



## ADVISORY BOARD ON RADIATION AND WORKER HEALTH

4676 Columbia Parkway, MS: C-46

Cincinnati, Ohio 45226

(513) 533-6825

### CHAIRMAN

James M. Melius, M.D., Dr. PH.  
Albany, New York

### MEMBERS

Henry Anderson, M.D.  
Madison, Wisconsin

Josie Beach  
Kennewick, Washington

Bradley P. Clawson  
Rexburg, Idaho

R. William Field, Ph.D.  
Iowa City, Iowa

Mark A. Griffon  
Salem, New Hampshire

David Kotelchuck, Ph.D.  
New York, New York

Richard Lemen, Ph.D.  
Canton, Georgia

James E. Lockey, M.D.  
Cincinnati, Ohio

Wanda I. Munn  
Richland, Washington

John W. Poston, Sr., Ph.D.  
College Station, Texas

David B. Richardson, Ph.D.  
Chapel Hill, North Carolina

Genevieve S. Roessler, Ph.D.  
Elysian, Minnesota

Phillip Schofield  
Bosque Farm, New Mexico

Loretta R. Valerio  
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Paul L. Ziemer, Ph.D.  
Lafayette, Indiana

### STAFF

#### EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

Theodore M. Katz, MPA  
Atlanta, Georgia

#### COMMITTEE MANAGEMENT

Zaida Burgos  
Atlanta, Georgia

May 6, 2013

The Honorable Kathleen Sebelius  
Secretary  
Department of Health and Human Services  
200 Independence Avenue, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20201

Dear Madam Secretary:

The Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health (The Board) has completed its evaluation of Special Exposure Cohort (SEC) Petition 00113 concerning workers at Brookhaven National Laboratory, in Upton, New York, under the statutory requirements established by the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 and incorporated into 42 CFR Sec. 83.13.

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) has recommended that individual dose reconstructions are feasible for:

“All employees of the Department of Energy, its predecessor agencies, and its contractors and subcontractors who worked at Brookhaven National Laboratory in Upton, New York, from January 1, 1994, to December 31, 2007.”

NIOSH found that it has access to adequate exposure monitoring and other information necessary to do individual dose reconstructions with sufficient accuracy for members of this group, and therefore a class covering this group should not be added to the SEC. The Board concurs with this determination.

Based on these considerations and the discussion at the March 12, 2013, Board meeting held in Augusta, Georgia, the Board recommends that this class not be added to the SEC.

Enclosed is the documentation from the Board meeting(s) where this SEC class was discussed. The documentation includes copies of the petition, the NIOSH review thereof, and related materials. If any of these items are unavailable at this time, they will follow shortly.

Sincerely,

[Signature on File]

James M. Melius, M.D., Dr. PH.  
Chairman, ABRWH

Enclosure