Table 2. Policy Analysis Table				
Criteria	Public Health Impact	Feasibility	Economic and Budgetary Impact	
Scoring Definitions	Low: small reach, effect size, and impact on disparate populations Medium: small reach with large effect size or large reach with small effect size High: large reach, effect size, and impact on disparate populations	Low: No/small likelihood of being enacted Medium: Moderate likelihood of being enacted High: High likelihood of being enacted	Less favorable: High costs to implement Favorable: Moderate costs to implement More favorable: Low costs to implement	Less favorable: costs are high relative to benefits Favorable: costs are moderate relative to benefits (benefits justify costs) More favorable: costs are low relative to benefits
Policy 1	☐ Low ☐ Medium ☐ High	☐ Low ☐ Medium ☐ High	Budget Less favorable Favorable More favorable	Economic Less favorable Favorable More favorable
	Concerns about the amount or quality of data? (Yes / No)	Concerns about the amount or quality of data? (Yes / No)	Concerns about the amount or quality of data? (Yes / No)	Concerns about the amount or quality of data? (Yes / No)
Policy 2	□ Low □ Medium □ High Concerns about the amount or	☐ Low ☐ Medium ☐ High Concerns about the amount or	□ Less favorable □ Favorable □ More favorable Concerns about the amount or	□ Less favorable □ Favorable □ More favorable Concerns about the amount
Policy 3	quality of data? (Yes / No) Low Medium High Concerns about the amount or quality of data? (Yes / No)	quality of data? (Yes / No) Low Medium High Concerns about the amount or quality of data? (Yes / No)	quality of data? (Yes / No) Less favorable Favorable More favorable Concerns about the amount or quality of data? (Yes / No)	or quality of data? (Yes / No) Less favorable Favorable More favorable Concerns about the amount or quality of data? (Yes / No)

NOTE: Scoring is subjective and this table is intended to be used as an organizational guide.