Dragon, Karen E. (CDC/NIOSH/EID)

From:

DanMcKeel2@aol.com

Sent:

Tuesday, August 21, 2012 1:31 PM

To:

Kinman, Josh (CDC/NIOSH/DCAS); NIOSH Docket Office (CDC); Ziemer, Paul

(CDC/NIOSH/OD); pl.ziemer@comcast.net; melius@nysliuna.org

Cc:

Katz. Ted (CDC/NIOSH/OD); danmckeel2@aol.com;

Subject:

McKeel comment: TBD-6000 work group agenda 8/21/12

Attachments:

TBD6K 8.28.12 WG agenda.pdf

Josh Kinman, SEC Counselor NIOSH Docket 140 Office

Dear Josh and Docket 140 Office,

Attachment: <TBD6K_8.28.12_WG_agenda.pdf> 172 K

Josh Kinman, please circulate the attached comment to the draft 8/28/12 TBD-6000 work group meeting agenda to members of the TBD-6000 work group and the full ABRWH. Thank you.

NIOSH Docket Office. Please consider for posting on the DCAS website, as a Discussion paper, the attached PDF file for the TBD-6000 8/28/12 work group meeting. Thank you.

As a further comment, the draft agenda does not include the fact that David Allen and Stuart Hinnefeld of DCAS have communicated through Josh Kinman to Dan McKeel that DCAS is preparing a written response to both the 7/16/12 SC&A surrogate data paper, and to the 7/25/12 SC&A alternate intake model paper. I had asked four questions, and the DCAS reply was they were awaiting resolution of Appendix BB issues by the Board before it would be possible to revise Appendix BB Rev 0 released in June 2007. My other three questions (1. Would DCAS adopt the SC&A intake model or develop one of their own? 2. Would NIOSH alter its recommendation to deny SEC-00105?, and 3. Does DCAS accept the SC&A finding on the TBD-6000 slug facility not meeting the Board surrogate data criteria?) were not answered except by DCAS stating the answers would be clear from their forthcoming paper (with no target release date given).

Sincerely -- Dan McKeel August 21, 2012

Daniel W. McKeel, Jr., MD GSI SEC-00105 co-petitioner

Phone: 573-323-8897 Fax: 573-323-0043

E-mail: danmckeel2@aol.com

US Mail: P.O. Box 15, Van Buren, MO 63965-0015

TBD-6000 WORK GROUP 8/28/12 MEETING (DCAS website 8.21.12)

August 28

Meeting of the Advisory Board's Work Group on TBD 6000

Cincinnati Airport Marriott 2395 Progress Dr. Hebron, KY 41048 (859) 586-0166

9:00 a.m. (Eastern time)

This meeting is open to the public, but without a public comment period. Teleconference call access is available for this meeting and it is limited only by the number of ports available. To access the meeting via the teleconference call access, you must dial 1-866-659-0537. To be automatically connected to the meeting, you will need to enter the following participant code: 9933701.

An agenda will be provided at a later date.

Discussion Papers:

- Comments from Daniel W. McKeel, Jr., M.D. regarding the SC&A Memo:
 Alternative Model for the Calculation of Uranium Intakes at GSI
 (August 5, 2012)
 PDF 9 MB (28 pages)
- SC&A Memo: Alternative Model for the Calculation of Uranium Intakes at GSI July 25, 2012
 PDF 218 KB (13 pages)
- SC&A Draft White Paper: Review of the Use of Surrogate Data for Estimating Intakes of Uranium at General Steel Industries (July 16, 2012)

(continued on page 2...) Note RE: new petitioner information that is missing from this list.

Dan McKeel communicated to the work group and full Board information that Michigan Metals Processing of Detroit had a three year contract at GSI 1978-81 to use the New Betatron Building and adjacent plant buildings 8, 9 and 10 for metal processing, including a pickling operation.

MMP employee was a member of an 8 person crew that spent two months starting in August 1978 doing cleanup and power washing the NBB as a prelude for converting it for office space. Later, Affiliated Industries occupied the former GSI site and made further changes. A simple decay function uranium intake model will not accurate reflect these new facts.

- + Bhttp://www.cdc.gov/niosh/ocas/pubmtgs.html#aug28
- # 183 Series Knape & Vogt HTML 4.01 Quick List New Dx Criteria AD 2011 PwrMacG5 2...eryMac
 - Comments from Daniel W. McKeel, Jr., M.D. regarding the SC&A Memo:
 Alternative Model for the Calculation of Uranium Intakes at GSI
 (August 5, 2012)
 PDF 9 MB (28 pages)
 - Comments from Daniel W. McKeel, Jr., M.D. regarding the General Steel Industries Special Exposure Cohort Petition-00105
 (July 26, 2012)

 PDF 589 KB (14 pages)
 - SC&A Memo: Alternative Model for the Calculation of Uranium Intakes at GSI July 25, 2012
 PDF 218 KB (13 pages)
 - SC&A Draft White Paper: Review of the Use of Surrogate Data for Estimating Intakes of Uranium at General Steel Industries
 (July 16, 2012)
 PDF 132 KB (12 pages)
 - Comments from Daniel W. McKeel, Jr., M.D. regarding the General Steel Industries Special Exposure Cohort Petition-00105
 (July 10, 2012)
 PDF 7 MB (24 pages)

Please note that as part of the procedures and processes of the Advisory Board's Work Groups, several kinds of pre-decisional documents may be developed. These documents, which can include white papers, matrices, working drafts, etc., are distributed among the Work Group members as research and background tools to facilitate discussion and deliberation. The discussions during the above meeting may cover a pre-decisional document. Because these types of documents are pre-decisional in nature, may contain sensitive information, and may change over time, they are not posted on our Web site. These finalized, reviewed and redacted pre-decisional documents are also available to other interested parties through an appropriate Freedom of Information Act request. Requests for documents not in the public domain should be submitted to the CDC/ATSDR Freedom of Information Act Office at foiarequests@cdc.gov.

Comment by Dan McKeel 8/21/12. Josh Kinman today circulated a draft TBD-6000 agenda for 8/28/12. There was no mention of McKeel's three Discussion papers. Item 5, status of remaining TBD items by David Allen, misses the point. NIOSH insists the Board must resolve all Appendix BB issues before they will revise Appendix BB Rev 0 (June 2007). Dr. Ziemer and SC&A need to define exactly what Appendix BB issues remain and how they might be resolved to everyone's satisfaction. "As time permits" invites further delay in revising Appendix BB.