84-260

The Honorable Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Moynihan:

Thank you for your inquiry on behalf of Mr. Jay Parker regarding the proposed regulations governing the certification of respirators.

The current regulations under which the Mine Health and Safety Administration and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health test and certify respirators (30 CFR Part 11) were originally promulgated in 1972. During the last several years, there has been a growing consensus among the respirator manufacturers and user community that these requirements need revision to reflect the technical advances in the field and the increased knowledge regarding environmental factors in the workplace. Some of the steps taken to develop the proposed rule are outlined in the enclosed preamble (52 FR 32402).

We are, of course, anxious to receive comments on both the technical and policy elements of this proposed rule. Toward that end, in October we announced two public hearings (52 FR 37639). The first took place in San Francisco on January 20, 1988, and the second was January 27-28, 1988, in Washington, D.C. Enclosed is a copy of the opening statement from those hearings which clarifies many of the misunderstandings of this regulation. We have also extended the comment period until March 28, 1988 (53 FR 5595).

We look forward to hearing from all parties concerned, and I assure you that all comments received will be placed into the record and will be carefully considered in any final rulemaking decision.

Sincerely yours,

J. Donald Millar, M.D. Assistant Surgeon General Director

Enclosure

Page 2 - The Honorable Daniel Patrick Moynihan

cc: NIOSH/W NIOSH/Docket Office

CDC:NIOSH:LSparks NIOSH #3299 Doc. 3195C

DANIEL PATRICK MOYNIHAN NEW YORK UNITED STATES SENATE

Respectfully referred to:

for such consideration as the enclosed may warrant.

Sincerely,

Daniel Patrick Woynihan

U.S.S.

130 Crossways Park Drive . Woodbury, N.Y. 11797

January 5, 1988

Senator Daniel Patrick Moynihan SR 464 Russell Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Moynihan:

The National Institute for Occupational Health and Safety (NIOSH) currently certifies respirators for use in general industry, mining and construction. On August 27, 1987 NIOSH proposed (42 CFR84, Federal Register) regulations which will have a disastrous impact on worker safety and on our industry.

The Industrial Safety Equipment Association, of which Glendale Protective Technologies of Woodbury, New York is a part, feels strongly that this proposal must be withdrawn.

The proposal provides no protocol, nor specific requirements, so it is impossible for us to exercise our right to comment on it in a meaningful way. This denies us due process.

While our industry recognizes the value of regulation and, by and large, has little problem with the concept of workplace testing, the fact of the matter is that the technology is not available to conform to the proposed change. This is not the way to improve a process; it will, in fact, destroy a process which works but needs tuning.

Even though 90 per-cent of the respirators in use today are used for non-mining (industrial and construction) purposes, the proposal requires that we test all respirators under mining conditions thereby ignoring the safety interest of the majority of workers who use respirators.

Finally, the proposed changes will cost our industry up to \$700,000,000 which will threaten the very viability of the industry as well as worker safety.

The management and employees of Glendale Protective Technologies trust that we can count on your support in this matter of critical interest to New York labor and industry.

We urge you to immediately contact Health and Human Services Secretary Dr. Otis Bowen to request that the proposed ruling be withdrawn.

Enclosed is a fact sheet which outlines the proposal, our objections and recommendations.

Sincerely,

Jay A. Parker, Manager Respiratory Protection

JAP:ro

Encl.

FACT SHEET

(NIOSH PROPOSAL TO CHANGE CERTIFICATION PROCESS FOR RESPIRATORS)

I. CURRENT SITUATION:

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSE) currently certifies respirators for use in general industry, mining and construction.

II. PROPOSED CHANGES:

On August 27, 1987, NIOSH proposed (42 CFR part 84, Federal Register) to limit it's certification activities to respirators used in general industry and construction to "self-certify" their products.

Manufacturers will be required to test their own respirators in the workplace or a simulated environment. However, the propsed "workplace" stipulation requires that all testing be conducted in mining operations.

All respirators currently in use will have to be recertified under the new process and manufacturers will be required to re-test any respirators which are modified in the most minor ways.

III. CONCERNS ABOUT PROPOSED CHANGES:

(1) Testing In And For The Wrong Environment:

Ninety per-cent of respirators used in the United States are for non-mining use. By limiting respirator testing to mining, NIOSH is ignoring the safety and health needs of the vast majority of respirator users.

(2) Economic Impact:

The costs of developing new standards, re-certification of existing respirators and workplace testing (with no proven protocols) would create an unbearable burden on manufacturers and end users. The net effect would be a major set-back to worker safety.

(3) Effect On Industries Which Provide Respirator Protection For Workers:

It is likely that mofifications required to make general industry respirators meet mine standards as well as the increased costs of the end product will adversely affect worker safety. Employers who have workers in marginal need areas, may no longer provide respirators. Moreover workers may not be willing to use respirators which are potentially too large, too unwieldy and uncomfortable.

(4) Requirement For Workplace Testing:

While the Industrial Safety Equipment Association (representing every major respirator manufacturer in the United States) is not in principal opposed to workplace testing, consensus standards and procedures must first be developed.

For example, it is presently impossible to test the broad array of different respirators in the workplace because the technology is not yet developed.

(5) "Self-Certification" is a misnomer:

Given the fact that NIOSH will review test results, reserve the right to re-test at its discretion and continue to have the ultimate say, manufacturers will, in effect, not be certifying. Instead, they will be testing their products for NIOSH.

(6) Proposed Rule Is Major Ruling And Not A Minor Ruling.

Implementation of the proposed rule would cost manufacturers up to \$700,000,000 making the proposed rule a "major ruling" and not a "minor ruling" as portrayed by NIOSH. This would cause hardship on manufacturers and end users and be in conflict with Executive Order 12291.

(7) No Protocol Issued With Proposed Regulation:

While NIOSH has issued its proposed standards for certification it has not released a protocol outlining the requirements, rules, details and procedures for the required workplace testing. This omission denies respirator manufacturers due process and, furthermore, makes it impossible for them to respond to the proposal in a meaningful way because it is not complete.

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1. The Proposed 42 CRF 84 must be withdrawn.
- 2. NIOSH should continue to certify respirators for general industry and construction. Resources must be committed to developing a standard for all respirator certification for use in all industrial applications.