not change significantly during 2007–2013 (31%–32%) and then decreased significantly during 2013–2019 (32%–22%).⁽¹⁶⁾

REFERENCES:

1. Owens J, Adolescent Sleep Working Group, Committee on Adolescence. Insufficient sleep in adolescents and young adults: An update on causes and consequences. *Pediatrics* 2014;134(3):e921-32.
2. Wheaton AG, Jones SE, Cooper AC, Croft JB. Short sleep duration among middle school and high school students — United States, 2015. *MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 2018;67:85–90. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6703a1>.
3. Millman RP, Working Group on Sleepiness in Adolescents/Young Adults, AAP Committee on Adolescence. Excessive sleepiness in adolescents and young adults: Causes, consequences, and treatment strategies. *Pediatrics* 2005;115(6):1774–1786.
4. Moore M, Meltzer LJ. The sleepy adolescent: Causes and consequences of sleepiness in teens. *Paediatric Respiratory Reviews* 2008;9(2):114–120; quiz 120–1.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

5. Beebe DW. Cognitive, behavioral, and functional consequences of inadequate sleep in children and adolescents. *Pediatric Clinics of North America* 2011;58(3):649–665.
 6. Smaldone A, Honig JC, Byrne MW. Sleepless in America: Inadequate sleep and relationships to health and well-being of our nation's children. *Pediatrics* 2007;119 (Suppl 1):S29–37.
 7. Wolfson AR, Carskadon MA. Sleep schedules and daytime functioning in adolescents. *Child Development* 1998;69(4):875–887.
 8. Taheri S. The link between short sleep duration and obesity: We should recommend more sleep to prevent obesity. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 2006;91:881–884.
 9. Matthews KA, Pantesco EJ. Sleep characteristics and cardiovascular risk in children and adolescents: An enumerative review. *Sleep Medicine* 2016;18:36-49.
 10. Knutson KL, Ryden AM, Mander VA, Van Cauter E. Role of sleep duration and quality in the risk and severity of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2006;166:1768–1764.
 11. McKnight-Eily LR, Eaton DK, Lowry R, Croft JB, Presley-Cantrell L, Perry GS. Relationships between hours of sleep and health-risk behaviors in US adolescent students. *Preventive Medicine* 2011;53(4–5):271–273.
 12. Lowry R, Eaton DK, Foti K, McKnight-Eily L, Perry G, Galuska DA. Association of sleep duration with obesity among US high school students. *Journal of Obesity* 2012;2012:476914.
 13. Wheaton AG, Olsen EO, Miller GF, Croft JB. Sleep duration and injury-related risk behaviors among high school students—United States, 2007–2013. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 2016;65(13):337–341.
 14. Wheaton AG, Perry GS, Chapman DP, Croft JB. Self-reported sleep duration and weight-control strategies among U.S. high school students. *Sleep* 2013;36(8):1139–1145.
 15. Paruthi S, Brooks LJ, D’Ambrosio C, et al. Consensus statement of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine on the recommended amount of sleep for healthy children: Methodology and discussion. *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine* 2016;12:1549–61.
 16. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 1991-2019 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data. Available at: <https://yrbs-explorer.services.cdc.gov/>.
-

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

Social Media

QUESTION(S):

80. How often do you use social media?

RATIONALE:

This question measures frequency of social media use. Recent findings from a nationally representative survey showed that 84% of young adults aged 18 to 29 say they use social media applications (apps), with use ranging from 50% to 71% across specific apps.⁽¹⁾ Social media use has been linked to a number of mental health risk behaviors and outcomes among youth including chronic sleep deprivation,⁽²⁾ mental distress,⁽³⁾ and suicidal behavior,⁽⁴⁾ although many of these studies have been cross-sectional.⁽⁵⁾ At least one longitudinal study that followed youth and young adults across eight years found no association between social media use and mental health outcomes.⁽⁶⁾ However, there is evidence that there may be a dose-response relationship between social media use and mental health outcomes among youth, with poor outcomes such as sleep disturbance and depression being higher among youth who report the highest frequency of social media use.^(2,7) Youth's experiences with social media and its impact on their mental health may also differ across race/ethnicity, sex, and sexual orientation.^(4,8,9) For example, among youth of color (Black, East/Southeast Asian, Indigenous, Latinx), social media use has been associated with experiencing racial discrimination online and higher levels of depressive symptoms and anxiety.⁽⁸⁾ Youth with LGBTQ+ identities report using social media platforms for identity development and communication more often than their heterosexual peers, and also reported more positive mental health outcomes.⁽⁹⁾

REFERENCES:

1. Auxier B, Anderson M. Social media use in 2021. *Pew Research Center* 2021;1:1-4.
2. Sampasa-Kanyinga H, Hamilton HA, Chaput JP. Use of social media is associated with short sleep duration in a dose-response manner in students aged 11 to 20 years. *Acta Paediatrica* 2018;107(4):694-700.
3. Shakya HB, Christakis NA. Association of Facebook use with compromised well-being: a longitudinal study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2017;185(3):203-211.
4. Twenge JM, Joiner TE, Rogers ML, Martin GN. Increases in depressive symptoms, suicide-related outcomes, and suicide rates among US adolescents after 2010 and links to increased new media screen time. *Clinical Psychological Science* 2018;6(1):3-17.
5. Abi-Jaoude E, Naylor KT, Pignatiello A. Smartphones, social media use and youth mental health. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 2020;192(6):E136-E141.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

6. Coyne SM, Rogers AA, Zurcher JD, Stockdale L, Booth M. Does time spent using social media impact mental health?: An eight year longitudinal study. *Computers in Human Behavior* 2020;104:106160.
 7. Liu M, Kamper-DeMarco KE, Zhang J, Xiao J, Dong D, Xue P. Time spent on social media and risk of depression in adolescents: a dose–response meta-analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2022;19(9):5164.
 8. Tao X, Fisher CB. Exposure to social media racial discrimination and mental health among adolescents of color. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence* 2022;51(1):30-44.
 9. Ceglarek PJ, Ward LM. A tool for help or harm? How associations between social networking use, social support, and mental health differ for sexual minority and heterosexual youth. *Computers in Human Behavior* 2016;65:201-209.
-

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

Grades

QUESTION:

87. During the past 12 months, how would you describe your grades in school?

RATIONALE:

This question measures academic grades in school. The academic success of America's youth is strongly linked with their health. Health-related factors such as hunger, physical and emotional abuse, and chronic illness can lead to poor school performance.⁽¹⁻⁵⁾ Health-risk behaviors such as early sexual initiation, violence, physical inactivity, and tobacco usage are consistently linked to poor grades and test scores and lower educational attainment.⁽⁶⁻⁹⁾ Recent evidence also indicates that higher academic grades are associated with youth having more positive individual health behaviors, as well as cumulative or collective health behaviors.⁽¹⁰⁾ In turn, academic success is an excellent indicator for the overall well-being of youth and a primary predictor and determinant of adult health outcomes.⁽¹¹⁻¹²⁾ Leading national education organizations recognize the close relationship between health and education,⁽¹³⁾ as well as the need to foster health and well-being within the educational environment for all students,⁽¹¹⁻¹⁶⁾ to achieve both health and educational equity.⁽¹¹⁻¹³⁾ This question provides data to monitor the important link between health-risk behaviors and academic achievement.

REFERENCES:

1. Busch V, Loyen A, Lodder M, Schrijvers AJP, van Yperen TA, de Leeuw JRJ. The effects of adolescent health-related behavior on academic performance: a systematic review of the longitudinal evidence. *Review of Educational Research* 2014;84(2):245–274. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654313518441>.
2. Michael SL, Merlo C, Basch C, Wentzel K, Wechsler H. Critical connections: health and academics. *Journal of School Health* 2015;85(11):740–758. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/josh.12309>.
3. Bradley BJ, Greene AC. Do health and education agencies in the United States share responsibility for academic achievement and health? A review of 25 years of evidence about the relationship of adolescents' academic achievement and health behaviors. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 2013;52(5):523–532. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.01.008>.
4. Rasberry CN, Tiu GF, Kann L, McManus T, Michael SL, Merlo CL, Lee SM, Bohm MK, Annor F, Ethier KA. Health-related behaviors and academic achievement among high school students — United States, 2015. *MMWR* 2017;66:921–927.
5. Burrows T, Goldman S, Pursey K, Lim R. Is there an association between dietary intake and academic achievement: a systematic review. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics* 2017;30(2):117–140. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/jhn.12407>.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

6. Jackson DB, Vaughn MG. Adolescent health lifestyles and educational risk: Findings from the monitoring the future study, 2010-2016. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 2019;57(4):470–477. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2019.05.008>.
7. Rasberry CN, Tiu GF, Kann L, et al. Health-related behaviors and academic achievement among high school students — United States, 2015. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 2017;66:921–927. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6635a1>.
8. Adelantado-Renau M, Moliner-Urdiales D, Cavero-Redondo I, Beltran-Valls MR, Martínez-Vizcaíno V, Álvarez-Bueno C. Association between screen media use and academic performance among children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatrics* 2019;173(11):1058–1067. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.3176>.
9. Silveira ML, Green VR, Iannaccone R, Kimme, HL, Conway KP. Patterns and correlates of polysubstance use among US youth aged 15-17 years: Wave 1 of the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) Study. *Addiction* 2019;114(5):907–916. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.14547>.
10. Hawkins GT, Lee SH, Michael SL, Merlo CL, Lee SM, King BA, Rasberry CN, Underwood JM. Individual and collective positive health behaviors and academic achievement among U.S. high school students, Youth Risk Behavior Survey 2017. *American Journal of Health Promotion* 2022;36(4):651–661. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/08901171211064496>.
11. Hahn RA, Truman BI. Education improves public health and promotes health equity. *International Journal of Health Services* 2015;45(4):657–678. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020731415585986>.
12. Liburd LC. After the bell rings: Looking beyond the classroom to reduce inequalities in educational achievement and health outcomes. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice* 2019;25(6):581–583. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1097/PHH.0000000000000978>.
13. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. *Promoting Positive Adolescent Health Behaviors and Outcomes: Thriving in the 21st Century*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press; 2019. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.17226/25552>.
14. Lewallen TC, Hunt H, Potts-Datema W, Zaza S, Giles W. The whole school, whole community, whole child model: A new approach for improving educational attainment and healthy development for students. *Journal of School Health* 2015;85(11):729–739. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/josh.12310>.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

15. Kolbe LJ. School health as a strategy to improve both public health and education. *Annual Review of Public Health* 2019;40:443–463. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040218-043727>.
16. Pulimeno M, Piscitelli P, Colazzo S, Colao A, Miani A. School as ideal setting to promote health and wellbeing among young people. *Health Promotion Perspectives* 2020;10(4):316–324. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.34172/hpp.2020.50>.

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

Homelessness

QUESTION:

86. During the past 30 days, where did you usually sleep?

RATIONALE:

This question measures the percentage of students self-identifying as experiencing homelessness under the definition of homelessness that applies to all public schools under the McKinney-Vento Act.⁽¹⁾ The Act requires every local education agency (LEA) in the United States to identify homeless youth in the LEA, and to collect and provide data to the state regarding the number of homeless students in the LEA. Schools use multiple strategies to identify students experiencing homelessness, but even the most robust strategies miss a large segment of the homeless population, because many parents and students strive to keep their housing situation private, fearing stigma, judgment, child welfare or law enforcement involvement, or other repercussions.

When schools do not identify students experiencing homelessness, those students do not receive critical services available to them under the McKinney-Vento Act, such as school meals, school health and mental health services, access to transportation, and the ability to remain stable in one school. In 2019, 27 states and 7 LEAs included this question about housing status on their state or local YRBS. Including this question on the YRBS has helped states and LEAs generate a more accurate estimate of the extent of student homelessness, giving district administrators the impetus to evaluate and improve their methods for identifying homeless students.

In addition to helping jurisdictions generate a more accurate estimate of the extent of student homelessness, the data resulting from this question has helped illuminate the health risks associated with homelessness. For example, compared to their housed peers, high school students experiencing homelessness are significantly more likely to attempt suicide, to be forced to have sexual intercourse, and to use alcohol and other drugs, and they are less likely to eat breakfast and get adequate sleep.^(2,3) This information highlights the importance of implementing interventions to mitigate those risks. By revealing the supports needed for students experiencing homelessness, YRBS data can help schools increase high school graduation rates of these students, which can help prevent continued homelessness into their young adulthood.⁽⁴⁾

REFERENCES:

1. 42 US Code §§11431-11435.
2. Institute for Children, Poverty, and Homelessness. *No Longer Hidden: The Health and Well Being of Homeless High School Students*. New York: Institute for Children, Poverty, and Homelessness; 2020. Available at: <https://www.icphusa.org/no-longer-hidden>.
3. Smith-Grant J, Kilmer G, Brener N, Robin L, Underwood JM. Risk behaviors and experiences among youth experiencing homelessness-Youth Risk Behavior Survey, 23 U.S. states and 11

Item Rationale for the 2023 Standard High School YRBS

local school districts, 2019. *Journal of Community Health* 2022;47(2):324–333. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-021-01056-2>.

4. Morton MH, Dworsky A, Matjasko JL, Curry SR, Schlueter D, Chávez R, Farrell AF. Prevalence and correlates of youth homelessness in the United States. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 2018;62(1):14–21.
-