## BLOCKSON CHEMICAL NIOSH OUTREACH MEETING

## **ORIGINAL**

Report of Proceedings had at the Blockson Chemical NIOSH Outreach Meeting held at 150 W. Jefferson Street, Joliet, Illinois, on the Wednesday, the 24th day of January, 2007 at the hour of 7:00 p.m.

## APPEARANCES:

MR. MARK LEWIS

MR. STUART L. HINNEFELD

MR. ROBERT STEPHAN

MR. THOMAS TOMES

MS. MARY ELLIOT

MS. LAURIE ISHAK-BREYER

- MR. LEWIS: Hello everybody.
- (A chorus of hello.)
- MR. LEWIS: I really, really am
- surprised by how many people are here, and very
- pleasantly surprised. I appreciate you taking
- time this cold, cold night and coming out and
- talking to us, because the only way we are
- going to get any information from the workers
- 9 is to look at you and talk to you. I really,
- really appreciate it. I expected maybe a third
- of this amount of people.
- My name is Mark Lewis. I have
- called a lot of you up and talked to you about
- coming here. The Mayor of the City was nice
- enough to let us use the facilities here. And
- we are trying to work on this Power Point to
- get it going, but we do have handouts to give
- out as to the talking points we will be going
- over tonight.
- I am from a site myself in
- Portage, Ohio, southern Ohio. I am real close
- to Kentucky, that's why I have this accent.
- I've worked in a nuclear plant, (inaudible),
- been there about 33 years. I am on a leave of

- absence now to help people get input to this
- <sup>2</sup> program from workers.
- I was a union (inaudible). Of
- course, you know there was a union here years
- 5 ago, but it went on strike and there's no
- 6 union. With that being said I am still here to
- <sup>7</sup> represent former workers in getting the story
- brought out.
- We do have a reporter here,
- court reporter tonight. And I am kind of
- stalling for a little bit of time here while we
- qet this Power Point going. If it doesn't go,
- then we will still be all right. But tonight
- mainly what we are doing is we want to talk to
- former workers. I know there's a lot of
- survivors here, too, and you all have stories
- to tell, too.
- I would like to get the former
- workers so we can hear you. I don't know if
- there's a microphone here, but people can move
- 21 around, but this microphone is stationary, but
- if you can get up close to the front as much as
- you can. You can sit right up here at the
- table around us or whatever.

```
How many in here, curiosity,
```

- with a show of hands, worked at the Blockson
- 3 cite yourself?
- 4 (Audience members raising hands.)
- MR. LEWIS: I have 10 that I called
- <sup>6</sup> up and said they would probably be here, and we
- got 10 tonight, so I hope that we have 10
- tomorrow night, too. That's good.
- Can I get you 10 workers, if you
- don't mind, would you get closer to the front
- here as much as you can? I really would
- appreciate it. That way it would be better for
- <sup>13</sup> us.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)
- MR. LEWIS: All of the Blockson
- plant.
- : During that contract,
- <sup>18</sup> yes.
- MR. HINNEFELD: I am not particularly
- concerned about (inaudible). Everybody who
- wants to talk, we would like to hear them.
- MR. LEWIS: I want to introduce the
- people up here, too. Like I said, my name is
- Mark Lewis. I would appreciate it if you come

```
up, but, you know, if not and you think you
```

- will be fine where you're sitting, that's good
- 3 too. We are here not to tell you anything. We
- are here for you to tell us stuff. That's the
- 5 thing.
- With that I would like to
- introduce myself and I would like -- Stu, you
- 8 mind talking a little bit? And I am going to
- 9 get involved and Robert.
- MR. HINNEFELD: My name is Stu
- Hinnefeld. I am the Technical Program Manager
- for the office of NIOSH, National Institute For
- Occupational Safety and Health, that is engaged
- in this program. This program being the Energy
- Employee Occupational Illness Compensation
- Program.
- NIOSH's role in this program is
- to reconstruct, if we can, radiation doses
- received by people who worked at a designated
- 20 covered facility, Blockson is one of those
- facilities. These various companies and
- locations did work for the Atomic Energy
- Commission or the Department of Energy, those
- predecessor agencies as a part of the nuclear

- weapons program.
- And the Energy Employee
- Occupational Illness Compensation Program
- provides a means of providing essentially
- 5 workers compensation judgments on certain types
- of diseases for atomic weapons employers. Only
- Part B of the law applies. And the diseases
- 8 covered there are cancer, silicosis and
- 9 (inaudible). So our part at NIOSH, our only
- involvement is with Part B of the law, only
- with radiation-caused cancers. And so that's
- the extent of what we can do anything about and
- work on.
- We are trying to gather
- information about the work at Blockson and how
- the work occurred as the uranium was recovered
- during this 11 year period, in the early '50s
- to the early '60s, to try to inform us and
- 19 allow us to do the best job possible in
- reconstructing the radiation doses.
- And then once we have determined
- how high this radiation dose has been, then
- there are risk models that relate how risky it
- was for pretty much any kind of cancer, what

- was the risk of that cancer resulting from that
- amount of radiation exposure. If that risk is
- high enough, then the claim becomes
- 4 compensable.
- So that's our role in this. And
- that's what we are trying to do, find out what
- we can about how the work proceeded there to
- 8 help us in our role of doing radiation
- 9 reconstruction. Sorry, I wasn't supposed to
- tell you anything before Mark, but I thought it
- would be helpful if you understand what our
- agency's role is and what we can do something
- about. What we are here to try to do is the
- best job we can. I also grew up close to
- 15 Kentucky, so that explains my accent as well.
- Now Mark, who else? Are you
- 17 going to introduce
- MR. HINNEFELD: Robert Stephan is a
- staffer with Senator Obama's office, and he's
- shown quite a lot of interest in our program.
- MR. STEPHAN: Thank you, Stu. Good
- evening. Just very quickly a couple of things.
- First I want to make sure that I introduce John
- and Debbie, and they are with Congressman

```
Dicker's office and Congressman Weller's
```

- office. They have been working on this for
- five or six years, so certainly we appreciate
- them being here to work together on this
- <sup>5</sup> issue.
- When we asked -- When Senator
- Obama asked the Board several weeks ago to host
- this meeting, there were several folks from
- 9 Blockson, both workers, and claimants, sons,
- and daughters, and spouses who said, you know,
- we have been through so many meetings that we
- don't want to go to another meeting. I just
- want to reassure you that tonight is different
- than anything that you have done before.
- Tonight is specifically a
- meeting on the record so that we can listen to
- workers and use what you have to say to
- potentially help you, to potentially help you
- become compensated, maybe not. Something
- someone has to say here tonight may in no way
- be useful. Go ahead and say it, and let these
- experts figure it out later on. If you think
- something is important, just blurt it out.
- But I do want to stress that

- tonight is just mainly for us to listen and
- hear from the 10 workers who are here. Okay.
- 3 So certainly there are many children here, and
- spouses here of workers who have passed on, and
- you have active claims. I think we will listen
- to them. If you feel there's something you
- have heard from your parent when they worked
- there or your spouse that you think may be
- 9 useful, please, you know, jot it down, keep it
- in mind, but let's wait until all the workers
- are done speaking first before we let any of
- the non-workers talk, okay? Just by way of a
- 13 ground rule.
- The other thing is that, guys,
- if we have questions -- I know I got a lot of
- calls on the way up here. People who just have
- questions in general about their claim, the
- status of their claim, different questions.
- 19 Tonight is not really for that.
- But is there something, maybe
- 21 afterwards, where folks can come up and address
- those with you?
- MR. HINNEFELD: Yes. Let me
- introduce my colleagues from NIOSH. Laurie

```
Breyer in the back of the room is one of my
```

- colleagues. She is a Communication Specialist
- in our office. Tom Tomes at the end of the
- table is a colleague. He, like myself, is a
- 5 health physicists, which mean we are radiation
- 6 protection people, radiation measurement type
- of people. That's our occupation.
- 8 At the conclusion of gathering
- 9 information in general, the general information
- about the site, we will stay and answer
- whatever questions we can. We don't have
- access to claim files here, so we can't tell
- you exactly what's going on on your claim, but
- we will try and answer any questions we can.
- 15 If you have questions about the
- process, questions about some sort of
- correspondence you have received, anything like
- that, we will try to answer whatever questions
- we can or at least get back to you with answers
- 20 after the meeting if we can't answer them
- tonight. We will continue on with that.
- I would prefer to have those
- discussions after we have the more general
- discussion about how things worked at the

- <sup>1</sup> plant.
- MR. STEPHAN: Perfect. A couple of
- items. We have been through a few of these
- meetings and they usually go very well. They
- <sup>5</sup> are always useful, but sometimes workers are a
- 6 little leery of saying things in public. And I
- think we do have a couple of reporters here.
- 8 And I just would ask the reporters to in your
- 9 articles only refer to the workers in some
- 10 generic fashion as a worker, not by their name,
- or just approach them afterwards and ask them
- if it's okay to use their name, but I know some
- workers would rather their name not be used.
- Just so we establish that ground
- rule. And I think we talked about that and
- everybody is okay with that. Just to reassure
- the workers nothing here is going to be used
- against you. This is not a legal proceeding.
- This is designed to help you.
- 20 And then last, I want to make
- sure that all of you understand where we are in
- the process, which is that in Naperville -- at
- the Board meeting in Naperville, Blockson had a
- group petition before the Board, and it's

```
called an SEC. I think everyone is familiar
```

- with what that means. Basically it's kind of a
- Government version of a class action lawsuit,
- but it doesn't take place in court. It's just
- a waiver many of you (inaudible) together.
- 6 And the Board was potentially
- going to vote on the SEC that day. They
- elected to postpone their vote so that they
- 9 could get more information and so that they
- could seek essentially a second opinion from an
- outside agency, which they have done. So we
- have NIOSH's opinion, we have an outside
- agency's opinion of that group application.
- And most likely that petition will be decided
- upon in May or will be discussed at the next
- 16 Advisory Board meeting in May.
- 17 Is that roughly -- The agenda
- hasn't been determined. The point I am trying
- to convey here is that what you say here
- tonight hopefully will be used -- it will be
- reviewed over the next couple of months and it
- will be used at the meeting in May when the
- Board meets again. Is that fair to say, Stu?
- MR. HINNEFELD: That's our current

- plan for a schedule. We have research to do in
- the meantime. This meeting is gathering
- information and there's other information to
- qather in order to address all the questions
- 5 that need to be addressed in that petition, but
- it is our current plan to complete that
- 7 research and have the report available in the
- 8 May meeting.
- 9 MR. STEPHAN: And the last item that
- 10 I received dozens of call about, and hopefully
- we can clear this up tonight, the group
- application that has been submitted for you,
- it's called an SEC, Special Exposure Cohort.
- NIOSH had developed an opinion and a
- recommendation about that group application,
- and they have since withdrawn their opinion so
- that they can study the matter a little bit
- more.
- And then, Stu, can you also just
- clarify for me, because some of the workers are
- very confused, as to what it means by their
- dose reconstructions? Does that mean that all
- of them are going to be reopened and reviewed,
- because so many folks have already been denied,

```
etc? Can you speak to that?
```

- MR. HINNEFELD: Any case that has
- been decided so far will be re-evaluated
- because of additional research. What has
- 5 happened is essentially a policy decision that
- was arrived at after the original SEC petition
- was written up that requires us to address
- 8 additional radiation exposure beyond what we
- thought had to be addressed when we did the
- original petition for it. So that prompts the
- rewrite of the SEC petition evaluation.
- 12 It also means that those
- reconstructions that have been done up to this
- time have to also be re-evaluated in the light
- of this additional radiation exposure that has
- to be considered. So any completed dose
- reconstruction up to now will have to be
- reconsidered and re-evaluated once we have
- completed the additional research.
- Now, that doesn't mean
- necessarily that the compensation decision will
- change in every case. There's a chance that
- certain of them will. They will all be
- reconsidered after the completion of the

```
current research.
```

- MR. STEPHAN: So if you have a claim
- in, okay, you don't have to do anything. You
- don't have to reapply, you don't have to send
- 5 anymore information. It just means that in a
- sense even if you were denied, your claim has
- <sup>7</sup> lived to see another day even though it was
- 8 denied before. It does not mean that you will
- be paid, but they are taking a look at some
- more scientific information and they are trying
- to take that into account. Okay.
- I know people had several
- questions about that from the article that was
- in the newspaper. Thank you again.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you for
- holding this forum.
- : My name is
- I worked in Olin Chemical from 1972 to
- 19 . I have in my possession pictures of the
- drumming operation with engineer
- 21 (inaudible). You've probably seen this picture
- before. It also shows the (inaudible) which
- proves there was a dusk collector on the
- system. I would like to present the Board with

```
these pictures. And there's some questions
```

- that you may possibly want to ask some of these
- employees who were employed there during that
- 4 time.
- MR. STEPHAN: I am going to turn that
- over to Mark. I think is going to
- <sup>7</sup> address the group. And then that information,
- 8 that's exactly what we are going to discuss
- 9 tonight. Okay. If anybody has any questions,
- certainly of me or the representatives from the
- 11 Congressman's office, we will be here, but we
- are not going to participate in this group
- discussion. I just want to make sure that's
- clear.
- : You have 10 workers,
- but you have my husband who was a worker and
- who I am the Power of Attorney of. And I have
- my legal paper here. He was interviewed by
- NIOSH on 2006, at the nursing home
- by two of your men, if you recognize the names.
- MR. STEPHAN: By NIOSH?
- MS. : Yes.
- MR. STEPHAN: If you have information
- that you think is pertinent, Mark will, you

- know, call upon survivors after all the workers
- have finished giving their input.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have a
- question. Even though you were denied, why
- would you save the application? Even, you
- 6 know -- If the claim was denied, we don't have
- to refile anything; but if it was denied, why
- 8 would you keep the application?
- MR. STEPHAN: Stu, you want to speak
- to that?
- MR. HINNEFELD: You mean why do we
- 12 keep it?
- 13 INTDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. If you
- denied it, why would you hold on to the
- application so I don't have to refile?
- MR. HINNEFELD: We retain all the
- information on all the claims just as a matter
- of course. All cases that are complete,
- whether they are judged to be compensable or
- non-compensable, we keep all the information
- that we have generated on every claim in our
- file, and we retain that. So we would just
- pull that information back out from the
- completed part, and we would go from what we

```
have. So there's no need to reapply. It will
```

- be -- You won't have to do anything. We will
- initiate that ourselves. We've retained
- everything we've ever had on the file.
- 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: On microfilm?
- 6 MR. HINNEFELD: It's actually
- electronic. It's actually a series of computer
- files. The paper copies are all stashed away.
- 9 We could get them back out. They are all, you
- know, images, computer files.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Even though I
- was denied, I kept all of my papers.
- MR. HINNEFELD: And we kept all of
- them that we have generated for your claim.
- MR. LEWIS: There's one more
- gentleman I would like to introduce,

19

- was real instrumental in
- 18 (inaudible) and Dow Chemical a few months ago.
- : I am very happy to see
- such a big turn out. What I am going to try to
- do tonight -- I am a retired physician. I was
- on the faculty of Medical School for
- years as a pathologist, but I have been working

- with the workers from Mallinckrodt, from Dow
- <sup>2</sup> Chemicals in Madison, Illinois and also from
- 3 General Steel Industries in Granite City,
- <sup>4</sup> Illinois.
- 5 And we are -- At all of those
- 6 cites we were working on Special Exposure
- 7 Cohorts. And some of you may understand that
- 8 Mallinckrodt downtown Special Exposure Cohort
- 9 was the first petition that was awarded under
- this program. All the previous SECs had been
- done by legislative action. So by now there
- are many SECs of which Blockson is one of the
- most material. It has been through a lot of
- different stages.
- And since I had a lot of
- experience (inaudible) with me tonight, having
- worker meetings at General Steel and at Dow, I
- am really here to help facilitate the work that
- 19 Mark is going to be doing. And I would like to
- talk to the workers in particular and stress to
- you that tonight is a real serious opportunity
- for you all.
- The reason this meeting is being
- held is because everybody acknowledges that our

- knowledge of what went on at Blockson is
- incomplete. We do not know all the processes,
- we don't know how many people were involved in
- 4 the different processes. And really, you know,
- the workers who were there are the only people
- 6 who really know that.
- Now, obviously workers talk to
- their spouses and so there may be other
- y knowledgeable people here, but what we need is
- new knowledge that has not come forward and to
- help elicit that in this handout called NIOSH
- Outreach Meeting Topics. Mark, and several of
- us; the people from NIOSH, myself, we raised a
- number of issues, scientific issues primarily
- that we need information about and that we want
- to use as the focus for you alls remarks.
- And I think Mark plans on going
- through these very systematically. So these
- are all things we need more information about.
- 20 And I would lake to encourage you all -- I
- believe that between NIOSH and the Department
- of Labor approximately 60 invitations to living
- workers were sent out for this meeting, so
- there are at least 50 other people who did not

- make it tonight.
- So I am asking you, for all of
- us, to please urge those other workers that you
- 4 may know that didn't come tonight to please
- 5 come tomorrow. And also point out to them, and
- I think Stuart would endorse this, the folks
- from NIOSH would be happy to hear this kind of
- input anytime in the future by phone, by
- 9 letter, etc.
- But tonight we are going to
- concentrate on these topics. And I would
- strongly encourage anybody, you know, the
- information that you, as working there, may
- think is routine and trivial and all of your
- 15 fellow co-workers know is really probably the
- very information that we need to know about.
- And so if you see a topic here that seems to
- relate to anything you did in the workplace,
- 19 please stand up and talk about it because we
- need a much richer record than we already have.
- And I will also tell you that
- the topics that you see here are the very
- things that are causing the Advisory Board and
- NIOSH to do some more research. So these are

- the central topics that we need more
- information about. And with that I will let
- Mark take it from there.
- 4 MR. STEPHAN: As
- mentioned, if there are workers who are not
- 6 here, hopefully you can contact them and
- <sup>7</sup> encourage them to come tomorrow, but we also
- have made arrangements that they can speak to
- 9 Mark and NIOSH staff. We will schedule a time
- where they can speak to them by phone.
- So please, if there's somebody
- you know who can participate who is not here
- today, please make sure you get with Mr. Lewis
- and get his card afterwards so that we can
- arrange for them to speak to him. So with few
- living workers, we need all of them that we can
- to give input. Okay.
- MR. LEWIS: Thanks, Robert. I have
- been getting a few calls this week, too, from
- people who said they couldn't be here all the
- way from Mississippi, lot of people in
- Missouri. But we will start.
- Do you want to stay anything?
- MR. TOMES: No, I am fine.

```
MR. LEWIS: My name is Mark Lewis.
```

- 2 And of course the purpose of the meeting you
- see on the handout there with the topics on it.
- 4 The purpose of this meeting is to collect
- information from former workers to help NIOSH
- 6 better understand the working conditions of the
- 7 plant during the time it did work involving
- uranium recovery for the Atomic Energy
- 9 Commission between 1951 and '62.
- This handout I gave you, don't
- 11 feel limited by this thing. This handout is
- just intended to help raise issues for
- discussion. We initially were going to have a
- Power Point put up here behind us with the
- topics, but we had some technical difficulties
- with the Power Point. But we do have a
- handout. Thank God we did bring the handouts.
- so does everyone have a handout
- while I'm up?
- 20 (No response.)
- MR. LEWIS: Well enough. Don't feel
- limited to this. Your information you feel is
- helpful is welcome like Robert mentioned and
- mentioned. Don't feel limited to the

- questions that are listed here, but these are
- some of the questions.
- so now we are going to the part
- that says Radiation Protection. Radiological
- 5 controls. Were radiological controls in place?
- 6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No
- $^7$  MR. LEWIS: The answer is no.
- : There was none. None
- <sup>9</sup> whatsoever.
- MR. LEWIS: Does anybody got any
- other answer besides no? Radiologic controls
- in place we have a no consensus.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He's right.
- : Mark, can I make a
- suggestion?
- MR. LEWIS: Sure.
- : My suggestion is since
- these remarks are going on the record, I think
- it would be useful to also read into the record
- the specific questions, you know, not just
- radiological controls. Make sure that
- everybody understands that we want to know
- whether there were any training courses, etc.
- MR. LEWIS: I have not got that far

```
1
     yet.
                             Okay. All right.
               MR. LEWIS: As the doctor mentioned,
     training courses, were there any training
 5
     courses?
                      (A chorus of no.)
                            There's no need to worry
               MR. LEWIS:
     about who taught them if there were no training
     courses, right?
10
                     Yes, sir.
                                Wouldn't it be
11
     easier, you only have 10 people here, to let
12
     them come up and tell their story instead of
13
     all this stuff? Everything is different.
14
     think if everybody tells their own story, where
15
     they worked, how they worked, how they were
16
     exposed to it, you would get a better cross
17
     section of how we were involved.
18
               MR. LEWIS: I was hoping as we go
19
     through this, that it would all kind of fall
20
21
     in.
22
                               I went over it already
     and there are not too many positive answers to
23
                  I will be very honest with you, as
24
     this thing.
```

- far as I was concerned. I was not a full-time
- employee on the plant. I had a specific job
- of -- I had a secret clearance from the Army
- and then I got a secret clearance again from
- 5 the Navy Department because I was in
- office when the Department was
- <sup>7</sup> activated.
- And my job was to go there into
- 9 the plant twice a day, 11:30 and 4:30, visit
- with the chief chemist and take a quality
- control report and a quantity control report,
- go back to the office, put it in a special
- envelope, and it would be mailed to the Atomic
- Energy Commission. No film badge, no mask.
- The shoes that I wore at the
- office, I walked through the plant and I went
- home with it. Never gave it a second thought.
- That's my story. Very limited. I was not
- there eight hours a day. I was there from -- I
- went to work in 1955 in the office as a
- 21
- A year later when they merged
- with Olin-Mathieson, I went into
- office as his assistant. At that time we took

- over all the chemical plants and the Olin
- <sup>2</sup> Chemical Company, and Blockson happened to be
- one of them. I never knew about Building 55
- until I was given that job to collect that
- information over the next six years, and that
- 6 was it.
- I chose not to transfer to
- New York. I quit and went into my own business
- 9 from that point out. I have no ill effects at
- all. Because after I got out of the Army in
- 11 '55, I had to serve seven years in the Reserve.
- so I had to go for an annual exam at the
- arsenal because it was still an active Army
- reservation and they had to document it, so it
- was required that I have an annual exam.
- The last few years I got
- concerned after all this stuff surfaced. At my
- own expense I got examined, and I have no
- residual radiation. But again, I don't know
- whether my family suffered or not because I got
- married in I used to go home every day.
- My wife became a cancer patient in my
- daughter is mentally challenged out of
- kids. I don't know if it has any affect

- at all. I can't really say that it does.
- I think you're entitled to know
- the entire story from everybody here of how it
- 4 affected them.
- MR. LEWIS: We are going to give them
- an opportunity to say that.
- <sup>7</sup> How about the workers who worked
- there during that time, like you suggested,
- <sup>9</sup> just come on up and do that then.
- Just state their name as they
- come up or what?
- MR. STEPHAN: It would be good for
- the reporter if you're willing to state your
- name.
- : My name is
- And I was in that building right after the
- construction. I was the Blockson millwrite
- with the ironworkers. Blockson did not hire
- outside millwrites. I helped install all of
- that equipment in that building. The tanks
- were put in by laskers (phonetic). And the
- ironworkers were putting in all the mixing
- equipment, the Kellys, you name it, all the
- equipment necessary for the operation.

```
When it came to do the final
```

- regular run of a piece of equipment, or pump,
- or whatever it happened to be, I was the one
- that went and did the final alignment and gave
- 5 the okay that that was ready to run. When I --
- 6 Also I worked on the repairing of every pump in
- that building because I was a pump specialist
- 8 at that time.
- I did all the work on the 2CGs,
- the 3CT, to whatever they happened to be and
- also on all of the valve systems They had what
- they called Durco valves. I was the only one
- in the plant that worked on Durco valves. If I
- removed one, I took it back to the shop, I
- stuck it in a water bath and tried to get the
- stuff off of it.
- If I just repaired the pump in
- place, I would chip out all of the "Yellow
- 19 Cake" that blocked up everything using the
- scraper or whatever it happened to be. I had
- no protection whatsoever. Okay. So I was in
- there all the time because I had a P and a Q
- clearance.
- I did -- Like I said, I did

- total maintenance. I didn't do any
- pipe-fitting or welding, but if I had to go
- inside of a tank to change the sweeps in there
- that kept the material moving, I went in there
- and did it. And they were four-by-fours that
- were in there. If something happened to the
- <sup>7</sup> Kelly press when they opened it up, and down in
- the mixer if the chain broke, I would have to
- <sup>9</sup> go down there.
- Now, as far as protection,
- nothing. There was nothing. I also -- You're
- talking about equipment. Now, when the stuff
- was loaded, how did they do it. They put it in
- some pans that were about as square as this top
- is, about two inches deep. It was the mud.
- They put it into this rack. This rack went
- into a steam oven. It was dried. It came out,
- and then they dumped it into a hopper, and that
- hopper was piped in with a dusk collector.
- The dust collector was right
- behind it. It was was about a six-by-six dust
- collector barrel that was underneath that
- hopper where the pan was dumped into. And the
- dust collector would take any dust off. Any

```
special equipment, that hood, or anything else?
```

- <sup>2</sup> No.
- 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: ?
- : Yes.
- 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Where was that
- 6 dust ejected to?
- : It went right into that
- 8 dust collector.
- 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What happened
- to it? Was there any stockings outside of the
- dust collector?
- : No. The dust collector
- would collect it in a big -- they had stockings
- on the inside. And then that dust collector
- then would be shaken by chains on a daily
- basis. The dust would come down into a hopper
- in the bottom, and eventually a gate would be
- opened up and the stuff would go into a barrel.
- When it came time that that
- stocking inside was coated far enough to where
- it wouldn't be working anymore, then somebody
- had to go and change that stocking. There was
- some doors; one door up on top, one door on the
- bottom that was about 2 foot by 1 foot. You

```
had to reach in there inside and unhook the
```

- stocking on this bar that ran across it, then
- you had to unhook it down below and pull it out
- <sup>4</sup> of there.
- Mhat did they do with it? It
- went out to the junk pile south of the plant,
- <sup>7</sup> just like those timbers did. As far as what
- 8 they did during the day as far as anything --
- MS. ANONYMOUS: There's the dump.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Did you wear
- special clothes when you did that?
- : No, there were no
- special clothes.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So you took
- all that stuff home?
- 16 : You just used your
- 17 regular work clothes that you went in with.
- The precautions taken at that time, as you came
- in there was a guard there. Usually it was
- either , or or --
- 21
- : Yeah. One of them was
- in there. You had to come in one door first.
- They checked you in and then you went through

```
the second door. Now, if you were bringing
```

- over some supplies, or a pump, or something
- like that, then they had opened a door that was
- on a ramp for you to bring that stuff up the
- s ramp, and then they closed it.
- Now, all the time they jotted
- down when you came in and when you went out of
- the place. The one fellow who -- his
- 9 name was. was the first one that
- would be dumping all this material. And
- was the first one that came up -- that
- had colon cancer, was operated on and he had
- ended up with a bag on the outside. And
- eventually he became a janitor. He got out of
- there and went up on top.
- I got colon cancer. I was
- diagnosed in -- 15 years ago, and I was
- operated on. They took a 12 inch hunk of me
- out, and they piped me back together again.
- go every year for a colonoscopy.
- Now, as far as that equipment in
- there, let me put it this way, if a pump came
- out of there and was taken over to the shop,
- the only precautions taken was you washed it.

```
You went ahead then and ripped it apart, did
```

- what maintenance had to be done on it, got it
- back together again, it went to the warehouse
- in the stock. It's a pump put into the
- 5 category as a pump. It was not designated that
- it came out of Building 55. Yes, ma'am.
- 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Did you have a
- special place to eat, or did you eat in that
- 9 same building?
- : You mean the workers?
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, the
- workers.
- : You know, I don't
- remember that to be honest with you.
- : They had a lunchroom
- upstairs in the locker room.
- : That's right. Now as
- far as an exhaust system in the building, they
- were -- On the outside walls all around they
- had these great big fans and there was a
- 21 (inaudible). They just turned those on and
- that's it.
- The clean up operation was if
- 24 anything got spilled, you wash it down the

Page 35 sewer. Now, what extra precautions were taken?

None. I don't think there was a thing.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Where did that

sewer qo?

2

: Where did it what?

6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Did all that

water go into the river?

s : Sure it did.

: It went through a

purifier first.

: It went through a

purifier first, ?

: Yep.

: Now, I worked for

Blockson from '47 to ' , so I was there and

a half years. In 1957 Blockson went on strike,

october of '57.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: November.

: October. October 1st.

20 Anyway, about October I got a job at

21 Argonne National Lab as a

because of the clearances I had. I worked for

23 Argonne National Lab for months

24 as a \_ They had special

- clothes. They also had some beautiful patent
- leather shoes that were yellow. You went from
- one locker room into another locker room and
- 4 did that.
- 5 You also had a monitor that you
- stuck in your lapel to monitor your exposure to
- any radiation. I only had one time that I
- 8 had -- to where it was overloaded, and I had to
- get out of there and change my clothes and
- things like that. My main function, I had
- hoped, would be total maintenance of the
- because they did all of their
- own.
- Like I said,
- 15 (phonetic), who was the head of the group. I
- was there with him. Most of the people that
- was learning how to operate that reactor were
- Government people, like warrant (phonetic)
- officers, Navy people, whatever it happened to
- me. I happened to be one of the few civilians.
- When Blockson went back off of strike in
- January of '58, I went back there because I
- 23 knew I was going to go to supervision. And
- that's where I ended up as a supervisor,

- maintenance supervisor.
- Just to give you a little
- background, I eventually became a
- by the way.

5

- e , when you went from
- Argonne back to Blockson and you noticed what
- you did at Argonne, did you at all ask or talk
- 9 about it when you got to Blockson? Question
- 10 it?
- : No, because I kept my
- mouth shut about what I did up there at
- <sup>13</sup> Argonne.
- , her dad was my
- boss. And as far as the maintenance in that
- building at various times as I became the
- man, the and eventually
- , I no longer went in there as a
- worker. But I used to put people in there to
- do the work. So offhand most of them are dead.
- There's very few of us that are left.
- , and the maintenance people. I am talking
- about the maintenance people.
- Yes,

```
1 ; You stated about my
```

- father. Thank you. And he did pass away five
- years ago from lung cancer.
- : Since then I go back
- every year for a colonoscopy. And I just went
- a month ago and I got cleared, so I am all
- right. I have a stack of papers that's got to
- be that thick about Olin. I have a stack from
- 9 Argonne National Lab. And the surprising thing
- is that the Federal Government never said to
- me, yes, you worked up at Argonne National Lab.
- 12 They had me up there for 10 days. And just
- recently I got an inquiry to finally where they
- 14 recognized I did work at Argonne as a
- 15 That's how long it took.
- (A round of applause.)
- MR. LEWIS: After they got done with
- the phosphate production, what activities moved
- in there after the uranium extraction was done
- in Building 55? Do you know what happened to
- that? Were you there then?
- : What happened?
- MR. LEWIS: What happened to Building
- <sup>24</sup> 55 after --

: All the equipment was

- dismantled. I don't know who bought it. I
- feel sorry for the people who bought it because
- it was contaminated. And it was sold as either
- <sup>5</sup> used equipment or junk, one of the two. There
- were tanks in there, these big Kelly presses,
- pumps of all kinds. The pumps by the way were
- 8 manufactured in Blockson. It was a Blockson
- 9 design pump. They did not buy outside pumps.
- 10 So they made all their own parts.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: After the
- building was dismantled inside, they still used
- it as a warehouse.
- MS. ANONYMOUS: Yes. My husband
- worked in there. He was one that went in there
- to clean up when they had the spill in there.
- MR. LEWIS: It was a warehouse after
- 18 that?
- : Yes.
- MR. LEWIS: Because that was one of
- the questions we will be going through.
- MS. ANONYMOUS: Here's what they did
- with Building 55. They blew it up.
- Thirty-pounds of dynamite. Then my husband

```
helped clean up the mess and put down the big
```

- pile, which is here.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can I just add
- the fact that after they closed the plant down,
- 5 I was informed that my father taught welding
- 6 classes in that building. , if you can
- 7 vouch for that. Did my father teach welding
- 8 classes in Building 55 when they took
- 9 everything out?
- : Yeah.
- 11 : I am . And
- 12 I worked at Olin Chemical. And I worked in
- Building 55 from October of 1954 until October
- of . A lot of the things that I was going
- to say had already said. But to go over
- this sheet of paper that we have here, the
- radiation protection, there was no radiation
- protection, there were no film badges, and
- there was no urinalysis program whatsoever.
- As I stand before you I am going
- to tell you the truth, and I am going to tell
- you exactly how the operation went. There were
- two operators on each shift with the exception
- of days when days had three operators. There

- was a day man on there.
- Now, the job that the day man
- did was he did the filtering of the finished
- product. When I say filtering of the finished
- product, he would go through some cloths. And
- I would help him open the cloths up and we
- 7 would scrape the "Yellow Cake" into a deep pan
- 8 about the size of like so.
- 9 After it gets into a deep pan,
- we take it and we put it into an oven, and we
- let it cook overnight. After it cooked
- overnight, then we took it out and we dumped it
- into barrels. And the way we dumped them into
- barrels is we had something shaped like a cone,
- and we would dump it over in there. And there
- was also a dust collector there, which had
- said.
- Now, I was the
- operator. And the number one operator, he's
- the one that took care of all the filtering and
- all the liquor that came into the building. He
- operated his job whereas when the Kelly -- it
- was a Kelly press he operated. When the leads
- in the Kelly got high pressure, it meant that

- the liquor had came through and the cloths were
- plugging it up, so he would open the press up
- and he would wash down the Kelly clothes.
- 4 His job as he washed down the
- 5 Kelly cloth was to look to see if there was any
- 6 leak in the cloths. If there were leaks in the
- <sup>7</sup> cloths, then he would shut the unit down and
- 8 call me. And I would come over there and we
- 9 would take the leads from out of the Kelly
- press with an electric lifter.
- During the time that we were
- taking the Kelly cloths out, they were held
- into the press with four nuts and bolts. And
- there was a total of maybe 8 or 10 cloths that
- were in there. And these 10 nuts and belts,
- you had to take your gloves off in order to get
- the nuts and bolts off. That's when you come
- in contact with the liquor, when you took the
- nuts and bolts off. So if you were working in
- 20 contact with liquor, that's where you came in
- 21 contact with liquor.
- Now, when you wash the Kelly
- cloths down, all the dirt on the Kelly cloths
- doesn't always come out. So when you take the

- Kelly cloths out to make a change and put new
- 2 Kelly cloths in, you give it an acid bath and
- you change the cloths. When you change the
- cloths, you pull the old ones out. And when
- you pull the old ones out, there is still mud
- sticking to the cloth.
- And when you take the electric
- 8 lift and lift them over to a platform where you
- 9 can take the Kelly cloths off and replace them
- with new Kelly cloths, you have a hard time
- cutting the Kelly cloths off; therefore, you
- had to take your gloves off with your open
- hands and a knife and cut the cloths. That's
- where you come in contact with the liquor.
- Now, as we all know, if you get
- something that's plugged up and you pour liquor
- in that unit that's plugged up, you know if
- there's a hole there, it's going to drain out.
- 19 If there was a small hole in the Kelly cloth,
- it will take a long time for all of the liquor
- to drain out. That's when you come in contact
- with the liquor again. Okay.
- My job was to help the number
- one operator out. And I ran -- I took track of

- putting a material they called Salt A
- 2 (phonetic.) And there were so many grams of
- 3 Salt A that had to be added to this tank every
- 4 hour. That was my job. And my other job was
- 5 to use hydro in another tank. Now what the
- 6 hydro did, I can't answer that.
- But during that time, the
- 6 changing of the cloths and putting new cloths
- on, there was material that would drop off and
- fall down on the floor. And we would have to
- 11 go down and clean the floor up by washing it
- down and using a squeegee. When we used a
- squeegee, the material was real slick. And
- that's about all that we did.
- Now, I had made a list of what I
- was going to say, and came up here and he
- took about half of it off. There was no dose
- reconstruction, we did not go to a doctor and
- there was no protection as far as the clothing
- protection was concerned. Some of the quys
- would shower and some wouldn't shower. I would
- shower everyday, but at the end of the shift
- that I was working, I would take my dirty
- clothes home, and that's when my family comes

- in contact with it, because I have spent four
- or five days or longer working in those same
- 3 clothes.
- Now number one, I liked to work
- overtime, everybody knows that. And I am very
- glad that I did because it was a good place to
- work. So when you take and you make a dose
- reconstruction of a unit that has been blown up
- 9 and you say that you can make something that
- will be comparable to that, I don't think you
- can. And if one person out there works more
- overtime than another person works overtime in
- the same building, he is more susceptible than
- the ones that's working there. Why? Because
- he puts in more time. I like money like
- anybody else, you know. But that's the facts.
- So everything that I told you
- tonight is actually what happened. And I think
- 19 I've got everything covered here. And there
- was no protection as far as clothing was
- concerned.
- 22 : What physical ailments
- have you had?
- 24 : Oh, my physical ailments

```
were in 1995 I had prostate cancer. In 1902 ---
```

- · 2002.
- 3 : In 2002 I had bladder
- 4 cancer. And I (inaudible) both of them. I
- made my last trip back to my cancer doctor with
- <sup>6</sup> my bladder. I have been five years since then,
- <sup>7</sup> and everything has turned out good, so I am
- 8 happy about that. Thank you very much.
- (A round of applause.)
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So you were
- there during whole the duration. I started
- there in '73. I worked in and around the same
- building you're talking about. Now that
- building was fully operational as far as
- filtration was concerned when I started in
- October of '73. (Inaudible) Was that building
- ever shutdown for a short period of time when
- they moved out all of the equipment? I know
- the equipment off the first floor was removed.
- : Well, see, I signed out
- of there in 1957. And the plant was a straight
- operation. You see, in 1957 they took the
- restriction off of it, that anyone could sign
- in. But see, when I went in there, I had to go

- before the FBI, had to be investigated.
- And during the time -- During
- that time I did not know what they did over
- there. The only thing I knew was that it was
- 5 Government work-related. Because where I
- 6 worked at I never got around to talk to any of
- these fellows over there. And I didn't find
- out that they were actually using -- making
- 9 uranium until I got in there.
- And then when I got in there,
- there was no safety that says you shouldn't be
- in this area here, or you shouldn't be in that
- area there, or what harm the material would do
- to my lungs. I had no knowledge of that. They
- never gave us anything in writing to say, hey,
- if you eat here, you're going to get this; if
- you eat there, you're going to get uranium. It
- was just take a chance.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And I think
- that it was so unfair that they didn't tell
- people.
- : That's true.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And if they
- had known this, maybe people would not have

Page 48 come and worked there. And I don't think that was fair. That's true. MR. LEWIS: , you have a question? Yeah, I do. How did you find out that you were working with uranium? When did I find out? How did you find out that you were working with uranium? 10 Someone told me. 11 Who, fellow workers? Fellow workers, yeah. 14 One of the things we are interested in and have not really talked about, 15 16 so there were absolutely no markings at all in Building 55 that this was a radiation process? 17 18 No. I do not remember. 19 I never seen it. 20 And was there a general 21 idea there among you or people that worked in Building 55 that there were other operations in 22 the rest of the plant that involved uranium? The only thing that I 24

Page 49 knew was they were extracting uranium from the

2 product from the phosphate where they made the

material all up. And it came -- most of it

4. came over in our department, and that's how

5 come I knew it was uranium.

can you put that in the

7 context of Building 55? Where was the

extraction made, the first extraction, the acid

9 extraction step?

: I can't answer that.

: Was it outside of 55?

: It was outside of 55,

but it was in Olin Chemical.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Was it coming

15 from Building 25?

: I can't answer that.

: So when you saw it, you

were only working inside Building 55?

19 : Yes.

: What did you get in 55?

What did it look like when it came in?

: What the liquor looked

like?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Milky.

Page 50 Just milky. 2 So this was the acid 3 extract, right? Uh-huh. Yeah. 5 How did it come in? What was it in? They piped it over where it was coming from. Piped it into what? 10 A tank. 11 An open tank, closed 12 tank? It was a closed tank. 13 14 It had a wooden top on 15 it. So the first chance that 16 you all got to come into actual contact with 17 the liquid itself was in the presses that you 18 19 were talking about? 20 Right. 21 Changing the cloths? Right, right, right, 22 23 right, right. But you don't know 24

anything about the extracted material outside

- of Building 55?
- : No, I don't. I couldn't
- <sup>4</sup> answer.
- : I want to comment
- that's one of the things we want to really find
- out about. In other words, the uranium came
- 8 out in the original acid extract. So we are
- 9 interested in anybody here he would know about
- those other operations.
- : I don't know.
- : That's fine.
- : I may be wrong, but I
- am pretty sure that the acid was pumped in from
- Building 40 into 55. And then from there they
- took care of that.
- : And it went in two
- storage tanks.
- : I never went in
- Building 55, and I am glad I didn't, but anyway
- I am pretty sure that that's how it happened.
- It had to be pumped from some place, and the
- only place that they made acid from the
- phosphate, and it was carried up from the dock,

```
is in -- it was 25 and then it changed to
```

- building 40, after Building 55.
- : The liquid that came
- back into that building was yellow. And when
- it went in, it was two big storage tanks, that
- was the color. And then the sweeps in there
- just kept mixing it up until they were ready to
- process it in the Kellys. And then the pumps
- 9 would pump it into the Kelly under pressure so
- that the mud would accumulate on those Kelly
- 11 cloths. They were like a canvas, ship's
- canvas.
- : So you understand one of
- the reasons we are asking questions like this
- is we want to make sure that everybody who came
- into contact with the uranium at any stage,
- whether it was wet, or on the mud, or on the
- presses, or the pure "Yellow Cake" itself, that
- they get included as people exposed to the
- uranium and that their doses get reconstructed
- with that in mind.
- So although we are highly
- interested in what you individually did, if you
- have knowledge of other parts of the plant and

```
what went on there, that also will be very
```

- <sup>2</sup> helpful.
- : Well, I was going to
- mention before I was interrupted that if the
- 5 liquor came -- if the uranium was in the liquor
- when it came over to Building 55, then wherever
- it came from, those people there in that
- department were also exposed.
- ? That's my point. That's
- exactly my point. If any of those people are
- able to talk about that, that would be a great
- interest.
- : As I said before, the
- people who worked at Building 55, they changed
- clothes there, and they took their clothes
- home, and their wives washed them, they are
- exposed to it also.
- : Did you go to lunchrooms
- with your clothes, too?
- MR. STEPHAN: Can you state your name
- 21 again?
- : . I have a
- comment about -- At a point you wanted to talk
- 24 about the uranium within the product. The

```
uranium was in the product when it arrived as
```

- phosphate rock and (inaudible), which sat near
- a storage. This calcite was completely dried
- and it was produced into phosphoric acid in
- Department 40, which occurred by making up a
- 6 (inaudible), which is a consistency of flour.
- <sup>7</sup> It went in the digesters, it trickled sulfuric
- acid on it causing a reaction.
- 9 After that vessel came to a
- certain level, it would cascade into the next
- vessel, and then the next vessel. Then it's
- phosphoric acid full of mud. It goes through a
- filter. (inaudible) phosphoric would come off
- of this stream. These were stored in tanks
- outside. They were pumped over to next the
- building, which was DSP and became a DSP
- mixture, where you worked prior to your
- retirement.
- There by adjusting the
- phosphate -- by adjusting the PH and
- temperatures, they made monosodium and disodium
- phosphate liquors. Of the monosodium liquor
- they ran it into the back room of that said
- building, which is called the Roosevelt

- building. And they ran it through nine filters
- for one part of the filtration process,
- expelling the mud (inaudible) and to a gyp
- <sup>4</sup> pile.
- 5 After that it went into
- 6 Building 55 and went through a series of
- 7 approximately four filters, not necessarily
- because (inaudible) needed to be produced based
- on the (inaudible) of the entire site. After
- the monosodium liquor that was brought back
- into tripoly mix job where they made sodium
- tripolyphosphate liquor off of that, out of the
- sodium tripolyphosphate liquor, which everyone
- used for detergent at the time, they made
- trisodium phosphate and other products off of
- that. That's the whole stream.
- : Can I ask you about the
- stream? Can you put a number of workers that
- might be involved with those particular phases
- like in Building 40? How many people were in
- Department 40?
- : What are you looking to
- be the end point?
- : How many people were

```
involved in that process?
```

- : (Inaudible) I can give
- you a general amount of people.
- : We are particularly
- interested in the stream that wound up in
- 6 Building 55 making "Yellow Cake".
- ? : At that time we had a
- dock crew of three to four people --
- 9 (inaudible.)
- MS. COURT REPORTER: I am having a
- hard time hearing him. Do you mind stepping to
- the podium?
- : You had phosphate rock,
- which was delivered by Florida to Olin
- Corporation via barge or by rail car. There
- would be approximately at least four people
- involved in the unloading and transport of
- that. That would go to the storage
- 19 (inaudible). From the storage (inaudible) at
- that time frame I believe it was calcite. It
- was another guy named (phonetic),
- who was the calcite operator. The pillar still
- stood when I was employed there.
- 24 At a later date, at my

```
employment date calcite rock was already being
```

- supplied from Florida. So they had natural
- occurring radioactives in it. That's why it
- was used. And it had a higher BPL or bang for
- your buck for P205 phosphate production. In
- building 40 there would be --
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Didn't it go
- 8 through a giant (inaudible) before it came --
- 9 : That was the calcite
- that sat outside. That was the calcite where
- worked.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There were
- people involved there too.
- 14 : All I know is said
- he did it. It could be around the clock.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The mechanics
- went in welded (inaudible). All kinds of
- maintenance inside this giant --
- : There was an assigned
- mechanic force when I was hired in '73. It was
- 21 approximately 12 people that were called the
- dirty dozen that worked in the acid plants. You
- were one of them. You were a plastic
- fabricator.

```
In Building 40 there were
```

- approximately four people on shift times a
- seven day, approximately 16 people, with a
- vacation there would 17 people there. There
- would be approximately four more people that
- worked in the front end of Building 40 which
- <sup>7</sup> recovered the sodium sulfur fluoride after the
- b phosphate production and created sodium sulfur
- <sup>9</sup> fluoride.
- From there it went over into the
- middle, which was the DSP, MSP mix area where
- there would be 12, at least 13 more people in
- the mill that produced the MSP, DSP mixes.
- 14 From there it would go to Building 55 where
- there were usually two operators on that sat in
- a non-environmental booth area just on a
- make-shift bench and stuff. So there would be
- at least eight people there that was just in
- filtration just for the monosodium liquor for
- 20 phosphate -- for sodium tripolyphosphate
- 21 production.
- MR. STEPHAN: Sir, you believe there
- were eight workers at the dock, and not four
- workers at the dock?

: Yes. The unloading of

- phosphate rock was eight people. There were
- two shifts of four. The crane operator, a
- qreaser and two pushing the stuff over. And we
- <sup>5</sup> had to sweep those barges because it was very
- extrinsic stuff. We had no muzzles, no
- <sup>7</sup> nothing.
- 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They came in
- 9 barges and they were unloaded with little
- bucket trucks into a hopper. And then the
- hopper was taken up this giant belt up to the
- top. But there was a lot of dust around that,
- getting that rock unloaded and everything. And
- these guys down in the barges, I don't know if
- that stuff would affect them or not, but there
- was plenty of that being inhaled. And then it
- went into the process he's talking about, to
- the calcite and into 40.
- I just want to tell --
- 20 mentioned something about tests. Me and
- 21 my best friends were lead burners there for
- awhile, 15 or 20 years, I don't know how many
- years, but we took a test every month for lead
- poisoning and the urine test.

```
MR. STEPHAN: What years did you work
```

- <sup>2</sup> there?
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 1950 to ?
- MR. STEPHAN: And how often did you
- 5 do the urine test?
- 6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Every month.
- We were not supposed to have over 50 parts per
- 8 million. And I found out when the nurse
- 9 retired -- The tests would have made no good,
- because if we were to get over 50 parts per
- million, we would be notified and not do any
- lead burning through -- I don't know the
- period. We were never notified of anything.
- At the end of the nurse's work
- there, she called me in the office and told me
- that myself I had 430 parts per million in my
- blood in one of the tests. I don't know if it
- was the last one. My buddy, who's passed away,
- he had like 390. And the third man, who was
- training with us at the time, had like 70 or
- something, but he didn't do a whole lot of lead
- burning.
- We were never notified. And
- 24 probably some previous times to that we were

- never notified if we had overdoses of it. So I
- i just want to let \_ know that it wouldn't
- have made any difference if they had tests or
- 4 not because we weren't informed of them.
- <sup>5</sup> MR. STEPHAN: has a
- <sup>6</sup> question for you.
- egy : My question was that
- 8 NIOSH has some urine samples in which uranium
- <sup>9</sup> was measured. And we were interested in
- particular -- So you had urine samples taken
- from lead. We understand that.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I just want to
- say the tests -- They didn't tell us about the
- tests. That's my point.
- : Did anybody tell you
- that -- Did you know they were testing for
- lead? I mean, you were aware that's why you
- were given a urine sample?
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. To
- 20 protect us.
- : I understand that. Did
- 22 anybody say anything about using your urine
- sample to test for uranium?
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER. No. No.

```
: That was very useful.
```

- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There was a
- few union things there about things they did
- that our union didn't know. This is in respect
- to the company. It's got nothing to do with
- 6 this. The company was not a safe place to work
- <sup>7</sup> for. We didn't know this.
- 8 : May I stop you for a
- 9 minute? I must interject this. There is a
- conception at NIOSH for this meeting that there
- were no unions at Blockson Chemical.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, yes there
- was.
- : Now, this is a serious
- question. We need to clarify that. If y'all
- would tell us about that, that would help a
- <sup>17</sup> lot.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There was a
- union, yes.
- : What union was it and
- the local number?
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Chemical
- Workers Union.
- : The union was Local 4,

```
1 International Chemical Workers Union. And it
```

- was there from 1946, they started it. In fact
- Blockson was on strike and they got rid of all
- the people that was in that union. I think
- there's eight people that came back, walked
- 6 across the picket line to keep a job. And that
- was back in the '40s.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That was
- 9 , wasn't it?
- : Yes. He was the
- 11 president.
- : See, now that's an
- example of a fact that we are just learning
- tonight. And there are multiple people who
- confirmed that. So there's information that we
- think we know, but we obviously we don't know.
- And you fellows can you tell us about that.
- MR. HINNEFELD: I would like to
- confirm one thing you just said. You said
- there was a strike in 1946 and eight people
- came back across. Was that strike in 1946,
- 22 147?
- : It was declared a
- Wildcat Strike by the International Union.

- 1 That's the one in '46. Okay. So what happened
- is all these guys that were on that picket line
- in June in that particular time of '47 were
- offered their jobs back. They could come back
- to the thing because the International Union
- said that was a Wildcat Strike.
- So what happened is X number of
- 8 people came back. And then Blockson hired
- everybody from the outside. And I happened to
- be one of them. And I went into maintenance,
- and that's when I hired in, in of '47.
- MR. HINNEFELD: Was there a union
- 13 after that?
- : Oh, yeah. It continued
- on with that same identical union. The
- chemical union, International Chemical Workers.
- They were out of Cincinnati, Ohio.
- : It wasn't the best in
- the world.
- 20 : No, it wasn't the best
- in the world. They were crooked.
- MR. LEWIS: Were they there the whole
- 23 time?
- : Yeah. They were there

- all the time.
- MR. STEPHAN: Sir, did you have
- anything else to add about the work there?
- 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Just some of
- 5 my best friends are all gone. His wife
- is here. She can tell you some of the sickness
- he went through before he passed away as well.
- 9 to tell you this: My partner, \_ and I,
- 10 (phonetic), we worked in
- Building 55. We were lead burners. And all
- the tanks out of Olin, almost all of them, were
- lined with lead. And when they'd get a leak,
- there would be steel, lead and then brick on
- the inside to hold the lead. And it would leak
- through them, the lead, and once it got through
- the lead nothing stopped it.
- So we were in Building 55 for --
- Our shop was there actually. We handled
- sometimes 50 foot pipe. And if we cut it, we
- had to weld it back to together. So we didn't
- cut it, if we could handle it. This was
- plastic, not lead.
- MR. STEPHAN: You did that in

- <sup>1</sup> Building 55?
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pardon me?
- MR. STEPHAN: You did that in
- 4 Building 55?
- 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. I was
- 6 blown out of a tank in Building 55, a lead tank
- on the floor. Maybe a thousand gallon tank.
- 8 It held sulfuric acid. And I cut into a bubble
- in it and it had hydrogen. It blew me out. I
- of scared to death. My watch stopped.
- Anyway, we worked there for a
- long, long time, and there was never a clean up
- that I know of in one (inaudible).
- MR. STEPHAN: The pipe that you
- worked on in Building 55, where did that pipe
- <sup>16</sup> go?
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (inaudible)
- 18 Sometimes we fabricated them and did what we
- could there before we took it on the catwalk.
- 20 And we did -- That's another little thing.
- Then we went to the main shop. We went on a
- bench. We did a lot of fabricating of lead to
- be installed in the plant, and we asked for
- suctions to take away the fumes while we worked

- there on the bench.
- We had to call OSHA. Once we
- called OSHA, within two days they were down
- there putting a vacuum system in. The plant
- 5 didn't think about, you know, us as human
- beings. We were just money makers.
- MR. STEPHAN: Do you recall when in
- 8 your time that you worked there that you asked
- <sup>9</sup> for that to be done?
- 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: When?
- MR. STEPHAN: Yes. When you asked
- OSHA to come, in what year did that happen, do
- you recall?
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I would just
- have to take a guess. It would be '80 or
- something like that. But they did it
- immediately. It was within days that we had
- the vacuum there.
- That's all I got.
- : There's one thing I
- want to let you people know about Blockson and
- Olin Chemical. You'd think they were giving
- away billions of money because they will not
- let you know a dam thing that's going on out

```
there. They would rather let you die than tell
```

- you you can be killed doing this.
- (A round of applause.)
- : I am here for the
- mechanics. I am one of the operators from
- 6 Olin. I worked '53 -- years at Olin.
- I got in Building 55. Fortunately I guess, I
- am going to say fortunate because so many of
- our members have been sick, I didn't work too
- much in there. I operated the calcite rock,
- the rock that came in from Florida, to the
- river, to the furnace. And I cast ironed. I
- took all the impurities out to go through the
- process.
- One thing, I don't know if we
- mentioned we also had sulfuric acid pans and we
- used sulfuric acid in the process. We used
- (inaudible). And I really don't know why we
- have to be telling you all these things because
- you should be able to get all this information
- from the Olin people or from Blockson. There
- is a such a law that you can get all this
- information.
- We have several chemists here in

Joliet that know all the involvements, but they

- are not here to work with us because they
- <sup>3</sup> are (inaudible.)
- : Can I mention this? In
- response to your question, the headquarters,
- office for Olin Brass Company is right in East
- 7 Alton, Illinois right now. But I believe that
- this is the first time actually in the last two
- 9 days that we have initiated talks with them.
- And you're exactly right.
- But you got to understand the
- management of these companies is not
- forthcoming, so that's why your information is
- so valuable. But I want you to understand that
- 15 I agree with you, there needs to be a lot of
- work at the other end because the company's got
- a lot of this information that we need.
- MR. STEPHAN: You worked there 36
- 19 years. Did you have a urinalysis test done?
- : Never. Never. In
- 21 all our time that all of us that worked at
- Olin, we never had any compulsory anything.
- When we worked -- If my job was done and maybe
- I was unfortunate enough to go to 55, then I

- worked there that day.
- As we say you cook the stuff,
- you dump it. The operator fills the trucks
- outside. We use our same clothes. The same
- s clothes that are filthy, which we use everyday
- at other places. We can use it if you want to.
- We don't have to. There was no rule that you
- <sup>8</sup> had to use them. And safety hazards come into
- 9 I don't know when. But we just wore caps,
- whatever. So that's why a lot of these
- families are here.
- We took the dust home. We took
- the dust home with them. There was never,
- never anything said to any of us because maybe
- they didn't know themselves. I think so. They
- are people smarter than me with a high school
- education. No. Nothing about safety.
- As says they worked there, we
- touched, this (inaudible), you worked with this
- today so you go take a shower. Not like that.
- The only time that we started taking showers is
- when we started working on dust collectors and
- other places that we are so dirty, so sweaty,
- they give us each a half hour to do it. Other

```
than that it was nothing about safety, about
```

- <sup>2</sup> uranium. I come in there just come out of the
- service. I never knew about that stuff, you
- 4 know. And nobody ever questioned it, you know.
- But the process started in 40
- 6 and (inaudible) sulfuric acid and started
- pressing it down. And the operators that I
- 8 know, good Lord, they are deceased. The
- operators that worked there, they're deceased.
- 10 But all of us that worked for those (inaudible)
- years, sometimes we worked in there.
- (Inaudible) If we were fortunate enough, we
- were in there. And you say yes, we have to
- sweep the yellow dust. If you want to wear a
- mask or you didn't want to wear a mask, you
- know, it doesn't matter.
- : My question is this: So
- you worked mostly outside of Building 55,
- 19 right?
- 20 : I worked about 60
- feet away from the cast iron. I had an
- operation, too. I had an operation about eight
- years ago, but my operation turned out that my
- growth was benign at that time.

```
: That's good. In
```

- 2 Building 55 you must have known that was kind
- of a special building, right?
- : The only way we know
- 5 it is a special building is because it's a
- Government building. And we had --
- <sup>7</sup> Mr. (inaudible) was there. He is the one that
- took the names. There was nothing -- There was
- 9 no reason to say it was a special building with
- safety equipment. It was only because it was
- 11 Government.
- : It was guarded, right?
- : He was just there
- taking the names of the fellows that come in
- there.
- : Here's the question:
- One of your people just said you had to pass
- through two locked doors to get through the
- building.
- e or you knock on the
- door. If you're a laborer you went back and
- forth. It wasn't -- Maybe just for security
- sake, but it had nothing to do with safety or
- 24 anything like that. We went in and out, we

```
filled bags, we swept the floor. What I am
```

- trying to tell you, sir, is there was nothing
- ever said to any of us like you have got to
- wear this, you have got to wear that. We just
- 5 assumed it was like any other building.
- f : The yellow material on
- the floor, the "Yellow Cake", that was uranium
- 8 oxide. Nobody ever mentioned that to you?
- 9 : Never. Never in all
- my 36 years until I hear it now. And I know
- some doctors in Joliet. And you know it too.
- The incidents of death from Joliet and Blockson
- 13 Chemical. You pick the names of all the
- fellows that passed away at Blockson Chemical.
- I am sure. I don't have the information, but I
- bet you.
- MR. STEPHAN: You said that if they
- offered you a mask, you can wear it or not?
- 19 : Yeah. In any of the
- buildings.
- MR. STEPHAN: What type of mask was
- <sup>22</sup> it?
- : It wasn't no mask.
- It was just a (inaudible), just a cloth.

```
MR. STEPHAN: Like you would use at
```

- 2 home?
- : You take it home.
- <sup>4</sup> Throw it away.
- MR. STEPHAN: How often did you go in
- 6 and out of Building 55?
- : It depends. If we
- 8 were with the labor gang, we might have been
- there all day off and on. And I will tell you
- one thing, too, we didn't have a lunchroom
- there until much, much later. If you wanted to
- eat your lunch and if you were in that
- building, you ate it there. And that's what
- the operators did. They didn't have no
- lunchroom. Everything was in the open.
- (Inaudible). It pays your rent.
- MR. STEPHAN: It's 8:30. We need
- <sup>18</sup> to --
- : The only thing I have
- to say is there was never, never no safety.
- There was never -- Nobody ever told us, you
- know, this place is bad, the whole thing.
- Never.
- MR. STEPHAN: Folks, I think we have

```
heard from seven workers out of 10 who are
```

- here, and I hope we did not lose one.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My husband
- would have been here today, but he's in the
- bospital. He just went in today. I came in.
- 6 He's talked to several people here.
- mr. STEPHAN: Let's go ahead, take a
- 8 moment and change the tape. Let's hear from
- 9 these other few workers here and then we can --
- We do have to go through some of these
- questions for pretty specific reasons, and then
- if some of the survivors' wives and children
- want to comment, then you can comment. We need
- to hear from these other workers first though.
- 15 (A short break was taken.)
- MR. STEPHAN: The court reporter is
- ready. We are going to hear from the rest of
- these workers and then -- Mark, maybe we
- will take a break. It will be almost two
- hours. And then we will get some of your
- questions of the workers. And then if some of
- the claimants have something to offer about
- work, then we will hear from them.
- : I gave my comments

```
when I was sitting down. My name is
```

- middle initial
- I want to make a political
- 4 comment for the people from the Senator's
- office. This has been going on for three years
- now. I am sure the Government has spent
- millions of dollars in trying to reconstruct
- all the information they need, trying to
- 9 adjudicate what the problem is. And I
- understand they have only paid eight claims.
- There was probably a couple of
- 100 people involved in this thing over the
- years. I don't expect to see anything. I
- don't want anything because at 77 I am still in
- 15 good health. The Government would have been a
- lot smarter just to go ahead if they could
- verify the dates that they were there and the
- 18 fact that they worked around those conditions,
- 19 give them \$150,000 apiece, they would be
- millions of dollars ahead.
- And this is going to drag on for
- years. I will probably die.
- <sup>23</sup> (A round of applause.)
- : I don't want anything

- because because my health is more important
- than getting \$150,000 that I probably won't see
- anyway. I am tired. This needs to be
- politically settled because you're going to
- keep spending million of dollars to try to
- 6 reconstruct all the stuff. The records are not
- <sup>7</sup> available.
- 8 I've had at least 10 people call
- 9 me, how do I prove that I worked there. I
- said, do you get a pension? They went back to
- Prudential and found out they had records in
- 12 fact that they worked at Blockson during that
- period of time. That's the only records.
- There are no records. I tried to get records.
- I was in the office there, but there are no
- records. There are no records at all. Believe
- $^{17}$  me.
- The plant is destroyed. It's
- highly contaminated. They are going to turn it
- 20 into a dump. They are still out there
- monitoring the soil out there. Contrary to
- what they said, with me it was a good place to
- work. I was out of the Army two weeks and I
- got a good job. And then I went in as an

```
Executive Assistant to when the
```

- company merged to Olin Chemical company. And
- we took over about seven or eight chemical
- 4 plants throughout the country.
- 5 As far as I was concerned I had
- a good boss, I made good money. I couldn't
- believe I was making \$221 as a First Lieutenant
- out of the service a month. And I got hired at
- 9 \$500 a month in 1955. I couldn't believe I
- doubled my salary. But the thing is I think
- you're spinning your wheels. You've been
- working at this for three years --
- (Audience yelling six years.)
- : Six or whatever it is.
- You've spent millions of dollars. You would
- have been better off proving that I was there
- during those years. Very easy to prove through
- your pension records. All these people got
- pensions from Prudential. I still get mine. A
- lousy \$25 a month, but it's okay. It pays for
- a tank of gas.
- You would have been better off
- verifying employment, give them all \$150,000 if
- they worked in that vicinity, and they would

```
have been millions of dollars ahead. That's
```

- <sup>2</sup> all I have to say.
- (A round of applause.)
- frank you.
- 5 I want to talk a little bit about the equipment
- that was in the building when we first started.
- 7 The dust collector, I think you want to know
- what happened to them. I was there when they
- 5 took it out; the dust collector, the pans and
- the small presses. There were two presses; one
- press and one (inaudible). The other press
- went down to Department 70.
- The pans and the purchase part
- was up in the hill, what they call it, bowl
- 15 (phonetic) yard. And there are Kellys. They
- didn't touch anything with them as far as
- taking them up. They were all stainless steel.
- And I would assume when they changed over to
- process liquor again through them presses, they
- just changed the cloths on them. And let me
- see what else is there. They would be too
- expensive to pull them out and replace them.
- And then another thing we are
- talking about, NIOSH wants to talk about dust.

- 1 They had fans on the south side of that
- building, three big fans that blew out from 55
- itself out to the road. And when they did the
- sweep, there was plenty of residue out there.
- 5 Well, anywhere there was a roof fan. It was
- <sup>6</sup> probably down at the dock even. I don't know
- how they could determine what amount of, you
- know, dust was in the air. It was too hard.
- 9 You couldn't do it. They did it for 10 years,
- that was blowing out there.
- And the people that came in
- after that, it took them 16 years before they
- came in and did a wipe. And we never knew
- nothing about if it was contaminated or not.
- 15 They hid all of that from us. So there were
- people, and I did too, I did greasing after
- they started in there and crawling around in
- there. I didn't know. I didn't have no clue
- that it would be on my clothes. I don't know
- what else I could tell you.
- MR. STEPHAN: What years did you work
- there?
- : 1947 to years.
- MR. STEPHAN: I am sorry, what was

```
your job title, your function?
```

- 2 : I worked maintenance at
- the end for about 15 years, so I spent quite a
- bit of time in there.
- MR. STEPHAN: And how often were you
- in Building 55?
- ? : Quite a bit.
- 8 MR. STEPHAN: No, I mean like on a
- 9 weekly basis, a daily basis, a monthly basis.
- We would be assigned to
- like say, your area you had like four or five
- buildings. The boss would say well, this week
- you're over in this building to work, you know.
- 14 So it depends on you, how much equipment you
- had to work to grease it, or oil it, or
- whatever it took.
- MR. STEPHAN: You might be in there
- everyday, but you might not. It just depends?
- rue.
- MR. STEPHAN: Did you ever have an
- urinalysis?
- 22 : No. Never had one. The
- only thing I did like I said earlier when I
- first started -- not when I first started, but

- I worked on the river on the phosphate rock.
- And I put in my claim, but they already said --
- NIOSH said, naw, that ain't no good, but yet
- they got people down in Florida that were
- <sup>5</sup> getting compensated for working with the
- 6 phosphate rock, but us no.
- 7 MR. LEWIS: Do you know of any
- changes in Building 40 that took place to
- 9 augment Building 55's functions?
- : I don't think so, no.
- 11 If they made any changes to Building 55?
- MR. LEWIS: Uh-huh.
- No. They wouldn't have
- to because they were already processing like --
- I don't know. They were already making
- monosodium, disodium liquor. They were already
- making that.
- MR. LEWIS: Any (inaudible) towers in
- or nothing like that? You don't know?
- 20 : No, not that I know of.
- I don't think so.
- MR. STEPHAN: And you would do
- maintenance in Building 40?
- : Yes. Do the whole plant

```
really. There was four of us.
```

- MR. STEPHAN: or Stu, do
- you have any questions for this gentleman?
- 4 MR. HINNEFELD: I have a question in
- <sup>5</sup> general of this gentleman or someone else that
- 6 can help. I am trying to understand. I heard
- people refer to the gyp pile or the gypson. I
- was wondering, just to make sure I am clear on
- that, at what point does this -- This is
- essentially a waste or a byproduct?
- : It was a waste.
- MR. HINNEFELD: It was just discarded
- in a pile, a discard pile on the side?
- : No. They call it a
- gypson pile, but it was phosphate rock at one
- 16 time.
- MR. HINNEFELD: Essentially what's
- the -- It's essentially the rock comes in, you
- throw in some acid, the acid goes with the
- stuff you want, what's left behind is this
- gypson?
- 22 :: Right.
- MR. HINNEFELD: It's just dropped
- down the pile to dispose of?

```
: No. It's pumped out.
```

- : It went into a pit, more
- water was mixed into it, that was pumped to
- some rubber line pumps that were outside of
- Building 40. And there were six of them -- no,
- four of them in a line. Three of them ran
- <sup>7</sup> always at one time. It went then to a pipeline
- that was up in the air, and the pipeline -- the
- 9 pipe was all rubber lined. And it went all the
- way out to the quarry where the gypson was
- disposed of, okay, out of the pipe.
- 12 As the gypson level came up
- higher, they had pipes that were four feet in
- diameter where the liquid would flow off into
- it. But as the gypson raised, so did -- they
- would put spool pieces in there to raise up the
- level of the gypson. So they just kept adding,
- and adding, and adding on.
- : Years before they had a
- pile out there, all that went down a river.
- Half of that -- Well, not half of it. Most of
- it ended up in Peoria. Yeah. They were liable
- a couple of times. They had to do some
- <sup>24</sup> drudging.

Page 85 Where is Splicer's quarry? It's at the end of the It's at the end of Splicer ROAD. road. At the end of Splicer Road? Yeah. And that's where the gyp pile sits? 10 Yeah. 11 Does the gyp pile sit on 12 top of Splicers quarry? 13 No. No, it does not? 15 No. They kept building the 16 bank up around there making their own pond. 17 And they just kept pumping it, pumping it out. 18 That gypson, if it could be recovered, they'd 19 20 use it for wall board. 21 Sir, so when you would MR. STEPHAN: be in Building 55 to do work, how many other 22 23 maintenance people were with you at times? 24 If they It depends.

```
were in there changing the pump --
```

- MR. STEPHAN: The maximum number.
- : That would be hard for
- 4 me to say. I can't say.
- MR. STEPHAN: Maybe 3, or maybe 10,
- or it's hard to say?
- 7 : Too hard. You could
- have pipe-fitters, you could have mechanics in
- <sup>9</sup> there, you can have a greaser in there.
- There's not just one thing going to go down
- each time. Maybe if a unit went down, you may
- have 9 or 10 people in there working.
- MR. STEPHAN: Can you come up with an
- estimate of the maximum number of any workers,
- not just maintenance workers, in Building 55
- when you were in there? An estimate when you
- were in there.
- : For any period of time?
- MR. STEPHAN: No. Just when you were
- there.
- : For one day?
- MR. STEPHAN: Right then, yes. Like
- I can estimate there are 60 people here or 50
- people. When you were in Building 55, how many

people could you estimate that were in there?

- 2 : I would say at least
- $^3$  five to six.
- 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: At one time or
- 5 the whole year?
- : Just one day.
- 7 : Normally when
- maintenance went in there, they went in there
- 9 and did the whole shot. Whatever had to be
- done. Some things could be build up and, you
- know, if it got like a pump you say, but they
- always had back ups. They didn't have to be
- changed right now, but they would put it on.
- And then when they shut down (inaudible)
- processing the liquor or putting the two Kellys
- up there, then they would do it, organize it so
- it would be convenient.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER; When you
- changed the pump, how many people were there,
- <sup>20</sup> one?
- : Each had two to a pump.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Was there
- 23 anybody else from maintenance there at that
- 24 time?

```
: Well, yes. I would be
```

- there. I had to make sure the pump was oiled
- after it was put in.
- 4 INTERNITIED SPEAKER: That's at
- least three, plus you got the two or three
- operators that were there.
- ? : You weren't talking
- 8 about the --
- 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Any people --
- His question is everybody in that building;
- maintenance, electrical, laborer.
- MR. STEPHAN: Anybody in the
- building.
- You had two upstairs on
- the presses, right. You had two downstairs on
- the shed. That's four there. You had the
- guard. Five. And then whatever maintenance
- people that came in there.
- But what's the reason for you
- wanting to know how many people? It shouldn't
- make any difference how many people worked in
- there.
- MR. STEPHAN: What we are trying to
- determine is that we believe that -- And what

is trying to get at, and NIOSH, and

- our office is how many people were in the
- building at different points in time. How many
- 4 people throughout the whole plant went in and
- out of the building? Those are the kinds of
- things that we are trying to determine.
- You're trying to say the
- \* people that were exposed by being in that
- 9 building?
- MR. STEPHAN: Right.
- : I just said before about
- them (inaudible) throwing them it out on the
- road and down the river. That could be
- everybody.
- MR. STEPHAN: Sure.
- : So you can't just say
- four or five people.
- MR. STEPHAN: You're right.
- 19 : Let me pose the issue to
- you a slightly different way. And I am not
- sure my facts are perfect, but the number that
- I have heard banded about is approximately 25
- people were working in Building 55. But there
- is other information that some people say

```
considerably more people than that, if you took
```

- all people that worked at Blockson/Olin worked
- in Building 55, you know, some people say there
- were many more people. And what I have heard
- 5 tonight just from being here is that most
- 6 people said that most workers who were at the
- <sup>7</sup> plant came in and out of Building 55 at one
- 8 time or another. So I would like to
- 9 substantiate --
- : Are you just talking
- about when they processed uranium for 10 years
- or all the time? Now there's another --
- : The 10 years that went
- on that's a particular interest.
- : That's by itself.
- : I think what we heard is
- there were certainly people who processed
- outside of Building 55 in the initial steps
- where the uranium was extracted.
- : Right.
- : And then you have also
- said that between 5 and 12 people may have been
- in Building 55 at any one time. But if you
- asked the question of all the people who were

```
there at the plant, you know, was it just a
```

- group of 5 to 12 people who were there, and the
- next day those same 12 people, and the next day
- those 12 people? What you're saying I think I
- b have heard is that there were other people.
- <sup>6</sup> The pool -- The overall pool who worked in 55
- yas larger --
- e : Pretty high. Because
- g after they shut down for the uranium, they made
- a warehouse out of that for awhile. So you had
- truck drivers going there.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My husband.
- : And people were on the
- lift truck. And there was storage in there, so
- they went in and out of there all the time.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Painters and
- electricians.
- : We are talking about two
- different times. We are talking about a period
- when the restriction was on. That's when it
- was confined down to 13 people at the most,
- because you had to be investigated. But after
- the restriction was taken off, anybody could
- come in there.

Page 92 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: How long is it before the uranium disappears? 3 It's still UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: there. Before it settles down to non-radioactive it's 4.5 billion years. You have anything else MR. STEPHAN: to add? No, I don't think so. 10 Are you a worker, sir? MR. STEPHAN: 11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. 12 MR. STEPHAN: Would you like to come 13 up? 14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Everything said covered it. He's done a good 15 16 job. 17 I would like to speak 18 to one thing and then I am going to leave you 19 people alone and go on home. You have got to 20 remember people working in 55 probably got the 21 biggest feel of it, but everybody and anybody 22 that worked in that plant is getting just as 23 bad as they were in 55. 24 (A round of applause.)

```
MR. STEPHAN: Mark, do you want to
```

- try to take a break?
- MR. LEWIS: Yeah, let's try to take
- about a 10 minute break. And the people who
- want to talk to (inaudible). There's some
- things in there -- I want to make sure for the
- 7 workers and the site, is there anything in here
- 8 that we really didn't get to?
- 9 MR. HINNEFELD: I just have a couple
- of things that are on the list that I wanted to
- cover. I want to make sure I understand the
- solid waste and the liquid wastes that are
- generated. I heard about -- The gypson was
- essentially a solid waste, right, disposed of
- in a pile; is that correct?
- : Yes.
- MR. HINNEFELD: Were there other
- quantities of solid waste from the various
- presses and filtrations that were not -- that
- were not going to end up as product, they were
- just going to be disposed of? And was there
- special treatment to those? Where they hauled
- to a particular place? Were they used for some
- other secondary process like a fill for

construction or something like that, or a dump,

- or was there some other waste generated
- besides the gypson of any particular magnitude?
- 4 And if so what was its destination? And if
- 5 there were liquid wastes, liquids that were
- attached to the filtration where in this step
- the filtration -- it was good stuff, you were
- going to have a liquid waste, did anything
- happen with that besides just going off to the
- sewer?
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Liquid wastes?
- MR. HINNEFELD: Yes.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There were
- four ponds on the property. And all of a
- sudden they are all like filled in. They have
- radioactive signs all over. Because our
- father, he worked in Building 55. And then for
- overtime he would sit by this water to protect
- the wildlife. He sat there with a shotgun.
- MR. HINNEFELD: Does anyone know if
- there was a liquid waste discharge pond of the
- liquids that they didn't want to send --
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Why were these
- things just filled in all of sudden? Just all

- of a sudden they got filled in.
- MR. HINNEFELD: I will talk to you
- about that in a little bit. I got some
- quesses, but I don't know.
- 5 : Can y'all tell us the
- time frame you're talking about? When were the
- <sup>7</sup> ponds there?
- 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The ponds were
- there -- My sister will be here tomorrow night.
- And we have pictures of the ponds. They were
- there two, three years ago. All of sudden they
- <sup>12</sup> are gone now.
- : What I really want to
- find out is when did they first appear.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It had to be
- in the '50s because my father was protecting
- the wildlife with a shotgun.
- : So you think they were
- there all along?
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Yeah.
- : The ponds he's referring
- to are on Patterson Road. (Inaudible)
- happening on the site anymore. They expanded
- these ponds and they were called Purity 1,

Purity 2 and Purity 1-A. It was a (inaudible)

- operation where they would sell P205 to
- farmers, P205 is phosphate (inaudible) and sold
- 4 to farmers twice a year. That operation to the
- best of my knowledge is still in effect at the
- facility there. It's manned by someone other
- <sup>7</sup> than Olin. It may be manned by electricians or
- something like that.
- 9 One of their total retention
- ponds, I have documentation not with me
- tonight, but when Olin was in operation they
- were applying that to a test field in
- New Lenox, Illinois. It was a testing outfit
- out of New Lenox that did testing on this
- <sup>15</sup> filter.
- They gave , who was the
- head of Environmental at Olin Chemicals at the
- time, a heads up that it was a radiological
- concern coming up with that test field in
- New Lenox, Illinois and it wasn't at action
- level yet, but nonetheless they gave her a
- heads up on it.
- So there was affluence that
- didn't go to the gyp pile that went to these

```
ponds, and that (inaudible) sunlight probably
```

- evaporated or being recycled as (inaudible).
- And yes, there was an automatic shotgun out
- there that needed to be gone up to and refilled
- with Winchester ammunition to keep the wildlife
- from it, because once the wildlife hit the
- 7 (inaudible), they never got out of it again.
- My dad reported -- My dad
- 9 is . And he had various positions
- out there at the plant. I would like to have
- you people call him. He's out in Arizona right
- now. But I do recall him saying that at one
- time they were selling some of the gypson to
- grape vineyard owners. It was supposed to be a
- good fertilizer for grapes. I think he said at
- one time they tried to make concrete blocks out
- of it, but it didn't seem to pan out too well.
- 18 I don't know what else they did to it.
- MR. LEWIS: Give me a number so I can
- 20 call him.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: One of the
- 22 ponds out there they are still selling
- fertilizer to the farmers. I don't know the
- name of it. My husband knows it. They are

```
still selling it the other (inaudible). He
```

- worked out in the pond there. And they sold
- that to the farmers to put out in their fields.
- When Olin quit there, they sold it to somebody
- 5 else and they are still getting stuff out of
- <sup>6</sup> the pond.
- MR. STEPHAN: Ma'am, would you do us
- a favor too and make sure you get Mark's cards
- 9 because Mark will call your husband because he
- wants to speak to him directly.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have another
- question to the panel. Right now there's talks
- about making this big gypson pile that they
- have out there into a dump site.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's already
- passed.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And they also
- want to cover over this gypson pile. And my
- 19 question is: Where is the EPA on this? Who
- has checked this out?
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They want to
- make a golf course out there eventually.
- : You need to call Will
- <sup>24</sup> County. The whole Board meeting, I think

```
that's the same thing they were just talking
```

- about. I think it passed the Board. You need
- to call Will County. That's a County issue.
- These folks have no idea what you're talking
- about. I only knew because I was at the County
- Board meeting, but you need to call the County
- because they are the ones who work with Waste
- 8 Management to turn it into a recreation --
- 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Recreation
- site.
- : Call the County and
- 12 call
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The Government
- contracted that stuff to be dumped out there.
- : What she's talking
- about is a new dump site. The County just
- <sup>17</sup> approved one.
- MR. STEPHAN: These folks here
- wouldn't have any information about that from
- NIOSH.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I know they
- don't. It's just the idea even of why anybody
- would let them do something like that. What's
- going to happen in a few years when it's

- recreation and all of a sudden they are getting
- sick too? (Inaudible)
- MR. STEPHAN: Mark, do you want to
- take a break? Stu or , you want to
- 5 make sure you get your questions in of the
- 6 workers? Are there any workers who are not
- going to make it tomorrow night?
- MR. HINNEFELD: Tomorrow night is the
- same meeting. We are not going to do anything
- different tomorrow, unless people come back
- with information that they feel wasn't brought
- up tonight that should have been mentioned. So
- it's going to be the same meeting tomorrow.
- MR. STEPHAN: I am just worried that
- we may go a little bit more than two hours. If
- we take a break, you may lose some of the
- workers. We've still got several specific
- questions that we need to get through.
- MR. HINNEFELD: Robert, a lot of the
- information on these have been answered.
- MR. STEPHAN: , do you
- have any questions?
- : I think there's two
- questions that haven't been answered adequately

- at all that are really important. One is the
- question about how the uranium oxide drums were
- <sup>3</sup> filled.
- 4 MR. STEPHAN: Can any of the workers
- 5 speak to how the uranium oxide drums were
- 6 actually filled?
- ? : And the two competing
- 8 ideas are: Are they filled manually or either
- 9 with your hands, or with a shovel, or by a
- machine? So we are interested in that. How
- did the "Yellow Cake" get into the drums?
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My husband
- said both ways.
- : When the "Yellow Cake"
- came out of the oven after it had cooked
- overnight, it came out in trays. The trays
- might have been about this size here. All
- right. Then we had a small -- we had a
- conveyer, a cone. And that cone was hooked up
- to a small dust collector. Okay. And we took
- and we dumped the cake into this drum -- into
- this shoot that goes down into the drum. I
- think the drum was setting on a scale I
- think.

```
: Here's the question: --
```

- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Manually.
- 3 : Manually. By hand.
- MR. LEWIS: Any ventilation there?
- $^{5}$  : There was a dust
- 6 collector there, a small dust collector
- overhead.
- 8 : And when you took the
- 9 cake, the dried cake, how did you get it from
- the pan through the cone into the drum? Did
- you pick it up with your hands?
- : We picked it up, picked
- the tray up and dumped it over.
- : All of it came off?
- : All of it came off and
- went down the chute. The chute was formed like
- this and goes right into the drum.
- : You got a pan. You
- didn't have to scrape it with your hand?
- : No. No. The only
- scraping that was done there was when the
- 22 . "Yellow Cake" came out and when it was wet,
- then we had hand scrapers, and we scraped it
- off the cloth, then it went down in the pan.

```
We put the pan in the rack and we put it in the
```

- oven.
- : Then the other question
- was: There is interest and concern with
- 5 another radioactive element which is generated
- 6 during the course of uranium extraction and
- <sup>7</sup> purification. And that's thorium. Is that a
- word y'all ever heard?
- : I never heard of that.
- : Any of y'all?
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Never.
- : It's generated during
- the course of decay of uranium. That was
- really the question. Was there any information
- 15 about that?
- 16 : No.
- MR. STEPHAN: We have a question
- about the radium and when it was separated out.
- 19 Are we okay on that? Whether it was in the
- phosphate acid stream or in the --
- : I think we ought -- We
- know that radium-226 is one of the elements
- involved. In respect to that also radon. So
- can any of the workers here comment on either

```
radium or radon?
```

- (No response.)
- MR. STEPHAN: We had a worker,
- 4 maybe we lost him, that spoke a lot about that,
- the separation process.
- 6 MR. HINNEFELD: I think the situation
- would probably be that nobody paid any
- 8 attention. There was probably no analysis done
- <sup>9</sup> to find where the radium went. From other
- similar plants there is some.
- 11 ;: Just for the record and
- for the court reporter, nobody who is here
- tonight has knowledge of either radon or
- 14 radium.
- MR. STEPHAN: , is that
- right? You did some separation, you took out
- some impurities. Do you recall radon or
- 18 radium?
- : What?
- MR. STEPHAN: You did some work in
- the separation process, and you took out some
- of the impurities you said.
- : Yeah.
- MR. STEPHAN: Do you ever remember

separating out radium or radon? Anybody ever

- mention that word?
- : No, not that I know.
- I never probably -- I probably couldn't even
- <sup>5</sup> spell that word. I never knew nothing about
- that, that we started making atomic bomb
- <sup>7</sup> equipment. No. Never. None of us, that I
- know of, ever related to something like that.
- 9 And like I said, the security it
- was really -- He's not here. He was
- just, to me, taking names of the fellows that
- went in and out. Nothing about shoes. Nothing
- like that. And like I said, we just went in
- with our old -- We didn't get plastic helmets
- until about what, '47, '50 for safety. We used
- our own gloves.
- Sir, we had our sandwiches. We
- all did. We had our sandwiches. We didn't
- have a lunchroom. We had a locker room if you
- want to eat, the locker room. Way up there.
- You put a sandwich, like all of us, in your
- pocket, and you ate that sandwich. If you work
- in that building there, if wanted to, you ate
- your lunch right there. We didn't have

lunchrooms until much, much later, a lunchroom.

- Now, sir, Blockson Chemical
- was -- the three Blockson brothers are the ones
- that started that plant. To me now they are
- looking at other things. It was very
- <sup>6</sup> primitive. It was a lot of stuff wasn't
- improved. This other material that I speak of.
- We also used to cook that, cook it in the
- 9 oven.
- I say today that it should have
- been a different way to have done that, but
- that's the way it was done you know. So a lot
- of, to me, primitive work that was there when
- the Blockson brothers started that plant, and
- they stayed for some reason or another. Thank
- <sup>16</sup> you.
- : Robert, the last
- question is the last question that's on the
- sheet, and that is: Everybody is aware I
- 20 presume that the Government entity was involved
- with the Atomic Energy Commission who
- commissioned the process to exact uranium,
- which was responsible for Building 55. Are
- there any of the other workers who were aware

```
of the Atomic Energy Commission being involved
```

- at Blockson or Olin at all, in any way; a
- training program, rules and regulations they
- 4 had, inspections?
- 5 (A chorus of no.)
- 6 : No. I worked there and
- 7 I don't have any knowledge of any of this.
- 8 MR. STEPHAN: Atomic Energy
- 9 Commission. AEC for short.
- : The only time they ever
- came around was when they did a wipe, 16 years
- later after the plant was done processing.
- MR. STEPHAN: Who is they? You mean
- the AEC?
- : Yeah.
- MR. STEPHAN: And you knew at the
- time that that's who they were?
- : No, I didn't know. I
- never knew until I put the claim in.
- MR. HINNEFELD: Sixteen years after
- the uranium was closed would have been about
- the time (inaudible) was doing their
- investigation.
- MR. STEPHAN: I just have a couple of

```
questions to make sure --
```

- : The radon, I did hear
- them talk about the radon out there in Building
- 4 40. And radon, I think, the phosphate rock and
- 5 acid mixed together would cause that:
- 6 MR. HINNEFELD: Yes. It would be
- released during that, yes, it would.
- <sup>9</sup> of it was.
- MR. STEPHAN: That would be
- consistent, right, with what they were saying
- about Building 40 previously?
- MR. HINNEFELD: Yes. That's where
- the acid dissolution occurred and the acid
- dissolution would probably generate radon from
- the rock.
- : I have a document from a
- that came out of Florida. He's
- involved with the Institute For Phosphate
- 20 Research of Florida. I did an investigation
- because I used to have to clean the tanks in
- Building 40. And in around the 1980s due to
- EPA and OSHA regs, all of them were forced to
- put in super scrubbers on top of these

```
digesters or phosphoric acid lines.
```

- I was involved in cleaning the
- vessels and I was involved in cleaning the
- scrubber units. I became concerned about
- 5 radium and radon based on why we are here
- 6 tonight. e-mailed me back, as for
- working in the digester, we call that the
- 8 attack (inaudible) or reactor down here, I
- 9 really can't say exactly what the chemical and
- 10 radiological characteristics of the mud or
- 11 (inaudible) materials might be.
- I talked to this guy. He
- doesn't know of any radioactive studies that
- might have been done on them. They may or may
- not have been radioactive. Now, I believe this
- person is listed as a reference in one of your
- dose reconstruction booklets that you put out.
- The reason why I remember that
- name is because I was also in contact with
- and \_ , who have
- since passed. was the first initial
- 22 (inaudible) on this and was
- directly assigned to AEC production right
- 24 afterwards, and then they both sent me

```
<sup>1</sup> documentation.
```

```
But this person here is sort of
```

- contradictory. He's the president of whatever
- 4 he is at the International Phosphate Research
- Project in Florida and Florida State University
- 6 (inaudible). He's telling me here that he was
- familiar with the Olin site and what he calls
- 8 (inaudible) went into these digesters and
- shoveled it out. He doesn't know if there were
- any radioactive properties to that.
- MR. STEPHAN: Guys, I have two more
- questions I just want to make sure we cover off
- of your list. Is that okay, Mark?
- MR. LEWIS: Sure.
- MR. STEPHAN: , back to
- your question about how the drums were filled;
- manually or by machine. One of the things we
- heard is that manually was done by shovel.
- There was a pile of "Yellow Cake", and then the
- "Yellow Cake" would be shoveled into a drum; is
- that correct?
- : (Nods head.)
- MR. STEPHAN: That's correct. Okay.
- Does anybody else know anything about that?

```
: I don't know anything
```

- about that. When you hear me talk, I am
- 3 telling you about what happened during the time
- when the restriction was on, when security was
- on, when you couldn't get out of the building.
- These other things what I hear, it happened
- <sup>7</sup> after I left. And I left during the time when
- the restriction was on. But I told you we pull
- out the buggy, and we put in a tray and we dump
- it by hand. And we had a scale on it and we
- weighed the drum.
- MR. STEPHAN: So we have at least by
- hand and we also have by shovel.
- i I don't know.
- MR. STEPHAN: At least one by shovel?
- : Yeah.
- MR. STEPHAN: Sir, go ahead.
- : Can I interrupt you?
- You got a picture up there. All of you got one
- up there of the process. If you will just look
- 21 at it, it will show you how it's done. That's
- why we gave it to you.
- : I think y'all need to
- understand something. A picture tells one

```
story. The reason we are asking everybody is,
```

- you know, at different times different methods
- could be used. And some people said there were
- 4 machines that did it.
- 5 And we want to know among the
- people who are here tonight, are y'all aware
- that there was any machine used to load the
- 8 drums. And so the answer I think is, no, not
- that the people here know about.
- MR. STEPHAN: The second question
- that I wanted to focus on is the dust. We
- heard a lot about dust tonight. It's here on
- your list of questions. So in terms of the
- dust, was the average dust level non-existent,
- was it medium, was it high, was it, you know,
- visible? And if it was visible, how much did
- you see and where was it in the building?
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: When they blew
- the building up, the dust went all over.
- MR. STEPHAN: True. When the
- building was operational, when the building was
- up, particularly for the maintenance folks,
- , when you would go in and clean,
- and some of the maintenance folks, how much

```
dust would you see or, if any, dust?
```

- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's all over
- their clothes. It was yellow. When they
- brought their clothes home, it was yellow
- 5 powder.
- 6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My dad would
- 7 come home and he looked like -- we would call
- him the snowman. My mom used to make him strip
- 9 at the garage and (inaudible) and I used to
- laugh. He came home looking like that. He
- would have to go downstairs and take a shower.
- My dad was there. There's no doubt my dad was
- not there. My dad was there from '50 to '69.
- 14 He worked in Building 55.
- But yet -- I know this is an
- individual problem, but I mean my father died
- from lung cancer. I thought I understood that
- they were questioning, you know, the time
- working, which he was there from '50 to '69.
- The problem happened during '50 to '60 or '61,
- or whatever that time is we are talking about.
- I also thought -- My father died
- 23 from lung cancer. I thought the claimants were
- deserving of it if they died from lung cancer.

But I understand there are still people that

- <sup>2</sup> are putting claims in who have gotten claims.
- The people are still alive. But I guess I am
- confused because my father's dose
- <sup>5</sup> reconstruction was 27 percent and he lived
- there. He got pulled away from vacations.
- My dad, even though he was the
- 8 maintenance supervisor, he did it all. He was
- 9 in there changing the things. My mom told me
- how much he was doing. But, yes, my dad's dose
- reconstruction was 27 percent. I think you had
- to get 50 percent to get the claim. My mother
- is trying to pay some of her bills. I don't
- understand how -- Well, I want to know why
- eight have already been settled, and my father
- died from the lung cancer and he was denied? I
- am confused, but I guess that's something I
- have to have answered individually.
- MR. STEPHAN: Can we get through
- the -- It's a very valid question. Can we just
- get through these last questions with the
- workers?
- 23 : I want to set the dust
- problem in a different context for you. At

- Weldon Springs, for example, in the building
- where a lot of the "Yellow Cake" was used, was
- produced, they had a brick floor. And there
- was a practice everyday to wash that floor
- 5 down. And so the workers there tell about
- 6 layers of yellow uranium oxide in and under the
- floor, and around the bricks and so forth. So
- that's what we are asking.
- We understand that your clothes
- were yellow. Most of the plants where there
- was any exhaust stack, the material that came
- out of the stack was yellow. We know that.
- But we are also asking when you walked around
- inside Building 55, did you see yellow oxide on
- the floors, on the walls, on the benches, in
- the rafters, or did the place look clean and
- dust free? In other words we are trying to get
- some idea of what was the ambience like in this
- building. In this building the air is clear?
- : I know when work got
- through after a day there, we had to clean that
- building. How much dust we recovered in my
- mind -- We had to sweep that building everyday
- 24 and wash it down everyday. If those dust

- collectors were working pretty decent and put
- in a new (inaudible), they were pretty good;
- but if they were not working decent and they
- had a defective head cover they had to change,
- it was more dust.
- e : But you still had to
- wash it down and clean it everyday regardless
- of whether the dust collector was working or
- 9 not, right?
- : Right. That was the
- policy in probably all of the buildings. At
- the end of your shift, you always had to clean
- up before the next shift came. But we are
- talking about 55 with the fans. That stuff had
- to be awful light to go 25 feet up in the air
- and suck it onto the roofs and go outside.
- MS. ANONYMOUS: My husband was on the
- clean up crew in 55, then he worked in the
- temporary warehouse. And he's in a nursing
- home now for four years. NIOSH interviewed him
- in there just in August. We had a five-way
- conversation. I gave you the names and you
- copied them down. He answered the questions.
- MR. STEPHAN: Thank you. Are we okay

- on the dust issue?
- : I think so.
- MR. STEPHAN: You guys know all you
- 4 need to know about that. Any there any other
- 5 questions off the list that we have missed at
- all? If not Mark, what do you want to do? Do
- you want to take a break?
- MR. LEWIS: I want to give the
- 9 people -- What I will do is speak to the
- survivors. All of the former workers have
- spoken and we got all we want to hear. I want
- to make sure that people get a chance to say
- their peace.
- MR. STEPHAN: Why don't we skip the
- break. If you have something to say, come up
- and say it. If you need to take a break -- We
- won't leave until everyone has said their
- peace. We would like to focus on what you know
- as a survivor of the work that your husband did
- that has not been mentioned yet. That's what
- we are trying to find out.
- : I'm just going to come up
- here real quick. My dad worked here for 30
- years. His fame has no importance here

- tonight, and everybody needs to understand
- that. You guys need to open your ears and your
- 3 hearts as to what these people have tried to
- tell you tonight. Building 55 was the hub of
- 5 that. I am not a chemist. I am not any of
- 6 that. That was the hub.
- What they are trying to tell
- you, and I heard it is as nobody, that this
- stuff was everywhere, everywhere, everywhere.
- In the plant, traced everywhere, blown
- everywhere, blown out everywhere. I heard it.
- They are trying to tell you that. And you keep
- running the same question.
- I am a Vietnam veteran. I get
- the same thing at the VA. Don't do it to these
- people. Don't do it to these people. They
- have told you that stuff was everywhere. How
- many times do they have to tell you that?
- Every one of them brought it home. It got on
- their families. But it was everywhere in the
- cotton picking plant. It didn't stay in
- Building 55. Open your ears and heart to that
- 23 fact.
- (A round of applause.)

```
My name is
     My father was
                             My name is
           , and this is my husband
     And I would just like to say we know some
     things. We know that these people worked
     there. We know they were not protected.
     know they worked under conditions which you
     wouldn't want them to work under today. They
     wore no badge disseminators, they were no
10
     protective clothing. And they did not know
11
     what they were working with. We know that.
12
                    We know for a fact that they
13
     were there. We also know that they had
14
15
     illnesses that could have been caused by
     working in that environment. Because when they
16
     made the claims, at some point in time they
17
     would have given them an early denial, instead
18
     they said stay with it, you may be compensated.
19
    Don't give up. You may be compensated.
20
                    Now, what we don't know is we
21
   don't know anything about Building 55's records
22
23
                              The building is no
    because there are none.
     longer in existence. And we do know that there
24
```

- was no site remediation done. And I know that
- when you have a product like this, normally the
- Government or whoever would go in, and clean it
- 4 up, and make sure that that site did not have
- <sup>5</sup> residuals left over.
- That building stood for a long
- <sup>7</sup> time. And you heard these people say they went
- in and out of the building. They were in the
- 9 plant and surrounding that building. Some of
- that material could have been in the
- surrounding areas. And we know that.
- Now, when they tore the building
- down my question is: How did they do it? Did
- they clean it up? Did they clean up the
- residuals or what? Because my husband had
- worked there for 44 years. That means he could
- have been exposed outside of that unit as well
- as inside of that unit.
- Now, how can you reconstruct
- something that does not exist? I know you said
- you base that on another unit that you have
- somewhere else. But these are individuals, and
- these people were in unique situations which
- had nothing whatsoever to do with those other

- cites that you're talking about.
- Now you're going to tell them,
- we are going to tell you that you can only be
- subject to this because our dose reconstruction
- says that you could not have -- your illness
- could not have been caused because this is how
- we formulated it. How can you formulate
- somebody's illness when you don't have any
- 9 records, when you did not protect them? You
- did not do routine physical exams. You did not
- do an urinalysis. You kept no records about
- about how long they worked in that building or
- if they worked overtime.
- And my husband used to work
- overtime as much -- Anybody in this room that
- knows him would say he practically lived out at
- that plant. So he was exposed. Do you know
- that? Does anybody? Can anybody tell me that
- they know how much he was exposed? I don't
- think so.
- So you know I have to say this
- before I go. I know that this is for the
- workers, but I am his wife, and I am concerned
- that he be treated fairly. And up to this

```
point I want to thank you for having this
```

- meeting because this is the first time I felt
- like he really had a chance to talk in a group
- with some of his co-workers who could support
- <sup>5</sup> what he had to say.
- When you talk to somebody on the
- phone, they do a telephone interview, you don't
- know who you're talking to, and that's
- 9 impersonal. And these are the people that's
- going to make decisions about what happens to
- him. And I just want to say I thank you for
- this. I had to get that off my chest. And I
- am done.
- (A round of applause.)
- : I am the eldest daughter
- of the family. I am ' I just want
- to compliment the group here. I went with my
- dad to his meeting in front of the person who
- was supposed to decide what was going to
- happen. And I will be honest with you, when I
- opened up your pamphlet and saw the questions
- you asked this time, those are the very
- statements we were trying to get across to the
- examiner. And he heard us, but he didn't hear

```
us.
```

- I just want to compliment you
- guys for taking the time to ask the right
- 4 questions. I ran -- For 27 years I worked at
- <sup>5</sup> ComEd. I did training, ran the training
- 6 department for ComEd for seven of those years.
- <sup>7</sup> I am very much aware of what kinds of
- gualifications, safety precautions you take.
- 9 And this is the first time I've heard somebody
- ask those questions that are pertinent.
- 11 Again, thank you for that.
- 12 Thank you for taking the time to listen to them
- because they've got something to say. None of
- us would agree to go into a work situation like
- that today. So don't make them martyrs or feel
- guilty because they did. They did what they
- were told to do. As said, they
- just did what they were told. Again, thank you
- so much for your time and patience.
- 20 (A round of applause.)
- is my name.
- You asked the question of how many people were
- in 55 at one time. During the strike they took
- people from the lab to work in 55, 14 hours a

day. I know that because my husband was one of

- them. So there were people from the lab in
- there.
- 4 And when they went -- These
- people in the lab had to go to 55 to get
- samples when they weren't working there. He
- had just started working there, so he was a
- sample carrier. This is tracked back from
- there back over. That's why I brought that up
- because there were many more people in and out.
- 11 There were no restrictions. They slept out
- there during the strike. If you weren't union,
- you stayed there. You maybe got home for a
- couple of hours a week. He worked there and
- that I know.
- I feel like up until now they've
- waited for everybody to die, and they won't
- have to give anyone anything. It's easy to
- deny when you don't know people. And I am so
- 20 glad you had this meeting.
- MR. STEPHAN: Ma'am, we are talking
- 22 about the strike that lasted four months,
- right? There's two strikes. This is the
- strike that lasted four months.

- : The strike in the '50s.
- 2 And he was there 14 hours a day. And I have
- proof that I sent in, but of course that
- doesn't mean anything.
- 5 : My name is
- 6 My father also worked out there,
- <sup>7</sup> I remember when I was a kid, they used to have
- family weekends out there or something. You
- bring your family or kids out there, walk them
- through the plant. Does anybody else remember
- 11 that?
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
- : You'd see these guys as
- a little kid. They would have their goggles
- on, all covered with this powder. You walk
- around the plant, the catwalks and everything
- and see these guys, how hard they are working
- and all that.
- They go get your father, you
- know. He would come up and see you. You would
- see all these men working. He would come home,
- like most other people have said, with this
- stuff all over him. What it was, nobody knew.
- As a kid you just think you're dad was working

```
so hard. But I guess it's true. I wish
```

- something could be done about it. He's gone
- now. He died of cancer. Thank you very much.
- 4 : My name is
- 5 My husband went to work at Blockson in '51. He
- 6 worked there until the mandatory time in
- He died two months later. He worked in all
- 8 those departments and worked with
- 9 (inaudible).
- There's a couple of things I
- would like to remind people. Number one, when
- they had the blowout, public service was right
- next door. And they used to call the guys up
- and tell them to cut it down because the air
- was so bad.
- Number two, when my husband came
- home, especially when he was in 55, my kids, I
- had four and one of them is mentally retarded,
- anyhow, when he came home, he would take his
- 20 clothes off because he was solid with this
- waste. And one time I caught my son, he had my
- husband's shoes and he had a knife. He was
- cleaning the "Yellow Cake" off the bottom of
- his shoes. It was bad. Thank you.

```
: My name is
```

- 2 . My dad's name is (inaudible)
- My dad, he started to work at Olin
- in 1951. My dad did not live to have a
- 5 retirement. My dad died in 1983. According to
- 6 NIOSH's report, my dad had chronic exposure
- from 1951 to 1983. It's here on the report;
- 8 however, my father's claim was denied in
- 9 October. His claim went through until October.
- My dad -- I was born in '54.
- And my dad, when he came home, we thought he
- had soap powder on his clothes. Our clothes
- were all washed in the same washing machine. I
- don't know if it has anything to do with it,
- but my mom, my brother and I, we all have
- thyroid disease.
- My dad was a good man. And he
- worked -- He volunteered for World War II. He
- was just a great guy. I don't know. My
- family, we really miss him. And he did work as
- much overtime as he could because my mom was a
- stay-at-home mom. And you know, that's all I
- have to say.
- MR. STEPHAN: You jogged my memory.

- There were three people who called me talking
- about fires and explosions. And two of them
- were maintenance workers, and they would have
- 4 to go deal with the fire. Can any of the
- workers who are still here speak about any
- fire, or explosion of some sort, or the nature
- of it, or even if it did happen?
- 8 : They did have a fire
- 9 crew out there on certain shifts that if there
- was any fire or something, they would respond
- to it. There were fires there in the sulfur
- mix, in the acid area. It didn't take much
- spark to start that sulfur on fire.
- A few times in the
- silos (phonetic) where there was unloading
- sulfur from the dock, a couple of them went off
- into the tanks. You get a piece of iron going
- through, it would set off a spark and it would
- blow. They had them all sealed where they
- wouldn't contaminate the whole building.
- That's part of that.
- MR. STEPHAN: Were there fires that
- you recall that were in Building 40 or more
- importantly in Building 55 that you can recall?

```
(No response.)
 1
                              They didn't know.
               MR. STEPHAN:
     just wanted to make sure we clarified that
     while we had the opportunity.
                             They had people that
     would --
               MR. STEPHAN:
                             Respond.
                             Yeah.
                              Ma'am, I think you were
               MR. STEPHAN:
     going to make a comment. Did you want to come
10
11
     up?
12
                                 Yes.
                                       Thank you very
                                               And my
13
     much.
            My name is
     husband was employed at Olin/Blockson Works for
14
        years, having started there in 1957. He was
15
                         Consequently he went to the
16
     a
     departments that were doing the products and
17
     ran the samples to make sure they were up to
18
19
     quality control.
                    And he had brain cancer and
20
     passed away in 1998. He was diagnosed in 1996
21
     with brain cancer. But we just like for you
22
     people to know that there were other people
23
     besides Building 55 that were exposed to all of
24
```

```
the dust, the products being done, coming from
```

- the river by barge. And these people are
- exposed just as much as anyone else that was
- 4 employed at Blockson Chemical. And we want you
- to take those concerns into consideration.
- <sup>6</sup> Thank you very much.
- : I am . My dad
- 8 is . He had numerous positions
- there at the plant. He was in maintenance, he
- was a mechanic, he was also a crane operator on
- the river. And he's not here tonight. He's in
- Arizona enjoying himself. And hopefully you
- will be calling him or someone will be calling
- <sup>14</sup> him.
- I do have a minute detail that I
- want to clarify. And it's a small, but very
- important detail that needs to be clarified.
- Building 40 where the (inaudible) was made was
- previously known as Building 25, and they are
- one in the same. And I want to make sure that
- you people understand that because some guys
- might refer to it as Building 25. Some of the
- other people may refer to it as Building 40,
- but it is the same building. And I want to

- make sure that you understand that.
- MS. ANONYMOUS: I don't care to have
- my name in the paper. I am here trying to help
- these other people. My husband did get the
- money. He did not get the lifetime medical
- they promised him. I have proof where they
- <sup>7</sup> blew up the building. The dust went all over
- and my husband cleaned up the mess, him and his
- 9 crew. The crew is all dead.
- 10 (phonetic), (phonetic),
- 11 (phonetic). They had to use their last names
- because a lot of them called -- their first
- 13 names were
- 14 I have other documents here
- showing the protective clothing was just a
- vest. The gentleman wearing a white shirt, a
- tie and a hat, that was , and he was
- wearing protective clothing. When they blew
- the building up, my husband was on the
- 20 (inaudible) truck. The Green Giant they called
- it. And he cleaned up their mess, him and his
- crew.
- He's been four years in the
- nursing home now. And NIOSH called him in

August of '06. And we had to have a password

- to clear NIOSH to talk to us because I will not
- talk to people that don't belong. But the
- 4 money, like I said I don't want this in the
- paper, went for his care in there. And if he
- had the money before he went to the nursing
- home, I could have had some. I have nothing.
- I am just hoping you people will
- 9 understand. Like they said, these are human
- beings you are dealing with and their lives.
- My husband has cancers in the arms that went
- down to the bone. He's too ill to have the
- rest of them removed. He's had other
- illnesses. He's only got half the capacity in
- both lungs from working out there. He's got
- calcified deposits in both lungs.
- I have three drums in my garage.
- 18 I have all the information that came up for
- trisodium phosphate drums. We used that as
- soap. Our daughter died from breast cancer.
- worked there from 1950 to . And he
- had a heart attack out there and drove home for
- me to take him to the hospital. He wouldn't go
- from Blockson or from Olin.

```
I have three drums in my garage
```

- that we used as a toy box. Our daughter died
- of breast cancer. I am battling breast cancer
- fight now. I use oxygen all the time. But to
- bring the file in to help these other people, I
- 6 left my oxygen in the car and brought the file
- <sup>7</sup> in with my walker.
- 8 And I thank you for listening to
- <sup>9</sup> these people. And please, this radiation
- dosage, I don't know how you can do it when
- they blew that thing up, that building up, and
- then the dust went all over. By the way, I
- took a personal photo of them blowing the
- building up. Some people borrowed my three
- files, and that disappeared. I do have the
- newspaper one that I gave to that gentleman
- over there. He sat in front of me. And I have
- more here. Thank you.
- MR. STEPHAN: Mark, I think we are
- close to done. I did not state my name in the
- beginning. I apologize for that. I raise that
- point just because -- My name is Robert
- 23 Stephan. I am with Senator Obama's office.
- Debbie is also here from Senator Weller's

- office -- Congressman Weller. I am sorry.
- At any rate, if you have
- something in the future that you would like to
- 4 add that was not covered tonight, you
- absolutely can call back tonight. If something
- 6 has jogged your memory. Even if your claim has
- been denied. Because at this time the claims
- 8 have been reopened. Okay. If you have trouble
- 9 reaching NIOSH or for some reason you feel more
- comfortable speaking to us, you can certainly
- call our offices, and we will relay that
- information to them.
- MS. ANONYMOUS: I have one more
- question. They mentioned \$150,000 at that time
- in lifetime medical. What happened to the
- lifetime medical?
- MR. HINNEFELD: I am afraid that I
- don't know much about that part. The actual
- administration of the benefits is from another
- governmental agency from the Department of
- Labor. And I am afraid I don't really know
- what rules, and what applications --
- MS. ANONYMOUS: He has the medical on
- the cancer. But if you go to these doctors and

- they (inaudible) these cancers. His whole
- body's been cancered (sic). They don't know
- how to send it to NIOSH. They don't know how
- 4 to. I have that little card. They said we
- 5 have to send it to Medicare first and then Blue
- 6 Cross/Blue Shield. They've never dealt with
- <sup>7</sup> the Federal insurance.
- MR. HINNEFELD: Steve, do you have an
- office or a number for the Department of Labor
- District office in --
- MR. STEPHAN: Kentucky.
- MR. HINNEFELD: The Resource Center
- would be in Paducah. Cleveland would be the
- District Office. If you have a number for the
- Department of Labor, Cleveland District Office,
- and they should have probably a claims examiner
- for your case, and if you would speak to that
- person at the Department of Labor, they may be
- able to explain things or may be able to assist
- you, particularly if additional cancer lesions
- 21 are being removed. So I would recommend that
- you do that.
- Unfortunately we at NIOSH have
- nothing do with the administration of benefits.

```
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can I ask one
```

- question? (Inaudible). My father was too sick
- to do anything. He wasn't able to cooperate
- with them. But we understood that we would be
- 5 able to file the claim (inaudible) for the
- office calling my mom saying they needed
- information, but we were told that since my dad
- 8 worked there from '50 to '69 and he was part
- 9 of -- in that building doing what they were
- doing there, I understood that you had to have
- lung cancer to file a claim. And that's what
- my father was diagnosed with, lung cancer. And
- he died and, of course my mom is the claimant.
- Am I wrong in thinking you had
- to have lung cancer to file a claim only?
- That's what we were told. And we were denied
- because my dad's position was termed
- maintenance supervisor. They told us over the
- phone that because he was a maintenance
- supervisor that the only way they could
- reconstruct his dosage at 24 percent instead of
- the 50 percent was because he was a maintenance
- supervisor and he probably wasn't that involved
- in there. (Inaudible). He died from lung

- <sup>1</sup> cancer.
- I am confused over why we got
- denied or my mother got denied and other people
- 4 got the settlement.
- MR. HINNEFELD: You asked two
- <sup>6</sup> questions. The first thing was apparently you
- were misinformed at the start about the lung
- 8 cancer. Apparently you were misinformed. A
- 9 claim can be filed for any cancer, except
- chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Any other cancer
- has -- is believed to have some radiation risk
- associated with it, meaning the radiation
- increased the probability of that cancer
- occurring. So any other cancer is a reason
- for -- a medical reason for a claim under Part
- B of this lawsuit.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He also had
- 18 (inaudible).
- MR. HINNEFELD: As to the specifics
- of your case, I will talk to you in a minute,
- okay, because I would like to get a little more
- information about it because I would have to
- get back to the office to look it up.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The dose

- reconstruction, I am not a lawyer with all this
- scientifically, but can you guys put it in like
- a laymen's term? Like my father was like over
- <sup>4</sup> 20 something percent. Is that like a jar
- <sup>5</sup> full?
- 6 MR. HINNEFELD: Can I talk to you
- when we're done?
- 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is it a barrel
- <sup>9</sup> full? I would like to know in laymen's terms.
- MR. HINNEFELD: It's hard to put in
- those kinds of terms, but I might be able to
- help a little bit if we can talk at the end
- here. Okay.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't think
- you can say enough. Even if you were denied
- once, basically everybody got their cases
- opened again, right?
- MR. HINNEFELD: They are all going to
- be reopened.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What's the
- time frame of the evaluation?
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is it another
- seven years?
- MR. HINNEFELD: I know you may have

```
been sarcastic. It won't be that long.
```

- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My dad has
- been dead for 10 years and it's been going on
- that long, so I am not being sarcastic. I am
- <sup>5</sup> just being realistic. I will like to know.
- 6 MR. HINNEFELD: It won't be done
- probably -- We talked earlier about the
- petition being presented at the May meeting. I
- 9 don't think every case will be re-evaluated by
- the May meeting. I think probably every case
- will be re-evaluated this calendar year. I
- would think it would be during this calendar
- year.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's a fair
- answer. Thank you.
- MR. HINNEFELD: Honestly I can't
- promise that. I think it would occur this
- calendar year.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: When should we
- hear back? My claim was denied right away.
- 21 And I have no way of knowing when it's going to
- 22 be re-evaluated.
- MR. HINNEFELD: I really can't offer
- 24 anything better than during this calendar year.

```
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, can you
```

- give us an address where we can --
- MR. HINNEFELD: I can give you a
- business card. The business card has our
- 5 address.
- 6 MR. STEPHAN: If we have questions
- <sup>7</sup> about claims, can we do that afterwards,
- because those can get specific?
- <sup>9</sup> : This is about the
- process of uranium. They had a pilot lab where
- they did all the research up there, and that
- was -- They called it a pilot lab. It was a
- garage. What I was wondering is what did they
- do with the radium that they extracted and --
- What did they do with it? I got a pretty good
- idea where it went.
- MR. HINNEFELD: You're talking about
- the uranium they were extracting?
- : Yes.
- MR. HINNEFELD: They were trying to
- prove that they could do it.
- : Right. Now what
- happened to the uranium they extracted?
- MR. HINNEFELD: Well, I don't know

```
specifically. I can speculate that they
```

- provided it to the Atomic Energy Commission for
- them to decide if it was good stuff, what they
- wanted to get. I don't know that they did that
- 5 though. It would be pure speculation.
- : They probably just sent
- them a sample. They didn't send them a whole
- batch. I don't think.
- 9 MR. HINNEFELD: They were making it
- for the Atomic Energy Commission.
- MR. KEZELE: The point I am trying to
- get at is they got a sneaky dump out there
- where they dumped a lot of stuff.
- MR. HINNEFELD: It absolutely could
- have. Absolutely could have dumped it.
- : Another thing. What
- happened to all the equipment that was up there
- when they were doing it? You were talking
- 19 about --
- MR. HINNEFELD: Well, you were the
- one who described the equipment that came out
- of 55 or somebody described it, (inaudible), or
- sold to scrap. I guess they would do the same
- thing there. They would probably reuse it. I

- would think they would reuse it.
- MS. BREYER: Stu introduced me
- earlier, but I am Laurie Breyer. I also work
- with NIOSH. And in listening to some of the
- comments from the back of the room, I think
- there might be some confusion, and it deals
- more with claims than it does necessarily with
- 8 work at the facility.
- 9 But some of the comments I have
- heard, people seem to be confused about what
- cancers qualify, or some are saying more
- cancers have come along, or people asking why
- we keep the records even after a claim is
- denied or approved. If you develop a cancer at
- any time, it doesn't just have to be one
- cancer, if you have lung cancer, and prostate
- cancer, and multiple skin cancers, each of
- those constitute an individual cancer.
- so if your husband develops any
- future cancer, it should go to the Department
- of Labor. The lung cancer -- It doesn't just
- have to be lung. It can be lung and prostate.
- I talk to people on a regular
- basis, claimants and workers. And a lot of

times even the energy employees are dead and I

- am speaking to the survivor. And I am looking
- at their claim and they only have one cancer on
- 4 there. And as I am speaking to them, they will
- say, oh, he had multiple skin cancers removed
- over the last 10 years as well. Well, those
- 7 count as cancers. It doesn't have to be one.
- It doesn't have to be something that you see as
- being a minor cancer versus a major cancer.
- But you need to make sure that
- the record is clear of all the cancers that
- your father, or mother, or spouse, or you have
- had at the time that you worked or even after.
- And even if your claim has been denied, if your
- claim has been approved, whatever has happened
- with your claim, if another cancer develops, or
- it's discovered that there is another cancer or
- was another cancer, even if the energy employee
- is dead, you can go back and add it to the
- 20 records.
- A lot of the comments I heard
- today there seems to be some confusion about
- that. If you have any questions, you can speak
- to me afterwards as well.

- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: A lot of the
- records are hard to get. The hospitals don't
- <sup>3</sup> even have them.
- MS. BREYER: I didn't want take away
- from the work that was being done. I kind of
- got caught into a comment about the cancer. So
- if you have any other follow-up questions, I
- will be here after the meeting is over if you
- 9 want to speak to me about your individual
- questions regarding your claims.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: With today's
- technology, what was talking about, with
- possible off-site material being dumped on
- sites during that time and/or during the
- remediation period, is it possible with today's
- technology such as it was done in Ottowa at the
- 17 (inaudible) Company where there are still hot
- spots in Ottawa, Illinois with radioactive
- parts of property there that are fenced off to
- 20 date in the downtown area of Ottawa, Illinois,
- isn't it feasible for the United States
- Government to fly over this pile and fly over
- this property and absolutely tell if there are
- any buried drums of uranium there? Is it

- possible?
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It doesn't
- $^{3}$  have to be drums. Dust.
- 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: If they
- 5 cleaned that dust collector, where did that
- residue go? How long did that drum sit there
- and age and grow, and grow, and grow before
- someone decided to do something with it? Was
- 9 it rolled off in the corner for six months?
- 10 (inaudible) Did it go in the magic hole in the
- ground? If you fly over it, that will show
- what's out there now.
- : If we still live in our
- homes when our dads or husbands worked out
- there, we probably still got a hot spot right
- in the basement where we did the laundry.
- : You're talking about
- skin cancer, right?
- MS. BREYER: I am talking about any
- specific cancer.
- 21 : Let's talk about skin
- cancer. There's a way that you don't get it.
- You either got to be a primary or a secondary,
- 24 right?

MR. BREYER: Right. But even if it's

- a secondary cancer, it should be reported to
- the Department of Labor.
- : They will get it if it's
- secondary, right? If it's primary you ain't.
- MS. BREYER: I am not sure of that
- question. I think the Department of Labor will
- 8 look at the medical records and determine if
- 9 it's primary or secondary.
- : That's what I was told.
- MR. BREYER: I don't have an answer to
- that. But I know any cancer should be reported
- to the Department of Labor. They will make
- that decision. If you had a skin cancer 10
- years ago and had it removed, that should be
- reported to the Department of Labor.
- : I just had it October
- 18 31st. I had four of them already. I just put
- it in. And she told me that if it's a primary,
- they don't care about the primary. It's got to
- be a secondary.
- MS. BREYER: No, that doesn't sound
- correct. I would follow-up with more questions
- about that. If you had skin cancers and that

was related as an independent, separate cancer

- and it wasn't secondary to anything, that
- 3 should be included on your records.
- : That's a doctor's
- <sup>5</sup> report. That's up to them now what they are
- <sup>6</sup> going to do.
- MS. BREYER: Right. That will be up
- 8 to the Department of Labor.
- 9
  : That's who I talked to,
- the Department of Labor.
- MR. LEWIS: You filed your claim in
- Paducah, Kentucky? The Resource Center in
- Paducah, Kentucky, is that where you filed your
- 14 claim through?
- : No, in Ohio. Cleveland.
- MR. LEWIS: There's a Resource Center
- in Paducah that's better there to help workers,
- help you guys file that claim instead of -- You
- know there's a Resource Center, the Department
- of Labor Resource Center in Paducah, Kentucky.
- They report to the District office. The
- 22 Regional office is in Cleveland.
- But Paducah, Kentucky is where
- the lady there is . I think

- she's an Office Manager. But any questions you
- 2 guys have pertaining to claims, and multiple
- cancers, things like that, that's who you
- 4 really need to talk to, the Department of
- 5 Labor. They are the ones (inaudible) in
- <sup>6</sup> Paducah, Kentucky.
- My claim will be in
- 8 Cleveland.
- 9 MR. LEWIS: Through Paducah. I live
- in Portage, Ohio. We have a claims office in
- Portage, but all the claims go to Cleveland
- from there, as well this one would, too?
- : Paducah don't look at
- 14 them at all.
- MR LEWIS: They are the ones who --
- Make a decision on it?
- MR. LEWIS: No. They are the ones
- who do the paperwork, help you with
- verification of employment, and different
- things like that, assign you a case worker and
- then it goes to Cleveland. Same as my side. I
- work at Gaseous Diffusion Plant there.
- That Resource Center is there to
- help former workers and claimants. So that's

- what it's there for. Paducah. There's some
- questions you can ask and they will be able to
- <sup>3</sup> really help you out.
- Is there anybody else here that
- would like to say anything? We are just about
- winding down this meeting. I urge you to ask
- your neighbors to come back tomorrow night.
- 8 Yes, sir.
- : I just like to say in
- summation that we know from radiation --
- uranium radiation causes cancer. We know the
- incidents of Joliet from Olin Chemical and
- 13 Blockson Chemical is very high. All these
- things are there. Now what percent? I don't
- know. You people would say -- the doctors say
- you have to have so much percent. Even if you
- have five, six percent, it's there. And it
- causes it. And that's what causes it.
- Like said before, you
- can't reconstruct back what happened. We know
- there was dust there, we know that uranium was
- there. And that's why the people have so much
- cancer. That's the (inaudible) of the table.
- So why is there so many cancers from Olin

- 1 Chemical?
- MR. LEWIS: I could answer that. The
- information you all supplied us tonight,
- 4 hopefully together with that it will help this
- <sup>5</sup> (inaudible) profile and the SEC petition. You
- 6 have done some good work for yourselves and
- your coworkers. And I appreciate it.
- Et's hope they change
- <sup>9</sup> the dosage.
- MR. LEWIS: That's what it's all
- about. If can you get some coworkers to show
- up tomorrow night, some people that weren't
- here tonight, I would appreciate them coming
- <sup>14</sup> in.
- I really would encourage you to
- call the Paducah office with any kind of claim
- questions.
- Do you have the number?
- MR. LEWIS: I can get back with you.
- I don't have the number with me. It's a
- toll-free number. You know, I don't have it
- with me. It's the Department of Labor.
- I really appreciate you guys
- showing up. You deserve a big hand yourself

```
Page 151
      for taking time out and coming here.
      appréciate it.
                                     Thank you very much
      for coming out.
                        (End of meeting)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
Page 152
    STATE OF ILLINOIS )
    COOK OF COUNTY
               I, Sharon Thompson, a shorthand
    reporter doing business in the County of Cook
     and State of Illinois do hereby certify that I
     reported in machine shorthand proceedings in
                                 I further certify
     the above-entitled cause.
     that the foregoing is a true and correct
     transcript of said proceedings as appears from
10
     the stenographic notes so taken and transcribed
11
     by me on January 24th, 2007.
12
13
                                   Sharon Thompson
14
                               CSR No. 084-004429
15
16
18
20
22
23
24
```