CURRENT INTELLIGENCE BULLETIN

INTERIM GUIDANCE FOR THE MEDICAL SCREENING OF WORKERS POTENTIALLY EXPOSED TO ENGINEERED NANOPARTICLES

November 2007

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

Disclaimer: This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination peer review under applicable information quality guidelines. It has not been formally disseminated by CDC/NIOSH and should not be construed to represent any agency determination or policy.

We are requesting the review of this document as part of our pre-dissemination review. Written comments on the document should be sent to NIOSH Docket Office, Robert A. Taft Laboratories, 4676 Columbia Parkway, MS C-34, Cincinnati, Ohio 45226 or by email at nioshdocket@cdc.gov. All electronic comments should be formatted as Microsoft Word and make reference to docket number NIOSH-115. A draft of the document can be found at the following web address: http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/review/public/115/

CONTENTS

| Summary |
|--|
| 1.0 Purpose |
| 2.0 Background |
| 3.0 Occupational Health Surveillance |
| 3.1 Medical Surveillance |
| 3.1.1 Medical Screening6 |
| 3.1.2 Assessing Data from Medical Screening Programs |
| 3.2 Hazard Surveillance and Risk Management |
| 3.3 Frequent Uses for Medical Surveillance |
| 3.3.1 Initial Medical Examinations |
| 3.3.2 Ongoing Medical Examinations and Screening |
| 4.0 Discussion and Conclusions |
| 5.0 Recommendations |
| 5.1 Take Prudent Measures to Control Exposures to Engineered Nanoparticles |
| 5.2 Conduct Hazard Surveillance as the Basis for Implementing Controls |
| 5.3 Consider Established Medical Surveillance Approaches to Help Assess Whether Controls are Effective and Identify New or Unrecognized Problems and Health Effects |
| 6.0 References 14 |

| Appendix A: Critical Aspects of an Occupational Medical Screening Program | . 24 |
|--|------|
| Appendix B: OSHA Standards that Include Requirements for Medical Surveillance | . 25 |
| Appendix C: Hazards for which NIOSH has Recommended the use of Medical Surveillance | . 26 |
| Appendix D: Examples of Limitations in the Evidence Base for Specific Medical Screening of | |
| Workers exposed to Engineered Nanoparticles | . 30 |
| Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes (SWCNTs) | . 30 |
| Nanoscale Metal Oxides | . 31 |
| Nanoscale Cadmium | . 32 |
| Appendix E: Exposure Registries | . 33 |

SUMMARY

1

20

would apply to nanoparticles as well.

- 2 Concerns have been raised about whether workers exposed to engineered nanoparticles are at 3 increased risk of adverse health effects. Therefore, the purpose of this document is to provide interim 4 guidance from the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) concerning 5 whether specific medical screening (that is, medical tests for asymptomatic workers) is appropriate 6 for these workers. 7 Medical screening is only part of a complete safety and health management program that follows a 8 hierarchy of controls and involves various occupational health surveillance measures. Since specific 9 medical screening of workers exposed to engineered nanoparticles has not been extensively discussed in the scientific literature, this document is intended to fill the knowledge gap on an interim basis. 10 11 Although increasing evidence indicates that exposure to some engineered nanoparticles can cause adverse health effects in laboratory animals, no studies of workers exposed to the few engineered 12 13 nanoparticles tested in animals have been published. The current body of evidence about the possible 14 health risks of occupational exposure to engineered nanoparticles is quite small. **Insufficient** 15 scientific and medical evidence now exists to recommend the specific medical screening of 16 workers potentially exposed to engineered nanoparticles. Nonetheless, the lack of evidence on 17 which to recommend specific medical screening does not preclude its consideration by employers 18 interested in taking precautions beyond standard industrial hygiene measures. If nanoparticles are 19 composed of a chemical or bulk material for which medical screening recommendations exist, they
- 21 Ongoing research on the hazards of engineered nanoparticles is needed along with the continual

- reassessment of available data to determine whether specific medical screening is warranted for
 workers who are producing or using nanoparticles. In the meantime, the following
 recommendations are provided for workplaces where workers may be exposed to engineered
 - Take prudent measures to control workers' exposures to nanoparticles.

nanoparticles in the course of their work:

- Conduct hazard surveillance as the basis for implementing controls.
- Consider established medical surveillance approaches to help assess whether control
 measures are effective and identify new or unrecognized problems and health effects.
- NIOSH will continue to examine new research findings and update its recommendations about
 medical screening programs for workers exposed to nanoparticles. Additionally, NIOSH is seeking
 comments on the strengths and weaknesses of exposure registries for workers potentially exposed to
 engineered nanoparticles.

1.0 PURPOSE

25

26

27

34

Concerns have been raised about whether workers exposed to engineered nanoparticles are at
increased risk of adverse health effects. Therefore, the purpose of this document is to provide interim
guidance from the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) concerning
specific medical screening for these workers—that is, medical tests for asymptomatic workers. Such
screening would be beyond any medical surveillance already occurring as part of existing
occupational health surveillance.

2.0 BACKGROUND

41

62

Nanotechnology is a system of innovative methods for controlling and manipulating matter at the 42 near-atomic scale to produce engineered materials, structures, and devices. Engineered nanoparticles 43 are generally considered to be a class or subset of nanomaterials with at least one dimension that is approximately 1 to 100 nanometers (www.nano.gov/html/facts/whatIsNano.html). 45 At these scales, materials often exhibit unique properties that affect their physical, chemical, and 46 47 biological behavior. Potential occupational health risks associated with manufacturing and using nanomaterials are not yet 48 49 clearly understood. Many engineered nanomaterials and devices are formed from nanometer-scale particles (nanoparticles) that are initially produced as aerosols or colloidal suspensions. Exposure to 50 these materials during manufacturing and use may occur through inhalation, dermal contact, and 51 ingestion; however, inhalation exposure is the main route of concern [ASCC 2006]. Minimal 52 53 information is currently available about dominant exposure routes, potential exposure, and material 54 toxicity. The existing information comes primarily from the study of ultrafine particles (typically defined as particles smaller than 100 nanometers) [Aitken et al. 2004; Donaldson et al. 2005, 2006; 55 56 Maynard and Kuempel 2005; Oberdörster et al. 2005a,b; Kreyling et al. 2006; Gwinn and Vallyathan 2006; Borm et al. 2006; Helland et al. 2007]. The term "ultrafine" is frequently used in the context of 57 particles with dimensions less than 100 nanometers that have not been intentionally produced but are 58 59 the incidental products of processes involving combustion, welding, or diesel engines. It is currently unclear whether the use of source-based definitions of nanoparticles and ultrafine particles is justified 60 61 from a safety and health perspective. However, if engineered nanoparticles have the same

physicochemical characteristics that are associated with reported effects from ultrafine particles, they

may also pose the same health concerns.

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

Experimental animal studies have indicated that many types of poorly soluble nanoscale particles elicit a greater pulmonary inflammatory response than do larger particles of the same composition on a mass for mass basis [Oberdörster et al. 1994; Lison et al 1997; Zhang et al. 2000, 2003; Brown et al. 2001; Höhr et al. 2002; Duffin et al. 2007]. Other physicochemical properties such as surface reactivity, chemical composition, crystal structure, and shape have been shown to influence the toxicity of nanoparticles [Zang et al. 1998; Dick et al. 2003; Warheit et al. 2007a, b]. Some types of engineered nanoparticles have been shown in experimental animal studies to cause adverse lung effects (e.g., pulmonary inflammation and progressive fibrosis) [Lam et al. 2004, 2006; Shvedova et al. 2005] and cardiovascular effects (e.g., inflammation, blood platelet activation, plaque formation, and thrombosis) [Radomski et al. 2005; Donaldson et al. 2006; Li et al. 2007]. Elevated lung cancer has been reported in some studies of workers exposed to ultrafine particles (diesel exhaust and welding fume) [Steenland et al. 1998; Garshick et al. 2004; Antonini 2003]. Exposure to ultrafine particles have raised concerns about possible adverse effects in workers exposed to engineered nanoparticles [Royal Society and Academy of Engineering 2004; Maynard and Kuempel 2005; IRRST 2006; Nel et al. 2006; Schulte and Salmanca-Buentello 2007; Maynard 2007; Lam et al. 2006; Kuempel et al. 2007; Aitken et al. 2004; ASCC 2006].

3.0 OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH SURVEILLANCE

NIOSH has historically recommended implementing occupational health surveillance programs when workers are exposed to potentially hazardous materials. Occupational health surveillance involves the ongoing systematic collection, analysis, and dissemination of exposure and health data on groups of workers for the purpose of preventing illness and injury; this information is frequently used for

establishing and evaluating the hierarchy of preventive actions [Halperin 1996]. The general term occupational health surveillance includes medical and hazard surveillance. Occupational health surveillance is an essential component of an effective occupational safety and health program [Harber et al. 2003; NIOSH 2006b; Wagner and Fine 2008; Baker and Matte 2005]. This document supports that concept; however, the main focus of the document is whether additional medical screening is warranted for workers potentially exposed to engineered nanoparticles.

3.1 Medical Surveillance

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

- 92 NIOSH recommends the medical surveillance of workers when they are exposed to hazardous
- 93 materials. The elements of a medical surveillance program generally include the following:
 - 1. An initial medical examination and collection of medical and occupational histories;
 - Periodic medical examinations at regularly scheduled intervals, including specific medical screening tests when warranted;
 - More frequent and detailed medical examinations as indicated on the basis of findings from these examinations;
 - Post-incident examinations and medical screening following uncontrolled or non-routine increases in exposures such as spills;
 - 5. Worker training to recognize symptoms of exposure to a given hazard;
 - 6. A written report of medical findings, and;
 - 7. Employer actions in response to identification of potential hazards.

3.1.1 Medical Screening

Medical screening (also referred to as medical monitoring) is one form of medical surveillance, and includes medical testing to detect preclinical changes in organ function or changes that occur in the very early stages of disease—before a person would normally seek medical care and when intervention is beneficial [Ashford et al. 1990; Baker and Matte 2005; Halperin et al. 1986; Harber et al. 2003; ILO 1998]. Medical screening complements a complete safety and health management program that follows the hierarchy of controls traditionally used by safety and health professionals (elimination, substitution, exposure controls, environmental monitoring, good work practices, and respiratory and other personal protection).

The feasibility and appropriateness of conducting medical screening can be judged according to established criteria [Halperin et al. 1986; Borak et al. 2006; Baker and Matte 2005; Harber 2003]. Inherent in all criteria for medical screening is that the specific disease endpoint(s) must be known to allow for test selection (see Appendix A).

3.1.2 Assessing Data from Medical Surveillance Programs

Results from medical surveillance may be assessed in several ways. Assessing data aggregated across groups of workers allows an occupational health professional to determine patterns and trends of potential health effects. In addition, medical surveillance data can be assessed on an individual basis for a sentinel event. A sentinel event represents an exposure or disease that signals the failure of controls to prevent occupational disease or injury [Rutstein et al. 1983; Mullan and Murphy 1991; ILO 1998]. For example, a case of lead poisoning signals that a worker has been exposed to lead at concentrations that would not have occurred if all aspects of the Occupational Safety and Health

Administration (OSHA) lead standards (29 CFR* 1910.1025 and 29 CFR 1926.62) had been followed. At this time, no health outcomes that have been determined to be sentinel events are related to engineered nanoparticle exposures.

3.2 Hazard Surveillance and Risk Management

Hazard surveillance involves identifying hazards in the workplace and assessing the extent to which they can be linked to workers, the effectiveness of controls, and the reliability of exposure measures [Sundin and Frazier 1989; Froines et al. 1989]. Hazard surveillance for engineered nanoparticles is a component of occupational health surveillance and is used for defining the elements of the risk management program. A risk management program involves taking action to minimize exposure to potential hazards. In the case of engineered nanoparticles (even in the absence of adequate health information) an understanding of potential worker exposures forms the basis for ongoing risk management. The elements of a risk management program include recognizing potential exposures and determining appropriate actions for minimizing them (e.g., implementing engineering controls, employing good work practices, and using personal protective equipment) [NIOSH 2006a]. Hazard surveillance can serve as the basis of a risk management program by identifying the jobs and processes that involve production and use of engineered nanoparticles and the work tasks associated with them.

^{*}Code of Federal Regulations. See CFR in References.

3.3 Frequent Uses for Medical Surveillance

3.3.1 Initial Medical Examinations

Medical examinations and/or tests are used in many workplaces to determine whether an employee is currently able to perform the essential functions of the job (with or without reasonable accommodation) without posing a direct and imminent threat to the safety or health of the worker or others. Workplace medical examinations must be conducted in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA) [Public Law No. 101-336]. For example, this law prohibits making a job offer contingent upon the applicant's submission to a medical examination. Post-offer/preacceptance medical examinations and examinations conducted before placing a worker in a given job may provide useful baseline information. Such baseline information may not necessarily be gathered because of workplace exposure to engineered nanoparticles. However, it may benefit workers with such exposures if questions arise later about health effects related to nanoparticle exposures.

3.3.2 Ongoing Medical Examinations and Screening

Ongoing medical surveillance of workers occurs routinely in many workplaces. Such surveillance may be prescribed by law or may be completely voluntary. Although OSHA does not have a standard that specifically addresses occupational exposure to engineered nanoparticles, OSHA has a number of standards (Appendix B) that require medical surveillance of workers. Workplaces with engineered nanoparticles of materials addressed by current OSHA standards are subject to the requirements of those standards, including the requirements for medical surveillance. In addition, medical surveillance of workers handling engineered nanoparticles may also be triggered by the presence of other hazardous substances (with associated recommendations for medical surveillance) in nanoparticle operations.

In addition to substance-specific standards, OSHA standards with broader applicability may also be relevant. For example, employers must follow the medical evaluation requirements of OSHA's respiratory protection standard [29 CFR 1910.134] when respirators are necessary to protect worker health. This standard includes elements of medical surveillance. Likewise, the OSHA standard for occupational exposure to hazardous chemicals in laboratories [29 CFR 1910.1450] requires medical consultation following the accidental release of hazardous chemicals.

NIOSH has recommended medical surveillance (including screening) of workers exposed to certain occupational hazards (Appendix C). None of the hazards noted in Appendix C are identified as engineered nanoparticles; but medical surveillance would apply to workers exposed to nanoparticles made up of chemicals for which NIOSH has a recommendation. These workers may benefit in the future if questions arise about the health effects of their exposures to nanoparticles.

4.0 DISCUSSION and CONCLUSIONS

Assessing the potential toxicity of engineered nanoparticles is at an early stage. A body of scientific evidence has accrued from toxicology studies on selected engineered nanoparticles and from epidemiology studies of individuals exposed to incidental nanoparticles (e.g., from high-temperature combustion processes [Kuempel et al. 2007; Gwinn and Vallyathan 2006; Donaldson et al. 2006]. This evidence raises concerns and suggests that safety and health professionals should consider precautionary management approaches [Schulte and Salamanca-Buentello 2007; NIOSH 2006a; Royal Society and Royal Academy of Engineering 2004; Borm et al. 2006; IRSST 2006] such as the implementation of occupational risk management programs. Such approaches are described in the document *Approaches to Safe Nanotechnology: An Information Exchange with NIOSH* [NIOSH

185 2006a].

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

The current body of evidence about the possible health risks of occupational exposures to engineered nanoparticles is not sufficient to support the determination of specific medical screening for identifying preclinical changes associated with exposure to engineered nanoparticles. No substantial link has been established between occupational exposure to engineered nanoparticles and adverse health effects. In addition, the toxicological research to date is insufficient to recommend such monitoring, the appropriate triggers for it, or components of it. As the volume of research on the potential health effects increases, continual reassessment will be needed to determine whether medical screening is warranted for workers who are producing or using engineered nanoparticles. NIOSH will continue to examine new research findings and update its recommendations on medical screening programs for workers exposed to nanoparticles. A further discussion about the lack of sufficient evidence to recommend specific medical screening for workers exposed to engineered nanoparticles is presented in Appendix D. At this time, only a few types of engineered nanoparticles have been studied, and a clear and consistent picture of the relevant endpoints for workers has not yet emerged. Various physicochemical parameters of nanoparticles (e.g., composition, size, shape, surface characteristics, charge, functional groups, crystal structure, and solubility) appear to affect toxicity [Oberdörster et al. 2005a; Borm et al. 2006; Warheit et al. 2007b; IRSST 2006]. It is not known whether size is the overriding parameter, though it generally appears to be the major factor in enhancing the toxicity of engineered nanoparticles as compared to that of larger particles of the same composition. Results from a limited number of experimental animal studies with engineered nanoparticles indicate the potential for respiratory and circulatory effects [Aitken et al. 2004; Borm et al. 2006; ASCC 2006;

IRRST 2006]; however, it is not clear which effects are most critical, whether they are dosedependent, and if these effects are relevant to human exposure. Additional studies are needed to determine the biological significance of different physicochemical parameters and whether these parameters can be used to predict the potential toxicity of other untested engineered nanoparticles. When occupational health surveillance is being established, it is necessary to understand the relative, absolute, and population-attributable risks to workers who are handling engineered nanomaterials. This understanding includes understanding the hazard as well as the extent of exposure and ultimately the risk. Limited information is available on these topics, but exposures may be generally low relative to the airborne exposures of the same material in larger but respirable particle sizes. The level of risk resulting from lower exposures to nanomaterials is unknown. Ultimately, epidemiological studies of exposed workers will be needed to help assess exposure-response relationships. Although such studies are difficult to conduct, they are more likely than medical screening to clarify the relationship between exposure and adverse effects at this time. Finally, there is not yet enough research to make categorical determinations of the hazards based on combinations of physicochemical factors [ASCC 2006; Aitken et al. 2004]. Although preliminary studies indicate that while specific medical screening may be warranted in the future, insufficient information is now available to make any recommendations beyond hazard surveillance. NIOSH will continue to assess the scientific evidence and periodically update the guidance on medical screening.

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Continued *in vivo* and *in vitro* toxicological research is needed to identify potential health endpoints related to occupational exposure to engineered nanoparticles. Epidemiological studies of exposed workers will be needed to establish associations between exposures to engineered nanoparticles and adverse health effects and to assess for exposure-response relationships. Research is needed to assess various candidate biological markers that may ultimately be used in medical screening, including molecular markers [Schulte 2005]. This research is needed to assess sensitivity, specificity, and predictive value of biomarkers and clinical tests that could be used in the screening of workers' health.

The following recommendations are provided for workplaces where workers may be exposed to engineered nanoparticles during the course of their work.

5.1 Take prudent measures to control exposures to engineered

237 nanoparticles.

A prudent approach to controlling exposures to engineered nanoparticles has been described in the

NIOSH draft document Approaches to Safe Nanotechnology: An Information Exchange with NIOSH

[NIOSH 2006a].

5.2 Conduct hazard surveillance as the basis for implementing controls.

To establish prudent measures for controlling exposure to engineered nanoparticles, it is first important to identify which jobs or processes involve the production or use of engineered nanoparticles. Employers should identify and document the presence of engineered nanoparticles in their workplaces and the work tasks associated with them. This information will serve as the basis for applying various control measures [NIOSH 2006a].

5.3 Consider established medical surveillance approaches to help assess whether controls are effective and identify new or unrecognized problems and health effects.

Currently, there are many established uses for medical surveillance by employers and occupational health practitioners (see Section 3.3). These may pertain to workers exposed to engineered nanoparticles, but they are not specifically focused on them. Employers should consider using these established approaches to assess whether there is an increased frequency of adverse respiratory and cardiovascular effects. NIOSH continues to recommend occupational health surveillance as an important part of an effective occupational safety and health program. Lack of evidence for recommending medical screening for workers potentially exposed to engineered nanoparticles should not preclude its use by employers who want to take precautions in addition to industrial hygiene measures. However, nonspecific medical testing could have negative consequences including adverse effects of the tests such as radiation from chest radiographs, unnecessary anxiety from false positive screening tests, and the cost of additional diagnostic evaluations [Nasterlack et al. 2007; Schulte 2005; Marcus et al. 2006].

NIOSH is seeking comments on the strengths and weaknesses of exposure registries for various workers potentially exposed to engineered nanoparticles. As the understanding of occupational exposure to engineered nanoparticles increases, exposure registries may be needed to form the basis for epidemiologic research (Appendix E). Such registries probably need to cover workers from numerous companies to reflect the diversity of exposures, to account for the small number of workers exposed at a given site, and to assess chronic health effects.

- 269 NIOSH seeks comments on:
- Who would fund, staff, or use such registries; for how long and to what end?
- Are the issues associated with volunteer bias, litigation bias, and subsequent misclassification
 of registrants major limitations?
- Do exposure registries carry an implied promise of further action, and if so by whom?

275 **6.0 REFERENCES**

- 276 Aitken RJ, Creely KS, Tran CL [2004]. Nanoparticles: an occupational hygiene review. United
- 277 Kingdom: Health and Safety Executive. Research Report 274. HSE Books, Norwich UK.
- 278 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) [1990]. 42 USC 12101–12213.
- 279 Antonini [2003]. Health effects of welding. Crit Rev Toxicol 33(10):51-103.
- 280 Ashford NA, Spadafor CJ, Hattis DB, Caldart [1990]. Monitoring the worker for exposure and
- 281 disease. Baltimore, MD: The Johns Hopkins University Press.
- 282 Attfield MD, Costello J [2001]. Use of an existing exposure database to evaluate lung cancer risk and
- 283 silica exposure in Vermont granite workers. In: Hagberg M, Knave B, Lillenberg L, Westerberg H,
- 284 eds. National Institute for Working and Life: Proceedings of the 2001 Conference in Epidemiology
- and Practice. Goteborg, Sweden. pp. 341–343.
- 286 ASCC (Australian Safety and Compensation Council) [2006]. A review of the potential occupational
- 287 safety and health implications of nanotechnology
- 288 [http://www.ascc.gov.au/ascc/HealthSafety/EmergingIssues/Nanotechnology].

- 289 Baker EL, Matte TP [2005]. Occupational health surveillance. In: Rosenstock L, Cullen E, Brodkin R
- 290 (eds). Textbook of clinical occupational and environmental medicine
- 291 [http://www.osha.gov/SLTC/medicalsurveillance/surveillance.html]. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier
- 292 Saunders Company.
- 293 Borak J, Woolf SH, Fields CA [2006]. Use of beryllium lymphocyte proliferation testing for
- 294 screening of asymptomatic individuals in evidence-based assessment. J Occup Environmed 48:937-
- 295 947.
- 296 Borm PJA, Robbins D, Haubald S, Kuhlbusch T, Fissan H, Donalson K, Sching R, Stone V, Kreyling
- W, Lademann J, Krutmann J, Warheit D, Oberdörster E [2006]. The potential risks of nanomaterials:
- review carried out for ECETOC. Particle Fibre Toxicol 3:11. doi:10.1186/1743-8977-3-11.
- 299 Brown, DM, Wilson MR, MacNee W, Stone V, Donaldson K [2001]. Size-dependent
- 300 proinflammatory effects of ultrafine polystyrene particles: a role for surface area and oxidative stress
- in the enhanced activity of ultrafines. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 175(3):191–199.
- 302 Castranova V [2000]. From coal mine dust to quartz: mechanisms of pulmonary pathogenicity. Inhal
- 303 Toxicol 12 (Suppl.3):7–14.
- 304 CFR. Code of Federal regulations. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, Office of the
- 305 Federal Register.
- 306 Dick CAJ, Brown DM, Donaldson K, Stone V [2003]. The role of free radicals in the toxic and
- inflammatory effects of four different ultrafine particle types. Inhal Toxicol 15(1):39–52.
- 308 Dockery DW, Pope CA, Xu X, Spengler JD, Ware JH, Fay ME, Ferris BG, Speizer BE [1993]. An

- association between air pollution and mortality in six U.S. cities. N Engl J Med 329(24):1753–1759.
- 310 Donaldson K, Aitken R, Tran L, Stone V, Duffin R, Forrest G, Alexander A [2006]. Carbon
- 311 nanotubes; a review of their properties in relation to pulmonary toxicology and workplace safety.
- 312 Toxicol Sci 92(1):5-22.
- Donaldson K, Tran L, Jimenez LA, Duffin R, Newby DE, Mills N, MacNee W, Stone V [2005].
- 314 Combustion-derived nanoparticles: a review of their toxicology following inhalation exposure. Part
- 315 Fibre Toxicol 2:10–14.
- 316 Duffin R, Tran L, Brown D, et al. [2007]. Proinflammogenic effects of low-toxicity and metal
- 317 nanoparticles in vivo and in vitro: highlighting the role of particle surface area and surface reactivity.
- 318 Inhal Toxicol 19:849-56.
- 319 Froines J, Wegman D, Eisen E [1989]. Hazard surveillance in occupational disease. Am J Public
- 320 Health 79 (Suppl):26-31.
- 321 Garshick E, Laden F, Hart JE, Rosner B, Smith TJ, Dockery DW, Speizer FE [2004]. Lung cancer in
- railroad workers exposed to diesel exhaust. Environ Health Perspect 112(15):1539-1543.
- 323 Gwinn MR, Vallyathan V [2006]. Nanoparticles: health effects pros and cons. Environ Health
- 324 Perspect 114:1818-25.
- 325 Harber P, Conlon C, McCunney RJ [2003]. Occupational medical surveillance. In: McCunney RJ,
- 326 (ed). A practical approach to occupational and environmental medicine. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott,
- 327 Williams, and Wilkins.

- 328 Halperin WE, Ratcliffe JM, Frazier JM, Wilson L, Becker SP, Schulte P [1986]. Medical screening in
- 329 the workplace: proposed principles. J Occup Med 28 (8):522–547.
- 330 Halperin WE [1996]. The role of surveillance in the hierarchy of prevention. Am J Ind Med 29:321-
- 331 323.
- Helland A, Wick P, Koehler A [2007]. Reviewing the environmental and human health knowledge
- base of carbon nanotubes. Environ Health Perspect. 115:1125–31.
- Höhr D, Steinfartz Y, Schins RPF, Knaapen AM, Martra G, Fubini B, Borm P [2002]. The surface
- area rather than the surface coating determines the acute inflammatory response after instillation of
- fine and ultrafine TiO2 in the rat. Int J Environ Health 205:239–244.
- Holman MW [2006]. Nanotech environmental, health, and safety risks: action needed. In: Health and
- Nanotechnology: economics, societal, and institutional impact perspectives on the future of science
- and technology. Report from a conference convened with cooperation of the United States
- 340 Department of State and the European Commission. Varenna, Italy, May 21–23.
- 341 IARC [2006]. Titanium dioxide. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer
- [monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Meetings/93-titaniumdioxide.pdf].
- 343 Ibald-Mulli A, Wichmann HE, Kreyling W, Peters A [2002]. Epidemiological evidence on health
- 344 effects of ultrafine particles. J Aerosol Med Depos 15(2):189–201.
- 345 ILO [1998]. Technical and ethical guidelines for workers' health surveillance (OSH No. 72). Geneva:
- 346 International Labour Office.

- 347 IRSST [2006]. Nanoparticles: actual knowledge about occupational health and safety risks and
- 348 prevention measures. Montreal, Canada: Institut de Recherche Robert-Sauvé en Santé et en Sécurité
- 349 du Travail, R-470
- 350 Kreyling WG, Semmler-Behnke M, Moller W [2006]. Ultrafine particle-lung interactions: does size
- 351 matter? J Aerosol Med 19(1):74-83.
- 352 Kuempel ED, Geraci CL, Schulte PA [2007]. Risk assessment and research needs for nanomaterials:
- an examination of data and information from current studies. In: Simeonova PP et al. (eds).
- Nanotechnology-toxicological issues and environmental safety, Springer Publishing Company, pp.
- 355 119–145.
- Lam CW, James JT, McCluskey R, Hunter RL [2004]. Pulmonary toxicity of single-wall carbon
- nanotubes in mice 7 and 90 days after intratracheal instillation. Toxicol Sci 77:126–134.
- 358 Lam CW, Jame JT, McCluskey R et.al. [2006]. A review of carbon nanotube toxicity and assessment
- of potential occupational and environmental risks. Crit Rev Toxicol 36:189-217.
- 360 Lapp NL, Castranova V [1993]. How silicosis and coal workers' pneumoconiosis develop: a cellular
- 361 assessment. Occup Med State Art Rev 8(1):35–56.
- 362 Li Z, Hulderman T, Salmen R, Leonard SS, Young S-H, Shvedova A, Luster MI, Simeonova P
- 363 [2007]. Cardiovascular effects of pulmonary exposure to single-wall carbon nanotubes. Environ
- 364 Health Persp 115:377-382.
- Lison, D, Lardot C, Huaux F, Zanetti G, Fubini B [1997]. Influence of particle surface area on the

- 366 toxicity of insoluble manganese dioxide dusts. Arch Toxicol 71(12):725-729.
- 367 Marcus PM, Bergstalh EJ, Zweig MH, Harris A, Offord KP, Fontana RS [2006]. Extended lung
- 368 cancer incidence follow-up in the Mayo lung project. J Natl Cancer Inst 7(98):748–56.
- 369 Maynard AD [2007]. Nanotechnology: the next big thing or much ado about nothing. Ann Occ Hyg
- 370 51:1-12.
- 371 Maynard AD, Kuempel ED [2005]. Airborne nanostructural particles and occupational health. J
- 372 Nanoparticles Res 7:587–614.
- 373 Morfeld P, Lampert K, Emmerich M, Reischig HL, Klinker HG, Bauer HD, Stegmaier C, Ziegler H,
- 374 Dhom G, Piekarski C [2002]. Staubexposition, pneumokoniose und lugenkrebs: eine
- 375 epidemiologische studie aus dem Saarländischen Steinkohlenbergbau, Zbl Arbeitsmed 52:282–397.
- 376 Mossman and Churg [1998]. Mechanisms in the pathogenesis of asbestosis and silicosis. Am J Crit
- 377 Care Med 157:1666–1680.
- 378 Mullan RJ, Murthy LI [1991]. Occupational sentinel health events: an updated list for physician
- 379 recognition and public health surveillance. Am J Ind Med 19:775-799.
- Nasterlack M, Zober A, Oberlinner C [2007]. Considerations on occupational medical surveillance in
- 381 employees handling nanoparticles. Int Occ Environ Health. DOI 10.1007//S00420-0245-5.
- 382 NCI [2007]. Lung cancer screening (PDQ)
- 383 [http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/pdq/screening/lung/patient/], p. 3.
- Nel A, Xia T, Madlen L, Li W [2006]. Toxic potential of materials at the nanolevel. Science

- 385 *311*:622–627.
- 386 NIOSH [2006a]. Approaches to safe nanotechnology: an information exchange with NIOSH.
- 387 Cincinnati, OH: Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and
- 388 Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
- 389 [www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/nanotech/nano_exchange.html].
- 390 NIOSH [2006b]. Criteria for a recommended standard: occupational exposure to refractory ceramic
- 391 fibers. Cincinnati, OH: Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and
- 392 Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No.
- 393 2006-125.
- Nurkiewicz TR, Porter DW, Barger M, Rao KM, Marvar PJ, Hubbs AF, Castranova V, Boegehold
- 395 MA [2006]. Systemic microvascular dysfunction and inflammation after pulmonary particulate
- matter exposure. Environ Health Perspect 114(3):412–9.
- Nurkiewicz TR, Porter DW, Hubbs AF, Cumpston JL, Chen BT, Frazier DG, Castranova V.
- 398 Nanoparticles inhalation augments particle-dependent systemic microvascular dysfunction. Particle
- 399 and Fibre Toxicology [In Press].
- 400 Oberdörster G, Ferin J, Lehnert BE [1994]. Correlation between particle-size, in-vivo particle
- 401 persistence, and lung injury. Environ Health Perspect 102(S5):173–179.
- 402 Oberdörster G, Maynard A, Donaldson et al. [2005a]. Principles for characterizing the potential
- 403 human health effects from exposure to nanomaterials: elements of a screening strategy. Particle and
- 404 Fiber Toxicology 2(8):1–113.

- 405 Oberdörster G, Oberdörster E, Oberdörster J [2005b]. Nanotoxicology—an emerging discipline
- 406 involving studies of ultrafine particles. Environ Health Perspect 113(7):823–839.
- 407 Pope CA, Burnett RT, Thun MJ, Calle EE, Krewski E, Ito K, Thurston GD [2002]. Lung cancer,
- 408 cardiopulmonary mortality and long term exposure to fine particulate air pollution. JAMA
- 409 287(9):1132-1141.
- 410 Pope CA, Burnett RT, Thurston GD, Thun MJ, Calle, EE, Krewski D, Godleski JJ [2004].
- 411 Cardiovascular mortality and long-term exposure to particulate air pollution: epidemiological
- 412 evidence of general pathophysiological pathways of disease. Circulation 109(1):71-74.
- 413 Radomski A, Juraz P, Alonso-Escolano D, Drews M, Morandi M, Malinsk T, et al. [2005].
- Nanoparticle-induced platelet aggregation and vascular thrombosis. Br J Pharmacol 146:882-893.
- 415 Rice FL, Park R, Stayner L, Smith R, Gilbert S, Checkoway H [2001]. Crystalline silica exposure and
- 416 lung cancer mortality in diatomaceous earth industry workers: a quantitative risk assessment. Occup
- 417 Environ Med 58(1):38–45.
- 418 Royal Society and Royal Academy of Engineering [2004]. Nanoscience and nanotechnologies:
- 419 opportunities and uncertainties. London: The Academy.
- Rutstein D, Mullan R, Frazier T, Halperin W, Melius J, Sestito J [1983]. Sentinel health events
- 421 (occupational): a basis for physician recognition and public health surveillance. Am J Public Health
- 422 73:1054-1062.
- 423 Schulte PA, Kaye WE [1988]. Exposure registries. Arch Environ Health 43:155–161.

- 424 Schulte PA [2005]. The use of biomarkers in surveillance, medical screening, and intervention.
- 425 Mutation Res *592*:155–163.
- 426 Schulte PA, Salamanca-Buentello F [2007]. Ethical and scientific issues of nanotechnology in the
- 427 workplace. Env Health Perspectives 115:5-12.
- 428 Shvedova AA, Kisin ER, Mercer R, Murray AR, Johnson VJ, Potapovich A, et al. [2005]. Unusual
- 429 inflammatory and fibrogenic pulmonary responses to single-walled carbon nanotubes in mice. Am J
- 430 Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 289:L698–L708.
- 431 Steenland K, Deddens J, Stayner L [1998]. Diesel exhaust and lung cancer in the trucking industry:
- 432 exposure-response analyses and risk assessment. Am J Med 34(3):220–228.
- 433 Sundin and Frazier [1989]. Hazard surveillance at NIOSH. Am J Pub Health 79(Suppl):32-7.
- 434 Vallyathan V, Goins M, Lapp LN, Pack D, Leonard S, Shi X, Castranova V [2000]. Changes in
- 435 bronchoalveolar lavage indices associated with radiographic classification in coal miners. Am J
- 436 Respir Crit Care Med 162:958–965.
- Wagner GR, Fine LJ [2008]. Surveillance and health screening in occupational health. In: Maxcy-
- 438 Rosenau-Last Public Health and Preventive Medicine. RB Wallace (ed.) 15th ed., McGraw-Hill
- 439 Medical Publishing, pp 759–793.
- Warheit DB, Webb TR, Colvin VL, Reed KL, Sayes CM [2007a]. Pulmonary bioassay studies with
- 441 nanoscale and fine-quartz particles in rats: toxicity is not dependent upon particle size but on surface
- 442 characteristics. Toxicol Sci 95(1):270–280.

- Warheit DB, Webb TR, Reed KL, Frerichs S, Sayes CM [2007b]. Pulmonary toxicity study in rats
- with three forms of ultrafine-TiO₂ particles: differential response related to surface properties.
- 445 Toxicology 230(1):90-104.
- 446 Zhang QW, Kusaka Y, Sato K, Nakakuki K, Kohyama N, Donaldson K [1998]. Differences in the
- extent of inflammation caused by intratracheal exposure to three ultrafine metals: role of free
- 448 radicals. J Toxicol Environ Health-Part A 53(6):423–438.
- 2449 Zhang Q, Kusaka Y, Donaldson K [2000]. Comparative pulmonary responses caused by exposure to
- 450 standard cobalt and ultrafine cobalt. J Occup Health 42:179–184.
- Zhang Q, Kusaka Y, Zhu X, Sato K, Mo Y, Klutz T, Donaldson K [2003]. Comparative toxicity of
- 452 standard nickel and ultrafine nickel in lung after intratracheal instillation. J Occup Health 45:23-30.

| 453 | APPENDIX A |
|------------|---|
| 454 455 | CRITICAL ASPECTS OF AN OCCUPATIONAL MEDICAL SCREENING PROGRAM |
| 456 | Assessment of workplace hazards |
| 457 | Identification of target organ toxicities for each hazard |
| 458 | Selection of test for each "screenable health effect" |
| 459 | Development of action criteria |
| 460 | Standardization of data collection process |
| 461 | Performance of testing |
| 462 | Interpretation of test results |
| 463 | Test confirmation |
| 464 | Determination of work status |
| 465 | Notification |
| 466 | Diagnostic evaluation |
| 467 | Evaluation and control of exposure |
| 468 | Recordkeeping |
| 469 | [Baker and Matte 2005]. |

470

471

472

473

- 2-acetylaminofluorene
- acrylonitrile
- 4-aminodiphenyl
- inorganic arsenic
- asbestos
- benzene
- benzidine
- bis-chloromethyl ether
- 1,3-butadiene
- coke oven emissions
- cotton dust
- dibromochloropropane
- 3.3'-dichlorobenzidine
- 4-dimethylaminoazobenzene
- cadmium
- occupational exposure to hazardous chemicals in the laboratories

APPENDIX B

OSHA STANDARDS THAT INCLUDE REQUIREMENTS FOR

MEDICAL SURVEILLANCE

- ethylene oxide
- ethyleneimine
- formaldehyde
- hazardous waste
- lead
- methyl chloromethyl ether
- alpha-naphthylamine
- beta-naphthylamine
- methylene chloride
- 4-nitrobiphenyl
- n-nitrosodimethylamine
- beta-propriolactone
- vinyl chloride
- methylenedianiline
- bloodborne pathogens
- chromium (VI)

APPENDIX C

HAZARDS FOR WHICH NIOSH HAS RECOMMENDED

THE USE OF MEDICAL SURVEILLANCE

| NIOSH publication number | Title and date | NTIS stock number |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------|
| 76-195 | Acetylene (1976) | PB 267068 |
| 77-112 | Acrylamide (1976) | PB 273871 |
| 78-116 | Acrylonitrile (1978) | PB 81-225617 |
| 77-151 | Alkanes (C5-C8) (1977) | PB 273817 |
| 76-204 | Allyl Chloride (1976) | PB 267071 |
| 74-136 | Ammonia (1974) | PB 246699 |
| 78-216 | Antimony (1978) | PB 81-226060 |
| 74-110 | Arsenic, Inorganic (1974) (Revised 1975) | PB 228151 |
| 75-149 | Arsenic, Inorganic (1975) | PB 246701 |
| 72-10267 | Asbestos (1972) | PB 209510 |
| 77-169 | Asbestos (Revised) (1976) | PB 273965 |
| 78-106 | Asphalt Fumes (1977) | PB 277333 |
| 74-137 | Benzene (1974) | PB 246700 |
| * | Benzene (Revised) (1976) | PB 83-196196 |
| 77-166 | Benzoyl Peroxide (1977) | PB 273819 |
| 78-182 | Benzyl Chloride (1978) | PB 81-226698 |
| 72-10268 | Beryllium (1972) | PB 210806 |
| * | Beryllium (Revised) (1977) | PB 83-182378 |
| | 2-Butoxyethanol [See: Ethylene Glycol Monobutyl Ether] | |
| 77-122 | Boron Trifluoride (1976) | PB 274747 |
| 76-192 | Cadmium (1976) | PB 274237 |
| 77-107 | Carbaryl (1976) | PB 273801 |
| 78-204 | Carbon Black (1978) | PB 81-225625 |
| 76-194 | Carbon Dioxide (1976) | PB 266597 |
| 77-156 | Carbon Disulfide (1977) | PB 274199 |
| 73-11000 | Carbon Monoxide (1972) | PB 212629 |
| 76-133 | Carbon Tetrachloride (1975) | PB 250424 |
| * | Carbon Tetrachloride (Revised) (1979) | PB 83-196436 |
| 76-170 | Chlorine (1976) | PB 266367 |
| 75-114 | Chloroform (1974) | PB 246695 |
| * | Chloroform (Revised 1979) | PB 83-195856 |
| 77-210 | Chloroprene (1977) | PB 274777 |
| 73-11021 | Chromic Acid (1973) [Revised; see Chromium VI] | PB 222221 |
| 76-129 | Chromium VI (1975) | PB 248595 |
| 78-191 | Coal Gasification Plants (1978) | PB 80-164874 |
| 95-106 | Coal Mine Dust | PB 96-191713 |
| 78-107 | Coal Tar Products (1977) | PB 276917 |
| 82-107 | Cobalt (1981) | PB 82-182031 |

| NIOSH publication number | Title and date | NTIS stock number |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------|
| 73-11016 | Coke Oven Emissions (1973) | PB 216167 |
| 80-106 | Confined Spaces, Working in Construction [See: Excavations] (1979) | PB 80-183015 |
| 75-118 | Cotton Dust (1974) | PB 246696 |
| 78-133 | Cresol (1978) | PB 86-121092 |
| 77-108 | Cyanide, Hydrogen and Cyanide Salts (1976) | PB 266230 |
| 78-115 | Dibromochloropropane (1978) 1,2-Dichloroethane [See: Ethylene Dichloride] | PB 81-228728 |
| 96-104 | 2-Diethylaminoethanol (1996) | PB 96-197371 |
| 78-215 | Diisocyanates (1978) | PB 81-226615 |
| 78-131 | Dinitro-ortho-Cresol (1978) | PB 80-175870 |
| 77-226 | Dioxane (1977) | PB 274810 |
| 76-128 | Elevated Work Stations, Emergency Egress from (1975) | PB 248594 |
| 76-206 | Epichlorohydrin (1976) | PB 81-227019 |
| 77-221 | Ethylene Dibromide (1977) | PB 276621 |
| 76-139 | Ethylene Dichloride (1976) | PB 85-178275 |
| 78-211 | Ethylene Dichloride (1,2- Dichloroethane)(Revised) (1978) | PB 80-176092 |
| 90-118 | Ethylene Glycol Monobutyl Ether and Ethylene Glycol Monobutyl Ether Acetate (1991) | PB 91-173369 |
| 91-119 | Ethylene Glycol Monomethyl Ether, Ethylene Glycol Monoethyl Ether, and Their Acetates | PB 92-167147 |
| 83-103 | Excavations, Development of Draft Construction Safety Standards for, Volume 1 (1983) | PB 84-100569 |
| * | Excavations, Development of Draft Construction Safety Standards for, Volume 2 (1983) | PB 83-233353 |
| 77-152 | Fibrous Glass (1977) | PB 274195 |
| 76-103 | Fluorides, Inorganic (1975) | PB 246692 |
| 77-193 | Fluorocarbon Polymers, Decomposition Products of (1977) | PB 274727 |
| 77-126 | Formaldehyde (1976) | PB 273805 |
| 85-116 | Foundries (1985) | PB 86-213477 |
| 79-133 | Furfuryl Alcohol (1979) | PB 80-176050 |
| 78-166 | Glycidyl Ethers (1978) | PB 81-229700 |
| 83-126 | Grain Elevators and Feed Mills (1983) | PB 83-138537 |
| 89-106 | Hand-Arm Vibration (1989) | PB 90-168048 |
| 83-125 | Guidelines for Controlling Hazardous Energy During Maintenance and Servicing (1983) | PB 84-199934 |
| 72-10269 | Hot Environments (1972) | PB 210794 |
| 86-113 | Hot Environments (Revised 1986) | PB 86-219508 |
| 78-172 | Hydrazines (1978) | PB 81-225690 |
| | Hydrogen Cyanide [See: Cyanide, Hydrogen and Cyanide Salts] | |

| NIOSH publication number | Title and date | NTIS stock number |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 76-143 | Hydrogen Fluoride (1976) | PB 81-226516 |
| 77-158 | Hydrogen Sulfide (1977) | PB 274196 |
| 78-155 | Hydroquinone (1978) | PB 81-226508 |
| 75-126 | Identification System for | PB 246698 |
| | Occupationally Hazardous Materials (1974) | |
| 76-142 | Isopropyl Alcohol (1976) | PB 273873 |
| * | Kepone (1976) | PB 83-196170 |
| 78-173 | Ketones (1978) | PB 80-176076 |
| | Labeling [See: Identification System for | |
| | Occupationally Hazardous Materials] | |
| 73-11010 | Lead, Inorganic (1972) | PB 214265 |
| 78-158 | Lead, Inorganic (Revised) (1978) | PB 81-225278 |
| | Lockout/Tagout [See: Hazardous Energy] | |
| 76-188 | Logging from Felling to First Haul (1976) | PB 266411 |
| 76-205 | Malathion (1976) | PB 267070 |
| 73-11024 | Mercury, Inorganic (1973) | PB 222223 |
| 76-148 | Methyl Alcohol (1976) | PB 273806 |
| | Methyl Chloroform [See: 1,1,1- Trichloroethane] | |
| 77-106 | Methyl Parathion (1976) | PB 274191 |
| 76-138 | Methylene Chloride (1976) | PB 81-227027 |
| 98-102 | Metalworking Fluids (1998) | PB 99-133910 |
| 77-164 | Nickel, Inorganic (1977) | PB 274201 |
| 76-141 | Nitric Acid (1976) | PB 81-227217 |
| 78-212 | Nitriles (1978) | PB 81-225534 |
| 76-149 | Nitrogen, Oxides of (1976) | PB 81-226995 |
| 78-167 | Nitroglycerin and Ethylene Glycol Dinitrate (1978) | PB 81-225526 |
| 73-11001 | Noise (1972) | PB 213463 |
| 2006-123 | Occupational Exposure to Refractory Ceramic Fibers | 10 213-103 |
| 98-126 | Occupational Noise Exposure | PB 98-173-735 |
| 83-127 | Oil and Gas Well Drilling (1983) | PB 84-242528 |
| 77-115 | Organotin Compounds (1976) | PB 274766 |
| 84-115 | Paint and Allied Coating Products (1984) | PB 85-178978 |
| 76-190 | Parathion (1976) | PB 274192 |
| ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | Perchloroethylene [See: Tetrachloroethylene] | |
| 78-174 | Pesticides, Manufacture and Formulation | PB 81-227001 |
| 76-196 | Phenol (1976) | PB 266495 |
| 76-137 | Phosgene (1976) | PB 267514 |
| 77-225 | Polychlorinated Biphenyls (1977) | PB 276849 |
| 84-103 | Precast Concrete Products Industry | PB 85-220051 |
| | (1984) | |
| 88-101 | Radon Progeny in Underground Mines (1988) | PB 88-173455 |
| 77-192 | Refined Petroleum Solvents (1977) | PB 85-178267 |

| NIOSH publication number | Title and date | NTIS stock number |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------|
| 2006-123 | Refractory Ceramic Fibers (2006) | PB 2006-112303 |
| 75-120 | Silica, Crystalline (1974) | PB 246697 |
| 76-105 | Sodium Hydroxide (1975) | PB 246694 |
| 83-119 | Styrene (1983) | PB 84-148295 |
| 74-111 | Sulfur Dioxide (1974) | PB 228152 |
| * | Sulfur Dioxide (Revised) (1977) | PB 83-182485 |
| 74-128 | Sulfuric Acid (1974) | PB 233098 |
| 77-121 | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane (1976) | PB 273802 |
| 76-185 | Tetrachloroethylene (Perchloroethylene) (1976) | PB 266583 |
| 78-213 | Thiols: N-Alkane Mono, Cyclohexane, and Benzene (1978) | PB 81-225609 |
| 78-179 | o-Tolidine (1978) | PB 81-227084 |
| 73-11023 | Toluene (1973) | PB 222219 |
| 73-11022 | Toluene Diisocyanate (1973) [Revised; See: Diisocyanates] | PB 222220 |
| 76-184 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane (Methyl Chloroform) (1976) | PB 267069 |
| 73-11025 | Trichloroethylene (1973) | PB 222222 |
| 77-127 | Tungsten and Cemented Tungsten Carbide (1977) | PB 275594 |
| 73-11009 | Ultraviolet Radiation (1972) | PB 214268 |
| 77-222 | Vanadium (1977) | PB 81-225658 |
| 78-205 | Vinyl Acetate (1978) | PB 80-176993 |
| * | Vinyl Chloride (1974) | PB 246691 |
| * | Vinyl Halides (1979) | PB 84-125699 |
| 77-140 | Waste Anesthetic Gases and Vapors (1977) | PB 274238 |
| 88-110 | Welding, Brazing, and Thermal Cutting (1988) | PB 88-231774 |
| 75-168 | Xylene (1975) | PB 246702 |
| 76-104 | Zinc Oxide (1975) | PB 246693 |

^{*}Denotes the absence of a publication number or that recommendations were provided in testimony by NIOSH to the U.S. Department of Labor.

APPENDIX D

EXAMPLES OF LIMITATIONS IN THE EVIDENCE BASE FOR SPECIFIC MEDICAL SCREENING OF WORKERS EXPOSED TO ENGINEERED NANOPARTICLES

Key among the criteria for recommending specific medical screening include determining whether the substance in question is a hazard and whether the disease to be averted is sufficiently common in the worker population to justify routine screening [Nasterlack et al. 2007; Borak et al. 2006; Halperin et al. 1986]. For engineered nanoparticles, there is insufficient evidence for a definitive hazard determination. Only a small number of the myriad types of engineered nanoparticles have undergone experimental animal inhalation testing, and no broad categories of physicochemical risk factors have been identified to allow for projecting hazards across particle types. No chronic inhalation studies of engineered nanoparticles have been conducted to date. The existence of a few short-term inhalation studies on carbon nanotubes and nanoscale metal oxides is not adequate to identify what disease endpoints to assess in medical screening. Insufficient information exists regarding the absolute, relative or population-attributable risks associated with nanoparticle exposures [Nasterlack et al. 2007].

Examples of the issues in determining the rationale for recommending medical screening for workers potentially exposed to engineered nanoparticles are described as follows.

Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes (SWCNTs)

Intratracheal (IT) exposure to SWCNTs has been associated with interstitial fibrosis in the rat (Lam et al. 2004]. Aspiration of purified SWCNTs caused rapid and progressive interstitial fibrosis in mice [Shvedova et al. 2005]. NIOSH has also shown that inhalation of SWCNTs cause interstitial fibrosis

[paper in preparation]. The problem is that purified SWCNTs are not redox reactive and the interstitial fibrosis is not driven by oxidant generation and inflammation. Therefore, measurement of markers of oxidant stress or inflammation in humans would not be predictive. If fibrosing interstitial lung disease was considered the health endpoint of concern, one could monitor carbon monoxide diffusion capacity of the lung noninvasively. Although capable of detecting pre-clinical disease, a significant decline in diffusion would suggest that a significant loss of alveolar-capillary gas exchange surface had already occurred. In addition, virtually no published data exist on occupational exposure concentrations for working in SWCNT operations. Hence, too little information exists at this time to verify disease endpoints, and/or too little information exists on exposure and ultimately risk to workers handling these materials.

Nanoscale Metal Oxides

Pulmonary exposure to nanoscale metal oxides such as titanium dioxide (TiO₂) have been shown in rat models to cause pulmonary inflammation [Oberdörster et al. 2005] and inhibit the ability of the systemic microvasculature to respond to dilators [Nurkiewicz et al. 2006; Nurkiewicz et al. in press] after IT or inhalation exposures. Ultrafine (nanoscale) TiO₂ has been shown to be more potent in causing these effects than fine TiO₂ on an equivalent mass basis. These effects have been associated with oxidant stress and induction of inflammatory mediators. Therefore, markers of oxidant stress and inflammation could be considered as early indicators of human exposure/response. Oxidant stress markers have been suggested as markers of toxicity to metal oxide nanoparticles as a class [Nel et al. 2006]. Examples of such markers would be nitrous oxide or isoprostanes in exhaled breath or blood markers of oxidant stress. However, the utility of these markers for screening workers exposed to engineered nanoparticles has not been demonstrated. In addition, some research shows that nanoscale

TiO₂ is linked to cancer of the lung and the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has categorized titanium dioxide as a possible carcinogen to humans [IARC 2006]. Nonetheless, no evidence clearly demonstrates that medical screening of asymptomatic workers exposed to lung carcinogens decreases the chance of dying from cancer (NCI 2007; Marcus et al. 2006).

Nanoscale Cadmium

Cadmium is a substance that has medical screening recommendations to prevent or assess lung and kidney toxicity (see Appendices B and C). At a minimum, these recommendations should pertain to nanoscale cadmium (e.g., such as that used in the production of quantum dots). Medical screening is typically triggered by the airborne concentration of the substance in the workplace (e.g., the "action level" concentration). An action level is some fraction, usually 50%, of an occupational exposure limit (OEL). Whether the action level concentration recommended for nonnanoscale cadmium particles is adequate for nanoscale cadmium is unknown. Workplaces with engineered nanoparticles of materials addressed by current OSHA standards are subject to the requirements of those standards, including the requirements for medical surveillance.

APPENDIX E

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

557

558

EXPOSURE REGISTRIES

Exposure registries are useful tools for surveillance of new or perceived hazards. A registry provides a structured and orderly approach to handling the problem of identifying and maintaining communication with workers exposed to hazardous substances [Schulte and Kaye 1988]. An exposure registry is the enrollment of persons exposed or likely to have been exposed to occupational or environmental hazards; it may include managing these groups with regard to primary or secondary preventive efforts. In occupational situations, company employee rosters are de facto registries; however, they may not address employees who leave a company. Moreover, for a new technology such as nanotechnology, the registry could enroll persons from various companies. Generally, exposure registries are developed and maintained by government entities, but there are examples of private-sector registries related to exposure to commercial products. The purposes and functions of exposure registries may be summarized as follows: Delineate a population at risk Follow cohort to ascertain exposure-disease associations Follow cohort to ensure the institution of appropriate primary and secondary prevention and medical surveillance

- Follow cohort to allow for appropriate social, legal, and economic support
- 555 Demonstrate societal concern for the cohort and provide a base for political action relevant to 556 the exposure
 - Notify a cohort of an exposure, preventive measures, or therapeutic advances that were not understood or known at the time the registry was established
- 559 Various issues should be addressed when considering development of exposure registries. These

include the term of registry, needs of registrants, confidentially of information, cost of maintaining
 the registry, and potential impact of the registry on workers and companies.

Registries are essentially the collection of individual worker information over time with at least a preliminary plan for analysis. Data collected in registries may be subject to limitations. Exposure registries are not always useful in etiologic research. For diseases with low prevalence following low-level exposures, exposure registries are not very effective tools because (1) exposure classification is often difficult, (2) the statistical power of prospective studies is low, and (3) the time period of the study may be impractically long. Moreover, changes in exposures experienced by registry participants over time may complicate the ability to establish clear exposure-disease relationships.

- Exposure registries may provide opportunities to determine the exposure-disease association and risk.

 Also, when practical prospective studies can be designed, registries can be used to establish hypotheses. Many questions arise when considering an exposure registry for etiologic research.
 - How can exposed persons be adequately differentiated from nonexposed persons?
- What group could serve as a comparison group so that the disease experience of the exposed group can be evaluated?
 - How long should the group be followed?

These questions can become quite technical, but often even the most basic questions are the hardest to resolve. At this time, society in general and companies in particular are faced with the dilemma of balancing a desire to expand a potentially bountiful technology against the potential hazards from it.

The real risks from the technology are not known, and the perceived risks are undetermined. In this

regard, nanotechnology is no different from any other emerging technology. As one commentator noted: "Even if studies showed every commercially relevant nanoparticle to be harmless in every real world scenario, public skepticism about the safety of nanoparticles could still build and sharply limit their use in products" [Holman 2006]. One of the first areas where exposures to nanoparticles will occur is in the workplace. In the face of uncertainty about the hazards of nanoparticles, a corporate or societal response (such as implementing selected exposure registries in potentially high exposure sectors) may assure the public that appropriate efforts are being taken to identify and control potential hazards in a timely fashion.