1

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

#### CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL

+ + + + +

## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

+ + + + +

# ADVISORY BOARD ON RADIATION AND WORKER HEALTH

+ + + + +

### 107th MEETING

+ + + + +

TUESDAY
SEPTEMBER 22, 2015

+ + + + +

The meeting convened via teleconference at 11:00 a.m., Eastern Time, James M. Melius, Chairman, presiding.

#### PRESENT:

JAMES M. MELIUS, Chairman
HENRY ANDERSON, Member
JOSIE BEACH, Member
BRADLEY P. CLAWSON, Member
R. WILLIAM FIELD, Member
DAVID KOTELCHUCK, Member
WANDA I. MUNN, Member
DAVID B. RICHARDSON, Member
GENEVIEVE S. ROESSLER, Member
PHILLIP SCHOFIELD, Member
LORETTA R. VALERIO, Member
PAUL L. ZIEMER, Member

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2

TED KATZ, Designated Federal Official

3

### REGISTERED AND/OR PUBLIC COMMENT PARTICIPANTS

ADAMS, NANCY, NIOSH Contractor
AL-NABULSI, ISAF, DOE
BARRIE, TERRIE
BEHLING, HANS, SC&A
BEHLING, KATHY, SC&A
HINNEFELD, STU, DCAS
GOGLIOTTI, ROSE, SC&A
KINMAN, JOSH, DCAS
MAURO, JOHN, SC&A
NETON, JIM, DCAS
RAFKY, MICHAEL, HHS
RUTHERFORD, LAVON, DCAS
STIVER, JOHN, SC&A

4

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

WELCOME
CHAIRMAN JAMES M. MELIUS 4
SPECIAL EXPOSURE COHORT (SEC) PETITION STATUS UPDATE
LAVON RUTHERFORD 4
UPDATES FROM WORK GROUPS AND SUBCOMMITTEES6
PLANS FOR THE NOVEMBER 2015 BOARD MEETING 20
RESCHEDULING JULY 2016 BOARD MEETING 26
ADJOURNMENT

## P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 1 2 (11:00 a.m.)I'll note for everyone on 3 MR. KATZ: the line, the agenda for the Board meeting is posted 4 on the NIOSH website, under the EEOICPA section, 5 the Board's scheduled meetings, today's 6 And that's the only document to see there, 7 date. 8 is the agenda. And it's pretty simple; you don't 9 really need to pull it up. 10 So, roll call. I am going to run through roll call. 11 There are no conflict of 12 interest issues for this meeting because it is all generic and administrative material that we are 13 14 dealing with today. So we don't need to address 15 conflict of interest for Members, and I'll just run down the line alphabetically. 16 17 (Roll call.) 18 MR. KATZ: Okay. Well, we still have a quorum and we're fine to go. Jim, it's your 19 2.0 meeting.

Okay.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS:

21

Thanks, Ted.

So anyway, welcome, everybody. We have a relatively short agenda, so we'll be able to get through it fairly quickly. And we'll start with our highlight presentation. Our keynote, LaVon Rutherford.

MR. RUTHERFORD: Thank you, Dr. Melius. My update will be short. I'll be updating us on the Special Exposure Cohort petition status. We will be presenting two new Evaluation Reports at the November Advisory Board meeting.

One report has been sent to the Advisory
Board and the petitioners, and that's for the
residual period for Blockson Chemical Company.

The other Evaluation Report is an 83.14, where we'll be recommending a class for Battelle King Avenue site in Columbus. That's a site where we added a class for the early years and then we found additional information that supports extending that Class. We anticipate that report will be approved late in October.

We had expected to present an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Bo	ard Meeting, has
been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally identified	fiable information
has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been reviewed and c	ertified by the
Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader should be cautioned tha	at this transcript is
for information only and is subject to change	

Evaluation Report for Argonne National Lab-West at the November meeting. However, in August, we were preparing our Dose Reconstruction Feasibility Determination when we found Argonne-East had some bioassay data for Argonne-West for the early years.

We immediately set up a data capture, but we will not be able to complete our evaluation until the November Advisory Board meeting. Excuse me.

We will be prepared to provide an update to the Advisory Board concerning the status of our evaluations for both Argonne and Lawrence Livermore National Lab at the November meeting. And that's about it.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Any Board Members have questions for LaVon? Okay, thank you for that. Okay. The next item on our agenda is updates from Work Groups and Subcommittees. And this is by volunteer only, so if anybody would like to --

MEMBER BEACH: Jim, this is Josie.

I'll go ahead and give a quick update on a couple of my work groups.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.

MEMBER BEACH: Kansas City, we have a Work Group call scheduled for October 26th. We also have some more phone interviews scheduled for the first couple weeks of October. And then NIOSH is possibly planning another site visit to look at more documents mid-October. So, that's Kansas City.

And then for LANL, there's been some movement there. The first week of November, we're planning on visiting LANL with NIOSH, maybe to shore up that end date for the SEC time period.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.

MEMBER BEACH: And that's all I have.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. And where are you on Kansas City? Are there still issues to deal with?

MEMBER BEACH: We just have some tie-ups in Kansas City. We expect to have the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board Meeting, has
been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally identifiable information
has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been reviewed and certified by the
Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader should be cautioned that this transcript is
for information only and is subject to change

sample doses by the Work Group meeting at the October 26 date. And we should have a recommendation based on that, if those all come through by that time period. So, we're still shooting to report out in November.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Thank you,
Josie.

MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Dave. Dave Kotelchuck.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Go ahead, Dave.

MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: On the Dose Reconstruction Subcommittee, we are meeting on Thursday. We have gone over, I think, nine or eight, I believe nine, blind cases. We will do the remaining ones of that, of a few more, on Thursday. And we are starting to do, to begin on the report to the Secretary.

And there has been, SC&A has delivered us a number of very nice graphs. And there's also some that just came in. Information. So I think that's moving along well. And we'll really get

into the nuts and bolts of that, writing a draft of that report on Thursday. That finishes the Dose Reconstruction Review.

Also, I want to talk a bit about the Rocky Flats Working Group. We had, at one point, figured that we would be able to make a recommendation, a report and recommendation for the November meeting. But recently, we have gotten a couple of new either issues or reports.

And specifically, [identifying information redacted] was participating in our last meeting and has criticism of the report on the critical mass lab or -- and revisions that he proposes. And we are scheduling a meeting with him. We're having a bit of trouble getting a time that all of us who are interested can participate.

But in addition, we received this week a Rocky Flats petitioner's response to the NIOSH White Paper on data falsification from [identifying information redacted] and Ms. Barrie, who's on the phone. And that will get a review by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

the NIOSH, as well as we asked SC&A for comments. 1 And we will be discussing this at our next meeting, 2 which we are trying to schedule for the end of 3 September, early October. 4 So, given the new issues and the new 5 report, it's not clear to me that we will finish 6 up in time to make a recommendation for the November 7 8 Advisory Board meeting. We'll certainly know 9 after we have our upcoming meeting. But at the moment, I feel that I'm not 10 sure that we will have completed our work by then 11 12 and it is very important, obviously, that we do this, and we do this carefully and properly. 13 14 So I wish I could say that, give a 15 specific, that we will make a recommendation in 16 November. But I am no longer sure, confident, that 17 we will be able to. We just have to see. 18 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes, Dave, this Jim. I think we should still plan on providing an update 19 2.0 to the Board on Rocky Flats.

MEMBER KOTELCHUCK:

21

That would be

not

Whether

or

fine. 1 2 MELIUS: CHAIRMAN there's a recommendation, I think it's useful to 3 -- we haven't talked about it in any level of detail 4 for a while and I think it's helpful even if we're 5 not ready to take action yet, or the Work Group 6 isn't ready. 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Right. I agree. We would be glad, I'll be glad to do that. think you -- I agree with you.

> CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.

MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: We've covered a lot of ground. A lot of things have been resolved as far as the Working Group is concerned. But the overall recommendation, we have not acted on it. Sure, I will make a report then.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Thank you. Anybody, any other Board Members, have questions for Dave? Comments? Okay.

MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Might I ask, Jim, have other Members of our Working Group received

the Rocky Flats petitioner's response that just 1 came in the other day? 2 3 MR. KATZ: Yes, Dave, everybody's received it. 4 5 MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Good. Okay, thanks very much. 6 MR. KATZ: While we're on the topic, I 7 8 mean, I can get through it when we're talking about 9 planning, but for the Rocky Flats session, I mean, 10 given what you just said, Dave, but also what Jim said, for the Board meeting, I'm going to have that 11 12 slated for the Board meeting in November. I'11 13 keep that as that. 14 Obviously, whether we have 15 recommendation or not doesn't change much there provided we're giving a full report. And so, I was 16 17 planning on having not only your update, but also 18 NIOSH provide an update as part of that. Does that seem appropriate? In other words, a full session 19 2.0 on Rocky Flats.

MEMBER KOTELCHUCK:

21

That's fine.

1	MR. KATZ: And then the petitioner, of
2	course, will have an opportunity to comment, too.
3	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Right.
4	MR. KATZ: As part of that session.
5	Okay.
6	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Very good.
7	MR. KATZ: Thanks.
8	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: That means we get to
9	hear LaVon twice?
10	MR. KATZ: It's a very special day,
11	yes.
12	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.  MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Right.
13	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Right.
13	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Right.  CHAIRMAN MELIUS: So, okay. Anybody
13 14 15	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Right.  CHAIRMAN MELIUS: So, okay. Anybody else with Work Group or Subcommittee updates?
13 14 15 16	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Right.  CHAIRMAN MELIUS: So, okay. Anybody else with Work Group or Subcommittee updates?  MEMBER ROESSLER: Jim, this is Gen.
13 14 15 16 17	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Right.  CHAIRMAN MELIUS: So, okay. Anybody else with Work Group or Subcommittee updates?  MEMBER ROESSLER: Jim, this is Gen.  CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay, Gen. Go
13 14 15 16 17	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Right.  CHAIRMAN MELIUS: So, okay. Anybody else with Work Group or Subcommittee updates?  MEMBER ROESSLER: Jim, this is Gen.  CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay, Gen. Go ahead.

Field, and John Poston are on the Work Group.

As you recall, NIOSH presented the ER for Carborundum at the July 23rd meeting in Idaho Falls. And I learned this morning that Bob Anigstein of SC&A is reviewing it and some other documents. He stated that he expects SC&A to report by the end of the year. And once that happens, our Work Group will meet.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay, great. Any other Work Group or Subcommittee updates?

MEMBER MUNN: Jim, this Wanda. Our Procedures Subcommittee has not met since our last report. We, both our agency and the contractor, have been working behind the scenes on several of our more critical outstanding items.

Our workload has diminished markedly in the last year or so. I think we're getting close to the end of the road in terms of crucial outstanding data points for Procedures.

Our current hope is that we can schedule a meeting in November. But whether or not we can

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

our

Board

before

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

get

that

done

is

meeting

questionable. CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Thanks, Wanda. Other Work Group or -- yes, we've done both Subcommittees, so any other Work Group report? MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Yes, Jim, this is Phil. CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. MEMBER SCHOFIELD: This is just a brief update on some of the INL stuff. There are some papers, either at DOE or expected to for review, or we'll probably send out shortly on the Central Facilities Area, Test Area North.

And SC&A is going to issue their second report on the SEC definition for the CPP area and that will be going to DOE for review. And then the report on the bioassay internal dose reconstruction will be delivered in October.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. And I think we have a Work Group meeting tentatively scheduled for early November, or?

1	MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Yes, we do. Let me
2	see here.
3	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: I think it's
4	November 10th or 9th, whatever.
5	MEMBER BEACH: It's the 10th.
6	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Tenth, yes.
7	MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: I think Ted was
9	trying to get us to work on a federal holiday. We
10	protested the 11th, but. So there.
11	I can give an update on the Dose
12	Reconstruction Review, methods review or whatever.
13	I can't remember the name of what we call the Work
14	Group now, but methods for dose reconstruction
15	reviews.
16	And we're waiting for one more report
17	from SC&A. And so when we have a good sound
18	estimated date on when that report will be
19	available, we'll be scheduling a Work Group
20	meeting, so.
21	I know SC&A is working on it. So we'll,

as soon as we get the date, some estimate, we'll plan on doing that. And we'll be reporting to the full Board on that at the November meeting. Any other Work Group updates? Hello, Jim. MEMBER ZIEMER: Paul Ziemer here. I can give a brief update on TBD-6000 with respect to General Steel Industries. We now have the review by SC&A of the NIOSH White Paper, which dealt with the open issues on Appendix BB, Rev 1. And the comments by SC&A have been distributed to the Work Group. possibly also to the total Board. And we're hoping to schedule a meeting in the next few weeks prior, certainly before, the next full Board meeting. So hopefully we'll have a full recommendation by the time of the regular Board meeting on those final issues on Appendix BB, Rev 1. CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

MEMBER CLAWSON:

I'd like to give an update.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

Jim, this is Brad.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay, Brad.

MEMBER CLAWSON: I guess first of all what I'd take is Savannah River. The last paper we got from NIOSH was on neptunium that we were going to use to work with, which NIOSH put out that we weren't going to be able to use that. So we're kind of at a hold there until we proceed forward.

But on the neutron/photon, which I've been waiting for for quite a while, we finally, for Pantex is one of them, and Stu, correct me if I'm wrong, but NIOSH admitted this one for Pantex and a couple of our other sites. And they were looking at doing a generic, one size fits all, but I believe that we've made the decision that it's going to have to be a site-by-site issue when we have to deal with that neutron/photon ratio.

But we're taking one step at a time and we're just finishing up bits and pieces with Nevada Test Site. Still just a few Site Profile issues. Fernald, a few Site Profile issues, and the Pantex. That's it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

1	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Thanks,
2	Brad. Does Stu or Jim Neton, do you have any
3	comments on?
4	MR. NETON: Well, no, not really. I
5	think you do have to consider the situation of each
6	site on these neutron studies to determine what it
7	is. So there may be some methods that are
8	applicable to more than one site, but, yes, we think
9	we have a solution for Pantex that we're moving
10	forward with.
11	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Great. Any
12	other Work Group reports?
13	MEMBER VALERIO: Jim, this is Loretta.
14	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay, Loretta.
15	MEMBER VALERIO: And my question is
16	probably more for Dave, on the Ames Work Group and
17	where we are with that? I thought we had we a
18	meeting and I'm looking for my email right now, but
19	my computer is very slow. I thought we had a
20	meeting, a teleconference, coming up here fairly
21	quickly.

-	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: No. We will be
2	scheduling one later on in the fall, but I don't
3	we have not, we do not have one set up at this
ŀ	point. We're waiting on some reports from NIOSH.
,	Actually, we're waiting for a couple of papers for
-	the Site Profile. So there is nothing now
,	scheduled.
3	MEMBER VALERIO: Okay. Maybe it was
)	the White Papers I was thinking of.
)	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Yes. Well, we'll
-	be getting them soon and we should meet, we
2	definitely will meet, sometime this fall by
3	teleconference.
ŧ	MEMBER VALERIO: Okay.
5	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Okay, thanks.
5	MEMBER VALERIO: Thank you.
,	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Any other questions
3	or updates? Okay. Thanks, everybody. The next
)	item is our November 15th Board meeting.
)	MEMBER MUNN: 18th.
-	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: I think Ted has

1	circulated a have you circulated a draft agenda
2	to everybody?
3	MR. KATZ: No.
4	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Circulated it to me.
5	Okay.
6	MR. KATZ: Just to you. It's a very
7	short circulation there.
8	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. Do you want to
9	
10	MR. KATZ: Yes, I can. Let me just run
11	through where we are right now with things. Right
12	now, we have, first of all, in terms of I know that
13	people are always wondering about location and all
14	that. None of that can be even processed until
15	we're in the new fiscal year. So we haven't made
16	any headway there.
17	We're aiming for Oakland area, because
18	I heard from one of the petitioner representatives
19	who's recommended a lot of people in that area, that
20	there are many retirees in that area and that would
21	be convenient.

But then I also have heard from Stu about Pleasanton, California, which is close to Livermore. And, I think, his contacts at ATL who deal with current workers, was suggesting that might be a good location to capture current workers in Livermore. So I decided to look into that as well. So that's all I have for you on location issues right now.

In terms of the schedule, right now, because we're not ready yet to sweep anything off the table, I have two full days. I have, yes, two quite full days. What we have on there, and then we have the usual, which I don't need to run over with you.

But we have to tell, as LaVon spoke of, so that -- and we also have on the first day, I have Idaho National Lab set up for first day. Whether or not that survives in its current form as a possible, it's a possible vote but, well, I think we'll have an update even if we don't, if we aren't prepared for a vote, unless it's suggested otherwise to me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

We have an update at the end of the day on 1 Lawrence Livermore, for people in the area there. 2 3 And I think NIOSH was saying they'll be ready to present an update. It says a half hour for that 4 5 before a public comment session, as we sort of normally do on location. 6 On Day 2 we have Blockson Chemical, which 7 8 we'll be ready for. The residual period. I have 9 Rocky Flats on there. One way or the other. We just discussed that. 10 We have also to close out the Dow Madison 11 12 Site Profile Review. We've done most of that 13 already, but there is some remaining matter Dr. Neton will cover. And then we have KCP petition, we hope 14 15 to come to a vote to. And that covers 60 days, I think, other than the usual material. 16 17 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: I think, as we Yes. 18 indicated, we have update the Dose an on Reconstruction Review. 19 Oh, sorry. 2.0 MR. KATZ: That, too.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: For those of you that

are on the SEC Review Work Group, we had dealt with
some Dow Madison Site Profile issues of a couple
months ago, actually. And we need to update the Board
on those. So I put that on the agenda also.
MR. KATZ: Right. And also, in the
general update from NIOSH, we'll hear about how things
are going with the initial efforts to apply the new
guidelines for coworker modeling. So that will be
covered there.
CHAIRMAN MELIUS: So, again, I think, we
don't know where we'll be meeting, but I think
everyone can plan on it's probably a two-day meeting.
MR. KATZ: Yes.
CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Unless a number of
these fall through, and I don't imagine
MEMBER CLAWSON: So, Jim, this is Brad.
What was the dates on that again? I heard the 18th
and
MR. KATZ: Yes, Brad. It's November 18
and 19.
MEMBER CLAWSON: Okay. I just wanted to

1	make sure I'd heard that right. Thanks.
2	MR. KATZ: That's right.
3	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: That's a Wednesday and
4	a Thursday.
5	MEMBER CLAWSON: Okay.
6	MEMBER ZIEMER: Hello, Jim, this is
7	Ziemer. I have one question on your report on Dose
8	Reconstruction Reviews. I have on my calendar a
9	meeting scheduled for this Thursday. Has that been
10	changed? Did I miss that?
11	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: That's the Dose
12	Reconstruction Review Committee. Mine's the I was
13	talking about the methods issue.
14	MEMBER ZIEMER: Yes. But earlier, when
15	you mentioned Dose Reconstruction Reviews, you talked
16	about the need to schedule a meeting. And I have one
17	scheduled on Thursday. Did I
18	MR. KATZ: Yes, yes. Paul, you have the
19	Subcommittee is what is on Thursday.
20	MEMBER ZIEMER: Oh well, I wrote down some
21	okay, yes, but not on that. I wrote the wrong

1	MR. KATZ: So it's not on that, right.
2	MEMBER ZIEMER: I wrote the wrong thing
3	down. Okay, got you. Thank you.
4	MR. KATZ: That's okay. For the Appendix
5	BB, I mean, do you want a stand-alone session or are
6	you okay with handling that during the Work Group
7	updates?
8	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: I think we need to see
9	where we are at the end of our Work Group meeting, Ted.
10	MR. KATZ: Okay.
11	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: And then that would
12	fall in with the Dow Madison Site Profile update,
13	wouldn't it? I mean, it would be a similar
14	MR. KATZ: Right, yes. It's similar.
15	It's similar. I mean, they've already
16	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.
17	MR. KATZ: So a stand-alone session is
18	what you're suggesting, Jim?
19	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. Maybe we combine
20	those in some way, if it's
21	MEMBER ZIEMER: I think we need to see

1	where we are and when the Work Group meets.
2	MR. KATZ: Yes, right.
3	MEMBER ZIEMER: If there's any issues
4	that are going to require more time than otherwise
5	expected.
6	MR. KATZ: Yes, okay. Good, thanks.
7	That's helpful.
8	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. And we need to
9	reschedule the July 2016 Board meeting, which is my
10	fault because I didn't realize I had a conflict. Or
11	I thought the conflict was going to go away and it's
12	not, for the dates that we chose. So we need and,
13	Ted, you had
14	MR. KATZ: Yes. So I sent out three
15	different weeks of candidates, because we'd already
16	covered some when we met as to what was suitable when
17	we met in July. But, everyone, if you want to look
18	at your calendars. I only heard back from Wanda, but
19	I didn't really expect anyone to send me anything
20	back.
21	So we're looking at your calendars for

July of 2016. And an earlier week that we hadn't
talked about is the week of the 11th, 11th through the
15th. And if that doesn't do, the first two weeks in
August. The week of August 1 and the week of August
8.
So that's sort of the ballpark of where we
want to be. Why don't we start with the week of July
11 and folks chime in if that week does not work for
you?
MEMBER FIELD: Yes, this is Bill Field.
That doesn't work for me.
MR. KATZ: Does not work, okay.
MEMBER FIELD: No.
MR. KATZ: Let's just move on then. How
about the week of August 1?
CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Jim, I've got a
conflict right in the middle of the week. It's
another NIOSH meeting on August 3rd. So it somewhat
depends on how long our meeting was and what days we
met.
MR. KATZ: Yes. Yes, that's kind of

tough, though, for you, I think. What about the week 1 of August 8? 2 3 MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Dave. I can do it, but by getting toward the middle of August gets really 4 There will be family and vacation plans that 5 hard. it will begin to impinge upon. So I definitely can 6 make a meeting that week, so let's be clear. 7 But on 8 the other hand, of the three weeks, that is the least 9 preferable. 10 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: But does anybody have a 11 conflict that week, I think is the question. 12 MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Right. And I have no conflict. 13 14 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. 15 MR. Well, hearing KATZ: Okay. conflicts for that week, then why don't we plan for 16 17 the 9th and 10th. That's the middle of that week. 18 That's a Tuesday and Wednesday. But lately we haven't needed a three-day meeting and I'm just 19 2.0 assuming we probably won't. So anyone want to --21 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: We could suggest some

1	locations where we would like a three-day meeting.
2	MR. KATZ: I could think of lots of
3	places.
4	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.
5	MR. KATZ: Yes, okay. But I think we're
6	more likely to be a two-day meeting. And who can
7	predict that far ahead, but
8	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Right.
9	MR. KATZ: Three days is unlikely.
10	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.
11	MR. KATZ: Okay. So let's say the 9th and
12	10th of August.
13	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. That's ends our
14	formal business for this meeting. And we will, I
15	guess, reconvene in some place in the Oakland area in
16	the end of November for our next meeting.
17	MR. KATZ: Right.
18	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: And I guess we'll
19	expect to hear more on locations and so forth from Ted
20	or Zaida sometime after the October 1st.
21	MR. KATZ: Yes. It'll probably be

1	mid-October before we're getting a sense.
2	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. And we also have
3	the well, but we generally know the location we're
4	aiming for and
5	MR. KATZ: Right. It'll be in
6	California.
7	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: And assuming that the
8	government stays open, maybe by sometime in October,
9	we'll know.
10	MR. KATZ: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: But they don't have you
12	doing contingency planning or anything on a shutdown,
13	do they, Ted?
14	MR. KATZ: They do, actually.
15	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Oh, okay. So, let's
16	see. Anybody with Work Group meetings in early
17	October, just be aware of that.
18	MR. KATZ: Right.
19	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: That's scheduled.
20	Anyway, thanks, everybody, and as I said, we'll see
21	you in November, if not before. Thanks.

only and is subject to change. 33 Thanks, all. 1 MR. KATZ: 2 the meeting in the (Whereupon, 3 above-entitled matter was adjourned at 11:33 a.m.) 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader should be cautioned that this transcript is for information