CBRN Escape Respirator Concept Docket and Meeting Comments

Public Meetings

- October 16 and 17, 2002
- April 29, 2002

Docket

6 Submissions





Docket and Meeting Comments SUMMARY BY TOPIC

- ABMS
- Approval Fees
- Beards / Glasses
- Breathing Gas Control
- Breathing Resistance
- Communication
- CWA
- Dermal Protection / Hood
- Design Considerations
- Field of View

- Fogging
- Flammability
- Gas Life / Capacity
- LRPL
- Panic Demand
- R&D testing
- · 'Rugged' vs. 'Light-use'
- (Additional Topics)





Docket and Meeting Comments ABMS

COMMENTS

- Cost Prohibitive
- Consider use of current NIOSH CO2 testing procedures
- Consider 1% CO2 at 80 lpm
- Consider 2.5% CO2 at 10 lpm
- Need information on metabolic variables of breathing gases
- Delete testing requirement for higher breathing rate

HOW ADDRESSED

 No longer part of requirement





Docket and Meeting Comments
APPROVAL FEES

COMMENTS

 Reduce cost by having duplicate tests rather than triplicate

HOW ADDRESSED

Under consideration





Docket and Meeting Comments
BEARDS AND GLASSES

COMMMENTS

 If the respirator includes a nose-cup, have a requirement for manufacturers to include a warning that the user must be cleanshaven.

HOW ADDRESSED

 Caution and Limitations statements will be required





Docket and Meeting Comments BREATHING GAS CONTROL

COMMENTS

- Consider higher CO2 and lower O2 values because the service times are short
- Consider varying CO2 with service life
- What are acceptable criteria for CO2 > 2% or 02 < 19.5%
- What is the rational behind the 1.5% CO2 requirement?

- Sliding scale based on 42 CFR 84
- Based on 42 CFR 84
- Peer review of physiological effects of breathing gases





Docket and Meeting Comments BREATHING RESISTANCE

COMMENTS

 20 mmH20 is design restrictive, will require inhalation / exhalation valves

HOW ADDRESSED

 Retain 20 mmH20 for use by all workers (old, pregnant, physically impaired)





Docket and Meeting Comments COMMUNICATION

COMMENTS

- Preliminary testing at SBCCOM has not shown success
- Conflicts with mouth-bit designs

HOW ADDRESSED

Requirement eliminated





CBRN Escape Respirator Concept Docket and Meeting Comments

CWA

COMMENTS

- HD liquid is higher than the APR standard
- How will SMARTMAN be modified for different mask configurations (nosecup or mouth piece)?

- HD liquid redefined (same as APR)
- No modification to SMARTMAN required





Docket and Meeting Comments DERMAL PROTECTION / HOOD REQUIREMENT

COMMENTS

- Should not be part of a respirator requirement
- Facepieces are easier to don than hood, and smaller and lighter
- Retain the hood requirement because of users who do not typically wear respirators (beards and glasses)

HOW ADDRESSED

 Caution and Limitations statements will be required





Docket and Meeting Comments DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

COMMENTS

- What constitutes the 'Specific' designation?
- Where can CO be added as an additional requirement?
- Designate CO as mandatory requirement

HOW ADDRESSED

Categories redefined

 CO option available for 'General' and 'Specific'





Docket and Meeting Comments
FIELD OF VIEW

COMMENTS

 Are the Field of View criteria appropriate for hoods? The requirement is based on face masks?

HOW ADDRESSED

 Less restrictive criteria than APR





Docket and Meeting Comments FOGGING

COMMENTS

 Allow users to clear visor during test

HOW ADDRESSED

 Performance requirement remains as stated





Docket and Meeting Comments FLAMMABILITY TESTING

COMMENTS

- Only perform testing on CO 'Specific' units
- 6 burner test may not be appropriate for a hood
- Consider alternative tests:
 - EN 270 (airline hoods single burner)
 - EN 403 (self rescue hoods single burner)
 - EN 136 (3 tiered approach)

- Only applicable to APRs with CO protection and all SCBA
- All tests are singleburner





Docket and Meeting Comments GAS LIFE / CAPACITY

COMMENTS

- Consider using IDLH and ERPG values
- Use 3X IDLH for 'General' category
- Debate on ratings < 30 min:
 - 15 min minimum
 - 3, 5, and 10 min
- SCBA, consider > 1 hr

- ERPG values used
- 'General' category based on 3X IDLH
- For APR, 15, 30, 45, 60 minutes
- For SCBA, as required by 42 CFR 84





Docket and Meeting Comments

LRPL

COMMENTS

- 2,000 LRPL is too high
- How is the 95% pass criteria defined?
- 500 LRPL is more reasonable
- Make LRPL proportional to test concentration for 'High', 'Specific', and 'General'
- Why is LRPL same for SCBA and APR?

HOW ADDRESSED

 LRPL Redefined-Consistent with protection required





Docket and Meeting Comments
PANIC DEMAND

COMMENTS

 Define where the requirement is in the standard

HOW ADDRESSED

Panic Demand
 requirement applicable
 to both 'General' and
 'Specific' categories





Docket and Meeting Comments R & D TESTING

COMMENTS

- How will R&D be scheduled?
- Can R&D testing be used as pre-submission data?

- Certification testing takes priority
- Yes, but not as certification data





Docket and Meeting Comments 'RUGGED' VS. 'LIGHT USE'

COMMENTS

- 'Light-duty', smaller, units would provide greater ease for users to carry on their person
- Consider tiered testing approach for 'light-duty' vs. 'rugged' units

HOW ADDRESSED

 Not Specified- design restrictive

 Makes certification process too cumbersome





Docket and Meeting Comments (ADDITIONAL TOPICS)

COMMENTS

 Non-Ambulatory Escapes

Use For Children

HOW ADDRESSED

Not part of standard

Not part of standard





CBRN Escape Respirator

- June 30, 2003 Edition of Concept Paper
 - Breathing Gas Concept From Public Meeting
 - Editorial Corrections
 - July 25, 2003 Comments to Docket
- CBRN Escape Statement of Standard August, 2003
- CBRN PAPR Initial Concept August, 2003
- Next Public Meeting Sept. or October



