### IN-DEPTH SURVEY REPORT:

# CONTROL TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT OF ENZYME FERMENTATION PROCESSES

AT

Novo Biochemical Industries, Inc. Franklinton, North Carolina

> REPORT WRITTEN BY: Kenneth F. Martinez

> > REPORT DATE:
> > December 1986

REPORT NO. 116-15b

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH
Division of Physical Sciences and Engineering
Engineering Control Technology Branch
4676 Columbia Parkway
Cincinnati, Ohio 45226

PLANT SURVEYED: Novo Biochemical Industries, Inc.

State Road 1003 P.O. Box 567

Franklinton, North Carolina 27525

SIC CODE: 2869

SURVEY DATE: September 9-16, 1985

SURVEY CONDUCTED BY: Kenneth F. Martinez, NIOSH, DPSE, ECTB

John W. Sheehy Dennis M. O'Brien Thomas C. Cooper James H. Jones Philip Froehlich

EMPLOYER REPRESENTATIVES CONTACTED: Novo Laboratories, Inc.

Gregory B. Bidou, C.I.H., Industrial

Hygienist

Nathan Block, Director of Regulatory

Affairs

Novo Biochemical Industries, Inc. William H. McMullen, III, Quality

Control Manager

Allan C. Soderberg, Plant Manager

EMPLOYEE REPRESENTATIVES CONTACTED: No Union

ANALYTICAL WORK PERFORMED BY: Lucy B. Cusick, CDC, CID, HIP

Barbara A. MacKenzie, NIOSH, DBBS, ISB Charles E. Neumeister, NIOSH, DPSE, MRSB

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) is the primary Federal agency engaged in occupational safety and health research. Located in the Department of Health and Human Services (formerly DHEW), it was established by the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970. This legislation mandated NIOSH to conduct a number of research and education programs separate from the standard setting and enforcement functions carried out by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) in the Department of Labor. An important area of NIOSH research deals with methods for controlling occupational exposure to potential chemical and physical hazards. The Engineering Control Technology Branch (ECTB) of the Division of Physical Sciences and Engineering has been given the lead within NIOSH to study the engineering aspects of health hazard prevention and control.

Since 1976, ECTB has conducted a number of assessments of health hazard control technology on the basis of industry, common industrial process, or specific control techniques. Examples of these completed studies include; abrasive blasting, the plastics and resins industry, foundry operations, spray painting and coating, and coke oven emissions. The objective of each of these studies has been to document and evaluate effective control techniques for potential health hazards in the industry or process of interest, and to create a more general awareness of the need for or availability of an effective system of hazard control measures.

These studies involve a number of steps or phases. Initially, a series of walk-through surveys is conducted to select plants or processes with effective and potentially transferable control concepts or techniques. Next, in-depth surveys are conducted to determine both the control parameters and the effectiveness of these controls. The reports from these in-depth surveys are then used as a basis for preparing technical reports and journal articles on effective hazard control measures. Ultimately, the information from these research activities builds the data base of publicly available information on hazard control techniques for use by health professionals who are responsible for preventing occupational illness and injury.

# BACKGROUND FOR THIS STUDY

NIOSH's research responsibility extends to both existing and emerging technologies which may affect worker health and safety. The attempt to examine new technologies for potential occupational hazards specifically focuses on those technologies which have high growth potentials or for which exposures to particular agents have not been fully characterized. In past research activities, NIOSH has been instrumental in the development of recommendations for safeguarding the workers health from exposure to occupational hazards. Implementation of safeguards and protective engineering controls early in the growth of an industry will minimize occupational health problems and avoid expensive retrofitting of production systems.

NIOSH is currently interested in evaluating the potential hazards (and their control) involved with the applications of biotechnology and recombinant DNA (rDNA). ECTB's involvement in this NIOSH evaluation is an assessment of the

control technology being employed to minimize the potential for occupational health hazards in the enzyme fermentation industry. The results of this control technology assessment will be used to develop an informational database that could be extrapolated to other fermentation product technologies. Previous NIOSH research into biotechnology includes a study of six companies employing rDNA techniques in their research activities or their process operations. This study was conducted by the Division of Surveillance, Hazard Evaluation, and Field Studies and was subsequently published in a NIOSH report and a journal article.6,7

The ECTB study focused on conventional enzyme fermentation process operations. Several factors contributed to the final decision to focus this research project. First, the products manufactured in the overall fermentation industry, although dissimilar entities, are produced with a somewhat standardized process technology. Product recovery operations may vary with the product properties, source microorganisms, and base solvents used, but the basic fermentation technology remains essentially the same. Second, the diversity of the fermentation industry would require different environmental air sampling and analytical methodologies for each product and source microorganism studied. Narrowing the field of investigation satisfied the need to limit the "products" studied in order to minimize sampling and analytical methods development requirements. Third, there existed limited resources (including manpower and finances) with which to conduct this study and time constraints on its completion. Last, there was a good probability of finding well controlled processes in the enzyme industry. Initial studies of various enzyme production plants identified several well controlled processes. Additional studies may evaluate other areas of the fermentation industry including antibiotic, hormone, and steroid production.

This control technology assessment of enzyme fermentation processes attempted to identify effective controls applicable to processes involving microorganisms, processing chemicals, and biologically active products or intermediates. The documentation of effective controls and recommendations to minimize exposure in the enzyme fermentation industry will be included as part of the primary objective of this assessment. Recognizing that the enzyme industry only represents a small segment of the biotechnology industry, the collected data and subsequent evaluation will help to establish a baseline of information on the equipment (and related safety and health programs and practices) currently used in enzyme fermentation operations. This baseline of information will be available for transfer to other fermentation technologies, either those involved with rDNA technology or those utilizing conventional technology.

### BACKGROUND FOR THIS SURVEY

Selection of plants for inclusion in this study of enzyme fermentation processes as in-depth surveys was based on a number of criteria. First, the plant (or parent company) should be a major manufacturer of industrial enzymes. This would provide the plant with access to experience related to fermentation technology. Second, the process operations should be technically current to insure the transferability of the survey results to other fermentation industries — including those recombinant DNA companies scaling

up operations to commercial production capacity. Third, the plants should exhibit an expressed concern for the safety and health of the workers. This would involve adherence to any or all of the aspects of control technology to protect the worker including engineering controls, personal protective equipment, work practices, and industrial hygiene monitoring.

Novo Biochemical Industries, Inc. (NBI) met all three of the in-depth survey selection criteria requirements.

An in-depth survey of NBI was conducted on September 9-16, 1985 to evaluate the controls and containment capabilities of their  $\alpha$ -amylase enzyme manufacturing process. This report documents the information pertinent to that evaluation.

# II. PLANT AND PROCESS DESCRIPTION

#### PLANT DESCRIPTION:

Novo Biochemical Industries, Inc. is located in Franklinton, North Carolina, and has produced enzymes for its parent company, Novo Laboratories, Inc., Wilton, Connecticut, since March, 1979. Novo Laboratories, Inc. is the U.S. branch of Novo Industri A/S, an international manufacturer and supplier of industrial and health care products headquartered in Bagsvaerd, Denmark. Novo Industri A/S is the world's largest producer of enzymes for industrial applications. Enzymes manufactured at NBI are distributed in the U.S. and Canada.

NBI's employee population is separated into 6 departments; administration, production, maintenance, quality control, finance, and personnel. Approximately 50% of the manufacturing workforce (a segment of the production department) is composed of women. Enzyme production is maintained 7 days per week, 24 hours per day in 12 hour work shifts. An individual manufacturing employee will work 36 hours one week and 48 hours on the next consecutive work week. This helps to reduce the problems of shift changes. Manufacturing employees are not permitted to work more than 12 hours in any 24 hour period.

### PROCESS DESCRIPTION:

The process surveyed at NBI involves the production of  $\alpha$ -amylase using microbial strain of Bacillus licheniformis. This strain of microorganism is non-pathogenic. The manufacture of the industrial enzyme is accomplished in five basic process steps: selection of a microorganism; maintenance of the selected culture; fermentation; concentration and purification of the enzyme product; and standardization of the activity of the enzyme. Neither the selection nor the maintenance of the microorganisms is conducted at NBI.

The selection or screening process for microorganisms determines each culture to be used for a specific enzyme production operation based on their tested ability to produce a commercial quantity of the desired enzyme. Selected cultures must be identified and tested for pathogenicity and their inability to co-produce harmful products or toxins, such as mycotoxins or enterotoxins.

The next process step, maintenance of the selected culture, must ensure that the isolated culture supplied to NBI for large-scale manufacture is a pure, uncontaminated culture medium. This requires that the culture be regrown at intervals. Single colonies are selected for regrowth usually on the basis of culture morphology. The selected culture is grown, harvested, sub-divided, and stored at the appropriate conditions to maintain its viability and purity. Before the culture is used for large-scale fermentation, it is tested to determine whether any desirable characteristics have been lost or undesirable characteristics have appeared. All operations through the first two process steps are conducted in the laboratory using sterile equipment with aseptic transfer.

NBI utilizes a two-phase operation in their large scale fermentation process step -- this minimizes the possibility of contaminating large quantities of culture media and optimizes the use of expensive equipment. In the first phase, the seed fermentor containing a sterile nutrient medium is inoculated with the selected microbial culture prepared in the laboratory. The seed fermentor is designed to promote the growth of the microbial population to the level necessary for proper fermentation in the deep-tank reactor vessel. The batch mixture is aerated and mechanically agitated until the optimum level of biomass is achieved. The final contents of the seed fermentor is aseptically transferred through a pipe network to the large fermentor (deep-tank reactor vessel). The second phase of the fermentation process is where biosysthesis of the product occurs. A submerged, batch fermentation process is employed using a standard deep-tank reactor vessel with a top-mounted mechanical agitator and a bottom air sparger. Proper temperature conditions are maintained with cooling coils inside the reactor vessel. The fermentor tank, containing a pre-sterilized nutrient medium, is inoculated with the biomass broth from the seed fermentor. This new broth mixture is aerated. mechanically agitated, and allowed to ferment for continued biomass growth and final production of the desired enzyme. The composition of the medium used in each phase is carefully controlled to promote maximum growth of the organism and/or enzyme production.

The raw materials used to prepare the fermentation nutrient medium are either food grade materials or are tightly controlled to prevent the introduction of contaminants that would inhibit organism growth or enzyme production — the raw materials must not contain toxic or harmful compounds that could be carried through the process into the final product. Each raw material is contained in a separate tank before being combined in a batching tank to make up the nutrient medium. There is no employee contact with the raw materials after they have been deposited into their individual tanks. Sterilization of the nutrient medium is accomplished with steam in the seed fermentor or large fermentor tank, depending on where the nutrient is to be used.

Measurements are performed continuously during the fermentation process step to check specific parameters of the biomass broth. These measurements are predominantly computer controlled or monitored and include process parameters such as temperature, pH, nutrient addition, anti-foaming agent addition, air flow rate, back pressure in the vessel, etc. Other typical measurements that can be monitored are the %CO2 and O2 in the exhaust gas, the power consumption of the agitator motor and the RPM's of the agitator. Manual

samples are also extracted periodically from a port valve on all the fermentor tanks for analysis in the laboratory for microbial morphology, pH, dissolved solids, percent mycellium volume, viscosity, stray organism contamination, etc.

Upon completion of the fermenting cycle, the broth is cooled and piped to a refrigerated holding tank, where agitation is maintained, to await the concentration and purification processing step. Filter aids, pH adjusters, preservatives, etc. are subsequently added to the slurry as a pretreatment to the processing operation. The broth is pumped to a rotary vacuum drum filter (filter aids are used as a precoat) where a major portion of the suspended solids (mycellium and other solids) are separated from the enzyme liquid. A stellite doctor blade shaves off the filter cake and a fraction of the filter aid material. The sludge from the mycellium is steam sterilized and diluted, it is then applied to land surrounding the plant, owned by NBI, as fertilizer for hay crops. Waste water, water used as a liquid wash for process operations, from the plant is perfused through a primary treatment system (activated sludge digestion) to reduce the BOD (Biochemical Oxygen Demand) and this treated water is then used to spray irrigate the hay fields. Further concentration and purification of the enzyme will be accomplished utilizing a vacuum evaporator, ultrafiltrator, and bacterial filter. During this process step, samples are periodically extracted and analyzed for enzyme activity and other properties. Tight controls are necessary to ensure the process is economic and that the final enzyme product will be of food grade quality where applicable.

The final step in the NBI enzyme manufacturing process will be to standardize the activity of the purified enzyme concentrate. This is accomplished by simply blending the enzyme with inert, food grade ingredients. The final product is then packaged in structurally and chemically appropriate containers or drums.

# POTENTIAL HAZARDS:

The potential for exposure to hazards in the occupational environment within the fermentation industry in general is a three-fold problem. Exposure may involve potentially hazardous microorganisms (innate as-well-as genetically modified) toxic processing chemicals, and biologically active products or intermediates.

Presently, the microorganisms used by the enzyme industry for fermentation operations are non-pathogenic in nature. But future involvement with rDNA technology may produce microorganisms in need of more stringent containment requirements and equally stringent programs in occupational safety and health due to the increased potential health risks that they may pose to the exposed worker. As indicated, the microorganism utilized in the process surveyed at NBI (Bacillus licheniformis) is a non-pathogen. However, increasing attention is being focused upon the potential for immunologic response, after repeated inhalation, to a variety of microorganisms. There are currently no reports of these effects in the enzyme industry. Cases of hypersensitivity pneumonitis have been documented in individuals exposed, in the occupational environment, to fungi, thermophilic actinomycetes, as—well—as animal proteins.

Filter aids, such as diatomaceous earth (amorphous silica), are used in the concentration and purification processing step. Amorphous silica can affect the body if it is inhaled or if it comes in contact with the eyes. Prolonged inhalation of amorphous silica including uncalcined diatomaceous earth may produce x-ray changes in the lungs without disability. Prolonged inhalation of calcined diatomaceous earth may cause silicosis with scarring of the lungs, cough, and shortness of breath. The current OSHA standard for amorphous silica is the quotient of 30 mg/m³ divided by the percent of silica present. The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) recommends a maximum exposure of 1.5 mg/m³ of respirable amorphous silica over an eight hour work shift.

Acids and bases are used to adjust pH levels of biomass broth mixtures or concentrated enzyme liquids throughout the enzyme production process; both will cause burns. Depending on the compound being used and its degree of hazard potential, protective clothing should be worn and the appropriate control techniques implemented to prevent potential contact or exposure to these agents.

The enzyme molecule consists of a chain of amino acids arranged in a specific geometric configuration. This protein structure, as is with the case of many proteinaceous materials, will cause immunologic responses in susceptible persons due to the inhalation of these antigens. Repeated inhalation of enzyme dust may provoke respiratory allergies (hay fever, asthma) or illnesses (rhinitis) in individuals who have become sensitized to a specific enzyme protein structure. Sensitization reactions may vary from mild to severe dependent upon the particular individual exposed. Some enzymes, proteolytic enzymes as an example, have been shown to be primary irritants of exposed areas of moist skin, eyes, and mucous membranes. The majority of documented case studies of persons exposed to enzymes has focused upon the immunologic responses due to the inhalation of or skin irritation due to the contact to enzymatic dusts.

### III. METHODOLOGY

To effectively evaluate the controls and equipment in place at NBI, environmental air samples were taken at strategic locations believed to duplicate workplace exposures and indicate emission sources. The major pieces of equipment used in this evaluation are listed in Table I. of the Appendix.

#### MEASUREMENT OF CONTROL PARAMETERS

### Viable Sampling

To determine concentrations of airborne microorganisms around unit processes, the Andersen 2-stage viable sampler was used at a flow rate of 1 cubic foot per minute (CFM). Locations for viable samples include the clean room, incubation room, seed tank, fermentor tank, rotary vacuum drum filter, and in other areas believed to approximate normal background levels. Some area samples were taken as side-by-side (two Andersens) samples to monitor variability of the microbial air samplers. The samples were collected over a

five day period to detect day-to-day variability, if any. Sample times varied from 20 minutes down to  $2^{-1}/2$  minutes depending on the sample location. For example, a sampling time of 20 minutes was used in areas where microbial concentrations (in the laboratory) are expected to be low and a  $2^{-1}/2$  minute sampling time was used in areas of high microbial concentration (around filtering operations). Standard Methods Agar was used as the sampling media in each stage of the viable sampler. The 50% effective cutoff diameter for the top stage of the Andersen viable sampler is 8.0 um — non-respirable particles are collected on the top stage, respirable particles are collected on the bottom stage.

Analysis of the viable samples was conducted on-site by a CDC microbiologist and a NIOSH biologist. The primary goal of the microbiological analysis was to determine the numbers of the plant production microorganism in the air at different locations in the plant. All air sampling plates were counted at 24 hours using standard colony counters. Colonial morphology was compared with that of the production strain of the same age and on the same medium. Where possible, colonies resembling the production strain were included as a separate count. A percentage of these typical colonies were streaked to Standard Methods Agar (with Manganese) for isolation and identification. Colonies were identified by gram stain and/or the Rapid CH kit manufactured by API System, S.A. This identification scheme consists of 49 biochemical tests read at 24 and 48 hours. 8 Results were compared to the Rapid CH profile of the index strain. Sample results are in terms of Colony-Forming Units per cubic meter of air (CFU/m3) with percentages of the production strain, where available. Sample concentrations around process operations are compared to control samples to help ascertain the degree of microorganism release from manufacturing processes.

# Enzyme Sampling

Environmental monitoring of the airborne enzyme concentration were conducted using General Metalworks high-volume samplers and high efficiency (pre-weighed) 8" by 10" glass fiber filters at a flow rate of approximately 40 CFM. The samplers were strategically positioned at fixed locations in the plant best suited to estimate exposure conditions and isolate points of enzyme aerosol release. Locations for the high-volume samplers include the fermentor, rotary vacuum drum filter, aging tanks, weigh station, and dump station. Samples were collected for eight hour workshifts over a four day period. Analysis of the enzyme samples was conducted on-site by a NIOSH chemist according to a NBI α-amylase enzyme activity method.

The 8" x 10" glass fiber filters were weighed before sampling on a Mettler AE 163 balance to 0.01 mg. The instrumental precision for one sitting is 0.01 mg. The sensitivity of the analytical method used to detect  $\alpha$ -amylase on the filters proved to be inadequate for the samples collected.

### Total Dust Sampling

Total dust samples were collected on 37 mm, 5 um pore size PVC filters at an approximate flow rate of 2.5 liters per minute (1pm) with Dupont 2500 pumps according to the NIOSH method No. 0500. 9 Samples were collected for eight

hour workshifts over a four day period. The pumps were calibrated prior to the field survey. The PVC filters were pre-weighed in the NBI laboratory (on a Mettler AE 163 balance to 0.01 mg) and re-weighed under the same conditions after sampling. The difference between the initial weight and the weight after sampling is given as total weight per filter.

### IV. RESULTS

The results of the viable air sampling is reported in the appendix in Table II and summarized in Table III. The classification of a background location was based on the assumption that uncontrollable environmental factors (eg. climatic conditions, surrounding traffic, etc.) had the only significant effect on microbial concentrations. Effects on background locations from plant unit processes was assumed to negligible. The results were assumed to be normally distributed. Viable samples collected from background locations ranged from 120.8  $CFU/m^3$  for the outside samples to 271.2  $CFU/m^3$  around the aging tanks. Viable samples collected around selected unit processes ranged from 3.2  $CFU/m^3$  in the clean room to 705.7  $CFU/m^3$  at the sample port. The only unit process sample locations statistically different from background concentrations were the sample port and the fermentor tank agitator shaft. The number of the production strain identified at the sample port was minimal. The production strain could not be identified on any of the fermentor tank agitator shaft samples. All samples were blank corrected.

Results of the samples collected with the high-volume air sampler are reported in Table IV. Total dust levels ranged from  $0.07~\text{mg/m}^3$  at the fermentor tank agitator shaft to  $0.22~\text{mg/m}^3$  at the weighing station. Due to complications with the enzyme analytical method enzyme results are unavailable. These complications included an analytical method lacking the desired sensitivity and the degradation of the enzyme molecule caused by the airflow (through the filter) of the sampling instrument.

### V. CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

## INTRODUCTION - PRINCIPLES OF CONTROL

Occupational exposures can be controlled by the application of a number of well-known principles, including engineering measures, work practices, personal protection, and monitoring. These principles may be applied at or near the hazard source, to the general workplace environment, or at the point of occupational exposure to individuals. Controls applied at the source of the hazard, including engineering measures (material substitution, process/equipment modification, isolation or automation, local ventilation) and work practices, are generally the preferred and most effective means of control both in terms of occupational and environmental concerns. Controls which may be applied to hazards that have escaped into the workplace environment include dilution ventilation, dust suppression, and housekeeping. Control measures may also be applied near individual workers, including the use of remote control rooms, isolation booths, supplied-air cabs, work practices, and personal protective equipment.

In general, a system comprised of the above control measures is required to provide worker protection under normal operating conditions as well as under conditions of process upset, failure, and/or maintenance. Process and workplace monitoring devices, personal exposure monitoring, and medical monitoring are important mechanisms for providing feedback concerning effectiveness of the controls in use. Ongoing monitoring and maintenance of controls to insure proper use and operating conditions, and the education and commitment of both workers and management to occupational health are also important ingredients of a complete, effective, and durable control system.

These principles of control apply to all situations, but their optimum application varies from case to case. The application of these principles are discussed below.

#### ENGINEERING CONTROLS

NBI's overall process technology is recent, within the last three years, and therefore relatively advanced. The majority of the large-scale process operations are either controlled or monitored by a computer system which is centrally located in a "control room" within the production building. This "automation" aids in limiting direct employee involvement, and therefore potential hazard exposure or contact, with the process operations. The control room was used as a background concentration and viable samples indicated a level of 271.2 CFU/m<sup>3</sup> with a standard deviation of 79.2. The production strain was identified on 3% of the sample plates.

The enzyme operation is a predominantly closed system once the process has graduated from the laboratory to the large-scale fermentation process steps. There appears to be extremely limited potential for exposure to the microorganisms involved in the fermentation processes or the enzyme products of these microorganisms. All growth and holding tanks are closed during process operations. Batch broth mixtures or concentrated liquid enzymes are transferred between separate unit operations from the fermentation process step to the enzyme standardization process step by a steam sterilized pipe network. Employee contact with the production process operation, once the raw materials have been deposited into their individual container vessels, is minimal other than for equipment maintenance or manual broth sample extraction.

### Laboratory Process Step:

There are possible emission sources of the production microorganism, Bacillus licheniformis (B1), during the laboratory process step but these sources would be at very low levels due to the small quantity of the microorganism being used. Emissions in the laboratory room were only possible during biochemical analysis of broth samples from the seed and fermentor tanks. General work practices of the lab workers constituted the greatest determinant of viable emissions. The laboratory air quality was controlled with the building ventilation (heating and cooling) system. Fume hoods were accessible in the laboratory for wet chemistry work. A biological safety cabinet (equipped with a UV light inside the hood) was available in the room adjacent to the laboratory.

Possible emissions sites were also observed in the clean room — during transfer of the Bl cultures from vial to test tube, test tube to flask, and flask to inoculating devices. The clean room contains a horizontal laminar flow hood which purifies recirculated air with a High Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filter. The hood is designed to pass purified air over the work zone, towards the lab technician, to protect the microbial cultures. As a consequence of the airflow directed away from the hood, possible microbial emissions are introduced into the technicians breathing zone. However, the large volume of air recirculated by the hood effectively reduces the concentration of any microbial emissions by diluting the air. The microbial level in the clean room was 3.2 CFU/m³ with a standard deviation of 4.4. The production strain was identified on 33% of the sample plates.

Flasks inoculated with the Bl culture are transferred to an incubation room adjacent to the clean room. The incubation room is kept at a constant temperature and humidity for proper propagation of the microbial culture. The flasks are sealed with a cotton gauze stopper. The microbial level in the incubation room was 220.8 CFU/m $^3$  with a standard deviation of 176.7. The production strain could not be located on any of the sample plates.

The microbial culture is manually moved from the laboratory to the seed tank in a sterile, stainless steel inoculating device which serves as containment device during the transfer. The inoculating device is then connected to a steam sealed line on the seed tank and the microbial culture is released into the seed tank. The inoculating device is returned to the laboratory and autoclaved. It was observed that transfer of the microbial culture from the flask to the inoculating device periodically occurred in the hall outside the clean room. The microbial level in this hallway was  $308.8~\mathrm{CFU/m^3}$  with a standard deviation of 174.5. The production strain could not be located on any of the sample plates.

#### Fermentation Process Step:

Minor potential for release of aerosolized viables and/or enzymes exists at certain sites around the seed and fermentor tanks. These sites include the broth sampling ports, agitator shafts, and exhaust ducts for the seed and fermentor tank off-gases. Broth sampling at the seed and fermentor tanks was an intermittent operation. The sample port valve is closed and continuously steam sealed when not in use to prevent contamination of the culture broth. The steam seal also appeared to be effective in preventing the escape of viables from the sample port. During sampling, the steam seal is turned off and a shake flask and/or beaker is filled with broth. After sampling the valve is shut off, the steam is increased to clear the valve of remaining contaminants. The steam release observed was a completely opened valve which aerosolized any microoganisms remaining in the valve. Proper company procedure is to open the steam valve only enough to gently wash any remaining microorganisms into a catch basin. No engineering controls or protective equipment was used during sampling. The sampling procedure occurred once per day and was the same for the seed tank and the fermentor tank. The microbial level around the sampling port during manual broth sampling was 705.7 CFU/m<sup>3</sup> with a standard deviation of 266.6. The production strain was identified on 17% of the sample plates.

The agitator shaft of the seed and fermentor tank is a double mechanical steam seal. Sampling around the fermentor tank agitator shaft indicated a microbial level of 285.2 CFU/m³ with a standard deviation of 33.3. The total dust level at this location was 0.07 mg/m³ with a standard deviation of 0.02. Sampling around the seed tank agitator shaft indicated a microbial level of 326.9 CFU/m³ with a standard deviation of 50.8. The production strain could not be located on any of the sample plates at these two locations.

The off-gases from the seed and fermentor tanks were ducted to a scrubber and then to an ozone treatment device to eliminate odors. Plant representatives claimed that in addition to the elimination of odors the ozone treatment effectively decontaminated the outgoing air of viable microbes. Viable samples were not conducted due to the inaccessability of an adequate sampling location.

All bag dumping stations, which includes the dumping of raw materials, acids. bases, and diatomaceous earth into their separate container vessels, are controlled with local exhaust ventilation hoods. The ducts for each hood are equipped with manually adjustable dampers which were designed to be closed when the hood is not in use. General work practices of the operators constituted the greatest determinant of exposures. For example, proper company procedure for the disposal of empty bags is to deposit the empty bags into a bag compaction unit which then moves compacted bags into a plastic sack. This sack is then closed with a minimum of exposure to the operator. However, when the plastic sack became full operators neglected to remove and close the sack. Consequently, the sack would fall off of the compaction unit and the compacted bags would then be deposited on the floor. The workers then disposed of the full plastic sack and the compacted bags separately into a dumpster. One bag compaction unit was equipped with local exhaust ventilation. The total dust level at this location was  $0.11 \text{ mg/m}^3$ . total dust level at a weigh station on the other side of the materials handling room was 0.22 mg/m<sup>3</sup> with a standard deviation of 0.11.

### Recovery Process Step:

After the fermentation cycle, the microbial/enzyme broth is transferred through pipe to a holding tank to await concentration and purification. Agitation is maintained in the holding tank but not aeration. The broth is then separated by a rotary vacuum drum filter. The drum filter has a local exhaust ventilation hood on one side which is exhausted to the building ventilation system. Samples collected around the drum filter indicated an average microbial concentration of 345.1 CFU/m³ with a standard deviation of 153.8. This level was compared to a background level across the room next to the aging tanks (location average was 531.6 CFU/m³ with a standard deviation of 188.1) and was not statistically different. The production strain was identified on 22% of the sample plates. The total dust level at this location was 0.12 mg/m³ with a standard deviation of 0.02.

The low microbial concentrations and non-existence of the production strain around the rotary vacuum drum filter could have a number of possible explanations. First, the stress from the solid-liquid separation of the drum filter may have weakened production cells to a state of non-viability. Second, asphyxiation (caused by the lack of aeration) may have occurred to

those cells resident in the holding tank prior to separation. In addition, the holding tank is refrigerated which could have effected the viability of the cells. The debilitation or inadvertent destruction of the production microorganisms during separation can be an effective control in reducing emissions. Third, the local exhaust hood in combination with the adherence of cells to the filter (vacuum generated) effectively minimized the potential emissions. The low microbial concentration also indicates the effective sterilization by steam infusion immediately following separation.

#### WORK PRACTICES

NBI requires that their employees maintain a clean occupational environment; not only to ensure that the final product remains free of contaminants, but also to prevent the workers from being unnecessarily exposed to hazardous agents or conditions. Good housekeeping is promoted as part of this "clean" attitude in the safety procedures. In addition, a spill control procedure has been outlined and implemented within the Manufacturing Area. The procedures attempt to address and resolve two problems; one, control of the spill and clean—up of the spilled material, and two, disposal of the spilled material and its effect on the NBI waste treatment system. The procedures include spills pertaining to food grade ingredients or chemicals, salts, bases, acids, oils and refrigerants, and fuel oils. Employees are expected to include themselves as part of this clean work environment. Clean clothes, provided and cleaned by NBI, are required everyday. Showers are also required at the end of every work day — lockers are also provided for each employee.

NBI employs a computerized preventative maintenance program as part of their "good" work practice regime. Weekly printouts are provided by the computer detailing the equipment and/or instruments in need of routine maintenance. There is also a monthly, quarterly, or elapsed time, dependent upon the degree of bearing usage, vibration analysis conducted on all bearings.

# MONITORING

The environmental health program in effect at the Franklinton plant is monitored by the Quality Control Manager of NBI. Although NBI does not employ a full-time industrial hygienist at the plant, there is a corporate industrial hygienist available on a consulting basis from Novo Laboratories, Inc., Wilton, Connecticut. As part of this program, routine workplace concentration monitoring is conducted for active aerosolized liquid enzymes. Samples are taken at six different monitoring locations utilizing a Galley high-volume sampler. All assays are accomplished in-house at the Franklinton plant laboratory.

NBI implements a relatively complete medical/biological examination and monitoring program. Pre-screening employee physicals are conducted including a complete allergy battery and interpretation. Blood samples are taken annually from all employees for Radioallergosorbent (RAST) Tests to determine whether antibodies are being produced to specific antigen-producing compounds to which they may be exposed. Exposure records are maintained for each employee. Annual audiometric tests are conducted in order to monitor employees' hearing ability and to note any changes or deterioration that may

occur. Annual physical examinations for employees include urine specimens, pulmonary function, chart eye checks, ear checks for wax accumulation, tetanus toxoid or booster (every 5 years), and a review of employees' previous physical examination records. A heavy emphasis is placed upon the respiratory evaluation section of the annual physicals. There are no medical practitioners (doctors, nurses, etc.) on call at the plant during normal working hours, however, there are two local physicians used for physicals and medical emergencies. In addition, there is a rescue squad available 3 miles from the plant complex to the west in Franklinton and a hospital located 6 miles to the east in Louisburg.

#### PERSONAL PROTECTION

NBI's safety program and operations are guided by a Safety Committee composed of a chairman and two members, one salaried and one hourly, from each of the following Departments; Maintenance, Manufacturing, Farm, and Laboratory. In addition a member of the Personnel Department serves on the Committee. The chairmanship rotates between departments. This committee conducts monthly meetings and makes quarterly safety inspections of all facilities. Quarterly safety lectures for the workers are maintained with additional programs in emergency training and Cardio Pulmonary Resuscitation (CPR). Safety problems are considered a priority. All accidents are documented. NBI states they have had 3 years with no lost-time accidents.

Personal protection requirements are part of the NBI safety procedures. Safety glasses are required to be worn at all times except when face shields or goggles are required. Safety shoes are required to be worn at all times except for "walk-throughs." Ear protection is required to be worn while working in the evaporator and utility rooms. Disposable dust respirators are required to be worn in all bag emptying processes and areas where enzyme contamination is suspected. Disposable dust respirators are also required when repairing the internal portions of these units where exposure may be expected -- this includes the filter changing operation in the heating and ventilation units. Acid goggles, rubber gloves, and an apron is required to be worn while transporting or handling acids and caustics. A respirator (Willson canister type - Type H-3), rubber gloves, and a rain suit are required to be worn whenever a worker is handling formaldehyde.

NBI employs a company procedure for entering a deep-tank reactor vessel. These procedures include a second person as an observer, continuous fresh air replenishment inside the tank during the complete operation, a safety harness attached to a mechanical lifting device, and a mechanical/electrical lockout procedure.

### VI. DISCUSSION

Viable sample concentrations around selected unit processes were compared (using the t-statistic for comparing two means) to background concentrations to ascertain the degree of containment of those processes. The results indicate an effective system of control measures. These control measures are, in part, responsible for the limited potential for exposure to the microorganisms, process chemical intermediates, and/or the biological

products of the enzyme operation. Total microbial levels were elevated in the warm, moist environments around the fermentor tank agitator shaft and the sample port, but the production strain was only minimally present or not present at all on the agar plates. Work practices of the operators or technicians can be a determining factor in the degree of exposure for a number of unit operations (eg. dumping stations and sampling port). Proper company procedure for these operations appears to be adequate to minimize worker exposures — but these procedures can only be effective if practiced by the operator.

#### VII. REFERENCES

- Enviro-Management & Research, Inc., "Abrasive Blasting Operations (Engineering Control and Work Practices Manual)," March 1976, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Publication No. 76-179.
- 2. Enviro Control, Inc., "Engineering Control Technology Assessment for the Plastics and Resins Industry," March 1978, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Publication No. 78-159.
- 3. Envirex, "An Evaluation of Occupational Health Hazard Control Technology for the Foundry Industry," October 1978, U.S. Department of Health and Human services, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Publication No. 79-114.
- 4. O'Brien, D., and Hurley D., "An Evaluation of Engineering Control Technology for Spray Painting," June 1981, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Publication No. 81-121.
- 5. Sheehy, J., "Control Technology for Worker Exposure to Coke Oven Emissions," March 1980, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Publication No. 80-114.
- 6. Elliott, L., Carson, G., Pauker, S., West, D., Wallingford, K., Griefe, A., "Industrial Hygiene Characterization of Commercial Applications of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology," September 1983, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.
- 7. Landrigan, P., Cohen, M., Dowdle, W., Elliott, L., Halperin, W., "Medical Surveillance of Biotechnology Workers: Report of The CDC/NIOSH Ad Hoc Working Group on Medical Surveillance for Industrial Applications of Biotechnology," Recombinant DNA Technical Bulletin, 5(3): 133-138, September 1982.
- 8. The Identification of Bacilli Using the dms Rapid CH, dms Laboratories, Inc., Flemington, New Jersey.
- 9. NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods, Third Edition, 1985, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Publication No. 84-100.
- 10. Miller, I. and Freund, J., <u>Probability and Statistics for Engineers</u>, Second Edition, Prentice-Hall, Edgewood, New Jersey, 1977.

TABLE I. Equipment Used on Field Survey

Item	Model	
Automatic balance	Mettler AE 163	gravimetric analysis
Automatic psychrometer	Vista Scientific Corporation	temperature and humidity
Colony Counter	New Brunswick Scientific	colony counts and identification
High-volume air sampler	General Metal Works	enzyme and total dust sampling
Hot-wire anemometer	Kurz	air velocity
Personal sampling pump	Dupont 2500	total dust sampling
Personal sampling pump	Dupont P-200	acetone sampling
Smoke tubes	Draeger	air flow patterns
Viable cascade impactor	Andersen 2-stage	microbial air sampling

TABLE II. Viable Sampling Results

API	
Number	
CFU/m <sup>3</sup>	148.41 236.15 190.81 268.22 120.14 174.93 81.27 66.47 356.89 209.91 144.88 160.93 81.27 157.44
PResp	58% 60% 65% 44% 55% 35% 46% 47% 48%
TCFU	84 135 135 81 115 50 23 101 60 60 41 46 45
CCFU	35 49 49 81 28 11 11 11 11 12 13 13 13 14 15 17 18 18 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
Minutes	20 20 20 20 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
Time	1307 1307 1307 1307 1334 1334 1335 1356 1356 1356 1356 1356 1356 1356
Stage	Non-resp Resp Non-resp
Plate No.	1000 1001 1002 1003 1004 1005 1006 1009 1010 1010 1022 1022 1022 1023 1024 1023 1026 1030 1030
Date	09-Sep 09-Sep
Location	Rotary Vacuum Drum Filter

(continued)

TABLE II. (continued)

Number API						
CFU/m3	311.37	187.28 293.88 90.11	72.44	51.24 32.98 44.76	3.53 17.67 62.82	43.19
PResp	40%	40%	32% 13%	31% 43% 42%	%0 %0	79%
TCFU	89	53 84 51	41	29 14 19	1 5 36	11
CCFU	21 53	36 21 32 32 32	28 13 88 13	20 9 8 6 6 11 8	1000	10 1 24
Minutes	100	10 10 10 20 20	50 50 50 50 50	20 20 15 15 15	10 10 10 10 9	, a, a, w
Time	1601	1601 1617 1617 1617 1617 1249	1249 1249 1317 1317	1317 1317 1343 1343 1343	1451 1451 1451 1451 1508	1508 1508 0501
Stage	Resp Non-resp	Resp Non-resp Non-resp Resp Non-resp	Non-resp Resp Non-resp Resp	Non-resp Resp Non-resp Resp Non-resp	Non-resp Resp Non-resp Resp Non-resp	Non-resp Resp Non-resp
Plate No.	1033	1035 1036 1037 1038 2000	2002 2003 2004 2005	2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011	2016 2017 2018 2019 2020	2022 2023 2023 2024
Date	09-Sep 09-Sep	09-Sep 09-Sep 09-Sep 09-Sep 09-Sep 09-Sep	09-Sep 09-Sep 09-Sep 09-Sep	09-Sep 09-Sep 09-Sep 09-Sep 09-Sep	09-Sep 09-Sep 09-Sep 09-Sep 09-Sep	09-Sep 09-Sep 10-Sep
Location	Vacuum Drum Vacuum Drum	Rotary Vacuum Drum Filter Background - paint shed	- paint - paint - paint - paint	1 1 1 1 1	- paint - paint - paint - paint - paint	- paint - paint t - ferme

(continued)

(continued)

(continued)

TABLE II. (continued)

API		
Number		
CFU/m3	141.34 226.15 159.01 98.94 54.18 73.03 367.49 325.09 1015.31 925.80 515.90 975.27 220.85 153.12	
PResp	30% 47% 58% 58% 91% 77% 40% 40% 45% 51% 55% 62% 57%	
TCFU	40 64 45 23 23 31 104 431 393 146 276 75 65	
CCFU	28 12 34 30 19 25 25 25 20 20 20 20 21 21 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	
Minutes		
Time	0917 0917 0917 0917 1103 1103 11103 11103 11103 11117 11117 11117 11240 1240 1240 1251 1251 1251 1251 1308 1308 1308 1319	
Stage	Non-resp Resp Non-resp	
Plate No.	4028 4029 4030 4030 4031 4033 4033 4033 4040 4040	
Date	10-Sep 110-Sep 1	
Location	Fermentor Agitator Shafts - F and E Fermentor Agitator Shafts - F	

(continued)

(continued)

(continued)

(continued)

TABLE II. (continued)

API	
Number	
CFU/m3	212.01 138.99 188.46 259.72 325.09 122.50 155.48 219.08 210.25 259.13 339.22 339.22 3314.49 318.02
PResp	51% 17% 17% 26% 46% 48% 48% 45% 63% 63% 62% 62% 57%
TCFU	120 59 80 147 124 119 110 110 144 141 90 89
CCFU	59 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10
Minutes	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 15 15 15 10
Time	1004 1004 0932 0932 0932 0854 0854 0815 0915 0915 0816 0816 0837 0837 0833 0833 0849
Stage	Non-resp Resp Non-resp
Plate No.	c6030 c6031 c6016 c6017 c6019 c6019 c6019 c6010 c6010 c6013 c6013 c6013 c6013 c6014 c6013 c6014 c6013 c6014 c6013 c6014 c6013 c6014 c6015 c6015 c6015 c6015 c6015 c6015 c6015 c6015 c6015 c6017 c6017 c6017 c6017 c6017 c6017 c6017 c6017 c6017 c6017 c6017 c6011
Date	11-Sep 11
Location	Control Room Contr

(continued)

(continued)

TABLE II. (continued)

API	
Number	1.0
CFU/m3	134.28 65.96 148.41 166.08 506.48 388.69 482.92 560.66 731.45 625.44 131.92 148.41 97.17 79.51
PResp	58% 18% 83% 72% 72% 71% 71% 61% 61% 60% 40% 44%
TCFU	57 28 84 94 215 165 205 207 207 207 177 177 177 177
CCFU	24 33 23 23 14 70 119 170 180 170 180 127 127 127 127 20 20 20 20
Minutes	15 15 15 20 20 20 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
Time	1245 1245 1245 1245 1245 1301 1301 1338 1338 1338 1322 1322 1322 1356 1407 1407 1422 1422 1422 1422
Stage	Non-resp Resp
Plate No.	1500 1501 1501 1502 1503 1504 1505 1506 1509 1510 1511 1513 1519 1519 1520 1521 1522 1523 1523 1523 1524 1523
Date	12-Sep 12
Location	Rotary Vacuum Drum Filter

(continued)

TABLE II. (continued)

Location	Date	Plate No.	Stage	Time	Minutes	CCFU	TCFU	PResp	CFU/m <sup>3</sup>	Number	API
Vacuum Drum Filter	12-Sep	1533	Resp	1530	15	35					
Vacuum Drum Filter	12-Sep	1534	Non-resp	1530	15	36	9	277	150,77	3.0	
Vacuum Drum Filter	12-Sep	1535	Resp	1530	15	28		2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
Drum Filter	12-Sep	1536	Non-resp	1546		47	99	31%	160,19	4.0	
Drum Filter	12-Sep	1537	Resp	1546	15	21				1	
Vacuum Drum Filter	12-Sep	1.538	Non-resp	1546		78	119	34%	280.33		
Vacuum Drum Filter	12-Sep	1539	Resp	1546	1.5	41		:		2.0	
Vacuum Drum Filter	12-Sep	1540	Non-resp	1091	15	82	195	58%	459,36	2,0	
Vacuum Drum Filter	12-Sep	1541	Resp	1091	15	113				) )	
Vacuum Drum Filter	12-Sep	1542	Non-resp	1091	15	80	134	40%	315.67	3.0	
Vacuum Drum Filter	12-Sep	1543	Resp	1091	15	54					
Aging Tanks 1 and 2	12-Sep	7010	Non-resp	1333	1.5	102	259	61%	610,13		
Aging Tanks 1 and 2	12-Sep	7009	Resp	1333	15	157					
Aging Tanks 1 and 2	12-Sep	7012	Non-resp	1351	15	179	374	52%	881.04		
Tanks 1 and 2	12-Sep	7011	Resp	1351	15	195		!	•		
	12-Sep	7014	Non-resp	1510	15	41	117	65%	275,62		
Between Aging Tanks 1 and 2	12-Sep	7013	Resp	1510	15	97					
Aging Tanks 1 and 2	12-Sep	7016	Non-resp	1529	15	23	65	65%	153,12		
Aging Tanks 1 and 2	12-Sep	7015	Resp	1529	15	42					
Tanks 1 and 2	12-Sep	7018	Non-resp	1548	24	57	184	269	276.67		
Aging Tanks 1 and 2	12-Sep	7017	Resp	1548	24	127					
Aging Tanks 1 and 2		7020	Non-resp	1515	15	24	64	51%	115,43		
Aging Tanks 1 and 2		7019	Resp	1515	15	25					
Aging Tanks 1 and 2		7022	Non-resp	1535	15	18	43	58%	101,30		
Aging Tanks 1 and 2	12-Sep	7021	Resp	1535	1.5	25			•		
Tanks 1 and 2	12-Sep	7026	Non-resp	1557	15	10	38	74%	89,52		
Aging Tanks 1 and 2	12-Sep	7025	Resp	1557	15	28					
Aging Tanks 1 and 2	12-Sep	7028	Non-resp	1615	1.5	0	250	100%	588,93		
Between Aging Tanks 1 and 2	12-Sep	7027	Resp	1615	15	250					

(continued)

(continued)

(continued)

(continued)

TABLE II. (continued)

API	
Number	
CFU/m <sup>3</sup>	
PResp	
TCFU	
CCFU	
Minutes	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Time	1415 1430 1430 1430 1405 1405 0845 0950 11320 0905 1334 1544 1544 1544 1544 1544 1544 154
Stage	Resp Resp Resp Resp Resp Resp Resp Resp
Plate No.	1013 1015 1015 1529 1531 2013 2013 2015 3011 c3049 c3053 4021 4021 4023 4023 4059 4509 4511 4533 7007 7007 7007 7007 7007 7003 7007 7013 7013
Date	09-Sep 09-Sep 12-Sep 12-Sep 09-Sep 09-Sep 10-Sep 11-Sep 10-Sep 10-Sep 11
Location	Rotary Vacuum Drum Filter Background - paint shed Control Room Background - filter press in building Background - filter press in building Background - filter press in building Fermentor Agitator Shafts - F and E Fermentor Seed Fermentor Seed Fermentor Control Room Control Room Clean Room Clean Room Control Room Control Room Control Room Control Room Clean Room Control Room Clean Room Clean Room Incubation Room Incubation Room

TABLE III. Viable Sampling Summary

Sample Location	Mean (CFU/m <sup>3</sup> )	St Dev (CFU/m <sup>3</sup> )	Maximum (CFU/m <sup>3</sup> )	Minimum (CFU/m <sup>3</sup> )
Background - filter press in building	382.4	226.8	666.6	35.3
Background - paint shed	120.8	61.1	272.0	3,5
Background - paint shed north	478.2	152.6	638.4	216.7
Between Aging Tanks 1 and 2	531.6	188.1	895.1	89.5
Clean Room	3.2	4.4	12.3	0.0
Control Room	271.2	79.2	692.5	108.3
Fermentor Agitator Shafts - F and E	285.2	33.3	1015.3	38.8
Hall - outside incubation room	308.8	174.5	563.0	134.2
Incubation Room	220.8	176.7	434.6	31.8
Rotary Vacuum Drum Filter	345.1	153.8	2028.2	61.2
Sample Port - fermentor tank	705.7	266.6	983.5	335.6
Seed Fermentor	326.9	50.8	765.6	103.6
	, ,			

TABLE IV. Exploratory Viable Sampling Results

Location		Date	Plate No.	Minutes	CFU	TCFU	CFU/m <sup>3</sup>
Background - paint		26-Aug	100	10	10	22	77.0
Background - paint		26-Aug	101	10	12		
Background - paint		26-Aug	102	10	275	298	1042.6
Background - paint		26-Aug	103	10	23		
Background - paint		26-Aug	104	15	28	93	216.9
Background - paint		26-Aug	105	15	65	4-	
Background - paint		26-Aug	106	15	21	61	142.3
Background - paint		26-Aug	107	15	40		
Background - paint		26-Aug	110	5	3	10	70.0
Background - paint		26-Aug	109	5	7		
Background - paint		26-Aug	112	5	3	10	70.0
Background - paint		26-Aug	111	5	7	_	
Background - paint		26-Aug	108	0	1	2	
Background - paint		26-Aug	113	0	1	_	
Background - paint		26-Aug	115	0	0	1	
Background - paint		26-Aug	114	0	1		
Fermentor Agitator		26-Aug	116	10	10	26	91.0
Fermentor Agitator		26-Aug	117	10	16	0.6	
Fermentor Agitator		26-Aug	118	10	13	26	91.0
Fermentor Agitator		26-Aug	119	10	13		
Fermentor Agitator		26-Aug	120	10	13	31	108.5
Fermentor Agitator		26-Aug	121	10	18		
Fermentor Agitator		26-Aug	122	10	11	40	139.9
Fermentor Agitator		26-Aug	123	10	29		22.2
Fermentor Agitator		26-Aug	124	5	4	14	98.0
Fermentor Agitator		26-Aug	125	5	10		
Fermentor Agitator		26-Aug	126	5	5	17	119.0
Fermentor Agitator		26-Aug	127	5	12	107	7/0 7
Rotary Vacuum Drum		26-Aug	128	5	35	107	748.7
Rotary Vacuum Drum		26-Aug	129	5	72	105	07/ 6
Rotary Vacuum Drum		26-Aug	130	5 5	87	125	874.6
Rotary Vacuum Drum		26-Aug	131		38	10	01 0
Rotary Vacuum Drum		26-Aug	140	5	5	13	91.0
Rotary Vacuum Drum		26-Aug	141	5	8	0.7	507.0
Rotary Vacuum Drum		26-Aug	134	5	63	84	587.8
Rotary Vacuum Drum		26-Aug	135	5 7 5	21	ro.	0.47 0
Rotary Vacuum Drum		26-Aug	132	7.5	31	53	247.2
Rotary Vacuum Drum		26-Aug	133	7.5	22	0.7	100.0
Rotary Vacuum Drum		26-Aug	136	7.5	21	27	125.9
Rotary Vacuum Drum		26-Aug	137	7.5	6	_	
Rotary Vacuum Drum		26-Aug	138	0	0	1	
Rotary Vacuum Drum	Filter	26-Aug	139	0	1		

(continued)

TABLE IV. (continued)

Location	Date	Plate No.	Minutes	CFU	TCFU	CFU/m <sup>3</sup>
Rotary Vacuum Drum Filter	26-Aug	142	0	3	4	
Rotary Vacuum Drum Filter	26-Aug	143	0	1		
Control Room	27-Aug	144	20	93	146	255.4
Control Room	27-Aug	145	20	53		
Control Room	27-Aug	146	20	103	150	262.4
Control Room	27-Aug	147	20	47		
Control Room	27-Aug	148	20	107	145	253.6
Control Room	27-Aug	149	20	38		
Control Room	27-Aug	150	20	93	135	236.2
Control Room	27-Aug	151	20	42		
Control Room	27-Aug	152	20	41	80	139.9
Control Room	27-Aug	153	20	39		
Control Room	27-Aug	154	20	65	109	190.7
Control Room	27-Aug	155	20	44		
Seed Tank	27-Aug	155'	15	26	39	91.0
Seed Tank	27-Aug	156	15	13		
Seed Tank	27-Aug	157	15	2	2	4.7
Seed Tank	27-Aug	158	15	0		
Seed Tank	27-Aug	159	15	48	72	167.9
Seed Tank	27-Aug	160	15	24		
Seed Tank	27-Aug	161	15	39	68	158.6
Seed Tank	27-Aug	162	15	29		
Seed Tank	27-Aug	163	10	14	21	73.5
Seed Tank	27-Aug	164	10	7		
Seed Tank	27-Aug	165	10	13	22	77.0
Seed Tank	27-Aug	166	10	9		
Seed Tank	27-Aug	167	0	1	1	
Seed Tank	27-Aug	168	0	0		
Seed Tank	27-Aug	169	0	1	1	
Seed Tank	27-Aug	170	0	0		
Transfer Room	27-Aug	172	20	14	36	63.0
Transfer Room	27-Aug	171	20	22		
Transfer Room	27-Aug	174	20	16	30	52.5
Transfer Room	27-Aug	173	20	14		
Transfer Room	27-Aug	176	15	21	35	81.6
Transfer Room	27-Aug	175	15	14		
Transfer Room	27-Aug	178	15	8	18	42.0
Transfer Room	27-Aug	177	15	10		
Hall - outside transfer room	_	179	20	58	97	169.7
Hall - outside transfer room	_	180	20	39		
Hall - outside transfer room	_	181	20	73	123	215.2
Hall - outside transfer room	_	182	20	50		

(continued)

TABLE IV. (continued)

Location	Date	Plate No.	Minutes	CFU	TCFU	CFU/m <sup>3</sup>
Hall - outside transfer room	27-Aug	183	20	36	48	84.0
Hall - outside transfer room	27-Aug	184	20	12		
Hall - outside transfer room	27-Aug	185	20	39	53	92.7
Hall - outside transfer room	27-Aug	186	20	14		
Hall - outside transfer room	27-Aug	187	0	1	1	
Hall - outside transfer room	27-Aug	188	0	0		
Hall - outside transfer room	27-Aug	189	0	1	1.	
Hall - outside transfer room	27-Aug	190	0	0		

TABLE V. Total Dusts Sampling Results

Location	Date	Filter	Flow Rate (cfm)	Time (hr)	Dust ug	CDust ug	mg/m3
	10-Sep	<b>-</b> 1 r	52	7.43	113.58	113.66	0.17
Weigh Station Weigh Station	10-Sep 11-Sep	~ & c	52 52	8,29	-0.40 87.05	87.13	0.12
Weigh Station #	12-Sep	15	52		7T*0-	96.9	
weign Station Weigh Station	12-Sep 13-Sep	16 22	52	4.19	0.12 135.13	135.21	0.37
Dump Station #	10-Sep	જ	50	4.44	41.41	41.49	0.11
Dump Station	11-Sep	11	20	7.70	75.14	75.22	0.11
Dump Station #	12-Sep	1,7	50		9, 93	10.01	
Filter Press	10-Sep	2 0 1	46	7.10	56,35	56.43	0.10
Filter Press	12-Sep	20	94	5.80	66.13	66.21	0.15
Filter Press	13-Sep	23	46	4.31	39.72	39.80	0.12
Aging Tanks	10-Sep	Э	48	6,93	55.65	55.73	0.10
Aging Tanks	11-Sep	14	42	6.67	63.44	63.52	0.13
Aging Tanks	12-Sep	21	42	5.69	20,75	20,83	0.05
	10-Sep	4	42	6.19	37.40	37.48	0.08
Tank -	10-Sep	9	0		0.01		
Tank - agitator	11-Sep	12	42	6.90	41.72	41.80	0.08
	11-Sep	13	0		-0.25		
Fermentor Tank - agitator shaft	12-Sep	18	42	5.76	16.70	16.78	0.04
Fermentor Tank - agitator shaft	12-Sep	19	0		0.16		