Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL)

SC&A Review of the NIOSH Site Profile Review

(ORAUT-TKBS-0049, rev. 01, April 2007)

Joseph Fitzgerald

SC&A

February 2012 Oakland, CA

BACKGROUND

- Site profile of LBNL issued by NIOSH on April 2, 2007 (revised version issued on May 10, 2010).
- SC&A tasked by Advisory Board to review site profile; conducted Jan-Oct 2009, and included onsite data capture and site expert interviews.
- SC&A draft report issued on January 22, 2010; contained 13 primary findings and 8 secondary findings.
- NIOSH issued SEC evaluation report for SEC-00160 on Jan 20, 2010; SEC subsequently approved for period 1942-1961.
- Advisory Board working group for LBNL met on Feb. 3, 2012 to begin review of SC&A site profile findings and NIOSH response.

FINDINGS

- Inadequate documentation of historical operations and rad sources
- 2. Insufficient information to support internal dose reconstruction (e.g., MDA's)
- 3. Special forms of tritium and plutonium not addressed
- 4. External and internal dose records legacy, completeness, accuracy not addressed adequately
- 5. Insufficient justification for selection of IREP energy range fractions for photon exposures (Table 6-2)
- 6. Insufficiency of neutron dosimetry treatment
- 7. Failure to justify the shallow dose: Deep dose assumption

FINDINGS (cont'd)

- 8. Uncertainty in beta-gamma dosimeter response to radiation types and energies
- 9. Medical x-ray exposures are uncertain.
- 10. Uncertainty of calculating internal doses prior to 1961.
- 11. Inadequacy of bioassay analyses presentation.
- 12. Failure to provide sufficient guidance for unmonitored workers.
- 13. Inadequate coverage of occupational environmental dose.

SC&A actions

- LBNL work group identified actions for both NIOSH and SC&A
- SC&A will be reviewing revised NIOSH site profile and other added technical documents, addressing:
 - Further review of LBNL dosimetry records regarding miminum detectable thresholds (MDA's) for specific radionuclides prior to 1961
 - How non-uniform exposures addressed
 - How shallow dose addressed
 - How tritides and high-fired Pu addressed
 - Further review of dose reconstruction approach for neutron exposures