EXHALE

A Technical Package to Control Asthma

(Resource Document)

Developed by: Joy Hsu Kanta Sircar Elizabeth Herman Paul Garbe

2018

Asthma and Community Health Branch
Division of Environmental Health Science and Practice
National Center for Environmental Health
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
Atlanta, Georgia



Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Anne Schuchat, MD (RADM, USPHS), Acting Director

National Center for Environmental Health Patrick Breysse, PhD, MHS, Director

Division of Environmental Health Science and PracticeJohn Decker, MS, RPh, CIH, Acting Director

Suggested citation:

Hsu J, Sircar K, Herman E, Garbe P. (2018). *EXHALE: A Technical Package to Control Asthma*. Atlanta, GA: National Center for Environmental Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	4
External Reviewers	5
Executive Summary	6
Overview of EXHALE	8
Education on Asthma Self-Management	13
X-tinguishing Smoking and Secondhand Smoke	15
Home Visits for Trigger Reduction and Asthma Self-Management Education	17
Achievement of Guidelines-Based Medical Management	20
Linkages and Coordination of Care Across Settings	23
Environmental Policies or Best Practices to Reduce Asthma Triggers from Indoor, Outdoor, and Occupational Sources	26
Part 1: Indoor Sources of Asthma Triggers	26
Part 2: Outdoor Sources of Asthma Triggers	28
Part 3: Occupational Sources of Asthma Triggers	29
Sector Involvement	31
Monitoring and Evaluation	34
Conclusion	36
List of Abbreviations	37
Appendix: Summary of Strategies and Approaches of EXHALE	38
References	43

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the following individuals who contributed to the development of this technical package. We thank leadership from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the National Center for Environmental Health, the Division of Environmental Hazards and Health Effects, and the Air Pollution and Respiratory Health Branch, as well as state colleagues from the CDC National Asthma Control Program, for their careful review and helpful feedback on earlier iterations of this document. We thank the following individuals for their contributions to this technical package (individuals are listed with their affiliations when they contributed to this document):

- National Center for Environmental Health, CDC: Cathy Bailey, Sheri Barrera Disler, Suzanne Beavers, Matthew Blum, Dan Burrows, Ginger Chew, Pamela Collins, Scott Damon, Shirl Ellis Odem, Tchernavia Gregory, Tyiesha Johnson, Robin Kuwahara, Anne Meyers, Maria Mirabelli, Ayana Perkins, Jeremy Sarnat, Dorothy Stearns, Kathryn Sunnarborg, Linda Thomas-Houston, Paige Welch, Maureen Wilce, Daiva Yee, Hatice Zahran
- National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC: Anna Schechter,
 Stephen Babb, Andrea Gentzke
- National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, CDC: Linda Dahlberg
- National Center on Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities, CDC: Lucinda England, Van Tong
- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, CDC: Katelynn Dodd, Jacek Mazurek, Paul Henneberger
- National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health: Michelle Freemer

Last but definitely not least, we extend our thanks and gratitude to all the external reviewers for their helpful feedback, support, and encouragement for this document.

External Reviewers

Kirsten Aird

Oregon Health Authority

Robert Lemanske

American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology

Kurt Elward

American Academy of Family Physicians

Amanda Reddy

National Center for Healthy Housing

Laura Fudala

National Center for Healthy Housing

David Rollason

National Association of Primary Care Centers

Brittany Guerra

Utah Department of Health

Girish Sharma

American Academy of Pediatrics

Kristen Hansen

Health Resources & Services Administration

Tonya Winders

Asthma & Allergy Network

Barbara Kaplan

American Lung Association

The experts above are listed with their affiliations at the time this document was reviewed.

Executive Summary

The EXHALE technical package represents a group of strategies, which, based on the best available evidence, can improve asthma control and reduce health care costs. It is intended as a resource to inform decision-making in communities, organizations, and states.

This table summarizes the strategies in this technical package, as well as specific ways (i.e., approaches) to advance these strategies.

EXHALE			
	Strategy	Approach	
Ε	Education on asthma self-management	Expanding access to and delivery of asthma self-management education (AS-ME)	
X	X-tinguishing smoking and secondhand smoke	 Reducing tobacco smoking Reducing exposure to secondhand smoke 	
Н	Home visits for trigger reduction and asthma self-management education	Expanding access to and delivery of home visits (as needed) for asthma trigger reduction and AS-ME	
A	Achievement of guidelines-based medical management	 Strengthening systems supporting guidelines-based medical care, including appropriate prescribing and use of inhaled corticosteroids Improving access and adherence to asthma medications and devices 	
L	Linkages and coordination of care across settings	Promoting coordinated care for people with asthma	
E	Environmental policies or best practices to reduce asthma triggers from indoor, outdoor, and occupational sources	 Facilitating home energy efficiency, including home weatherization assistance programs Facilitating smokefree policies Facilitating clean diesel school buses Eliminating exposure to asthma triggers in the workplace whenever possible Reducing exposure to asthma triggers in the workplace (if eliminating exposures is not possible) 	

These strategies are complementary and intended to work in combination to reinforce each other.

The hope is that multiple sectors, including public health, health care, education, social services, and non-governmental organizations, will use this technical package to improve asthma control in all age groups. Commitment, collaboration, and leadership from numerous sectors can maximize the impact of this technical package.

This technical package is ready for implementation now; it reflects the mature evidence base about how to control asthma effectively. Monitoring and evaluation play a key role in implementing EXHALE and identifying additional effective programs, policies, or practices. As new evidence becomes available, this technical package can be refined to reflect the current state of the science.

This technical package is not a guideline or recommendation.

Fast Facts about Asthma in the United States

- One in 13 people has asthma (more than 24 million Americans)¹
- In the United States, 50% of adults with asthma and 40% of children with asthma do not have control of their disease²
- Each year, asthma accounts for approximately:
 - 439,000 hospitalizations¹
 - 1.6 million emergency department visits¹
 - 10.5 million physician office visits¹
 - 13.8 million missed school days³
 - 14.2 million missed work days⁴
- The estimated cost of treating asthma in the United States is \$62.8 billion every year⁵

Overview of EXHALE

The EXHALE technical package represents a select group of strategies based on the best available evidence to control asthma. It is a resource to inform decision-making in communities, organizations, and states, by identifying strategies with the greatest potential impact on controlling asthma.

This table summarizes the strategies in this technical package, as well as each strategy's associated approach(es).

EXHALE			
	Strategy	Approach	
Ε	Education on asthma self-management	Expanding access to and delivery of asthma self-management education (AS-ME)	
X	X-tinguishing smoking and secondhand smoke	 Reducing tobacco smoking Reducing exposure to secondhand smoke 	
Н	Home visits for trigger reduction and asthma self- management education	Expanding access to and delivery of home visits (as needed) for asthma trigger reduction and AS-ME	
A	Achievement of guidelines-based medical management	 Strengthening systems supporting guidelines-based medical care, including appropriate prescribing and use of inhaled corticosteroids Improving access and adherence to asthma medications and devices 	
L	Linkages and coordination of care across settings	Promoting coordinated care for people with asthma	
E	Environmental policies or best practices to reduce asthma triggers from indoor, outdoor, and occupational sources	 Facilitating home energy efficiency, including home weatherization assistance programs Facilitating smokefree policies Facilitating clean diesel school buses Eliminating exposure to asthma triggers in the workplace whenever possible Reducing exposure to asthma triggers in the workplace (if eliminating exposures is not possible) 	

These strategies are complementary and intended to work in combination to reinforce each other.

Similarly, commitment, collaboration, and leadership from numerous sectors, including public health, health care, education, social services, and non-governmental organizations, can maximize the impact of this technical package.

Additional details on these strategies and approaches are available in the <u>Appendix</u>, along with summaries of supporting evidence and relevant sectors well positioned to lead implementation efforts.

What Is a Technical Package?

A technical package is a compilation of a core set of strategies to achieve and sustain substantial reductions in a specific risk factor or outcome.⁶ Technical packages help communities, organizations, and states prioritize prevention activities based on the best available evidence.

This technical package has three components.

- 1) The *strategies* are the actions to achieve the goal of improved asthma control.
- 2) The *approaches* are specific ways (e.g., programs, policies, or practices) to advance each strategy.
- 3) The **evidence** is the scientific basis for each strategy or approach.

This technical package is a resource to guide and inform decision-making in communities, organizations, and states. This technical package is not a guideline or recommendation.

Controlling Asthma is a Priority

Asthma is a disease that affects the lungs.⁷ It can cause wheezing, trouble breathing, chest tightness, and coughing.⁷ These symptoms increase during asthma attacks. Asthma attacks can require life-saving treatment in an emergency department (ED) or hospital.⁷

Asthma is common and costly.

- More than 24 million Americans have asthma, affecting 1 in 12 children and 1 in 14 adults.¹
- Each year, asthma accounts for more than 439,000 hospitalizations, 1.6 million emergency department (ED) visits, and 10.5 million physician office visits.¹
- About 10 people die of asthma each day.¹
- Asthma has been linked to 13.8 million missed school days and 14.2 million missed work days annually.^{3,4}
- The estimated cost of treating asthma in the United States is \$62.8 billion every year.⁵

The burden of asthma is unequally distributed.

- People disproportionately affected by asthma include Black Americans, Puerto Ricans, and those with low income.¹
- Black Americans are approximately 2 times more likely to die from asthma than white Americans.⁸

Asthma burden can be reduced by controlling asthma.

- In the United States, 50% of adults with asthma and 40% of children with asthma do not have control of this disease.²
- By taking appropriate medicine and avoiding triggers that can cause asthma attacks, asthma can be controlled and many asthma attacks can be prevented.⁷

More About Asthma Control

According to national guidelines⁷, controlling asthma consists of:

- 1. **Reducing impairment**, which includes preventing asthma symptoms, reducing rescue medication use, maintaining lung function, and maintaining normal physical activity levels and attendance at work or school
- 2. **Reducing risk**, which includes minimizing the need for ED visits and hospitalizations and preventing repeated asthma attacks

In other words, controlling asthma:

- Prevents symptoms like wheezing or coughing
- Prevents ED visits and hospitalizations for asthma
- Prevents missed work or school because of asthma
- Helps people take part in all physical activities

Assessing the Evidence

Assessing the evidence for this technical package included identifying impact on asthma control and characterizing the strength of evidence.

- Evidence included in this technical package had to show a beneficial impact on asthma control.
- Priority was given to meta-analyses or systematic reviews, followed by randomized controlled trials, and then quasi-experimental designs. If these study designs were unavailable because of feasibility or ethics, pre-post comparisons were acceptable (e.g., interventions implemented by a state or health insurance plan).
- Impact on asthma control and strength of evidence are summarized in the text descriptions of the strategies, as well as in the Appendix.
- In addition, priority was given to evidence from the United States. Regarding evidence from another country, consideration was given to the feasibility of implementation in a U.S. context.

The examples provided in this technical package are not a comprehensive list of evidence-based programs, practices, or policies for each approach.

- These are examples of success in improving asthma control.
- Not all programs, practices, or policies that implement the same approach (e.g., home visits)
 are successful or equally effective; even those that are effective might not work across all
 populations.⁹

In practice, the effectiveness of the programs, practices, and policies identified in this package will vary.

- Factors affecting these programs, practices, and policies include the quality of their implementation and the communities in which they are implemented.
- Tailoring and evaluating programs could improve understanding of program effectiveness across different communities.

This technical package is ready for implementation now.

- This technical package reflects the mature evidence base about how to control asthma.
- Progress will continue to be made into the future.
- As new programs, policies, or practices are identified, evaluated, and shown to be effective, this technical package can be updated and refined.
- Implementation guidance has been or will be developed separately. Examples of existing implementation resources are described or referenced in the text description of each strategy.

Contextual and Cross-Cutting Themes

An integrated approach to controlling asthma can achieve the greatest public health impact.

- Research indicates multifaceted interventions to control asthma are generally more effective than individual strategies in isolation.⁷
- The strategies in this technical package work in combination and reinforce each other to control asthma.
- Extensive, long-lasting improvements in asthma control are best achieved by a focus across individuals, families, communities, health care providers, and societal-levels and across all sectors, private and public.⁷

The social and cultural context of individuals and their communities is a critical consideration when implementing EXHALE.

- The example programs, practices, and policies provided in this technical package have been implemented within particular contexts.
- Practitioners in the field might be in the best position to assess needs and existing resources in their communities and to work with partners to implement EXHALE successfully.

Engagement is important for the successful implementation of this technical package.

- Engagement of people with asthma, their families, communities, and health care providers, among others, is vital to effective implementation of EXHALE. Thus, addressing barriers to engagement is valuable.^{10,11}
- Engagement and investment from multiple sectors are important for successful implementation
 of EXHALE. Public health agencies are well positioned to lead implementation efforts for
 selected EXHALE strategies and can serve as important collaborators for other EXHALE
 strategies. Leadership and commitment from other sectors (e.g., health care) are critical to
 implement strategies such as Achievement of Guidelines-Based Medical Management.
- The role of various sectors in implementing EXHALE is described further in the section on *Sector Involvement* (page 31).

The sections that follow describe the strategies and approaches with the best available evidence for improving asthma control.

Examples of Barriers to Engagement

- Among people with asthma, barriers to engagement include social determinants of health.
 These can affect availability of resources (e.g., time, money) to prioritize preventive behaviors to control their or their children's asthma.^{12,13} Relatedly, household chaos (overall physical, social, and environmental disorder in the household), has been linked to less patient engagement and control of chronic disease.¹⁴⁻¹⁸
- Among health care providers, engagement can be influenced by productivity expectations and burnout, which in turn can affect quality of care.¹¹

Education on Asthma Self-Management

Rationale for this Strategy

- Asthma self-management education (AS-ME) is an important strategy for controlling asthma, according to national guidelines.⁷
- Key educational messages of AS-ME include basic facts about asthma, roles of medications, how to use asthma medications correctly, what to do when asthma symptoms worsen, and how to reduce exposures to asthma triggers (i.e., environmental allergens and irritants).
- Local, state, and federal factors (e.g., availability of AS-ME providers or provider reimbursement mechanisms) can limit access to and delivery of AS-ME.^{9,19-21}
- Improving access to and delivery of AS-ME can improve asthma control and reduce health care costs.^{7,9}

Approach for this Strategy

Expanding access to and delivery of asthma self-management education

- Effective AS-ME can be delivered in a variety of settings (e.g., individual, family, or group education in a clinic, school, pharmacy, or community) by a variety of providers (e.g., nurses, respiratory therapists, certified asthma educators, or community health workers).^{7,9,22}
- National standards exist for providing AS-ME and training asthma educators. 19,23
- AS-ME requires repetition and reinforcement.⁷
- Proven models and potential pathways for sustainable delivery of AS-ME are discussed at http://www.cdc.gov/sixeighteen/asthma and in other public resources.

Evidence for this Strategy

Expanding access to and delivery of asthma self-management education

- Many studies have shown that AS-ME can improve asthma control and medication adherence while reducing ED visits, hospitalizations, and missed work or school days.^{7,9,22,26}
- Over 25 U.S. programs have shown that AS-ME often can reduce health care costs within 1 to 3 years, by preventing asthma-related ED visits, hospitalizations, or urgent care visits⁹, including:
 - ❖ Adult and pediatric patients of community health centers (i.e., federally qualified health centers) who received 5 AS-ME lessons experienced fewer ED and urgent care visits. 9,27,28 Their health insurance plan observed cost savings of 22% for adult patients and 39% for pediatric patients. 9,27,28
 - When school nurses at 61 schools received training and supplies to provide AS-ME to their students, participating students experienced better asthma control and saved Medicaid an average of \$1,431 per student over 1 year.²⁹
- U.S. health insurance plans have engaged in approximately 20 AS-ME programs reporting return on investment or other cost savings data, including at least 15 home-based AS-ME programs and 4 programs providing AS-ME in other settings such as clinic.^{9,30,31} Additional

information on home visits is available in the section *Home Visits for Trigger Reduction and Asthma Self-Management Education* (page 17).

Additional details on the evidence for this strategy and its approach are available in the Appendix.

What Is a Certified Asthma Educator?

- A certified asthma educator typically is a licensed health care professional (e.g., nurse, respiratory therapist) with an additional certification in asthma education, but non-health care professionals also can become certified if they have at least 1,000 hours of relevant experience and pass the National Asthma Educator Certification Board Exam.²³
- Multiple effective, economically sustainable programs implementing EXHALE strategies (e.g., AS-ME, home visits for trigger reduction and AS-ME) have involved certified asthma educators.^{9,32-34}
- Additional information regarding certified asthma educators is available online.²³

What Is a Community Health Worker?

- A community health worker is a frontline public health worker who is a trusted community member or has a deep cultural understanding of the community served. This person serves as a liaison between health and social services and the community to facilitate access to services and to improve the quality and cultural competence of service delivery.
- Multiple effective, economically sustainable programs implementing EXHALE strategies (e.g., AS-ME, home visits for trigger reduction and AS-ME, coordination of care) have involved community health workers.^{9,33,35,36}
- Additional information regarding community health workers, including asthma-specific training tools, is available at http://www.cdc.gov/stltpublichealth/chw and in other public resources.

X-tinguishing Smoking and Secondhand Smoke

Rationale for this Strategy

- Tobacco smoke is a known trigger of asthma attacks.^{7,43} National asthma guidelines recommend people with asthma avoid smoking and exposure to secondhand smoke.⁷
- About 1 in 5 of U.S. adults with asthma smoke cigarettes and about 9% of adult asthma-related ED visits are related to smoking. 44,45
- Approximately half of children with asthma (54%) are exposed to secondhand smoke in the home; this exposure is linked to a 63% increase in asthma-related ED visits among low-income, urban children.^{46,47}
- Secondhand smoke exposure before birth is a risk factor for childhood asthma and wheezing.⁴⁸⁻⁵² Thus, national asthma guidelines recommend pregnant women avoid both smoking and exposure to secondhand smoke.⁷
- Interventions to reduce smoking and secondhand smoke exposure can improve asthma control and reduce health care costs. 7,43,53-56

Approaches for this Strategy

Reducing tobacco smoking

- National guidelines for reducing tobacco smoking recommend interventions such as cessation counseling (individual, group, or telephone-based) and cessation medications approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA).⁵⁷⁻⁶³
- Proven approaches include focused tobacco cessation interventions as well as those provided in combination with other asthma-related interventions (e.g., self-management education).^{58-60,64}
 Additional information on reducing tobacco smoking is available in national tobacco cessation guidelines⁵⁷ and at http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco.

Reducing exposure to secondhand smoke

- Providing tobacco cessation counseling or FDA-approved cessation medications to parents or caregivers of children with asthma is effective, especially when combined with other asthmarelated interventions (e.g., self-management education).⁶⁵⁻⁷³
- Many successful programs have delivered these interventions through home visits. 65-73 Additional information on home visits is available in the section *Home Visits for Trigger Reduction and Asthma Self-Management Education* (page 17).
- Proven interventions to reduce smoking among pregnant women could reduce secondhand smoke exposure before birth, a recommendation of national asthma guidelines.^{7,62,74-76}
- Smokefree policies are effective in reducing exposure to secondhand smoke and improving asthma control. Additional information is available in the section *Environmental Policies or Best Practices to Reduce Asthma Triggers from Indoor, Outdoor, and Occupational Sources* (page 26).⁷⁷

Evidence for this Strategy

Reducing tobacco smoking

- Research shows that tobacco cessation can improve asthma control and lung function while reducing rescue medication use, ED visits, and urgent office visits.^{58-60,78} Significant improvements in lung function have been observed as early as 24 hours after quitting smoking.⁷⁹
- For example, a controlled study that provided nicotine replacement therapy (chewing gum or oral inhaler) to adults with asthma found significant improvements in asthma symptoms, quality of life, rescue medication use, and lung function. Individuals who quit smoking also needed 25% less inhaled steroid medication to control their asthma.⁵⁸

Reducing exposure to secondhand smoke

- Research shows that providing tobacco cessation treatments to parents or caregivers of children with asthma can reduce ED visits, hospitalizations, and missed school days; these treatments can also reduce health care costs.^{65-69,71,80} These studies include multiple randomized controlled trials^{66-69,71} and also include populations with high asthma burden (e.g., Medicaid-eligible, African American, or Puerto Rican).^{65-69,71,80}
- For example, a program providing 3 home visits for tobacco cessation counseling and asthma self-management education to Medicaid-enrolled parents or caregivers of children with asthma significantly reduced asthma-related ED visits, hospitalizations, and office visits among these children, as well as associated health care costs.⁶⁹

Additional details on the evidence for this strategy and its approaches are available in the Appendix.

Home Visits for Trigger Reduction and Asthma Self-Management Education

Rationale for this Strategy

- Home visits to reduce environmental asthma triggers and provide asthma self-management education (AS-ME) can improve asthma control (e.g., when asthma is not controlled despite guidelines-based medical management and AS-ME outside the home).^{7,9,81,82}
- Home visits can reveal barriers to patient engagement, adherence, or asthma control not
 previously recognized or fully appreciable in the outpatient, ED, or hospital setting (e.g.,
 housing conditions, social stressors, work and family obligations that affect individuals' or
 families' abilities to manage asthma).⁸³
- Home visit programs for asthma remain limited. Local, state, and federal factors play a role (e.g., availability of home visit programs, infrastructure to pay for home visit services or providers).^{7,9,10,81,82,84}
- Improving access to and delivery of home visits for selected people with asthma can improve asthma control and reduce health care costs. 7,9,81,82

Approach for this Strategy

Expanding access to and delivery of home visits (as needed) for asthma trigger reduction and AS-ME

- Home visits might be necessary to improve asthma control among some individuals with asthma (e.g., those whose asthma is not controlled despite guidelines-based medical management and AS-ME outside the home).^{7,9,81,82}
- These home visit programs can serve as care management programs for people at high risk for asthma attacks.⁸⁵
- Effective home visit programs provide both multifaceted asthma trigger reduction and AS-ME.^{7,9,81,82}
- A variety of providers can effectively deliver home visits for asthma, including nurses, respiratory therapists, certified asthma educators, and trained community health workers.^{7,9,81,82}
- To reduce asthma triggers in the home (e.g., cockroaches, dust mites, mold, secondhand smoke), successful programs have provided, at a minimum, education on how individuals or families can decrease their exposure to asthma triggers. Some programs help participants even further, e.g., through integrated pest management, providing supplies (e.g., bedding encasements, cleaning supplies), or communicating with health care providers, schools, or social services. The importance of these linkages is further described in the section *Linkages and Coordination of Care Across Settings* (page 23).
- Relevant implementation guidance is available in CDC's Strategies for Addressing Asthma in Homes⁸⁶ and other public resources. 40,87,88 Proven models and potential pathways for sustainable delivery of home visit programs for asthma are discussed at http://www.cdc.gov/sixeighteen/asthma and elsewhere online. 9,24,87,89

 Addressing barriers that prevent people with asthma from participating in home visit programs (e.g., trust or scheduling concerns) could improve program efficiency.^{10,13}

Evidence for this Strategy

Expanding access to and delivery of home visits (as needed) for asthma trigger reduction and AS-ME

- Many studies, including a systematic review and multiple randomized controlled trials, have shown that home visits can improve asthma control and medication adherence while reducing ED visits, hospitalizations, and missed work or school days.^{7,9,35,81,82,90-95}
- Over 40 U.S. programs have shown that home visits can reduce health care costs. ^{9,96} Among these programs, the median estimated time to achieve return on investment was 3 years. ⁹
- For example, a randomized controlled trial found that a program offering Medicaid-enrolled children up to 4 home visits by a community health worker for trigger reduction and AS-ME (over a 4 month period) significantly reduced urgent asthma-related health care utilization (e.g., ED visits, hospitalizations) and achieved a return on investment of \$1.90 per \$1 spent within 2 years.³⁵
- U.S. health insurance plans have engaged in at least 15 asthma-related home visit programs reporting return on investment or other cost savings data. 9,30,31

Additional details on the evidence for this strategy and its approach are available in the Appendix.

Selected State Success Stories

Michigan: Managing Asthma Through Case-management in Homes (MATCH) Programs

- Nurses or respiratory therapists (all certified asthma educators) providing home visits for case management, AS-ME, and trigger reduction have reduced ED visits and hospitalizations by ~60%, while also reducing missed school and work days.^{9,32,97}
- Multiple Medicaid health insurance plans have reimbursed providers for these services.
- These services have been replicated across the state.
- Return on investment is an estimated as \$2.10 per \$1 spent. 9,32,34,97

Montana: Montana Asthma Home Visiting Program (MAP)

- Nurses providing home visits for trigger reduction and AS-ME have reduced asthma symptoms, ED visits, and missed school and work days.^{97,98}
- This program also has improved asthma control, inhaler technique, and self-management among participants. 95,97

Rhode Island: Home Asthma Response Program (HARP)

- Certified asthma educators and community health workers teamed up to provide home visits for AS-ME and trigger reduction (including environmental supplies); these home visits reduced ED and hospital costs by 76%, an average of \$1,606 per program participant over the following year. Overall return on investment was \$1.33 per \$1 spent.³³
- Even greater cost savings were seen among the subset of 'high utilizers' (participants with at least 2 asthma-related ED visits or 1 hospitalization prior to program enrollment). For high

utilizers, ED and hospital costs decreased 80%, an average of \$2,708 per person over the following year. Among high utilizers, return on investment was \$2.26 per \$1 spent.³³

 Quality indicators also improved, with asthma medication ratio HEDIS scores increasing from 32% to 46%, use of asthma action plans rising from 20% to 80%, and participants with wellcontrolled asthma growing from 20% to 52%.³³

For more information on certified asthma educators and community health workers, please see the text box on page 14.

Achievement of Guidelines-Based Medical Management

Rationale for this Strategy

- Evidence-based recommendations for medical management of asthma are provided in national asthma guidelines (i.e., Expert Panel Report 3: Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma [EPR-3] from the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program).⁷
- However, guidelines-based medical management of asthma is not routine, leading to inadequate asthma control.⁹⁹⁻¹⁰²
- Increased use of guidelines-based medical management can improve asthma control and reduce health care costs. 101-106
- Evidence-based opportunities to increase use of guidelines-based medical management of asthma among populations include strengthening system supports and improving access and adherence to asthma medications and devices (e.g., spacers).^{26,101-110}

Approaches for this Strategy

Strengthening systems supporting guidelines-based medical care, including appropriate prescribing and use of inhaled corticosteroids

- Effective interventions providing clinical support or feedback include:
 - Focused training of health care providers including physicians, nurses, and pharmacists. 99,104,105,111
 - Audit and feedback systems implemented by health care organizations or health insurance plans, which analyze medical records to identify patients who could benefit from asthma control interventions.^{99,104,112,113}
 - Decision support tools (electronic or paper-based) designed to promote guidelinesbased medical management (e.g., pocket-sized guidelines summaries, system reminders, treatment algorithms).^{99,114}
- Other interventions shown to support access to or delivery of guidelines-based medical care include:
 - School-based health centers, which provide primary health care on-campus or off-site. More information about school-based health centers is available online.¹¹⁵⁻¹¹⁹
 - Coordinated care for people with asthma. Additional information on coordinated care is available in the section *Linkages and Coordination of Care Across Settings* (page 23).
- Quality improvement projects and initiatives are examples of ways to implement these types of interventions.^{99,106}
- Relevant implementation guidance is available in several publications produced by the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program, which is coordinated by the National Institutes of Health.^{100,120}

Improving access and adherence to asthma medications and devices

 Copayment amounts for asthma medications and devices can influence whether people with asthma obtain prescribed treatments.¹⁰⁸⁻¹¹⁰ A proven method of improving adherence is shared treatment decision-making, in which
patients with asthma and their health care providers collaborate to decide on treatment.^{26,107}
This process provides opportunities to discuss patient goals, preferences, or concerns.^{26,107}

Evidence for this Strategy

Strengthening systems supporting guidelines-based medical care, including appropriate prescribing and use of inhaled corticosteroids

- Many studies, including a systematic review and multiple randomized controlled trials, have shown interventions providing asthma-related clinical support or feedback to health care providers can improve patients' asthma symptoms and controller medication use while reducing ED visits, hospitalizations, and missed school days. 10,94,99,104-106,114,121
- For example, a large randomized controlled trial found that a 2-session interactive training for primary care physicians led to a 45% decrease in asthma-related ED visits and a 46% decrease in days limited by asthma symptoms among patients of physicians who received this training (the Physician Asthma Care Education curriculum).^{105,121}
- Audit and feedback systems (e.g., using claims data) also can reduce asthma-related ED visits by up to 55% and hospitalizations by up to 56%. Return on investment for these systems is an estimated \$2 to \$4 per \$1 spent. 10,103,104,106
- A systematic review found fewer asthma-related ED visits and hospitalizations occurred among students of schools with school-based health centers, compared to schools without schoolbased health centers. ¹¹⁵⁻¹¹⁹

Improving access and adherence to asthma medications and devices

- Studies have linked access to prescribed asthma treatments to improved asthma control, increased controller medication use, reduced rescue medication use, fewer ED visits and hospitalizations, and lower health care costs.^{26,107-110,122,123}
- Effects of out-of-pocket medication costs on medication adherence and health outcomes have been studied in over 51,000 people with asthma.¹⁰⁸⁻¹¹⁰ Two of these studies showed that higher copayment costs affected whether people obtained prescribed asthma treatments.^{109,110} An additional study demonstrated that higher out-of-pocket costs for asthma medications were associated with less medication use and more asthma-related hospitalizations.¹⁰⁸
- Shared treatment decision-making between people with poorly controlled asthma and their health care providers led to improved asthma control, fewer asthma-related medical visits, decreased rescue medication use, and better lung function, in a large randomized controlled trial of more than 600 participants.¹⁰⁷

Additional details on the evidence for this strategy and its approaches are available in the Appendix.

State Success Story

Missouri: Drug Utilization Review of Medicaid Pharmacy Claims Data

- This quality improvement intervention analyzed Medicaid pharmacy claims data to identify people with asthma whose medication use did not follow guidelines-based medical management; these individuals' health care providers were then notified by mail.¹²⁴
- In one year, this audit and feedback system improved controller medication adherence, decreased overutilization of rescue inhalers, and resulted in Medicaid savings on pharmacy costs.¹²⁴
- Medicaid savings on asthma-related medications were \$5.92 per patient per month (compared to a control group), leading to a total estimated savings of more than \$430,000 for the 6-month post-intervention period.¹²⁴

Linkages and Coordination of Care Across Settings

Rationale for this Strategy

- Coordinated care includes promoting linkages within and across the health care system and community services to address patients' needs (e.g., medical, social) and improve health.^{10,125,126}
- Improved coordination of care could reduce total U.S. health care expenditures by \$240 to \$310 billion annually.¹²⁷
- Improving access to coordinated care for people with asthma can improve asthma control and reduce health care costs. 9,36,128-138

Approach for this Strategy

Promoting coordinated care for people with asthma

- Health care provider organizations, health insurance plans, schools, and community organizations can emphasize coordinated care through interventions such as patient-centered medical homes, disease management, case management, and school- or community-based programs.^{9,36,128-138}
- A variety of providers can successfully coordinate care and facilitate linkages across settings, including nurses, community health workers, social workers, and case managers.^{9,10,36,128-138}
- Successful coordination of care can:
 - ❖ Be facilitated by partnerships and health information exchange (e.g., using health information technology) between organizations or sectors such as health care, education, and non-governmental organizations. ¹0,113,129-131,139-141
 - ❖ Involve or support other strategies in this technical package (e.g., AS-ME, home visits for trigger reduction and AS-ME, achievement of guidelines-based medical management)^{9,36,128-133}; these strategies are described further in their respective sections of this document.
- Relevant implementation guidance is available at http://pcmh.ahrq.gov,
 <la>http://pcmh.ahrq.gov,
 <la>ahrq.gov</la>,
 <la>ahrq.gov,
 <la>

What Is a Patient-Centered Medical Home?

A patient-centered medical home, also known as a primary care medical home, is a model of health care that puts patients at the forefront of care. 125,142 Its priorities include:

- Coordinated, team-based, patient-centered care
- Linkages among care providers
- Health care quality

Studies have shown this model can improve health care quality, patient experiences and staff satisfaction while reducing health care costs. 142

Evidence for this Strategy

Promoting coordinated care for people with asthma

- Many studies, including several randomized controlled trials, have shown that coordinated care
 can improve asthma control and controller medication use while reducing ED visits,
 hospitalizations, and missed school and work days.^{9,36,94,128-136,144}
- Multiple programs have shown that coordinated asthma care can reduce health care costs. 133,134,138 Return on investment has been reported as \$1.33 per \$1 spent within 3 years. 133
- A wide variety of providers and organizations have improved asthma control by expanding access to coordinated care for people with asthma, including:
 - ❖ Numerous health insurance plans across the country have improved asthma control and reduced health care costs by coordinating asthma care using trained staff members (e.g., case managers or community health workers) or contracts with community organizations to provide these services, often through home visits.^{9,10} Additional information on home visits is available in the section *Home Visits for Trigger Reduction and Asthma Self-Management Education* (page 17).
 - ❖ A state chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics, supported by state and federal funding, implemented patient-centered medical homes in 92 pediatric practices and improved asthma control within 100 days, including an 18% reduction in missed school days and a 21% reduction in ED visits and acute care visits. 128
 - ❖ A hospital-run, community-based program emphasizing coordinated asthma care through services including nurse case management, linkage to primary care providers, and home visits for trigger reduction and AS-ME reduced ED visits by 68% and hospitalizations by 85%,¹³² Return on investment was \$1.33 per \$1 spent within 3 years.¹³³
 - School-based programs providing coordinated asthma care (including increased communication between schools and primary care providers) through school nurses or other staff have improved asthma control and reduced ED visits, urgent care visits, and missed school days. These successful programs have also provided AS-ME to children or families. Additional information on school-based strategies to address asthma is available in CDC's Strategies for Addressing Asthma in Schools. Schools.

Additional details on the evidence for this strategy and its approach are available in the Appendix.

State Success Story

Indiana: Parkview Health's Emergency Department Asthma Call Back Program

- A registered nurse or registered respiratory therapist contacts (via phone or email) people with asthma who visit Parkview Health's emergency department (ED) within 7–14 days of the visit. 146,147 These staff provide various services, including discussing the status of asthma control, assessing whether people can afford prescribed asthma medications, offering home visits, linking people to primary care physicians and patient-centered medical homes, encouraging people to make and keep regular medical appointments, and providing AS-ME and mitigation resources as needed. 146,147
- This program has effectively reduced asthma-related ED visits and missed school and work days.^{146,147}
- Return on investment is >\$1 per \$1 spent.^{147,148}
- Collaboration between the local health care system, local school districts, and the local and state health department helped this program succeed. 146,147,149,150

Environmental Policies or Best Practices to Reduce Asthma Triggers from Indoor, Outdoor, and Occupational Sources

This section has three parts.

Part 1: Indoor sources of asthma triggers

Part 2: Outdoor sources of asthma triggers

Part 3: Occupational sources of asthma triggers

The approaches described in each part of this section represent the best available evidence to improve asthma control. As the evidence base grows for environmental policies or best practices to reduce asthma triggers, these approaches can be updated and refined.

Part 1: Indoor Sources of Asthma Triggers

Rationale for this Strategy (Part 1)

- Overall, people spend 90% of their time indoors and much of this time is spent in the home.¹⁵¹
- Indoor sources of asthma triggers include mold, secondhand smoke, dust mites, rodents, cockroaches, and furry household pets. 152
- Interventions to reduce indoor sources of asthma triggers can improve asthma control and be cost-saving. 153-163

Approaches for this Strategy (Part 1)

Facilitating home energy efficiency, including home weatherization assistance programs

- Home improvement incentives (e.g., loans, grants, or tax credits) can help low-income families improve their homes' energy efficiency and simultaneously reduce or remove health hazards such as mold, moisture, or pests. 164,165
- Examples exist of incentives implemented at the local, state, or federal level. 165-171
- Some home weatherization improvements that can reduce indoor sources of asthma triggers include improving insulation, ventilation, and moisture control. 165,170 These changes can complement home visit programs for asthma. Additional information on home visits is available in the section Home Visits for Trigger Reduction and Asthma Self-Management Education (page 17).

Facilitating smokefree policies

- Numerous U.S. communities, states, and other nations have implemented comprehensive smokefree policies, which prohibit smoking in all indoor spaces of workplaces, restaurants, and bars.^{153-160,173,174}
- Enforcement is easier and effectiveness of smokefree policies is greater when fewer policy exemptions exist (e.g., exemptions for designated smoking areas or certain types of restaurants or bars).¹⁷⁵⁻¹⁷⁷
- Smokefree policies for residences (e.g., houses, apartments) can be voluntary or mandatory; individuals, families, or property owners (public or private) can implement these policies. 178,179
- Smokefree policies reduce tobacco smoking and reduce nonsmokers' exposure to secondhand smoke. Additional information on tobacco use and secondhand smoke is available in the section *X-tinguishing Smoking and Secondhand Smoke* (page 15).

Evidence for this Strategy (Part 1)

Facilitating home energy efficiency, including home weatherization assistance programs

- Studies have shown improving the homes of low-income individuals or families (e.g., through home weatherization assistance programs) can reduce asthma-related ED visits, health care costs, missed work, or missed school days.¹⁶¹⁻¹⁶³
- The cost of weatherizing an entire house can be comparable to the cost of one hospitalization for asthma. 170,180
- A study from the state of Washington observed asthma-related Medicaid costs decreased an average of \$785 per person per year, among individuals participating in a weatherization assistance program to improve their homes.¹⁶²

Facilitating smokefree policies

- Multiple U.S. studies, including a systematic review, have found that smokefree policies can reduce asthma-related ED visits and hospitalizations. 153-160,181
- Besides improving asthma control¹⁵³⁻¹⁶⁰, smokefree policies have decreased hospitalizations for heart attacks, reduced health care costs, and lowered the cost of cleaning, repairing, or maintaining housing.¹⁸¹⁻¹⁸⁴
- Smokefree policies with fewer exemptions have been linked to larger reductions in hospitalizations for respiratory conditions (e.g., asthma), compared to smokefree policies with more exemptions.¹⁵⁷
- A systematic review of 179 studies showed that smokefree policies have not hurt the business activity of restaurants, bars, or companies catering to tourists. 176,181,185

Additional details on the evidence for this strategy and its approaches are available in the Appendix.

Part 2: Outdoor Sources of Asthma Triggers

Rationale for this Strategy (Part 2)

- Strong evidence links outdoor air pollutants (e.g., from vehicle exhaust, factory emissions, or smoke) to increased asthma-related ED visits and hospitalizations. 186, 187, 188
- Children with asthma can be especially vulnerable to negative health effects caused by air pollution.¹⁸⁹,¹⁹⁰
- Interventions to reduce outdoor air pollution can improve asthma control and be cost-saving for communities. 191,192

Approach for this Strategy (Part 2)

Facilitating clean diesel school buses

- Adopting clean diesel technology for school buses involves retrofitting or modifying school buses with older diesel engines to run more cleanly (e.g., by using diesel oxidation catalysts, crankcase ventilation systems, or ultralow-sulfur diesel fuel).¹⁹²
- States or local jurisdictions have obtained clean diesel school buses using voluntary incentives (e.g., grants or rebates) or mandatory regulation. 191-196
- Decreased diesel emissions from using clean diesel school buses can complement existing policies to reduce school bus idling in multiple states.¹⁹⁷⁻¹⁹⁹

Evidence for this Strategy (Part 2)

Facilitating clean diesel school buses

- U.S. school districts adopting clean diesel technology for some or all of their school buses have improved children's lung function, decreased asthma- or bronchitis-related hospitalizations by 23%, and reduced missed school days by 8%; some benefits can occur within one month of making these changes (e.g., missed school days).^{191,192}
- So far, switching to clean diesel school buses has prevented approximately 14 million missed school days in the United States.¹⁹¹
- Return on investment is an estimated \$7 to \$16 per \$1 spent on shifting to clean diesel school buses, because of savings from averted respiratory-related hospitalizations.¹⁹²
- Existing studies might underestimate the overall public health benefits of using clean diesel school buses, because other research has linked diesel emissions to other illnesses (e.g., pneumonia, heart disease, cancer).²⁰⁰⁻²⁰³ Over half of U.S. children (approximately 25 million) ride school buses to and from school.²⁰⁴ On average, these children spend nearly 45 minutes each day on the bus.¹⁹¹

Additional details on the evidence for this strategy and its approaches are available in the Appendix.

Part 3: Occupational Sources of Asthma Triggers

Rationale for this Strategy (Part 3)

- Workplace conditions can cause asthma (this is called "occupational asthma"). Approximately 1 in 6 cases of adult-onset asthma are attributable to occupation.
- Workplace conditions also can worsen existing asthma (this is called "work-exacerbated asthma" or "work-aggravated asthma"). More than 1 in 5 adults with asthma experience this effect.²⁰⁶
- The overall estimated cost of work-related asthma (i.e., the combination of occupational asthma and work-exacerbated asthma) is at least \$1.6 billion annually.²⁰⁷ People with work-related asthma experience more long-term disability, frequent health care use, loss of income, and unemployment compared to people with asthma that is not work-related.²⁰⁸⁻²¹⁰
- Interventions to eliminate or reduce workplace triggers of asthma can prevent occupational asthma, improve control of work-related asthma, and reduce health care costs.^{208,211-213} Asthma medications complement but do not replace interventions in the workplace environment.²⁰⁸

Approaches for this Strategy (Part 3)

Eliminating exposure to asthma triggers in the workplace whenever possible

- Effective methods to eliminate workplace exposure to asthma triggers include engineering controls (e.g., in ventilation systems) or replacing workplace materials that can cause or trigger asthma with other materials without these effects.^{211,213}
- Another proven method is to change work responsibilities or jobs, but this option can result in unemployment or loss of income for the worker.²⁰⁸
- Avoiding use of powdered allergen-rich natural rubber latex gloves is recommended by national and international experts on work-related asthma.²¹³

Reducing exposure to asthma triggers in the workplace (if eliminating exposures is not possible)

- Reducing exposure to asthma triggers in the workplace (e.g., engineering controls such as ventilation, or administrative controls such as limiting the number of hours per week that workers are exposed to asthma triggers) is not the preferred approach based on current evidence, but a person's income or employment might be less affected by reducing exposure instead of eliminating exposure.^{211,213}
- This approach requires careful medical monitoring to ensure that worsened asthma is detected quickly.²⁰⁸
- According to national and international experts on work-related asthma, respiratory protective
 devices do not reduce exposure to asthma triggers effectively, especially in the long term and in
 patients with severe asthma.^{208,213}

Evidence for this Strategy (Part 3)

Eliminating exposure to asthma triggers in the workplace whenever possible

- Multiple systematic reviews have shown that eliminating exposure to asthma triggers is the best preventive approach.²¹¹⁻²¹³ Benefits include fewer asthma symptoms and improved lung function.²¹¹⁻²¹³
- Another systematic review found that for persons with occupational asthma (asthma caused by workplace conditions), completely avoiding the cause of their occupational asthma maximizes chances for improvement but does not guarantee full recovery.²⁰⁸ However, ongoing exposure would likely worsen asthma.²⁰⁸
- The recommendation to avoid using powdered allergen-rich natural rubber latex gloves is based on a systematic review that found a high level of evidence for this intervention.²¹³

Reducing exposure to asthma triggers in the workplace (if eliminating exposures is not possible)

- A systematic review showed that reducing exposure to workplace asthma triggers improves asthma symptoms but has not clearly improved lung function.²¹¹
- Notably, this systematic review also found that reducing exposure to workplace asthma triggers
 did not improve asthma symptoms as much as eliminating or removing exposure to workplace
 asthma triggers.²¹¹
- Multiple systematic reviews have concluded that based on currently available evidence, respiratory protective devices cannot be considered a safe way to reduce exposure to asthma triggers in the workplace, especially in the long term, in patients with severe asthma, and in patients with occupational asthma (asthma caused by workplace conditions).^{208,212,213}

Additional details on the evidence for this strategy and its approaches are available in the Appendix.

Sector Involvement

Engagement and investment from multiple sectors are important for successful implementation of EXHALE. Collaboration across sectors can harness existing infrastructure and resources, reduce redundancy, and create synergy. Public health can be a leader in improving asthma control, but the public health sector cannot accomplish the strategies and approaches outlined in this technical package alone.

Below are examples of how individuals or organizations from various sectors can consider supporting the implementation of this technical package. These examples are not a comprehensive description of opportunities available to various sectors, nor are they a complete list of sectors that can consider implementation activities related to this technical package. Other key sectors include (but are not limited to) social services, housing, employers, media, and non-governmental organizations (e.g., national or community organizations).

Opportunities for federal, state, and local public health officials include:

- Promoting or implementing this technical package.
- Evaluating the impact of and progress in implementing this technical package.
- Bringing together different sectors, partners, or stakeholders to plan, prioritize, and coordinate implementation of this technical package.
- Continuing collecting and disseminating data on the burden of asthma.

Opportunities for other federal, state, and local government officials include:

- Establishing or supporting quality improvement initiatives or projects that implement one or more of the strategies in this technical package.
- Considering eliminating Medicaid or Medicare coverage requirements that could restrict access
 to evidence-based treatments for asthma or tobacco cessation (e.g., quantity limits, prior
 authorization, copayments, or requiring patients to obtain asthma devices such as spacers from
 durable medical equipment vendors instead of pharmacies).
- Allocating resources to improve access to AS-ME, smoking cessation, asthma-related home visits, and coordination of care. For example, states might consider "activating" Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes to pay for AS-ME or encourage their Medicaid managed care organizations to increase delivery of AS-ME and asthma-related home visits (e.g., through contractual agreements or quality-improvement projects). Relevant implementation guidance, including a list of relevant CPT codes, is available at http://www.cdc.gov/sixeighteen/asthma.
- Supporting workforce development for the delivery of AS-ME or home visits; relevant activities include building infrastructure for professional training, licensing, and reimbursement of certified asthma educators, community health workers, and other allied health professionals. Relevant implementation guidance is available at http://www.cdc.gov/sixeighteen/asthma and in other public resources. 23,214-216
- Promoting infrastructure for health information technology so that individuals are at the center
 of their care, health care providers have the ability to securely access and use health
 information from different sources, and asthma care quality can be measured and used to

- provide feedback. Relevant implementation guidance is available at http://www.healthit.gov/policy-researchers-implementers/interoperability.
- Implementing or supporting policies and best practices proven to improve asthma control (e.g., home improvement incentives, smokefree policies, or clean diesel school buses). Additional information is available in the section *Environmental Policies or Best Practices to Reduce Asthma Triggers from Indoor, Outdoor, and Occupational Sources* (page 26).

Opportunities for health care providers or organizations include:

- Assessing asthma severity and regularly monitoring asthma control in patients.
- Prescribing inhaled corticosteroids according to national asthma guidelines.^{7,100}
- Using tools such as shared treatment decision-making or AS-ME to improve adherence to asthma medication. Additional information on shared treatment decision-making and AS-ME is available in the sections *Achievement of Guidelines-Based Medical Management* (page 20) and *Education on Asthma Self-Management* (page 13), respectively.
- Investigating and considering available payment mechanisms to support their or their staff's delivery of asthma self-management education (e.g., CPT codes). A list of relevant CPT codes is available at http://www.cdc.gov/sixeighteen/asthma.
- Referring patients to asthma-related home visit programs available through local health care systems, community organizations, or patients' health insurance plans, especially when asthma is not controlled despite guidelines-based medical management and AS-ME outside the home.
- Promoting coordination of care in their practices or health care organizations (e.g., through quality improvement projects or initiatives). 125,142
- Communicating and collaborating with local schools or health care organizations to share asthma treatment plans efficiently, effectively, and securely across places where individuals might seek help for their asthma.

Opportunities for health insurance plans include:

- Emphasizing national asthma guidelines^{7,100} in their clinical practice guidelines.
- Using pharmacy, ED, and hospital claims data to identify and engage individuals or families who
 could benefit from AS-ME, smoking cessation, asthma-related home visits, improved
 coordination of care, or increased adherence to guidelines-based medical management of
 asthma. Additional information on each of these EXHALE strategies is available in their
 respective sections of this document.
- Considering how their coverage requirements might affect access to evidence-based treatments for asthma or tobacco cessation. Potential barriers include quantity limits, prior authorization, copayments, or requiring patients to obtain asthma devices (e.g., spacers) from durable medical equipment vendors instead of pharmacies.
- Providing AS-ME or asthma-related home visits to patients using trained in-house staff (e.g., certified asthma educators or trained community health workers) or by contracting with health care providers or community organizations to provide these services. Relevant implementation guidance is available at http://www.cdc.gov/sixeighteen/asthma and in other public resources. 10,13

Opportunities for schools, school nurses, and school districts include:

- Communicating and collaborating with local health care providers to share important asthma
 information about students (e.g., asthma action plans, level of asthma control) efficiently and
 effectively. These partnerships can be supported by the development of consent forms or
 policies to promote communication while protecting student and patient privacy. Additional
 relevant information is available in the section *Linkages and Coordination of Care Across*Settings (page 23).
- Adopting clean diesel technology for school buses to reduce students' exposure to diesel
 emissions, a known asthma trigger. Additional information is available in the section
 Environmental Policies or Best Practices to Reduce Asthma Triggers from Indoor, Outdoor, and
 Occupational Sources (page 26).
- Supporting implementation of other EXHALE strategies such as Education on Asthma Self-Management and Achievement of Guidelines-Based Medical Management. Additional information on these strategies is available in their respective sections.
- Reviewing CDC's Strategies for Addressing Asthma in Schools.¹⁴⁵

Opportunities for employers include:

- Promoting healthy workplaces by eliminating or reducing known asthma triggers.
- Considering or requesting insurance coverage of preventive asthma services such as AS-ME or home visits when purchasing health insurance for employees.
- Reviewing resources that CDC offers employers to help employees stay healthy such as http://www.cdcfoundation.org/businesspulse/health-costs-resources.

Monitoring and Evaluation

Monitoring and evaluation are necessary components of the public health approach to prevention. Timely and reliable data can monitor the extent of the problem and evaluate the impact of prevention efforts. Data are essential for successful program implementation. Planning, implementation, and assessment all rely on accurate measurement.

Surveillance

Surveillance data (e.g., from surveys or health insurance claims) can provide information such as disparities or changes in the burden of asthma. Surveillance systems exist at the federal, state, and local levels.

Sources of national asthma data include:

- National Health Interview Survey (http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhis)
- National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes)
- National Vital Statistics System (http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss)

Sources of state or local asthma data include:

- Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS; http://www.cdc.gov/brfss)
- BRFSS Asthma Call-back Survey (http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/acbs)
- National Survey of Children's Health (http://childhealthdata.org/learn/nsch)

The systematic collection, evaluation, interpretation, and dissemination of asthma-related surveillance data at the federal, state, and local level can facilitate efficient implementation of this technical package (e.g., by identifying populations or areas with higher burden of disease). Additional information on available surveillance data and data systems for asthma is available at http://www.cdc.gov/asthma/asthmadata.htm.

Evaluation

It is important to track progress of activities to implement the strategies and approaches of this technical package and to evaluate the impact of those efforts. Routinely evaluating interventions as delivered in respective communities ensures programs are appropriately implemented and achieving expected results. In addition to increasing accountability, information generated from program evaluations can guide actions for program improvement and enhancement, as well as inform planning decisions. Understanding how strategies and approaches are implemented effectively and which implementation conditions result in the best outcomes can inform the refinement of asthma control activities over time.

In addition, evaluation findings shared with the broader asthma community will add to the evidence base and increase awareness of what works within community contexts. Collecting and sharing local evaluation data allows communities to make informed decisions when selecting intervention

opportunities with the highest potential impact, continually learn from experience, and build community support to sustain success.

In this way, collecting more data through evaluations of asthma-related programs, practices, and policies can enhance the effectiveness of asthma control initiatives, particularly across varied social and cultural contexts. Further, evaluation can help improve understanding of the synergistic effects that might occur within a comprehensive service system. Resources to assist these evaluation efforts are available at http://www.cdc.gov/asthma/program_eval.

Conclusion

The EXHALE technical package represents the best available evidence to improve control of asthma. It contains complementary strategies and approaches ideally used in combination in a multi-level, multi-sector approach to reduce the burden of asthma. The hope is that multiple sectors, such as public health, health care, education, social services, and non-governmental organizations, will find this technical package useful in improving asthma control.

This technical package is ready for implementation. It reflects the mature evidence base about how to control asthma. Monitoring and evaluation will play a key role in refining and maximizing implementation of the strategies in EXHALE. As new evidence becomes available, this technical package can be refined to reflect the current state of the science.

List of Abbreviations

AS-ME Asthma self-management education

CPT Current Procedural Terminology

ED Emergency department

FDA Food and Drug Administration

HEDIS Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set¹

ICU Intensive care unit

RCT Randomized controlled trial

¹ Performance measurement tool used by many U.S. health plans²¹⁷

Appendix: Summary of Strategies and Approaches of EXHALE

Strategy	Approach	Lead Sectors ^a	Evidence ^b of Impact on Asthma	About this Evidence
Education on asthma self-management	Expanding access to and delivery of asthma self-management education (ASME)	Health care Public health (local, state, federal) Government ^c (local, state, federal) Non- governmental organizations	 General Improved asthma symptoms^{9,218-228} Improved asthma control^{29,218-220,229} Improved quality of life^{22,29,218,230-232} Asthma medication use Increased medication adherence ^{26,29,114,222,233} Increased controller medication prescriptions or use^{29,99} Decreased rescue medication prescriptions or use²³² Health care utilization Fewer ED visits ^{9,22,99,114,134,144,221,224,226,227,229,231,232,234-237} Fewer hospitalizations ^{9,22,219,224,226,227,229,231,237,238} Decreased urgent health care utilization (ED visit, hospitalization, or urgent office visit)²²⁸ Impact on health care spending or society Positive return on investment or other cost savings^{9,26,29,81,229,231,235} Fewer missed school days^{144,221,222,228,229} Fewer missed work days²³⁸ 	 Obtained from peer-reviewed journals^{9,22,26,29,99,114,134,144,218-228,230,233-236,238} and other sources^{229,231,232} Evaluated using systematic review^{22,26,99,218-222,237,238}, other literature review^{9,134}, RCT^{144,223,227,229,230}, non-randomized comparison group analysis^{29,225}, and pre–post analysis^{114,224,226,228,232-236}
X-tinguishing tobacco smoke and secondhand smoke	Reducing tobacco smoking	Health care Public health (local, state, federal) Government ^c (local, state, federal)	 Fewer daycare absences²²⁴ General Improved asthma symptoms^{58,78} Improved asthma control^{59,60,78} Improved quality of life^{58,64,78,239} Asthma medication use Increased controller medication prescriptions or use^{58,78} Decreased rescue medication prescriptions or use^{58,78} Health care utilization Decreased urgent health care utilization (ED visit or urgent office visit)²⁴⁰ Impact on society Fewer missed school days²³⁹ 	 Obtained from peer-reviewed journals^{58-60,64,78,239-241} Evaluated using literature review^{78,241}, RCT^{58,64,239}, and non-randomized comparison group analysis^{59,60,240}

Strategy	Approach	Lead Sectors ^a	Evidence ^b of Impact on Asthma	About this Evidence
X-tinguishing tobacco smoke and secondhand smoke (continued)	Reducing exposure to secondhand smoke	Health care Public health (local, state, federal) Government ^c (local, state, federal)	 Improved asthma symptoms^{67,68} Improved asthma control⁸⁰ Health care utilization Fewer ED visits⁸⁰ Fewer hospitalizations^{66,68,69,80} Fewer outpatient visits^{66,69} Decreased urgent health care utilization (ED visit, hospitalization, urgent office visit, or prednisone use)^{65,71} Impact on health care spending or society Positive return on investment or other cost savings^{66,69} Fewer missed school days⁶⁸ 	 Obtained from peer-reviewed journals^{65-69,71,80} Evaluated using systematic review²⁴², RCT^{67,68,71,80}, non-randomized comparison group analysis⁶⁵, and pre–post analysis^{66,69}
Home visits for trigger reduction and asthma self-management education	Expanding access to and delivery of home visits (as needed) for asthma trigger reduction and AS-ME	Health care Public health (local, state, federal) Government ^c (local, state, federal) Non- governmental organizations Social services	 General Improved asthma symptoms 35,82,91,98,134,243-247 Improved asthma control 94,95,246,247 Improved quality of life 90 Asthma medication use Increased medication adherence 135,243,245,246 Decreased rescue medication prescriptions or use 247,248 Health care utilization Fewer ED visits 9,30,31,94,135,243,244,246,248-250 Fewer ED return visits 93,94 Fewer hospital readmissions 94,135 Fewer hospital readmissions 94,135 Fewer outpatient visits 82,244,246,248,251 Fewer ICU admissions 9,252 Decreased urgent health care utilization (ED visit, hospitalization, or urgent office visit) 35,82,247 Impact on health care spending or society Positive return on investment or other cost savings 9,34-36,81,92,96,136,250,253 Fewer missed school days 82,244,246-248,250 Fewer missed work days 245-247 	 Obtained from peer-reviewed journals^{9,35,81,82,90,91,93-96,134,243-245,247,251-253} and other sources^{30,31,34,36,92,98,135,136,248-250} Evaluated using systematic review^{81,82}, other literature review^{9,93,134}, RCT^{35,90-92}, non-randomized comparison group analysis^{36,96,136}, and pre–post analysis^{30,31,34,94,95,98,135,243-253}

Strategy	Approach	Lead Sectors ^a	Evidence ^b of Impact on Asthma	About this Evidence
Achievement of guidelines-based medical management	Strengthening systems supporting guidelines-based medical care, including appropriate prescribing and use of inhaled corticosteroids	Health care	 Improved asthma symptoms 101,105,106,115,119 Improved asthma control 94 Asthma medication use Increased controller medication prescriptions or use 99,113,124 Decreased rescue medication prescriptions or use 124,235 Health care utilization Fewer ED visits 94,99,103-106,115,116,119,235 Fewer ED return visits 94 Fewer hospitalizations 94,103,106,115-119,235 Fewer hospital readmissions 94 Fewer outpatient visits 103,235 Impact on health care spending or society Positive return on investment 103,104,106,111 or other cost savings 116,124,235 Fewer missed school days 106 	 Obtained from peer-reviewed journals^{94,99,101,103-105,111,113,116,117,119,235,254} and other sources^{106,115,124} Evaluated using systematic review^{99,115,119}, RCT^{101,105,113}, non-randomized comparison group analysis^{104,111,116,118,124}, and pre–post analysis^{94,103,106,117,235,254}
	Improving access and adherence to asthma medications and devices	Health care Government ^c (state, federal)	 General Improved asthma symptoms^{26,107} Improved asthma control¹⁰⁷ Improved quality of life^{26,107} Asthma medication use Increased controller medication prescriptions or use^{107,109} Decreased rescue medication prescriptions or use¹⁰⁷ Health care utilization Fewer ED visits^{109,122} Fewer hospitalizations^{108,122} Fewer outpatient visits¹⁰⁹ Decreased overall health care utilization (ED visit, hospitalization, urgent care visit, or outpatient visit)^{26,107} Positive return on investment or other cost savings^{122,123} 	 Obtained from peer-reviewed journals^{26,107-110,123} and other sources¹²² Evaluated using systematic review²⁶, RCT¹⁰⁷, non-randomized comparison group analysis¹⁰⁸⁻¹¹⁰, and pre–post analysis^{122,123}

Strategy	Approach	Lead Sectors ^a	Evidence ^b of Impact on Asthma	About this Evidence
Linkages and coordination of care across settings	Promoting coordinated care for people with asthma	Health care Public health (local, state, federal) Government ^c (local, state, federal) Education Non- governmental organizations Social services	 Improved asthma symptoms^{134,244,255} Improved asthma control^{94,130} Asthma medication use Increased medication adherence^{130,135} Improved HEDIS scores related to use of appropriate medications by people with asthma¹⁴⁰ Increased controller medication prescriptions or use¹¹³ Decreased rescue medication prescriptions or use^{130,134,244} Increased availability of asthma medication at school²⁵⁶ Health care utilization Fewer ED visits 94,128,129,132,134,136,139,140,244,255,257-260 Fewer hospitalizations^{94,106,129,132,134-136,140,144,244,255,260} Fewer hospital readmissions^{94,135} Fewer outpatient visits²⁵⁷ Impact on health care spending or society Positive return on investment or other cost savings^{106,129,132,134-138} Fewer missed school days^{128,132,134,144,244,260} Fewer missed work days¹³² 	 Obtained from peer-reviewed journals^{113,128,129,131,132,134,137,139,144,244, 255-259} and other sources^{106,130,135,136,140,260} Evaluated using literature review¹³⁴, RCT^{113,144}, non-randomized comparison group analysis^{132,137,255}, and pre–post analysis^{106,128-131,134,136,139,140,244,256,257,259,260}
Environmental policies or best practices to reduce indoor, outdoor, and occupational asthma triggers	Facilitating home energy efficiency, including home weatherization assistance programs	Government ^c (local, state, federal — particularly agencies focused on housing or energy) Non-governmental organizations that assist with housing	 General Improved asthma symptoms¹⁶¹ Health care utilization Fewer ED visits¹⁶³ Impact on health care spending or society Positive return on investment or other cost savings¹⁶² Fewer missed school days¹⁶¹ Fewer missed work days¹⁶¹ 	 Obtained from peer-reviewed journal¹⁶¹ and other sources^{162,163} Evaluated using RCT¹⁶¹ and pre-post analysis^{162,163}

Strategy	Approach	Lead Sectors ^a	Evidence ^b of Impact on Asthma	About this Evidence
Environmental policies or best practices to reduce indoor, outdoor, and occupational asthma triggers	Facilitating smokefree policies	Government ^c (local, state, federal) Employers Housing	 General Improved asthma symptoms^{154,160} Health care utilization Fewer ED visits^{153-155,158} Fewer hospitalizations^{153,156,157,159} Fewer outpatient visits¹⁶⁰ 	 Obtained from peer-reviewed journals¹⁵⁴⁻¹⁶⁰ and other sources¹⁸¹ Evaluated using systematic review ^{157,181}, non-randomized comparison group analysis^{154,159}, and pre–post analysis ^{155,156,158,160}
(continued)	Facilitating clean diesel school buses	Public health (local, state, federal) Government ^c (local, state, federal) Education	 Health care utilization Fewer hospitalizations¹⁹² Impact on health care spending or society Cost savings¹⁹² Fewer missed school days¹⁹¹ 	 Obtained from peer-reviewed journals^{191,192} Evaluated using non-randomized comparison group analysis^{191,192}
	Eliminating exposure to asthma triggers in the workplace whenever possible	Employers Public health (state, federal) Government ^c (state, federal)	 General ■ Improved asthma symptoms²¹¹ 	 Obtained from peer-review journals²¹¹⁻²¹³ Evaluated using systematic review²¹¹⁻²¹³
	Reducing exposure to asthma triggers in the workplace (if eliminating exposures is not possible)	Employers Public health (state, federal) Government ^c (state, federal)	 General Improved asthma symptoms²¹¹ 	 Obtained from peer-review journals²¹¹⁻²¹³ Evaluated using systematic review²¹¹⁻²¹³

^a This column refers to the lead sectors well-positioned to bring leadership and resources to implementation efforts. For each strategy, many other sectors can be instrumental to implementing relevant activities.

^b Evidence of impact for a strategy or a strategy's associated approach(es).

^c Government agencies other than public health agencies.

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Most Recent Asthma Data.
 https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/most_recent_data.htm. Accessed April 11, 2017.
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Uncontrolled Asthma among Persons with Current Asthma. https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/asthma_stats/uncontrolled_asthma.htm. Accessed April 11, 2017.
- 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Asthma-related Missed School Days among Children aged 5–17 Years. https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/asthma_stats/missing_days.htm. Accessed April 11, 2017.
- 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Asthma's Impact on the Nation. https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/impacts_nation/asthmafactsheet.pdf. Accessed April 11, 2017.
- 5. Jang J, Gary Chan KC, Huang H, Sullivan SD. Trends in cost and outcomes among adult and pediatric patients with asthma: 2000-2009. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2013;111(6):516-522.
- 6. Frieden TR. Six components necessary for effective public health program implementation. *Am J Public Health*. 2014;104(1):17-22.
- 7. National Asthma Education and Prevention Program. Expert Panel Report 3 (EPR-3): guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthma—Full Report 2007. NIH Publication No. 07-4051. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health; 2007.
- 8. Moorman JE, Akinbami LJ, Bailey CM, et al. National Surveillance of Asthma: United States, 2001–2010. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 3(35). 2012.
- 9. Hsu J, Wilhelm N, Lewis L, Herman E. Economic Evidence for US Asthma Self-Management Education and Home-Based Interventions. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* 2016;4(6):1123-1134 e1127.
- 10. America's Health Insurance Plans. Next Generation Asthma Care: Integrating Clinical and Environmental Strategies to Improve Asthma Outcomes. https://www.ahip.org/next-generation-asthma-care-integrating-clinical-and-environmental-strategies-to-improve-asthma-outcomes/. Accessed April 12, 2017.
- 11. Shanafelt TD, Noseworthy JH. Executive Leadership and Physician Well-being: Nine Organizational Strategies to Promote Engagement and Reduce Burnout. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2017;92(1):129-146.
- 12. Healthy People 2020. Social Determinants of Health. https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/social-determinants-of-health. Accessed April 12, 2017.
- 13. Asthma Community Network. Health Plan Strategies for Managing Asthma: Key Findings from AHIP's Asthma Assessment.

 http://www.asthmacommunitynetwork.org/system/files/webinar/pdf/EPA%20and%20AHIP%20Webina r_Combined%20Slides_5.23.17.pdf. Accessed June 5, 2017.
- 14. Chae M, Taylor BJ, Lawrence J, et al. Family CHAOS is associated with glycaemic control in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Acta Diabetol.* 2016;53(1):49-55.
- 15. Chatterjee A, Gillman MW, Wong MD. Chaos, Hubbub, and Order Scale and Health Risk Behaviors in Adolescents in Los Angeles. *J Pediatr.* 2015;167(6):1415-1421.
- 16. Coley RL, Lynch AD, Kull M. Early Exposure to Environmental Chaos and Children's Physical and Mental Health. *Early Child Res Q.* 2015;32:94-104.
- 17. Kalichman SC, Kalichman MO. HIV-Related Stress and Life Chaos Mediate the Association Between Poverty and Medication Adherence Among People Living with HIV/AIDS. *J Clin Psychol Med Settings*. 2016;23(4):420-430.
- 18. Levin L, Kichler JC, Polfuss M. The relationship between hemoglobin A1C in youth with type 1 diabetes and chaos in the family household. *Diabetes Educ.* 2013;39(5):696-704.
- 19. Gardner A, Kaplan B, Brown W, et al. National standards for asthma self-management education. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2015;114(3):178-186 e171.

- 20. American Lung Association. State Medicaid Coverage for Self-Management Education. http://www.lung.org/assets/documents/asthma/7-Self-Management-Education-Map.pdf. Accessed May 5, 2017.
- 21. Markus AR, Andres E, West K, Gerstein MT, Lyons VS. Medicaid payment innovations to financially sustain comprehensive childhood asthma management programs at Federally Qualified Health Centers. *Journal of Asthma and Allergy Educators.* 2013;4(3):112-122.
- 22. Harris KM, Kneale D, Lasserson T, McDonald V, Thomas J, Grigg J. School-based self-management educational interventions for asthma in children and adolescents: A systematic review. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*.141(2):AB207.
- 23. National Asthma Educator Certification Board. https://www.naecb.com/. Accessed May 5, 2017.
- 24. Childhood Asthma Leadership Coalition. Pathways to Medicaid Reimbursement for Pediatric Asthma Services. https://firstfocus.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/Medicaid-Pathways-to-Asthma-Reimbursement-CALC-May-2016.pdf. Accessed May 19, 2017.
- 25. Brookings Institution. A Case Study in Payment Reform to Support Optimal Pediatric Asthma Care. https://www.brookings.edu/research/a-case-study-in-payment-reform-to-support-optimal-pediatric-asthma-care/. Accessed May 19, 2017.
- 26. Viswanathan M, Golin CE, Jones CD, et al. Interventions to improve adherence to self-administered medications for chronic diseases in the United States: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med*. 2012;157(11):785-795.
- 27. Lester D, Mohammad A, Leach EE, Hernandez PI, Walker EA. An investigation of asthma care best practices in a community health center. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2012;23(3 Suppl):255-264.
- 28. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. National Environmental Leadership Award in Asthma Management 2016 Health Care Provider Winner: Urban Health Plan. https://www.epa.gov/asthma/national-environmental-leadership-award-asthma-management. Accessed May 5, 2017.
- 29. Francisco B, Rood T, Nevel R, Foreman P, Homan S. Teaming Up for Asthma Control: EPR-3 Compliant School Program in Missouri Is Effective and Cost-Efficient. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2017;14:E40.
- 30. America's Health Insurance Plans. Aetna EPA 2016. https://www.ahip.org/aetna-epa-2016/. Accessed May 9, 2017.
- 31. National Center for Healthy Housing. Case Studies in Healthcare Financing of Healthy Homes Services: Medicaid Reimbursement for Home-Based Asthma Services in Delaware. http://www.nchh.org/Portals/0/Contents/Case-Study_Asthma_DE_Final.pdf. Accessed May 9, 2017.
- 32. Asthma Initiative of Michigan for Healthy Lungs: Michigan MATCH (Managing Asthma Through Casemanagement in Homes). http://getasthmahelp.org/managing-asthma-match.aspx. Accessed May 10, 2017.
- 33. Rhode Island Department of Health. The Home Asthma Response Program (HARP). http://health.ri.gov/publications/programreports/HomeAsthmaResponseProgram.pdf. Accessed May 10, 2017.
- 34. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Asthma Self-Management Education and Environmental Management: Approaches to Enhancing Reimbursement. https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/pdfs/Asthma_Reimbursement_Report.pdf. Accessed May 22, 2017.
- 35. Campbell JD, Brooks M, Hosokawa P, Robinson J, Song L, Krieger J. Community Health Worker Home Visits for Medicaid-Enrolled Children With Asthma: Effects on Asthma Outcomes and Costs. *Am J Public Health*. 2015;105(11):2366-2372.
- 36. NORC at the University of Chicago. HCIA Disease-Specific Evaluation: Second Annual Report. 2016; https://downloads.cms.gov/files/cmmi/hcia-diseasespecific-secondevalrpt.pdf.
- 37. National Institutes of Health. A Breath of Life: Asthma Control for My Child. https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-pro/resources/lung/breath-liferespirar-es-vida. Accessed May 19, 2017.

- 38. Association of Asthma Educators. Asthma Education for the Community Health Worker. http://www.asthmaeducators.org/Community-Health-Worker. Accessed May 23, 2017.
- 39. American Lung Association. Asthma Basics Course. https://lung.training/courses/asthma_basics.html. Accessed May 23, 2017.
- 40. Public Health Seattle & King County. HomeBASE: Home-based education and support intervention for reducing asthma mortality. http://www.kingcounty.gov/depts/health/chronic-diseases/asthma/health-care-providers/past-programs/HomeBASE.aspx. Accessed May 19, 2017.
- 41. National Academy for State Health Policy. State Community Health Worker Models. http://www.nashp.org/state-community-health-worker-models/. Accessed May 23, 2017.
- 42. Asthma Community Network. Community Health Worker Training Programs. http://www.asthmacommunitynetwork.org/node/16342. Accessed May 23, 2017.
- 43. *Institute of Medicine. 2000. Clearing the air: Asthma and indoor air exposures.* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- 44. Khokhawalla SA, Rosenthal SR, Pearlman DN, Triche EW. Cigarette smoking and emergency care utilization among asthmatic adults in the 2011 Asthma Call-back Survey. *J Asthma*. 2015;52(7):732-739.
- 45. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Percentage of People with Asthma who Smoke. https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/asthma_stats/people_who_smoke.htm. Accessed April 13, 2017.
- 46. Evans D, Levison MJ, Feldman CH, et al. The impact of passive smoking on emergency room visits of urban children with asthma. *Am Rev Respir Dis.* 1987;135(3):567-572.
- 47. Quinto KB, Kit BK, Lukacs SL, Akinbami LJ. Environmental tobacco smoke exposure in children aged 3–19 years with and without asthma in the United States, 1999–2010. NCHS data brief, no 126. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics; 2013.
- 48. Silvestri M, Franchi S, Pistorio A, Petecchia L, Rusconi F. Smoke exposure, wheezing, and asthma development: a systematic review and meta-analysis in unselected birth cohorts. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* 2015;50(4):353-362.
- 49. Castro-Rodriguez JA, Forno E, Rodriguez-Martinez CE, Celedon JC. Risk and Protective Factors for Childhood Asthma: What Is the Evidence? *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract*. 2016;4(6):1111-1122.
- 50. England LJ, Aagaard K, Bloch M, et al. Developmental toxicity of nicotine: A transdisciplinary synthesis and implications for emerging tobacco products. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2017;72:176-189.
- 51. Gibbs K, Collaco JM, McGrath-Morrow SA. Impact of Tobacco Smoke and Nicotine Exposure on Lung Development. *Chest.* 2016;149(2):552-561.
- 52. McEvoy CT, Spindel ER. Pulmonary Effects of Maternal Smoking on the Fetus and Child: Effects on Lung Development, Respiratory Morbidities, and Life Long Lung Health. *Paediatr Respir Rev.* 2017;21:27-33.
- 53. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Common Asthma Triggers. https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/triggers.html. Accessed May 5, 2017.
- 54. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Health Effects of Cigarette Smoking. https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/fact_sheets/health_effects/effects_cig_smoking/index.ht m. Accessed May 5, 2017.
- 55. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. State Strategies to Improve Health and Control Cost: Improving Tobacco Control. https://www.cdc.gov/policy/hst/statestrategies/index.html. Accessed May 5, 2017.
- 56. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The 6/18 Initiative: Reduce Tobacco Use. https://www.cdc.gov/sixeighteen/tobacco/. Accessed May 5, 2017.
- 57. Fiore M, Jaén C, Baker T, et al. A clinical practice guideline for treating tobacco use and dependence: 2008 update. A U.S. Public Health Service report. *Am J Prev Med.* 2008;35(2):158-176.
- 58. Tonnesen P, Pisinger C, Hvidberg S, et al. Effects of smoking cessation and reduction in asthmatics. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2005;7(1):139-148.
- 59. Chaudhuri R, Livingston E, McMahon AD, et al. Effects of smoking cessation on lung function and airway inflammation in smokers with asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2006;174(2):127-133.

- 60. Westergaard CG, Porsbjerg C, Backer V. The effect of smoking cessation on airway inflammation in young asthma patients. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2014;44(3):353-361.
- U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Tobacco Smoking Cessation in Adults, Including Pregnant Women:
 Behavioral and Pharmacotherapy Interventions.
 https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Page/Document/UpdateSummaryFinal/tobacco-use-in-adults-and-pregnant-women-counseling-and-interventions1. Accessed August 1, 2017.
- 62. Patnode CD, Henderson JT, Thompson JH, Senger CA, Fortmann SP, Whitlock EP. Behavioral Counseling and Pharmacotherapy Interventions for Tobacco Cessation in Adults, Including Pregnant Women: A Review of Reviews for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med.* 2015;163(8):608-621.
- 63. Siu AL, Force USPST. Behavioral and Pharmacotherapy Interventions for Tobacco Smoking Cessation in Adults, Including Pregnant Women: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *Ann Intern Med.* 2015;163(8):622-634.
- 64. Al-sheyab N, Gallagher R, Crisp J, Shah S. Peer-led education for adolescents with asthma in Jordan: a cluster-randomized controlled trial. *Pediatrics*. 2012;129(1):e106-112.
- 65. Wilson SR, Yamada EG, Sudhakar R, et al. A controlled trial of an environmental tobacco smoke reduction intervention in low-income children with asthma. *Chest.* 2001;120(5):1709-1722.
- 66. Borrelli B, McQuaid EL, Becker B, et al. Motivating parents of kids with asthma to quit smoking: the PAQS project. *Health Educ Res.* 2002;17(5):659-669.
- 67. Borrelli B, McQuaid EL, Novak SP, Hammond SK, Becker B. Motivating Latino caregivers of children with asthma to quit smoking: a randomized trial. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 2010;78(1):34-43.
- 68. Borrelli B, McQuaid EL, Tooley EM, et al. Motivating parents of kids with asthma to quit smoking: the effect of the teachable moment and increasing intervention intensity using a longitudinal randomized trial design. *Addiction*. 2016;111(9):1646-1655.
- 69. McQuaid EL, Garro A, Seifer R, Hammond SK, Borrelli B. Integrating asthma education and smoking cessation for parents: financial return on investment. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* 2012;47(10):950-955.
- 70. Greenberg RA, Strecher VJ, Bauman KE, et al. Evaluation of a home-based intervention program to reduce infant passive smoking and lower respiratory illness. *J Behav Med.* 1994;17(3):273-290.
- 71. Halterman JS, Szilagyi PG, Fisher SG, et al. Randomized controlled trial to improve care for urban children with asthma: results of the School-Based Asthma Therapy trial. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2011;165(3):262-268.
- 72. Hovell MF, Meltzer SB, Wahlgren DR, et al. Asthma management and environmental tobacco smoke exposure reduction in Latino children: a controlled trial. *Pediatrics*. 2002;110(5):946-956.
- 73. Wahlgren DR, Hovell MF, Meltzer SB, Hofstetter CR, Zakarian JM. Reduction of environmental tobacco smoke exposure in asthmatic children. A 2-year follow-up. *Chest*. 1997;111(1):81-88.
- 74. Tong VT, Dietz PM, Rolle IV, Kennedy SM, Thomas W, England LJ. Clinical interventions to reduce secondhand smoke exposure among pregnant women: a systematic review. *Tob Control*. 2015;24(3):217-223.
- 75. Chamberlain C, O'Mara-Eves A, Porter J, et al. Psychosocial interventions for supporting women to stop smoking in pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;2:CD001055.
- 76. Coleman T, Chamberlain C, Davey MA, Cooper SE, Leonardi-Bee J. Pharmacological interventions for promoting smoking cessation during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015(12):CD010078.
- 77. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Smokefree Policies Reduce Secondhand Smoke Exposure. https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/fact_sheets/secondhand_smoke/protection/shs_exposur e/index.htm. Accessed May 5, 2017.
- 78. McLeish AC, Zvolensky MJ. Asthma and cigarette smoking: a review of the empirical literature. *J Asthma*. 2010;47(4):345-361.
- 79. Fennerty AG, Banks J, Ebden P, Bevan C. The effect of cigarette withdrawal on asthmatics who smoke. *Eur J Respir Dis.* 1987;71(5):395-399.
- 80. Gerald LB, Gerald JK, Gibson L, Patel K, Zhang S, McClure LA. Changes in environmental tobacco smoke exposure and asthma morbidity among urban school children. *Chest.* 2009;135(4):911-916.

- 81. Nurmagambetov TA, Barnett SB, Jacob V, et al. Economic value of home-based, multi-trigger, multicomponent interventions with an environmental focus for reducing asthma morbidity a community guide systematic review. *Am J Prev Med.* 2011;41(2 Suppl 1):S33-47.
- 82. Crocker DD, Kinyota S, Dumitru GG, et al. Effectiveness of home-based, multi-trigger, multicomponent interventions with an environmental focus for reducing asthma morbidity: a community guide systematic review. *Am J Prev Med.* 2011;41(2 Suppl 1):S5-32.
- 83. Bryant-Stephens T, Reed-Wells S, Canales M, et al. Home visits are needed to address asthma health disparities in adults. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2016;138(6):1526-1530.
- 84. American Lung Association. State Medicaid Coverage for Home Visits. http://www.lung.org/assets/documents/asthma/6-Home-Visits-Map.pdf. Accessed May 5, 2017.
- 85. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Designing and Implementing Medicaid Disease and Care Management Programs. https://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/systems/long-term-care/resources/hcbs/medicaidmgmt/medicaidmgmt1.html. Accessed November 16, 2017.
- 86. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Strategies for Addressing Asthma in Homes. https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/pdfs/Asthma_In_Homes_508.pdf. Accessed May 16, 2017.
- 87. National Center for Healthy Housing. Building Systems to Sustain Home-Based Asthma Services: eLearning Modules. http://nchh.org/Program/EquippingStatesforReimbursement.aspx. Accessed May 19, 2017.
- 88. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Asthma Change Package: Tailored Environmental Interventions. http://www.asthmacommunitynetwork.org/interact/changepackage/6. Accessed May 23, 2017.
- 89. National Center for Healthy Housing. Case Studies and Resources. http://www.nchh.org/Portals/0/Contents/Case-Study_Asthma_DE_Final.pdf. Accessed May 19, 2017.
- 90. Krieger J, Song L, Philby M. Community health worker home visits for adults with uncontrolled asthma: the HomeBASE Trial randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2015;175(1):109-117.
- 91. Brown JV, Bakeman R, Celano MP, Demi AS, Kobrynski L, Wilson SR. Home-based asthma education of young low-income children and their families. *J Pediatr Psychol.* 2002;27(8):677-688.
- 92. Childhood Asthma Leadership Coalition and Green & Healthy Homes Initiative. Update from the Field: Paying for Success to Improve Asthma Outcomes (2016). http://www.greenandhealthyhomes.org/sites/default/files/PFS%20Asthma%20Brief%2020161010.pdf. Accessed May 9, 2017.
- 93. Tran QK, Bayram JD, Boonyasai RT, et al. Pediatric Emergency Department Return: A Literature Review of Risk Factors and Interventions. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2016;32(8):570-577.
- 94. Kercsmar CM, Beck AF, Sauers-Ford H, et al. Association of an Asthma Improvement Collaborative With Health Care Utilization in Medicaid-Insured Pediatric Patients in an Urban Community. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2017;171(11):1072-1080.
- 95. Fernandes JC, Biskupiak WW, Brokaw SM, et al. Outcomes of the Montana Asthma Home Visiting Program: A home-based asthma education program. *J Asthma*. 2018:1-7.
- 96. Bhaumik U, Sommer SJ, Giller-Leinwohl J, et al. Boston children's hospital community asthma initiative: Five-year cost analyses of a home visiting program. *J Asthma*. 2017;54(2):134-142.
- 97. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Learning As We Grow: Evaluation Highlights from National Asthma Control Program Grantees. https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/pdfs/asthmastories.pdf.
- 98. The Guide to Community Preventive Services. An Evidence-Based Approach to Montana's Health Landscape. https://www.thecommunityguide.org/sites/default/files/assets/PublicHealth-MT.pdf. Accessed August 22, 2017.
- 99. Okelo SO, Butz AM, Sharma R, et al. Interventions to modify health care provider adherence to asthma guidelines: a systematic review. *Pediatrics*. 2013;132(3):517-534.
- 100. National Asthma Education and Prevention Program. Guidelines Implementation Panel Report for Expert Panel Report 3 (EPR-3): guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthma. NIH Publication No. 09-6147. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health; 2008.

- 101. Szefler SJ, Mitchell H, Sorkness CA, et al. Management of asthma based on exhaled nitric oxide in addition to guideline-based treatment for inner-city adolescents and young adults: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2008;372(9643):1065-1072.
- 102. Szefler SJ, Gergen PJ, Mitchell H, Morgan W. Achieving asthma control in the inner city: do the National Institutes of Health Asthma Guidelines really work? *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2010;125(3):521-526; quiz 527-528.
- 103. Cloutier MM, Grosse SD, Wakefield DB, Nurmagambetov TA, Brown CM. The economic impact of an urban asthma management program. *Am J Manag Care*. 2009;15(6):345-351.
- 104. Rossiter LF, Whitehurst-Cook MY, Small RE, et al. The impact of disease management on outcomes and cost of care: a study of low-income asthma patients. *Inquiry*. 2000;37(2):188-202.
- 105. Cabana MD, Slish KK, Evans D, et al. Impact of physician asthma care education on patient outcomes. *Pediatrics*. 2006;117(6):2149-2157.
- 106. Asthma Community Network. Collaborating for Better Care: Strategies for Successful Partnerships between Health Plans and Asthma Programs.

 http://www.asthmacommunitynetwork.org/system/files/webinar/pdf/CollaboratingForBetterCare-WebinarSlides.pdf. Accessed January 9, 2017.
- 107. Wilson SR, Strub P, Buist AS, et al. Shared treatment decision making improves adherence and outcomes in poorly controlled asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2010;181(6):566-577.
- 108. Karaca-Mandic P, Jena AB, Joyce GF, Goldman DP. Out-of-pocket medication costs and use of medications and health care services among children with asthma. *JAMA*. 2012;307(12):1284-1291.
- 109. Campbell JD, Allen-Ramey F, Sajjan SG, Maiese EM, Sullivan SD. Increasing pharmaceutical copayments: impact on asthma medication utilization and outcomes. *Am J Manag Care*. 2011;17(10):703-710.
- 110. Berger Z, Kimbrough W, Gillespie C, et al. Lower copay and oral administration: predictors of first-fill adherence to new asthma prescriptions. *Am Health Drug Benefits*. 2009;2(4):174-180.
- 111. Tinkelman D, Wilson S. Asthma disease management: regression to the mean or better? *Am J Manag Care*. 2004;10(12):948-954.
- 112. Williams D, Portnoy JM, Meyerson K. Strategies for improving asthma outcomes: a case-based review of successes and pitfalls. *J Manag Care Pharm.* 2010;16(1 Suppl C):S3-14; quiz S16-17.
- 113. Bender BG, Cvietusa PJ, Goodrich GK, et al. Pragmatic trial of health care technologies to improve adherence to pediatric asthma treatment a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Pediatrics*. 2015;169:317-323. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/o/cochrane/clcentral/articles/916/CN-01075916/frame.html
- 114. Cloutier MM, Hall CB, Wakefield DB, Bailit H. Use of asthma guidelines by primary care providers to reduce hospitalizations and emergency department visits in poor, minority, urban children. *J Pediatr.* 2005;146(5):591-597.
- 115. The Community Guide. Health Equity: School-Based Health Centers. https://www.thecommunityguide.org/findings/promoting-health-equity-through-education-programs-and-policies-school-based-health-centers. Accessed November 16, 2017.
- 116. Guo JJ, Jang R, Keller KN, McCracken AL, Pan W, Cluxton RJ. Impact of school-based health centers on children with asthma. *J Adolesc Health*. 2005;37(4):266-274.
- 117. Lurie N, Bauer EJ, Brady C. Asthma outcomes at an inner-city school-based health center. *J Sch Health*. 2001;71(1):9-16.
- 118. Webber MP, Carpiniello KE, Oruwariye T, Lo Y, Burton WB, Appel DK. Burden of asthma in inner-city elementary schoolchildren: do school-based health centers make a difference? *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2003;157(2):125-129.
- 119. Knopf JA, Finnie RK, Peng Y, et al. School-Based Health Centers to Advance Health Equity: A Community Guide Systematic Review. *Am J Prev Med.* 2016;51(1):114-126.
- 120. National Asthma Education and Prevention Program. Asthma Care Quick Reference: Diagnosing and Managing Asthma. NIH Publication No. 12-5075. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health; 2008.

- 121. National Institutes of Health. Physician Asthma Care Education (PACE) Curriculum https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-pro/resources/lung/physician-asthma-care-education/curriculum.htm. Accessed May 11, 2017.
- Partnership for Prevention. Leading by Example: Leading Practices for Employee Health Management. http://www.prevent.org/downloadStart.aspx?id=26. Accessed August 28, 2017.
- 123. Benefit-based copays in the real world: the employer perspective. *American Journal of Managed Care*. 2006;12(13):S353-S358.
- 124. Missouri Asthma Prevention and Control Program. Evaluation Brief: Enhancing Asthma Medication Profiles to Improve Asthma Control: A Drug Utilization Review. http://health.mo.gov/living/healthcondiseases/chronic/asthma/pdf/enhancingasthmamedicationprofile s.pdf. Accessed May 12, 2017.
- 125. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Primary Care Medical Home Resource Center. https://pcmh.ahrq.gov/. Accessed May 16, 2017.
- 126. National Quality Forum. NQF-Endorsed Measures for Care Coordination: Phase 3 http://www.qualityforum.org/Publications/2014/12/NQF-Endorsed_Measures_for_Care_Coordination__Phase_3.aspx. Accessed May 16, 2017.
- 127. Institute of Medicine Roundtable on Evidence-Based Medicine. The Healthcare Imperative: Lowering Costs and Improving Outcomes. Washington, DC, 2010.
- 128. Hamburger R, Berhane Z, Gatto M, Yunghans S, Davis RK, Turchi RM. Evaluation of a statewide medical home program on children and young adults with asthma. *Journal of Asthma*. 2015;52(9):940-948.
- 129. Emilio Carrillo J, Carrillo VA, Guimento R, Mucaria J, Leiman J. The New York-Presbyterian regional health collaborative: A three-year progress report. *Health Affairs*. 2014;33(11):1985-1992.
- 130. Gleason M, Villareal M, Hollenbach JP, et al. Building Bridges Transforms the Approach to School-Centered Asthma Care and Improves Outcomes for Children with Asthma. Paper presented at: American Thoracic Society.
- 131. Liptzin DR, Gleason MC, Cicutto LC, et al. Developing, Implementing, and Evaluating a School-Centered Asthma Program: Step-Up Asthma Program. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* 2016;4(5):972-979 e971.
- 132. Woods ER, Bhaumik U, Sommer SJ, et al. Community asthma initiative: evaluation of a quality improvement program for comprehensive asthma care. *Pediatrics*. 2012;129(3):465-472.
- 133. Bhaumik U, Norris K, Charron G, et al. A cost analysis for a community-based case management intervention program for pediatric asthma. *J Asthma*. 2013;50(3):310-317.
- 134. Chin MH, Alexander-Young M, Burnet DL. Health care quality-improvement approaches to reducing child health disparities. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124:S224-236 221p.
- 135. Asthma Community Network. AmeriHealth Caritas Program. http://www.asthmacommunitynetwork.org/node/16342. Accessed May 16, 2017.
- 136. Gratale D, Haushalter A. *Optimizing health outcomes for children with asthma in Delaware: A population health case report.* Washington, DC: National Academy of Medicine;2016.
- 137. Anderson ME, Freas MR, Wallace AS, Kempe A, Gelfand EW, Liu AH. Successful school-based intervention for inner-city children with persistent asthma. *J Asthma*. 2004;41(4):445-453.
- 138. Prevention and Wellness Trust Fund. Joining forces: Adding public health value to healthcare reform. The Prevention and Wellness Trust Fund Final Report (2017). http://www.mass.gov/eohhs/docs/dph/com-health/prev-wellness-advisory-board/2017/170308-pwtf-annual-report.pdf Accessed August 1, 2017.
- 139. Chute CG, Hart LA, Alexander AK, Jensen DW. The Southeastern Minnesota Beacon Project for Community-driven Health Information Technology: Origins, Achievements, and Legacy. *EGEMS*. 2014;2(3):1101.
- 140. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency National Asthma Forum. A Systems-Based Approach for Creating and Sustaining Effective Community-Based Asthma Programs: Snapshot of Ten High-Performing Asthma Management Programs (WellPoint's State Sponsored Business Comprehensive Asthma Intervention

- Program). http://www.asthmacommunitynetwork.org/sites/default/files/Snapshot.pdf. Accessed May 9, 2017.
- 141. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Asthma Change Package: High Performing Collaborations & Partnerships. http://www.asthmacommunitynetwork.org/interact/changepackage/4. Accessed May 23, 2017.
- 142. National Committee for Quality Assurance. Patient-Centered Medical Home Recognition. http://www.ncqa.org/Programs/Recognition/Practices/PatientCenteredMedicalHomePCMH.aspx. Accessed May 16, 2017.
- 143. Cambridge Health Alliance Model of Team-Based Care Implementation Guide and Toolkit. http://www.integration.samhsa.gov/workforce/team-members/Cambridge_Health_Alliance_Team-Based_Care_Toolkit.pdf. Accessed May 19, 2017.
- 144. Levy M, Heffner B, Stewart T, Beeman G. The efficacy of asthma case management in an urban school district in reducing school absences and hospitalizations for asthma. *Journal of School Health*. 2006;76(6):320-324.
- 145. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Strategies for Addressing Asthma in Schools. https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/pdfs/Strategies_for_Addressing_Asthma_in_Schools_508.pdf. Accessed May 16, 2017.
- 146. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Breathing Easier in Indiana. https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/contacts/factsheets/APHA-Asthma_IN_4.pdf. Accessed June 1, 2017.
- 147. Practical Playbook. Phone call-back program reduces asthma-related ER visits: Indiana partnership relies on nurses to educate patients. https://www.practicalplaybook.org/success/story/phone-call-back-program-reduces-asthma-related-er-visits. Accessed June 1, 2017.
- 148. Parkview Health. EPA Honors Parkview Health with National Environmental Leadership Award. http://www.parkview.com/en/about-us/newsroom/News-Articles/Pages/Parkview-Asthma-EPA.aspx. Accessed June 1, 2017.
- 149. Asthma Community Network. Parkview Health System. http://www.asthmacommunitynetwork.org/node/11466. Accessed June 1, 2017.
- 150. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. National Environmental Leadership Award in Asthma Management 2013 Health Care Provider Winner: Parkview Health System. https://www.epa.gov/asthma/national-environmental-leadership-award-asthma-management. Accessed June 1, 2017.
- 151. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2011) Exposure Factors Handbook: 2011 Edition. EPA/600/R-09/052F; http://www.epa.gov/ncea/efh. Accessed May 31, 2017.
- 152. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Asthma Triggers Indoors. https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/triggers_indoor.html. Accessed June 1, 2017.
- 153. Been JV, Nurmatov UB, Cox B, Nawrot TS, van Schayck CP, Sheikh A. Effect of smoke-free legislation on perinatal and child health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2014;383(9928):1549-1560.
- 154. Dove MS, Dockery DW, Connolly GN. Smoke-free air laws and asthma prevalence, symptoms, and severity among nonsmoking youth. *Pediatrics*. 2011;127(1):102-109.
- 155. Ciaccio CE, Gurley-Calvez T, Shireman TI. Indoor tobacco legislation is associated with fewer emergency department visits for asthma exacerbation in children. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2016;117(6):641-645.
- 156. Marchese ME, Shamo F, Miller CE, Wahl RL, Li Y. Racial Disparities in Asthma Hospitalizations Following Implementation of the Smoke-Free Air Law, Michigan, 2002-2012. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2015;12:E201.
- 157. Tan CE, Glantz SA. Association between smoke-free legislation and hospitalizations for cardiac, cerebrovascular, and respiratory diseases: a meta-analysis. *Circulation*. 2012;126(18):2177-2183.
- 158. Rayens MK, Burkhart PV, Zhang M, et al. Reduction in asthma-related emergency department visits after implementation of a smoke-free law. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2008;122(3):537-541 e533.

- 159. Herman PM, Walsh ME. Hospital admissions for acute myocardial infarction, angina, stroke, and asthma after implementation of Arizona's comprehensive statewide smoking ban. *Am J Public Health*. 2011;101(3):491-496.
- 160. Lin HC, Park JY, Seo DC. Comprehensive US Statewide Smoke-Free Indoor Air Legislation and Secondhand Smoke Exposure, Asthma Prevalence, and Related Doctor Visits: 2007-2011. *Am J Public Health*. 2015;105(8):1617-1622.
- 161. Howden-Chapman P, Matheson A, Crane J, et al. Effect of insulating existing houses on health inequality: cluster randomised study in the community. *BMJ.* 2007;334(7591):460.
- 162. Rose E, Hawkins B, Tonn B, Paton D, Shah L. Exploring Potential Impacts of Weatherization and Healthy Homes Interventions on Asthma-Related Medicaid Claims and Costs in a Small Cohort in Washington State (ORNL/TM-2015-213). Oak Ridge, TN: Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Environmental Sciences Division 2015: http://weatherization.ornl.gov/RecoveryActpdfs/ORNL_TM-2015_213.pdf
- 163. Tonn B, Rose E, Hawkins B, Conlon B. Health and Household-Related Benefits Attributable to the Weatherization Assistance Program (ORNL/TM-2014/345). Oak Ridge, TN: Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Environmental Sciences Division 2014: http://weatherization.ornl.gov/Retrospectivepdfs/ORNL_TM-2014_345.pdf.
- 164. Kuholski K, Tohn E, Morley R. Healthy energy-efficient housing: using a one-touch approach to maximize public health, energy, and housing programs and policies. *J Public Health Manag Pract.* 2010;16(5 Suppl):S68-74.
- 165. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Health Impact in 5 Years: Home Improvement Loans and Grants. https://www.cdc.gov/policy/hst/hi5/homeimprovement/index.html. Accessed May 31, 2017.
- 166. County Health Rankings & Roadmaps. Housing Rehabilitation Loan & Grant Programs. http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/policies/housing-rehabilitation-loan-grant-programs. Accessed June 1, 2017.
- 167. Maryland Department of Housing and Community Development. EmPOWER Maryland Low Income Energy Efficiency Program. http://www.dhcd.maryland.gov/Residents/Pages/lieep/default.aspx. Accessed June 1, 2017.
- 168. Minnesota Housing Finance Agency. Rehabilitation Loan/Emergency and Accessibility Loan Program. http://www.mnhousing.gov/wcs/Satellite?c=Page&cid=1358904992980&pagename=External%2FPage% 2FEXTStandardLayout. Accessed June 1, 2017.
- 169. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development. Single Family Housing Repair Loans & Grants. https://www.rd.usda.gov/programs-services/single-family-housing-repair-loans-grants. Accessed June 1, 2017.
- 170. U.S. Department of Energy. Weatherization Assistance Program. https://energy.gov/eere/wipo/weatherization-assistance-program. Accessed May 31, 2017.
- 171. U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. 203(k) Rehabilitation Mortgage Insurance. https://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/housing/sfh/203k. Accessed June 1, 2017.
- 172. National Center for Healthy Housing and the U.S. Department of Energy. Home Rx: The Health Benefits of Home Performance (A Review of the Current Evidence).

 https://betterbuildingssolutioncenter.energy.gov/sites/default/files/attachments/Home%20Rx%20The %20Health%20Benefits%20of%20Home%20Performance%20-%20A%20Review%20of%20the%20Current%20Evidence.pdf. Accessed June 5, 2017.
- 173. Kent BD, Sulaiman I, Nicholson TT, Lane SJ, Moloney ED. Acute pulmonary admissions following implementation of a national workplace smoking ban. *Chest.* 2012;142(3):673-679.
- 174. Mackay D, Haw S, Ayres JG, Fischbacher C, Pell JP. Smoke-free legislation and hospitalizations for childhood asthma. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;363(12):1139-1145.
- 175. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Smokefree Policies Result in High Levels of Compliance. https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/fact_sheets/secondhand_smoke/protection/compliance/index.htm. Accessed June 2, 2017.

- 176. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke: A Report of the Surgeon General—Executive Summary. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Coordinating Center for Health Promotion, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 2006.
- 177. Nagelhout GE, Mons U, Allwright S, et al. Prevalence and predictors of smoking in "smoke-free" bars. Findings from the International Tobacco Control (ITC) Europe Surveys. *Soc Sci Med.* 2011;72(10):1643-1651.
- 178. Cheng KW, Glantz SA, Lightwood JM. Association between smokefree laws and voluntary smokefree-home rules. *Am J Prev Med.* 2011;41(6):566-572.
- 179. U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Instituting Smoke-Free Public Housing (Final Rule). https://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/documents/huddoc?id=smokefreephfinalrule.pdf. Accessed June 1, 2017.
- 180. Barrett ML, Weir LM, Washington R. Trends in Pediatric and Adult Hospital Stays for Asthma, 2000–2010. HCUP Statistical Brief #169. January 2014. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD. 2007; https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb169-Asthma-Trends-Hospital-Stays.pdf. Accessed May 31, 2017.
- 181. The Guide to Community Preventive Services. Reducing Tobacco Use and Secondhand Smoke Exposure: Smoke-Free Policies. https://www.thecommunityguide.org/findings/tobacco-use-and-secondhand-smoke-exposure-smoke-free-policies. Accessed June 2, 2017.
- 182. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Health Impact in 5 Years: Tobacco Control Interventions. https://www.cdc.gov/policy/hst/hi5/tobaccointerventions/index.html. Accessed May 31, 2017.
- 183. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The Health Consequences of Smoking -- 50 years of Progress. A report of the Surgeon General. 2014.
- 184. Institute of Medicine. 2010. *Secondhand smoke exposure and cardiovascular effects: making sense of the evidence.* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- 185. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Smokefree Policies Do Not Hurt the Hospitality Industry. https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/fact_sheets/secondhand_smoke/protection/hospitality/in dex.htm. Accessed June 2, 2017.
- 186. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Asthma Triggers: Gain Control. https://www.epa.gov/asthma/asthma-triggers-gain-control. Accessed November 17, 2017.
- 187. Kampa M, Castanas E. Human health effects of air pollution. *Environmental Pollution*. 2008;151(2):362-367.
- 188. Orellano P, Quaranta N, Reynoso J, Balbi B, Vasquez J. Effect of outdoor air pollution on asthma exacerbations in children and adults: Systematic review and multilevel meta-analysis. *PLOS ONE*. 2017;12(3):e0174050.
- 189. Kim JJ, American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Environmental H. Ambient air pollution: health hazards to children. *Pediatrics*. 2004;114(6):1699-1707.
- 190. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Air Quality Public Health Issues. https://www.cdc.gov/air/air_health.htm. Accessed May 31, 2017.
- 191. Adar SD, D'Souza J, Sheppard L, et al. Adopting Clean Fuels and Technologies on School Buses. Pollution and Health Impacts in Children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2015;191(12):1413-1421.
- 192. Beatty TK, Shimshack JP. School buses, diesel emissions, and respiratory health. *J Health Econ.* 2011;30(5):987-999.
- 193. Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. Texas Emissions Reduction Plan (TERP). http://www.tceq.texas.gov/airquality/terp. Accessed May 31, 2017.
- 194. State of New Jersey, Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Mobile Sources. NJDEP's Mandatory Diesel Retrofit Program http://www.stopthesoot.org/mdrp.html. Accessed May 31, 2017.
- 195. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Health Impact in 5 Years: Clean Diesel Bus Fleets. https://www.cdc.gov/policy/hst/hi5/cleandiesel/index.html. Accessed May 31, 2017.

- 196. National School Transportation Association. EPA Funding Opportunity (Diesel Emission Reduction Program). http://www.yellowbuses.org/awards-and-grants/grants/epa-opportunity/. Accessed May 31, 2017.
- 197. Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. School Bus Retrofits and Idle Reduction. https://www.pca.state.mn.us/air/school-bus-retrofits-and-idle-reduction. Accessed May 31, 2017.
- 198. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. School Bus Idling Reduction. http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/air/programs/school-bus-idling-reduction.html. Accessed May 31, 2017.
- 199. California Environmental Protection Agency Air Resources Board. Lower-Emission School Bus Program. https://www.arb.ca.gov/msprog/schoolbus/schoolbus.htm. Accessed May 31, 2017.
- 200. Vieira JL, Macedo FY, Benjo AM, Guimaraes GV, Contreras JP, Bocchi EA. Systemic effects of controlled exposure to diesel exhaust: a meta-analysis from randomized controlled trials. *Ann Med.* 2017;49(2):165-175.
- 201. Vieira JL, Guimaraes GV, de Andre PA, Cruz FD, Saldiva PH, Bocchi EA. Respiratory Filter Reduces the Cardiovascular Effects Associated With Diesel Exhaust Exposure: A Randomized, Prospective, Double-Blind, Controlled Study of Heart Failure: The FILTER-HF Trial. *JACC Heart Fail*. 2016;4(1):55-64.
- 202. Benbrahim-Tallaa L, Baan RA, Grosse Y, et al. Carcinogenicity of diesel-engine and gasoline-engine exhausts and some nitroarenes. *Lancet Oncol.* 2012;13(7):663-664.
- 203. Steiner S, Bisig C, Petri-Fink A, Rothen-Rutishauser B. Diesel exhaust: current knowledge of adverse effects and underlying cellular mechanisms. *Arch Toxicol*. 2016;90(7):1541-1553.
- 204. American School Bus Council. Environmental Benefits Fact: You can Go Green by Riding Yellow. http://www.americanschoolbuscouncil.org/issues/environmental-benefits. Accessed May 31, 2017.
- 205. Toren K, Blanc PD. Asthma caused by occupational exposures is common a systematic analysis of estimates of the population-attributable fraction. *BMC Pulm Med.* 2009;9:7.
- 206. Henneberger PK, Redlich CA, Callahan DB, et al. An official American Thoracic Society statement: work-exacerbated asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2011;184(3):368-378.
- 207. Leigh JP, Romano PS, Schenker MB, Kreiss K. Costs of occupational COPD and asthma. Chest. 2002;121(1):264-272.
- 208. Vandenplas O, Dressel H, Nowak D, Jamart J. ERS Task Force on the Management of Work-related Asthma. What is the optimal management option for occupational asthma? *Eur Respir Rev.* 2012;21(124):97-104.
- 209. Tarlo SM, Balmes J, Balkissoon R, et al. Diagnosis and management of work-related asthma: American College Of Chest Physicians Consensus Statement. *Chest*. 2008;134(3 Suppl):1S-41S.
- 210. Knoeller GE, Mazurek JM, Moorman JE. Characteristics associated with health care professional diagnosis of work-related asthma among individuals who describe their asthma as being caused or made worse by workplace exposures. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2012;54(4):485-490.
- de Groene GJ, Pal TM, Beach J, et al. Workplace interventions for treatment of occupational asthma: a Cochrane systematic review. *Occup Environ Med.* 2012;69(5):373-374.
- Baur X, Sigsgaard T, Aasen TB, et al. Guidelines for the management of work-related asthma. *Eur Respir J.* 2012;39(3):529-545.
- 213. Heederik D, Henneberger PK, Redlich CA. ERS Task Force on the Management of Work-related Asthma. Primary prevention: exposure reduction, skin exposure and respiratory protection. *Eur Respir Rev.* 2012;21(124):112-124.
- 214. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Community Health Worker Resources. https://www.cdc.gov/stltpublichealth/chw/index.html. Accessed May 22, 2017.
- 215. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Community Health Worker (CHW) Toolkit. https://www.cdc.gov/dhdsp/pubs/chw-toolkit.htm. Accessed May 22, 2017.
- 216. Minnesota Department of Health. Community Health Worker (CHW) Toolkit. http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/orhpc/workforce/emerging/chw/2016chwtool.pdf.

- 217. National Committee for Quality Assurance. HEDIS & Performance Measurement. http://www.ncqa.org/tabid/59/Default.aspx. Accessed June 26, 2017.
- 218. Peytremann-Bridevaux I, Arditi C, Gex G, Bridevaux PO, Burnand B. Chronic disease management programmes for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015(5):CD007988.
- 219. Tapp S, Lasserson TJ, Rowe B. Education interventions for adults who attend the emergency room for acute asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2007(3):CD003000.
- 220. Powell H, Gibson PG. Options for self-management education for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2003(1):CD004107.
- 221. Guevara JP, Wolf FM, Grum CM, Clark NM. Effects of educational interventions for self management of asthma in children and adolescents: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2003;326(7402):1308-1309.
- 222. Bravata DM, Gienger AL, Holty JE, et al. Quality improvement strategies for children with asthma: a systematic review. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2009;163(6):572-581.
- 223. Wilson SR, Latini D, Starr NJ, et al. Education of parents of infants and very young children with asthma: a developmental evaluation of the Wee Wheezers program. *J Asthma*. 1996;33(4):239-254.
- 224. Findley SE, Thomas G, Madera-Reese R, et al. A community-based strategy for improving asthma management and outcomes for preschoolers. *J Urban Health*. 2011;88 Suppl 1:85-99.
- Evans D, Clark NM, Feldman CH, et al. A school health education program for children with asthma aged 8-11 years. *Health Educ Q.* 1987;14(3):267-279.
- 226. Magzamen S, Patel B, Davis A, Edelstein J, Tager IB. Kickin' Asthma: school-based asthma education in an urban community. *J Sch Health*. 2008;78(12):655-665.
- 227. Atherly A, Nurmagambetov T, Williams S, Griffith M. An economic evaluation of the school-based "power breathing" asthma program. *J Asthma*. 2009;46(6):596-599.
- 228. Brasler M, Lewis M. Teens: taking control of asthma. J Sch Health. 2006;76(6):269-272.
- 229. Bruzzese J, Stepney C, Gallagher R, Wang J, Petkova E, Evans D. Reducing morbidity and urgent health care utilization in urban pre-adolescents with asthma: Results of a randomized control trial of asthma: It's a family affair. Paper presented at: American Thoracic Society International Conference 20102010; New Orleans, LA.
- 230. Shames RS, Sharek P, Mayer M, et al. Effectiveness of a multicomponent self-management program in at-risk, school-aged children with asthma. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2004;92(6):611-618.
- 231. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Wee Wheezers Asthma Education Program: Strengths/Challenges of Replicated Program. https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/interventions/wee_wheezers_challenges.htm. Accessed April 4, 2017.
- 232. American Lung Association. Breathe Well, Live Well Community Case Study. http://www.lung.org/lung-health-and-diseases/lung-disease-lookup/asthma/asthma-education-advocacy/breathe-well-live-well/case-study.html. Accessed April 4, 2017.
- 233. Berg J, Tichacek MJ, Theodorakis R. Evaluation of an educational program for adolescents with asthma. *J Sch Nurs.* 2004;20(1):29-35.
- 234. Newcomb P. Results of an asthma disease management program in an urban pediatric community clinic. *Journal for Specialists in Pediatric Nursing*. 2006;11(3):178-188 111p.
- 235. Suh DC, Shin SK, Okpara I, Voytovich RM, Zimmerman A. Impact of a targeted asthma intervention program on treatment costs in patients with asthma. *Am J Manag Care*. 2001;7(9):897-906.
- 236. Taggart VS, Zuckerman AE, Sly RM, et al. You Can Control Asthma: evaluation of an asthma education program for hospitalized inner-city children. *Patient Educ Couns.* 1991;17(1):35-47.
- 237. Pinnock H, Parke HL, Panagioti M, et al. Systematic meta-review of supported self-management for asthma: a healthcare perspective. *BMC Med.* 2017;15(1):64.
- 238. Pinnock H, Epiphaniou E, Pearce G, et al. Implementing supported self-management for asthma: a systematic review and suggested hierarchy of evidence of implementation studies. *BMC Med.* 2015;13:127.

- 239. Shah S, Peat JK, Mazurski EJ, et al. Effect of peer led programme for asthma education in adolescents: cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2001;322(7286):583-585.
- 240. Thomson NC, Chaudhuri R, Heaney LG, et al. Clinical outcomes and inflammatory biomarkers in current smokers and exsmokers with severe asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2013;131(4):1008-1016.
- 241. Perret JL, Bonevski B, McDonald CF, Abramson MJ. Smoking cessation strategies for patients with asthma: improving patient outcomes. *J Asthma Allergy*. 2016;9:117-128.
- 242. Baxi R, Sharma M, Roseby R, et al. Family and carer smoking control programmes for reducing children's exposure to environmental tobacco smoke. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014(3):CD001746.
- 243. Grant R, Bowen SK, Neidell M, Prinz T, Redlener IE. Health care savings attributable to integrating guidelines-based asthma care in the pediatric medical home. *Journal of Health Care for the Poor & Underserved.* 2010;21(2):82-92 11p.
- 244. Fox P, Porter PG, Lob SH, Boer JH, Rocha DA, Adelson JW. Improving asthma-related health outcomes among low-income, multiethnic, school-aged children: results of a demonstration project that combined continuous quality improvement and community health worker strategies. *Pediatrics*. 2007;120(4):e902-911.
- 245. Reddy AL, Gomez M, Dixon SL. An Evaluation of a State-Funded Healthy Homes Intervention on Asthma Outcomes in Adults and Children. *J Public Health Manag Pract.* 2017;23(2):219-228.
- 246. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Learning As We Grow: Evaluation Highlights from National Asthma Control Program Grantees. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Environmental Health, Division of Environmental Hazards and Health Effects, Air Pollution and Respiratory Health Branch; 2015.
- 247. Nguyen KH, Boulay E, Peng J. Quality-of-life and cost-benefit analysis of a home environmental assessment program in Connecticut. *J Asthma*. 2011;48(2):147-155.
- 248. The Impact of Housing Quality on Children's Health: Healthy Homes Healthy Kids Program. http://healthyrowhouse.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/The-Impact-of-Housing-Quality-on-Children-Health-Phila-nfeyler-feb-2015.pdf. Accessed May 9, 2017.
- 249. Blue Cross Blue Shield. Health Care Service Corporation and American Lung Association of the Upper Midwest's Enhancing Care for Children with Asthma Project Results Show Decreases in Hospitalizations, Emergency Room Visits. https://www.bcbs.com/news/press-releases/health-care-service-corporation-and-american-lung-association-upper-midwests. Accessed January 9, 2017.
- 250. Asthma Community Network. Genesee County Asthma Network (GCAN) Snapshot. http://www.asthmacommunitynetwork.org/node/3319. Accessed May 9, 2017.
- 251. Gruber KJ, McKee-Huger B, Richard A, Byerly B, Raczkowski JL, Wall TC. Removing asthma triggers and improving children's health: The Asthma Partnership Demonstration project. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2016;116(5):408-414.
- Jowers JR, Schwartz AL, Tinkelman DG, et al. Disease management program improves asthma outcomes. *Am J Manag Care*. 2000;6(5):585-592.
- 253. Gomez M, Reddy AL, Dixon SL, Wilson J, Jacobs DE. A Cost-Benefit Analysis of a State-Funded Healthy Homes Program for Residents With Asthma: Findings From the New York State Healthy Neighborhoods Program. *J Public Health Manag Pract.* 2017;23(2):229-238.
- 254. Reiter KL, Lemos KA, Williams CE, Esposito D, Greene SB. The business case for pediatric asthma quality improvement in low-income populations: examining a provider-based pay-for-reporting intervention. *Int J Qual Health Care*. 2015;27(3):189-195.
- 255. Janevic MR, Stoll S, Wilkin M, et al. Pediatric Asthma Care Coordination in Underserved Communities: A Quasiexperimental Study. *Am J Public Health*. 2016;106(11):2012-2018.
- Taras H, Wright S, Brennan J, Campana J, Lofgren R. Impact of school nurse case management on students with asthma. *J Sch Health*. 2004;74(6):213-219.
- 257. Stout JW, White LC, Rogers LT, et al. The Asthma Outreach Project: a promising approach to comprehensive asthma management. *J Asthma*. 1998;35(1):119-127.

- 258. Cooley WC, McAllister JW, Sherrieb K, Kuhlthau K. Improved outcomes associated with medical home implementation in pediatric primary care. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(1):358-364.
- 259. David G, Gunnarsson C, Saynisch PA, Chawla R, Nigam S. Do patient-centered medical homes reduce emergency department visits? *Health Services Research.* 2015;50(2):418-439.
- 260. Findley S, Rosenthal M, Bryant-Stephens T, et al. Community-based care coordination: practical applications for childhood asthma. *Health Promot Pract.* 2011;12(6 Suppl 1):52S-62S.