

July 18, 1994

NIOSH Docket Office Robert A. Taft Laboratories Mail Stop C34 4676 Columbia Parkway Cincinnati, OH 45226

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing to comment about the HHS/NIOSH Respiratory Protective Device Proposed Rule. I urge you to promptly pass the HHS/NIOSH Respiratory Protective Device Proposed Rule.

I endorse the proposed certification procedures to include a class C respirator for the care of patients with tuberculosis.

- There is no scientific evidence that a HEPA style respirator is required to protect healthcare workers and the public from patients with known or suspected tuberculosis.
- Conversely, there is no scientific evidence that a Class C respirator, as described in the <u>FEDERAL REGISTER</u>, does not provide sufficient protection.
- · HEPA style respirators are difficult to wear.
- HEPA style respirators may reduce the ability of healthcare workers to provide optimal care to patients with tuberculosis.
- In these cases, the HEPA style respirator may reduce the quality of patient care while providing no additional protection over the proposed class C respirator

JUL 2 0 1994

There is considerable cost control pressure facing my institution. Implementation of tuberculosis protection using a HEPA style respirator will exacerbate these pressures.

- The class C respirator is 85% less expensive than the HEPA style respirator.
- Without scientific documentation that the HEPA style respirator is required for protection, mandated use of the HEPA style respirator will certainly drive up healthcare costs.
- The Class C respirator regulation, if promptly passed, will help to hold down healthcare costs.

Sincerely

David L. Dunlap, BA, RRT, RCP Director of Respiratory Care

cc: file

DLD/dld