

Special Exposure Cohort Petition — Form B

B Survivor Information — Complete Section B if you are a Survivor or representing a Survivor.

B.1 Name of Survivor; _____
 Mr./Mrs./Ms. First Name Middle Initial Last Name

B.2 Social Security Number of Survivor: _____

B.3 Address of Survivor:
 Street Apt # P.O. Box
 City State Zip Code

B.4 Telephone Number of Survivor: _____

B.5 Email Address of Survivor: _____

B.6 Relationship to Employee: Spouse Son/Daughter Parent
 Grandparent Grandchild

Go to Part C

C Employee Information — Complete Section C UNLESS you are a labor organization.

C.1 Name of Employee: _____
 Mr./Mrs./Ms. First Name Middle Initial Last Name

C.2 Former Name of Employee (e.g., maiden name/legal name change/other):
 same
 Mr./Mrs./Ms. First Name Middle Initial Last Name

C.3 Social Security Number of Employee: _____

C.4 Address of Employee (if living):
 Street Apt # P.O. Box
 City State Zip Code

C.5 Telephone Number of Employee: _____

C.6 Email Address of Employee: _____

C.7 Employment Information Related to Petition:
 C.7a Employee Number (if known): unknown

C.7b Dates of Employment: Start 1948 End 1956

C.7c Employer Name: _____

C.7d Work Site Location: _____

C.7e Supervisor's Name: unknown

Go to Part E

Name or Social Security Number of First Petitioner: _____

Special Exposure Cohort Petition — Form B

E Proposed Definition of Employee Class Covered by Petition — Complete Section E.

E.1 Name of DOE or AWE Facility: Smith Douglas aka Texas City Chemical

E.2 Locations at the Facility relevant to this petition:
Texas City, Texas

E.3 List job titles and/or job duties of employees included in the class. In addition, you can list by name any individuals other than petitioners identified on this form who you believe should be included in this class:
Labor: Did any job assign to themselves

E.4 Employment Dates relevant to this petition:
Start 1948 End 1956
Start _____ End _____
Start _____ End _____

E.5 Is the petition based on one or more unmonitored, unrecorded, or inadequately monitored or recorded exposure incidents? Yes No
If yes, provide the date(s) of the incident(s) and a complete description (attach additional pages as necessary):

See a Hacks Item

Go to Part F.

Name or Social Security Number of First Petitioner: _____

Section F for worker

nd survivor

Texas Chemical facilities was put at risk without their knowledge and consent for reason that document reveal were driven by fear of adverse publicity, liability and employee demand for hazardous duty pay. Many records have not documented of unmonitored expose to radiation. Employees who record of expose to radiation at such facilities are missing or incomplete. It is not feasible to estimate with sufficient accuracy the radiation dose that the workers received. There is a reasonable likelihood that such radiation does may have endanger the health of the workers. Many workers did not have proper equipment and gear to work. They were not supply with this equipment to protect them... Such radiation does may have endangered the health of Mr. in which he have colon, bile, and lung problem. In his medical record show that colon has large polyps in which they did not know and the stalk was at front and to the end of the ducts. Medical record show that Mr. lung and heart was deteriorates.

visits this site and said that main expose was uranium dust in the recovery building. That such airborne Alpha participles can lodge deep in the either by ingestion or inhalation. From there uranium migrates over to kidney and bone can cause cancer long latent period of years. Uranium metal and various oxides such as yellow cake are radioactive and become so as the extracted uranium ages.

A copy from email with is enclosed. I am sent the medical record about the colon problem and heat and lung. You can request a medical record for urine test and chest X-ray full, Colon test and examine them to see picture in which you did not request from the hospital.

This page left intentionally blank.

Dear Iv

When I visited Texas City I was doing an interview that aired later on KHOU-TV in Houston with air personality and () as producer. I did not come to write a report of any kind. I did spend about two videotaping comments about Texas City and EEOICPA in general. () be willing to share some of tape he recorded with you. He may not have any transcript, however. Your Representative, introduced a Congressional House bill called the EEOIC Improvement Act of 2006 that tried to add coverage for site contractors. I know many people at Texas City Chemical were such contractors and cannot get compensat the present law. I sent () a letter for him to send to the Department of Labor and I believe he did that hear DOL's response and am interested in what they had to say. Specifically, we asked Labor to extend the cop period for the uranium "Recovery Building" workers at Texas City Chemicals. Right now, I am helping to set up Outreach meeting with NIOSH for Blockson Chemical in Joliet, IL. They also extracted uranium for the AEC from phosphate rock similar to the recovery process at Texas City Chemical.

~~.....~~ "application" you are referring to. As far as exposure, it certainly sounds as though the main exposure was "dust" in the recovery building. Such dusts "Alpha particles" can lodge deep in the lungs by ingestion or inhalation. Furthermore, uranium migrates over to kidney and bone and can cause cancer; long latent period of years. Uranium metal and various oxides, such as "yellow cake," are radioactive and become the extracted uranium "ages." NIOSH will have a tough problem in calculating Texas City Chemical radia because of the lack of radiation monitoring by film badges, breathing zone sampling, urine bioassays, or ambie sampling in the Recovery Building. The hardest job will be to get NIOSH to move forward on doing dose recone

Finally, the Texas City Chemical group should encourage () contact me is she wants help wit EEOICPA claims or perhaps in initiating a Special Exposure Cohort (SEC) application for Texas City Chemical. presently am guiding one of those for the former Dow Chemical Plant in Madison, IL.

Sincerely,

December 20, 2006

6

In a message dated 12/18/06 8:19:01 PM,

hello, We are talking about the reports when you can out to the site in Texas Chemical. We are still have problem with the company about the application and you explain the site and we never recieved a reports on your find of the texas site. Please tell me what you found about the facilities and how employee was exposed. thanks a lot



Special Exposure Cohort Petition — Form B

F.3 I/We have attached a report from a health physicist or other individual with expertise in radiation dose reconstruction documenting the limitations of existing DOE or AWE records on radiation exposures at the facility, as relevant to the petition. The report specifies the basis for believing these documented limitations might prevent the completion of dose reconstructions for members of the class under 42 CFR Part 82 and related NIOSH technical implementation guidelines.

(Attach report to the back of the petition form.)

F.4 I/We have attached a scientific or technical report, issued by a government agency of the Executive Branch of Government or the General Accounting Office, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, or the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, or published in a peer-reviewed journal, that identifies dosimetry and related information that are unavailable (due to either a lack of monitoring or the destruction or loss of records) for estimating the radiation doses of employees covered by the petition.

(Attach report to the back of the petition form.)

Go to Part G.

G Signature of Person(s) Submitting this Petition — Complete Section G.

All Petitioners should sign and date the petition. A maximum of three persons may sign the petition.

Signature _____

02-10-07
Date

Signature _____

Date

Signature _____

Date

Notice: Any person who knowingly makes any false statement, misrepresentation, concealment of fact or any other act of fraud to obtain compensation as provided under EEOICPA or who knowingly accepts compensation to which that person is not entitled is subject to civil or administrative remedies as well as felony criminal prosecution and may, under appropriate criminal provisions, be punished by a fine or imprisonment or both. I affirm that the information provided on this form is accurate and true.

Send this form to: SEC Petition
Office of Compensation Analysis and Support
NIOSH
4676 Columbia Parkway, MS-C-47
Cincinnati, OH 45226

If there are additional petitioners, they must complete the Appendix Forms for additional petitioners. The Appendix Forms are located at the end of this document.

Name or Social Security Number of First Petitioner: _____

Public Burden Statement

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 300 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, gathering the information needed, and completing the form. If you have any comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, send them to CDC Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS-E-11, Atlanta GA, 30333; ATTN:PRA 0920-0639. Do not send the completed petition form to this address. Completed petitions are to be submitted to NIOSH at the address provided in these instructions. Persons are not required to respond to the information collected on this form unless it displays a currently valid OMB number.

Privacy Act Advisement

In accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended (5 U.S.C. § 552a), you are hereby notified of the following:

The Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act (42 U.S.C. §§ 7384-7385) (EEOICPA) authorizes the President to designate additional classes of employees to be included in the Special Exposure Cohort (SEC). EEOICPA authorizes HHS to implement its responsibilities with the assistance of the National Institute for Occupational Safety (NIOSH), an Institute of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Information obtained by NIOSH in connection with petitions for including additional classes of employees in the SEC will be used to evaluate the petition and report findings to the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health and HHS.

Records containing identifiable information become part of an existing NIOSH system of records under the Privacy Act, 09-20-147 "Occupational Health Epidemiological Studies and EEOICPA Program Records. HHS/CDC/NIOSH." These records are treated in a confidential manner, unless otherwise compelled by law. Disclosures that NIOSH may need to make for the processing of your petition or other purposes are listed below.

NIOSH may need to disclose personal identifying information to: (a) the Department of Energy, other federal agencies, other government or private entities and to private sector employers to permit these entities to retrieve records required by NIOSH; (b) identified witnesses as designated by NIOSH so that these individuals can provide information to assist with the evaluation of SEC petitions; (c) contractors assisting NIOSH; (d) collaborating researchers, under certain limited circumstances to conduct further investigations; (e) Federal, state and local agencies for law enforcement purposes; and (f) a Member of Congress or a Congressional staff member in response to a verified inquiry.

This notice applies to all forms and informational requests that you may receive from NIOSH in connection with the evaluation of an SEC petition.

Use of the NIOSH petition forms (A and B) is voluntary but your provision of information required by these forms is mandatory for the consideration of a petition, as specified under 42 CFR Part 83. Petitions that fail to provide required information may not be considered by HHS.

Name or Social Security Number of First Petitioner: _____

DEPARTMENT OF RADIOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MEDICAL BRANCH HOSPITALS GALVESTON, TEXAS

106744 M

Last Name First Name Middle Init. Age - S - Code - Date Taken - Dept./Serv./Phy., Coll.

CHEST: 1-14 X,17

The film was exposed with the patient oblique to the right side. Many of the same findings seen on the previous study of 3-7-74 are present once again. The heart is enlarged. There are no discrete areas of pneumonia or atelectasis in either lung field. There may be slight prominence of the pulmonary vascularity although overt pulmonary edema is not evident. A NG tube is projected over the midline of the chest in the left upper quadrant of the abdomen. There is a venocath on the right side. The catheter portion of this device is kinked immediately distal to the end of the needle. The end of the catheter is projected over the right side of the cardiac silhouette.

8Y

CHEST: 1-14 X 17 (104) 3-10-74 Rm. 013

Still another follow-up film dated 3-10-74 demonstrates all of the findings described above but now there is evidence of congested lung fields. Apparently the patient's condition is deteriorating.

Impression:

Cardiomegaly associated with pulmonary edema. The abdomen is quite opaque. This may be secondary to ascites or just a function of the overall size of the patient and under-penetration of the film.

8Y



DEPARTMENT OF RADIOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MEDICAL BRANCH HOSPITAL GALVESTON, TEXAS

106744

UNIT HIST. NO. [REDACTED] AGE - SEX - CODE - DATE TAKEN - DEPT. - SERV. - HNO. - CAT. - LOS NUMBER

REQUESTED BY: [REDACTED] QUANTITY OF FILMS: [REDACTED]

BARTON ENEMA: 6-8 3-14

Barium flowed throughout the sigmoid colon and in the descending colon there was a long area of spasm about 10 to 12cm. in length. There was a rounded radiolucent filling defect in this area of spasm that appeared to have a stalk on it. On one of the spot films of the sigmoid there was also a rather large radiolucent filling defect that appeared to have a stalk on it. These areas were both seen on the post-evacuation film.

Impression: Spasm of the colon with what appears to be two polyps with stalks on them; one in the descending colon and one in the sigmoid. I would recommend a double air contrast barium enema for verification.

0-405-370-0

X

CHART