Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report

Weekly / Vol. 59 / No. 29

July 30, 2010

Proportion of Workers Who Were Work-Injured and Payment by Workers' Compensation Systems — 10 States, 2007

Work-related injuries are a major cause of morbidity in the United States, with approximately 4 million employer-reported nonfatal injuries and illnesses in 2007 (1). Currently, few population-based state-level estimates of nonfatal occupational injury rates exist. In the few extant studies, self-reported, nonfatal occupational injury rates exceed estimates based on employer reports or state workers' compensation systems (2,3). To estimate the proportion of workers who were work-injured during the preceding 12 months and the proportion of those injured for whom workers' compensation insurance programs paid for medical care, 10 states added a module to their 2007 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) survey. This report summarizes the results of that survey, which found that the proportion of workers who were work-injured during the preceding 12 months ranged from 4.0 to 6.9 work-injured persons per 100 employed persons (Kentucky and New York, respectively). The proportion of self-reported work-injured persons for whom medical treatment was paid by workers' compensation insurance ranged from 47% in Texas to 77% in Kentucky. This study shows the feasibility of complementing existing occupational injury surveillance through the use of population-based surveys. States that wish to enhance existing occupational injury surveillance should consider similar studies. Additional research is needed to understand the reasons for nonpayment of worker-reported occupational injuries by workers' compensation insurance programs.

BRFSS is a state-based, random digit—dialed, landline telephone survey of the noninstitutionalized U.S. civilian population aged ≥18 years that collects data on health conditions and behaviors. For the 2007 BRFSS, a module developed by the 10 participating states* was administered to determine whether respondents employed for wages during the preceding 12 months had a work-related injury requiring medical care or medical advice and, if so, whether workers' compensation insurance paid

for the treatment or advice. For this report, respondents were considered to be employed for wages during the preceding 12 months if they responded affirmatively to questions regarding being currently "employed for wages" or "out of work for less than 1 year." Respondents who were currently "students," "retired," "unable to work," or "homemakers" were screened further regarding any employment during the preceding 12 months with the following question: "During the past 12 months, have you been employed for any period of time, either part-time, full-time, or self-employed?" An affirmative response led to inclusion in subsequent data collection.

Those who acknowledged employment during the preceding 12 months were asked, "During the past 12 months were you injured seriously enough while performing your job that you got medical advice or treatment?" Those who answered affirmatively were asked, "For your most recent work-related injury, who paid for your treatment?" Respondents answering that 1) their treatment had been paid using a state or federal workers' compensation system or military insurance, † or 2) they had initiated a workers' compensation claim were assumed to have had payment within a workers' compensation insurance system. Response and cooperation rates for each state were calculated using Council of American Survey and Research Organizations (CASRO) guidelines.

INSIDE

- 901 Update: Influenza Activity United States, 2009–10 Season
- 909 Regional Influenza A (H1N1) 2009 Monovalent Vaccination Campaign — Skokie, Illinois, October 16–December 31, 2009
- 915 QuickStats





^{*}California, Connecticut, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, Oregon, Texas, and Washington

[†] The military health system provides benefits to active duty personnel, their dependents, military retirees, and others for service-related and non–service-related injuries and illnesses. Inclusion of these injuries as covered under workers' compensation reflects the inability to differentiate the injury circumstances and relationship to military service.

Response rates ranged from 26.9% (New Jersey) to 60.1% (Kentucky) (median: 39.0%), and cooperation rates ranged from 49.6% (New Jersey) to 77.2% (Kentucky) (median: 64.6%) (4).

The proportion of workers who were work-injured during the preceding 12 months was calculated by dividing the total number of employed respondents with a work-related injury during the preceding 12 months by all employed respondents. The proportion of work-injured persons for whom the self-reported work injury was paid for by workers' compensation was determined by dividing those with a self-reported injury paid by workers' compensation by those reporting having been injured during the preceding 12 months. Because self-employed workers usually are not required to have workers' compensation insurance, data collected for self-employed workers were excluded from all analyses. The number of work injuries to the respondents during the preceding 12 months was not included as part of the BRFSS module; therefore, an injury rate could not be calculated.

BRFSS is administered monthly during a calendar year. However, injured persons data were collected for the preceding 12-month period; therefore, work injuries for the population surveyed might have occurred during January 2006–December 2007, but each

respondent had, at a maximum, a 12-month exposure period. All data were weighted to population-based estimates according to age-, race-, and sex-specific state population estimates and the respondent's probability of selection.

The proportion of workers who were work-injured during the preceding 12 months ranged from 4.0 (Kentucky) to 6.9 work-injured persons per 100 employed persons (New York) (median: 5.9 work-injured persons per 100 employed persons) (Table). The proportion of self-reported work-injured persons for whom medical treatment was paid by workers' compensation insurance ranged from 47% in Texas to 77% in Kentucky (median: 61%).

Reported by

DK Bonauto, MD, JZ Fan, PhD, Washington State Dept of Labor and Industries. TW Largo, MPH, Michigan Dept of Community Health; KD Rosenman, MD, Michigan State Univ. MK Green, MPH, JK Walters, MPH, Oregon Dept of Human Svcs. BL Materna, PhD, J Flattery, MPH, California Dept of Public Health. T St. Louis, MSPH, Connecticut Dept of Public Health. L Yu, PhD, Univ of Kentucky. S Fang, ScD, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston; LK Davis, ScD, Massachusetts Dept of Public Health. DJ Valiante, MPH, New Jersey Dept of Health and Senior Svcs. KR Cummings, MPH, New York State Dept of Health. JJ Hellsten, PhD, SL Prosperie, MS, Texas Dept of State Health Svcs.

The *MMWR* series of publications is published by the Office of Surveillance, Epidemiology, and Laboratory Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA 30333.

Suggested citation: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Article title]. MMWR 2010;59:[inclusive page numbers].

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Thomas R. Frieden, MD, MPH, Director
Harold W. Jaffe, MD, MA, Associate Director for Science
James W. Stephens, PhD, Office of the Associate Director for Science
Stephen B. Thacker, MD, MSc, Deputy Director for Surveillance, Epidemiology, and Laboratory Services

MMWR Editorial and Production Staff

Frederic E. Shaw, MD, JD, Editor, MMWR Series

Christine G. Casey, MD, *Deputy Editor*, MMWR *Series*Robert A. Gunn, MD, MPH, *Associate Editor*, MMWR *Series*Teresa F. Rutledge, *Managing Editor*, MMWR *Series*Douglas W. Weatherwax, *Lead Technical Writer-Editor*Donald G. Meadows, MA, Jude C. Rutledge, *Writer-Editors*

Martha F. Boyd, *Lead Visual Information Specialist*Malbea A. LaPete, Stephen R. Spriggs, Terraye M. Starr *Visual Information Specialists*Quang M. Doan, MBA, Phyllis H. King *Information Technology Specialists*

MMWR Editorial Board

William L. Roper, MD, MPH, Chapel Hill, NC, Chairman

Virginia A. Caine, MD, Indianapolis, IN
Jonathan E. Fielding, MD, MPH, MBA, Los Angeles, CA
David W. Fleming, MD, Seattle, WA
William E. Halperin, MD, DrPH, MPH, Newark, NJ
King K. Holmes, MD, PhD, Seattle, WA
Deborah Holtzman, PhD, Atlanta, GA
John K. Iglehart, Bethesda, MD
Dennis G. Maki, MD, Madison, WI

Patricia Quinlisk, MD, MPH, Des Moines, IA
Patrick L. Remington, MD, MPH, Madison, WI
Barbara K. Rimer, DrPH, Chapel Hill, NC
John V. Rullan, MD, MPH, San Juan, PR
William Schaffner, MD, Nashville, TN
Anne Schuchat, MD, Atlanta, GA
Dixie E. Snider, MD, MPH, Atlanta, GA
John W. Ward, MD, Atlanta, GA

What is already known on this topic?

Few data on state-level, self-reported, nonfatal occupational injuries are available, and studies have shown that rates relying on employer reporting and workers' compensation claims underestimate total injuries.

What is added by this report?

Among 10 states collecting data in the 2007 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System survey, the median proportion of workers who were work-injured during the preceding 12 months was 5.9 per 100 employed persons, and a median of 61% of self-reported work injuries had treatment paid by workers' compensation. Many reasons might explain why medical treatment for a self-reported injury might not result in payment by workers' compensation.

What are the implications for public health practice?

Worker injury surveillance can be complemented through the use of population-based surveys. States that wish to enhance existing occupational injury surveillance should consider similar studies. Additional research is needed to understand the reasons for nonpayment of worker-reported occupational injuries by workers' compensation insurance programs.

Editorial Note

In the United States, the primary surveillance system for state and federal level estimates of occupational injury is the Bureau of Labor Statistics' Survey of Occupational Injury and Illness (BLS SOII), which relies on data collection through employer-based case reporting (1). Employer-based reporting underestimates the full burden of occupational injury because of worker underreporting of occupational injuries to their employers or incomplete employer recording of injuries (2,3). BRFSS can supplement employer-based occupational injury surveillance systems by providing population-based information on worker injuries. The findings presented in this report are a good example; BRFSS was used to estimate the proportion of occupational injuries for which the costs of medical treatment or advice were paid by workers' compensation programs. An advantage to using BRFSS to supplement occupational injury surveillance is that the injuries captured by BRFSS are broader than the injuries captured by BLS SOII, which generates estimates of occupational injury based only on those cases that are required to be recorded under Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) recordkeeping

TABLE. Estimated incidence of self-reported, work-injured adults* and proportion for whom treatment was paid by workers' compensation, by state — Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 10 states, 2007

	No. of	Work-i	njured rate†	witl by	injured h payment workers' pensation¶	
State	respondents	Rate	(95% CI [§])	%	(95% CI)	
Kentucky	2,552	4.0	(2.8-5.2)	77	(65–89)	
Massachusetts	2,310	4.2	(3.1-5.4)	60	(45-75)	
New Jersey	1,730	4.3	(3.1-5.5)	64	(51-78)	
Connecticut	3,778	4.7	(3.7-5.6)	63	(53-74)	
Oregon	2,425	2,425	5.9	(4.6-7.2)	62	(50-74)
Texas	4,643	5.9	(4.6-7.5)	47	(35-59)	
Washington	7,348	6.0	(5.2-6.7)	61	(55-67)	
Michigan	1,482	6.3	(4.8-8.2)	56	(41-69)	
California			(5.1-7.4)	61	(55-66)	
New York	3,173	6.9	(5.6-8.2)	50	(39–60)	

^{*}Respondents aged >18 years who were employed for wages at some time during the preceding 12 months and who responded to the work injury question. Work injuries were defined as those receiving medical advice or treatment.

rules. OSHA recordkeeping rules do not include all injuries for which a respondent might answer positively to a BRFSS work injury question. For example, injuries OSHA defines as receiving first aid are not required to be recorded on the OSHA log. Examples of first aid include drilling a fingernail or toenail to relieve pressure, or cleaning, flushing, or soaking wounds on the skin surface. Further research could help determine the worker, employer, and injury characteristics of worker-reported occupational injuries that were likely recordable under OSHA record-keeping rules but were not recorded.

In the United States, workers' compensation systems typically are state-regulated social insurance programs designed to extend no-fault liability for workplace injuries, coupled with guaranteed medical benefits and partial wage compensation to workers injured during employment (5). In this report, the proportion of respondents with medical treatment paid for by workers' compensation varied greatly among the 10 states, from 47% in Texas to 77% in Kentucky. Several reasons might exist for this large variation. First, the ability to make state-to-state comparisons using workers' compensation data is substantially limited. In nearly all states, workers' compensation insurance is mandatory for most private

[†] Data are weighted to be representative of the state population; rate per 100 employed persons. § Confidence interval.

Respondents indicated that treatment was paid for by state or federal workers' compensation program, military insurance, or a pending workers' compensation payment decision; percentages are based on weighted data.

[§] Available at http://www.osha.gov/recordkeeping/new-osha300form 1-1-04.pdf.

and nonfederal public sector employers, with benefits available for most workers employed for wages (5). However, highly variable state-specific exclusions for mandatory employer workers' compensation insurance exist for specific occupations (domestic workers or corporate officers), and small employers (typically employers ranging from one to five employees) (5). In Texas, employers may choose not to have workers' compensation insurance. In most states, self-employed workers are not required to have workers' compensation insurance and this was the rationale for exclusion of this population from the analysis. Second, although an injured worker might be covered by workers' compensation insurance, the particular injury sustained might not be compensable by the workers' compensation program. For example, injuries resulting from repetitive trauma or from an aggravation of a non-work-related injury or pre-existing injury might not be eligible for compensation in some states. Third, an injured worker with workers' compensation coverage and an eligible injury might not report an injury or seek compensation. Reasons for not reporting an injury to workers' compensation likely include, among others, access to alternative health-care insurance, less severe injuries, longer employment duration, low wages, poor job security, immigrant status, and concerns over employer or coworker retribution for injury reporting (3).

Several state-based occupational injury surveil-lance systems identify hospitalizations as work-related if the payer was workers' compensation insurance (6). The substantial portion of occupational injury cases where workers' compensation was not used for payment suggests that this methodology might yield underestimates of occupational injury rates.

The findings in this report are subject to at least three limitations. First, the recall period of 12 months increased the likelihood of reporting inaccuracy, although whether this resulted in underreporting or overreporting is unknown. Second, work-related injury rates vary by occupation and industry and employment by occupation and industry varies by state. However, standardized estimates (which would enhance state-to-state comparisons) could not be developed because respondent industry and occupation data were not collected. Finally, although the BRFSS weighting

procedures correct for nonresponse, the low response rates increase the risk for response bias.

Evaluations of CDC's National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) surveillance programs have recommended expansion of nonfatal occupational injury surveillance to include the use of nonemployer data sources such as BRFSS (7). The analysis presented in this report is an example of how BRFSS can be used. NIOSH also is exploring the use of other population-based surveys, such as the National Health Interview Survey and the Current Population Survey, which is the primary source of U.S. labor force data (8). In doing so, NIOSH is considering costs, the feasibility of producing reliable national and state estimates, the ability to address illnesses as well as injuries, the collection of industry and occupation data, the ability to address health disparities, and other factors. NIOSH also has initiated new research to examine underreporting of occupational injuries and illnesses, which will help inform surveillance expansion efforts.

References

- US Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Workplace injuries and illnesses—2008. Washington, DC: US Department of Labor; 2009. Available at http://www.bls. gov/iif/oshwc/osh/os/osnr0032.txt. Accessed July 20, 2010.
- 2. Leigh JP, Marcin JP, Miller TR. An estimate of the U.S. government's undercount of nonfatal occupational injuries. J Occ Env Med 2004;46:10–8.
- 3. Azaroff LS, Levenstein C, Wegman DH. Occupational injury and illness surveillance: conceptual filters explain underreporting. Am J Public Health 2002;92:1421–9.
- CDC. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System 2007 summary data quality report. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2008. Available at http:// www.cdc.gov/brfss/technical_infodata/quality.htm. Accessed July 20, 2010.
- Sengupta I, Reno V, Burton JF Jr. Workers' compensation: benefits, coverage, and costs, 2007. Washington, DC: National Academy of Social Insurance; 2009. Available at http:// www.nasi.org/sites/default/files/research/Workers_Comp_ Report_2007.pdf. Accessed July 20, 2010.
- 6. Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists. Putting data to work: occupational health indicators from thirteen pilot states for 2000. Atlanta, GA: Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists; 2005. Available at http://www.cste.org/ pdffiles/newpdffiles/cste_ohi.pdf. Accessed July 20, 2010.
- 7. Institute of Medicine and National Research Council. Traumatic Injury Research at NIOSH. Reviews of research programs of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2008.
- 8. US Census Bureau. Current Population Survey design and methodology. Technical paper 66. Washington, DC: US Census Bureau; 2006. Available at http://www.census.gov/prod/2006pubs/tp-66.pdf. Accessed July 20, 2010.

Alternative federally administered workers' compensation programs cover federal, railroad, and maritime employees.

Update: Influenza Activity — United States, 2009–10 Season

During the 2009–10 influenza season, the second wave of influenza activity from 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) occurred in the United States; few seasonal influenza viruses were detected. Influenza activity* peaked in late-October and was associated with higher pediatric mortality and higher rates of hospitalizations in children and young adults than in previous seasons. The proportion of visits to health-care providers for influenza-like illness (ILI), as reported in the U.S. Outpatient Influenza-like Illness Surveillance Network (ILINet), was among the highest since ILI surveillance began in 1997 in its current form. This report summarizes influenza activity in the United States during the 2009–10 influenza season (August 30, 2009–June 12, 2010).

U.S. Viral Surveillance

Since April 2009, the beginning of the 2009 H1N1 pandemic, through June 12, 2010, approximately 740,000 influenza specimens were tested for influenza, and the number of laboratory-confirmed positives was approximately four times the average of the previous four seasons. Two peaks in percentage of specimens testing positive for influenza occurred: 43.1% in June during the initial pandemic wave, and 38.2% in October during the second wave. During August 30, 2009-June 12, 2010, the 2009-10 influenza season, World Health Organization (WHO) and National Respiratory and Enteric Virus Surveillance System (NREVSS) collaborating laboratories in the United States tested 468,218 specimens for influenza viruses; 91,152 (19.5%) were positive (Figure 1). The proportion of specimens testing positive for influenza during the 2009–10 season exceeded 20% during the week ending August 30, 2009, peaked at 38.2% during the week ending October 24, and declined to less than 10% during the week ending December 12. Of the 91,152 positive specimens from 2009–10 season, 90,758 (99.6%) were influenza A viruses and 394 (0.4%) were influenza B viruses. Among the influenza A viruses, 67,022 (73.8%) were subtyped; 66,916 (99.8%) were 2009 pandemic H1N1,72 (0.1%) were influenza A (H3N2), and 34 (0.1%) were seasonal influenza A (H1N1) viruses.

Antigenic Characterization

Since September 1, 2009, CDC has antigenically characterized two seasonal influenza A (H1N1), 14 influenza A (H3N2), 43 influenza B, and 1,904 of the 2009 pandemic H1N1 viruses. Of those 2009 pandemic H1N1 viruses tested, 1,895 (99.5%) were related to the A/California/07/2009 (H1N1) reference virus selected by WHO as the monovalent 2009 pandemic H1N1 vaccine virus used during the 2009–10 season, and as a component in the 2010–11 Northern Hemisphere seasonal influenza vaccine.

Both seasonal influenza A (H1N1) viruses tested were related to A/Brisbane/59/2007, the influenza A (H1N1) component of the 2009-10 Northern Hemisphere influenza vaccine. The 14 influenza A (H3N2) viruses tested showed reduced titers with antisera produced against A/Brisbane/10/2007, the 2009–10 Northern Hemisphere influenza A (H3N2) vaccine component, and were antigenically related to A/Perth/16/2009, the WHO recommended influenza A (H3N2) component of the 2010 Southern Hemisphere and 2010-11 Northern Hemisphere vaccine formulations. Of the 43 influenza B viruses from the United States tested, 38 (88.4%) belonged to the B/Victoria lineage and were related to B/ Brisbane/60/2008, the influenza B vaccine component for the 2009-10 and 2010-11 Northern Hemisphere influenza vaccine. Five (11.6%) viruses tested belonged to the B/Yamagata lineage.

U.S. Novel Influenza Cases

Early identification and investigation of novel influenza A cases is critical to evaluate possible human-to-human transmission. CDC conducts surveillance for human infections with novel influenza A viruses year-round and carries out extensive epidemiologic investigations on each case. During the 2009–10

^{*}The CDC influenza surveillance system collects five categories of information from eight data sources: 1) viral surveillance (World Health Organization collaborating laboratories, the National Respiratory and Enteric Virus Surveillance System, and novel influenza A virus case reporting); 2) outpatient illness surveillance (U.S. Outpatient Influenza-like Illness Surveillance Network); 3) mortality (122 Cities Mortality Reporting System and influenza-associated pediatric mortality reports); 4) hospitalizations (Emerging Infections Program); and 5) summary of geographic spread of influenza (state and territorial epidemiologist reports).




FIGURE 1. Number* and percentage of respiratory specimens testing positive for influenza,† by type, weekly national summary — World Health Organization and National Respiratory and Enteric Virus Surveillance System, August 30, 2008 – June 12, 2010§

50

2008

10

40

season, in addition to the pandemic strain virus infections, three cases of human infection with novel influenza A viruses were identified and then characterized at CDC. These three cases, identified in Kansas, Iowa, and Minnesota, were isolated cases of human infections with contemporary North American swinelineage influenza A (H3N2) viruses currently circulating in swine herds. No additional human cases were linked to these three patients. Although the Minnesota patient reported visiting a live animal market in the days preceding illness onset (May 8, 2010), only the

20

30

Surveillance week and year

2009

40

Kansas patient specifically reported contact with pigs in the week preceding symptom onset (July 28, 2009). The Iowa patient had onset of symptoms in September 2009. The Kansas and Iowa patients did not require hospitalization; the Minnesota patient was hospitalized, and recovered fully.

20

2010

30

Resistance to Antiviral Medications

10

In the United States, two classes of antiviral drugs are approved by the Food and Drug Administration for use in treating or preventing influenza virus

^{*} N = 90,298

[†] Influenza A (unable to be subtyped) not shown; n = 1,036.

[§] As of June 19, 2010.

infections: neuraminidase inhibitors (oseltamivir and zanamivir) and adamantanes (amantadine and rimantidine). During the 2009–10 influenza season, testing of the 2009 pandemic H1N1 viruses found that 1.1% of 4,811 tested viruses were resistant to oseltamivir. All of the oseltamivir-resistant 2009 pandemic H1N1 viruses shared a single genetic mutation conferring oseltamivir resistance.

Since September 1, 2009, one seasonal influenza A (H1N1) virus was tested and found to be resistant to oseltamivir. No oseltamivir resistance was identified among the 19 influenza A (H3N2) or the 36 influenza B viruses tested. All tested viruses retained their sensitivity to zanamivir. Adamantane resistance continued to be high among influenza A (H3N2) viruses, with 100% of the 18 influenza A (H3N2) viruses tested resistant to the adamantanes. Adamantane resistance among seasonal influenza A (H1N1) viruses was not detected in the single virus tested. However, among 2009 pandemic H1N1 viruses tested, 1,895 (99.8%) of 1,899 were resistant to adamantanes.

U.S. Outpatient Illness Surveillance

During the initial wave of 2009 pandemic H1N1 activity, the percentage of outpatient visits for ILI[†] was at or exceeded national baseline levels[§] for only 1 week (the week ending May 1, 2009), but was elevated compared with spring and summer weeks in previous years. ILI activity next exceeded baseline beginning the week ending August 23, 2009, and continued to be elevated above baseline through January 2, 2010, for a total of 19 consecutive weeks. ILI activity peaked at 7.6% during the week ending October 24, 2009 (Figure 2). During the previous three influenza seasons, the peak percentage of patient visits for ILI ranged from 3.5% to 6.0% and occurred during mid- to late February (CDC, unpublished data, 2010).

During the 2009–10 season, August 30, 2009, through June 12, 2010, the peak proportion of outpatient visits to healthcare providers for ILI was among the highest seen since the system began in its current form in 1997 and was approximately equal to that seen during the 2003–04 influenza season. During the 2003–04 season, influenza A (H3N2) predominated and affected all age groups, whereas older persons were less affected during the 2009–10 season.

U.S. State-Specific Activity Levels

State and territorial epidemiologists report the geographic distribution of influenza in their state through a weekly influenza activity code. The geographic distribution of influenza activity was most extensive during the weeks ending October 24 and 31, 2009, when 48 states reported widespread influenza activity and all 50 states reported widespread or regional influenza activity. No states reported widespread influenza activity by the week ending January 9, 2010. The peak number of states reporting widespread or regional activity during the previous three seasons has ranged from 25 to 48 states (CDC, unpublished data, 2010).

U.S. Influenza-Associated Hospitalization


Hospitalizations associated with laboratory-confirmed influenza infections have been monitored in the Emerging Infections Program (EIP) since the 2003–04 season. Historically, EIP has included sites in 10 states. In response to the emergence of the 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus, sites in six additional states conducted surveillance and reported influenza-associated hospitalization surveillance data. During September 1, 2009–May 1, 2010, data were collected by EIP and the new sites from a population base of

[†] Defined as a temperature of ≥100.0°F (≥37.8°C), oral or equivalent, and cough and/or sore throat, in the absence of a known cause other than influenza.

[§] The national and regional baselines are the mean percentage of visits for ILI during noninfluenza weeks for the previous three seasons plus two standard deviations. A noninfluenza week is a week during which <10% of specimens tested positive for influenza. National and regional percentages of patient visits for ILI are weighted on the bases of state population. Use of the national baseline for regional data is not appropriate.

Levels of activity are 1) no activity; 2) sporadic: isolated laboratory-confirmed influenza cases or a laboratory-confirmed outbreak in one institution, with no increase in activity; 3) local: increased ILI, or at least two institutional outbreaks (ILI or laboratory-confirmed influenza) in one region with recent laboratory evidence of influenza in that region; virus activity no greater than sporadic in other regions; 4) regional: increased ILI activity or institutional outbreaks (ILI or laboratory-confirmed influenza) in at least two but less than half of the regions in the state with recent laboratory evidence of influenza in those regions; and 5) widespread: increased ILI activity or institutional outbreaks (ILI or laboratory-confirmed influenza) in at least half the regions in the state with recent laboratory evidence of influenza in the state.

FIGURE 2. Percentage of outpatient visits for influenza-like illness (ILI), by week and year — U.S. Outpatient Influenza-Like Illness Surveillance Network (ILINet), October 1, 2006–June 12, 2010*



^{*} As of June 19, 2010.

nearly 26 million persons (8.5% of the U.S. population).

During September 1, 2009, through May 1, 2010, cumulative rates of laboratory-confirmed, influenzaassociated hospitalization reported by the EIP sites for children aged ≤4 years and 5–17 years were 6.7 and 2.5 per 10,000, respectively. Cumulative rates of laboratory-confirmed influenza-associated hospitalization for adults aged 18–49 years, 50–64 years, and ≥65 years were 2.5, 3.2, and 2.8 per 10,000, respectively. In the new sites, cumulative rates of laboratoryconfirmed, influenza-associated hospitalization for children aged ≤4 years and 5–17 years were 10.9 and 3.7 per 10,000, respectively. Rates for adults aged 18–49 years, 50–64 years, and ≥65 years were 1.7, 2.0, and 1.8 per 10,000, respectively. The source of rate differences between the EIP sites and the new sites are currently under investigation.

During the entire 2009 influenza A (H1N1) pandemic period, April 2009 through May 1, 2010, the cumulative rates of hospitalization for the EIP sites were 8.3 per 10,000 for ages ≤4 years, 3.4 for ages 5–17 years, 3.0 for ages 18–49 years, 3.8 for ages 50–64 years, and 3.2 for ages ≥65 years. A dramatic increase in hospitalizations in the younger age groups was indicative of the influenza pandemic's impact on

children (Figure 3). During the past three seasons, rates have ranged from 2.6–4.2 per 10,000 for ages ≤ 4 years, 0.4–0.6 for ages 5–17 years, 0.3–0.7 for ages 18–49 years, 0.4–1.5 for ages 50–64, and 1.4–7.5 for ages ≥ 65 years.

In April 2009, in response to the emergence of the 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus, the Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (CSTE) initiated reporting of influenza-associated hospitalizations and deaths to CDC. On August 30, CDC and CSTE instituted modified case definitions for aggregate reporting of influenza-associated hospitalizations and deaths. This cumulative jurisdiction-level reporting is referred to the Aggregate Hospitalization and Death Reporting Activity (AHDRA) surveillance system.**
From August 30, 2009, to April 3, 2010, a total of 41,914 laboratory-confirmed, influenza-associated hospitalizations were reported to CDC. The median

[†] National and regional baselines are the mean percentage of visits for ILI during non-influenza weeks for the previous three seasons plus two standard deviations. A non-influenza week is a week during which <10% of specimens tested positive for influenza. National and regional percentages of patient visits for ILI are weighted on the basis of state population. Use of the national baseline for regional data is not appropriate.

^{**} States report weekly to the CDC either 1) laboratory-confirmed influenza hospitalizations and deaths or 2) pneumonia and influenza syndrome-based cases of hospitalization and death resulting from all types or subtypes of influenza. Although only the laboratory-confirmed cases are included in this report, CDC continues to analyze data both from laboratory-confirmed and syndromic hospitalizations and deaths. Additional information is available at http://www.cdc.gov/h1n1flu/reportingqa.htm#reportingofflu.

What is already known on this topic?

During the 2009–10 influenza season, 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) viruses predominated and few viruses from other influenza types were identified in the United States.

What is added by this report?

Influenza activity since April 2009 has been associated with an increase in laboratory virologic testing, much higher pediatric mortality, and higher rates of hospitalizations in children and young adults when compared with previous seasons.

What are the implications for public health practice?

Testing for seasonal influenza strains should continue, as should specimen submission to CDC for further antigenic analysis, vaccine strain selection, and antiviral resistance monitoring.

number of states reporting hospitalizations per week through AHDRA was 36 (range: 29–38).


U.S. Pneumonia- and Influenza-Related Mortality

During the 2009–10 influenza season, the percentage of deaths attributed to pneumonia and influenza (P&I) exceeded the epidemic threshold^{††} for 13 consecutive weeks, from October 3 to December 26, 2009, and from January 16 to January 30, 2010 (Figure 4). The percentage of P&I deaths peaked twice, once at 8.1% during the week ending November 21, and again at 8.2% during the week ending January 23, 2010.

From the 2006–07 season through the 2008–09 season, the peak percentage of P&I deaths ranged from 7.7% to 9.1% and the total number of weeks above the epidemic threshold ranged from 3 to 14 (CDC, unpublished data, 2010). During the 2008–09 season, when the 2009 influenza A (H1N1) pandemic began, P&I death rates remained below epidemic threshold. The P&I mortality rate was relatively low because 2009 pandemic H1N1 primarily affected children, rather than adults aged ≥65 years; deaths among persons aged ≥65 years traditionally have accounted for 90% or more of seasonal influenza-related P&I deaths (1).

From August 30, 2009, to April 3, 2010, a total of 2,125 laboratory-confirmed, influenza-associated deaths were reported to CDC through AHDRA. The

FIGURE 3. Cumulative rate of laboratory-confirmed influenza-associated hospitalizations, by age group and year — Emerging Infections Program (EIP) and six new sites,* $2006-10^{\dagger}$ U.S. influenza seasons



^{*}In 2009, new sites in six additional states were added to the sites in the 10 states already participating in EIP. These sites report to CDC laboratory-confirmed influenza hospitalizations resulting from all types or subtypes of influenza.

^{††} The epidemic threshold is 1.645 standard deviations above the seasonal baseline.t

[†] As of June 19, 2010.

[§] The 2008–09 EIP rate ended as of April 14, 2009, because of onset of the 2009 H1N1 season.




FIGURE 4. Percentage of all deaths attributed to pneumonia and influenza (P&I), by week and year — 122 Cities Mortality Reporting System, United States, 2005–2010

median number of states reporting influenzaassociated deaths per week through AHDRA was 39 (range: 30–40).

U.S. Influenza-Related Pediatric Mortality

Laboratory-confirmed, influenza-related deaths among children have been reported to CDC since the 2004–05 season. From April 2009 through August 29, 2009, the initial pandemic wave, 65 laboratory-confirmed, influenza-associated pediatric deaths were reported to CDC. From August 30, 2009, to June 12, 2010, the 2009–10 influenza season, a total of 279 laboratory-confirmed, influenza-associated pediatric deaths were reported, nearly four times the average reported in the previous five influenza seasons. These deaths were reported from New York City and 43 states. Age-specific information was available for all 279 cases. The mean and median age was 8.8 years and 9.2 years, respectively; 52 (18.6%) were aged <2

years, 30 (10.8%) were aged 2–4 years, 103 (36.9%) were aged 5–11 years, and 94 (33.7%) were aged 12–17 years. Of the 279 deaths, 226 were associated with 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus infections, 51 with influenza A virus infection for which subtyping was not reported, and two with influenza B virus infection.

The total for the entire pandemic period, April 2009, through June 12, 2010, was 344 laboratory-confirmed, influenza-associated pediatric deaths. Among those deaths, 286 (83.1%) were laboratory-confirmed 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus infections, and 54 deaths were associated with influenza A virus infections for which the viruses were not subtyped. These unsubtyped influenza A viruses likely were 2009 pandemic H1N1 viruses, based on the predominance of this virus during the 2009–10 influenza season. These data are provisional and subject to change as more information becomes available.

^{*}The epidemic threshold is 1.645 standard deviations above the seasonal baseline.

[†]The seasonal baseline is projected using a robust regression procedure that applies a periodic regression model to the observed percentage of deaths from P&I during the preceding 5 years.

Reported by

World Health Organization Collaborating Center for Surveillance, Epidemiology, and Control of Influenza. D Mustaquim, MPH, A Bishop, MPH, S Epperson, MPH, K Kniss, MPH, L Blanton, MPH, R Dhara, MPH, L Brammer, MPH, L Gubareva, MD, T Wallis, MS, X Xu, MD, J Bresee, MD, A Klimov, PhD, N Cox, PhD, L Finelli, DrPH, Influenza Div, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases, CDC.

Editorial Note

April 2009 marked the beginning of the 2009 influenza A (H1N1) pandemic. The reported rates of pediatric hospitalization and mortality were the highest recorded using current surveillance systems; however, rates of hospitalization and death were lower among older persons compared to rates typically seen during influenza A (H3N2) predominant seasons (1). Substantial levels of transmission and detection of 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus continued from the spring into summer in contrast with the usual limited and sporadic occurrence of influenza viruses during summer months. Outpatient visits for ILI continued to persist at elevated levels through the summer, although the levels reported did not exceed baseline levels, with the exception of 1 week in April, until late summer and early fall 2009.

Estimating the disease burden from influenza is challenging in part because of the nonspecific clinical symptoms of influenza and because many of those ill with an influenza infection do not seek medical care and only a small proportion of those seeking medical care are tested for influenza. An additional complication during the recent pandemic was that routinely available point-of-care tests were less sensitive for the pandemic strain than for seasonal influenza viruses, which might have reduced laboratory confirmation of influenza illnesses further (2). A recent model developed by CDC used data on influenza-associated hospitalizations collected through EIP to estimate that approximately 43-89 million persons became ill with 2009 pandemic H1N1 during April 2009 and April 2010 (3).

In the United States, 2009 pandemic H1N1 was the dominant circulating strain for the entire season. Few seasonal influenza viruses were reported to CDC, with most being influenza A (H3N2) or influenza B. Seasonal influenza A (H1N1) virtually disappeared over the course of the season, with only one confirmed case reported since late December 2009. Although 2009 pandemic H1N1 was the dominant circulating

strain, influenza A (H3N2) and influenza B are still circulating worldwide.

Testing for seasonal influenza and monitoring for novel influenza virus infections should continue year-round, as should specimen submission to CDC for further antigenic analysis, vaccine strain selection, and antiviral resistance monitoring. The detection of three novel influenza cases of swine-lineage H3N2 infection since July 2009 further emphasizes the importance of continuing to monitor for novel influenza strains.

Although 2010 summer influenza activity remains low, sporadic cases of influenza have been detected in the United States this summer, including influenza A (H3N2), influenza B, and 2009 pandemic H1N1 viruses. Health-care providers should remain vigilant and consider influenza as a potential cause of summer respiratory illnesses. Public health laboratories should send to CDC virus samples that they cannot subtype using standard methods and isolates that otherwise are unusual as soon as possible after identification.

During 2009–10, a separate monovalent 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) vaccine prepared from the WHO recommended A/California/7/2009 virus was used. For the 2010–11 season, the seasonal influenza A (H1N1) component of the trivalent vaccine will be replaced by the 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus (A/California/7/2009). The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) voted in February 2010 to expand the influenza vaccine recommendations to include universal vaccination of all persons aged ≥ 6 months. To support this recommendation, influenza vaccine is being produced in greater amounts for the 2010–11 season than in previous seasons.

As a supplement to influenza vaccination, antiviral drugs are an important adjunct to reduce the impact of influenza. Based on the low level of oseltamivir resistance observed in influenza B, seasonal influenza A (H3N2), and 2009 pandemic H1N1 viruses, in addition to the persistence of high levels of resistance to the adamantanes in influenza A (H3N2) and 2009 pandemic H1N1 viruses, neuraminidase inhibitors are the drugs of choice for treatment of influenza in children and adults in the United States at this time. Use of amantadine or rimantidine is not recommended. Additional information regarding influenza viruses, influenza surveillance, influenza vaccine, and influenza diagnosis and antiviral treatment is available at http://www.cdc.gov/flu.

Acknowledgments

This report is based, in part, on data contributed by participating state and territorial health departments and state public health laboratories, World Health Organization (WHO) collaborating laboratories, National Respiratory and Enteric Virus Surveillance System collaborating laboratories, the U.S. Outpatient ILI Surveillance Network, the Emerging Infections Program, the Aggregate Hospitalization and Death Reporting Activity, the Influenza Associated Pediatric Mortality Surveillance System, the 122 Cities Mortality Reporting System, and WHO's FluNet.

References

- 1. Thompson WW, Shay DK, Weintraub E, et al. Mortality associated with influenza and respiratory syncytial virus in the United States. JAMA 2003;289:179–86.
- 2. CDC. Performance of rapid influenza diagnostic tests during two school outbreaks of 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus infection—Connecticut, 2009. MMWR 2009;58:1029–32.
- 3. CDC. Updated CDC estimates of 2009 H1N1 influenza cases, hospitalizations, and deaths in the United States, April 2009–April 10, 2010. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2010. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/h1n1flu/estimates_2009_h1n1.htm. Accessed July 23, 2010.

Regional Influenza A (H1N1) 2009 Monovalent Vaccination Campaign — Skokie, Illinois, October 16–December 31, 2009

On July 29, 2009, the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommended a phased approach for administration of influenza A (H1N1) 2009 monovalent vaccine, with certain high-risk groups* in the United States receiving the first doses (1). In Illinois, state authorities gave responsibility for initial vaccine administration to local health departments and hospitals. This report describes the vaccination campaign of the Skokie Health Department (SHD), during October 16–December 31, 2009. The SHD campaign initially was planned to cover the 67,000 persons residing in Skokie only, but that plan was expanded on November 4, when, in response to a nationwide vaccine shortage, the state health director urged local health departments to vaccinate any person in the ACIP priority groups regardless of jurisdictional boundaries. SHD, with the assistance of 1,075 volunteers, either administered or distributed to medical providers 40,850 H1N1 vaccine doses during a 9-week period, including 8,904 doses administered at 52 Skokie schools and day-care facilities, and 12,876 doses at mass vaccination clinics visited by residents of 193 of the 1,313 Illinois municipalities. At the time of the campaign, widespread illness from 2009 H1N1 in Illinois, with highly publicized deaths, contributed to a public sense of urgency about vaccination. Consistent with published studies (2,3), mass clinics in Skokie were an effective means to vaccinate large populations rapidly. The campaign highlighted the need for flexible plans, including the possibility of vaccinating persons who resided well beyond SHD's jurisdictional borders.

SHD is one of six state-certified local health departments in Cook County, Illinois, and typically administers 3,000 seasonal influenza vaccinations each year. Beginning in July 2009, following ACIP guidelines, SHD staff members used census data and direct contacts with schools and medical practices to estimate that 38,900 residents and commuters[†] were members

of the initial priority groups recommended by ACIP for vaccination, including 14,900 children in schools or day-care facilities. Based on priority group calculations, on September 27, SHD ordered 40,000 doses of influenza A (H1N1) 2009 monovalent vaccine through the Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH). SHD initially targeted children in schools and day-care facilities. Planners anticipated that area healthcare providers and retail pharmacies would have sufficient vaccine by mid-October to begin vaccinating the other high-risk target groups. SHD mass clinics were scheduled for early December to ensure all residents would have access to the vaccine. During October 5-16, SHD received 15,000 doses for schools and day-care facilities, 67% of the doses in the nasal spray formulation. SHD received an additional 25,000 doses during November 6-16. In all, SHD administered or distributed 40,850 doses, in what evolved into a fivephase campaign (Figure 1, Table) that resulted in SHD administering influenza A (H1N1) 2009 monovalent vaccine to persons who resided far beyond the village boundaries (Figure 2). Overall, 54% of vaccine recipients at SHD clinics were not residents of Skokie.

Five-Phase Campaign

Phase 1. Vaccination clinics were held during October 21–November 20 at 39 public and private schools and 13 day-care facilities in Skokie. Vaccinations were offered to children, staff members, and caregivers of children aged <6 months. Overall, 8,904 persons received vaccine, 53% of the estimated target population. At school clinics, a greater percentage of persons aged ≥19 years (71%) received vaccine than persons aged 6 months–18 years (49%), based on the actual target populations. Among those vaccinated at school and day-care clinics, 40% resided outside of Skokie.


Phase 2. During October 16–November 24, SHD administered 2009 H1N1 vaccinations to persons who volunteered to assist in the vaccination campaign. SHD also offered vaccine to emergency medical services (EMS) personnel from Skokie and seven neighboring

^{*}Persons aged 6 months—24 years, household contacts and caregivers of infants aged <6 months, pregnant women, health-care and emergency medical services personnel, and adults ages 25–64 years with underlying health conditions that increased the risk from influenza.

[†] Nonresidents who worked at or attended school or day-care facilities in Skokie.

[§] Total number of doses administered exceeded 40,000 because young children received half doses.

FIGURE 1. Number of doses of influenza A (H1N1) 2009 monovalent vaccine administered or distributed by Skokie Health Department (SHD), by date and campaign phase* — Skokie, Illinois, October 16–December 31, 2009



* Phase 1: Doses administered by SHD at 39 schools and 13 day-care facilities. Phase 2: Administered by SHD to emergency medical services personnel, vaccination clinic volunteers, and Skokie residents in Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) priority groups without other access to vaccination (e.g., children who did not attend school or day care in Skokie and pregnant women). Phase 3: Doses distributed to medical practices and local hospitals that had not received the vaccine they ordered. Phase 4: Administered by SHD at four regional mass vaccination clinics. Phase 5: Doses administered by SHD at clinics to anyone aged ≥6 months and also distributed to neighboring health departments, Skokie medical practices, and a long-term care facility.

municipalities, and a regional private helicopter 911 service. Altogether, SHD vaccinated 254 EMS workers, or 24% of the actual target population; 179 (70%) worked for municipalities other than Skokie.

Phase 3. During November 9-25, because approximately 48% of the vaccine allocated for schools had not been utilized, a total of 8,141 doses were distributed to 30 Skokie medical practices that had placed vaccine orders with IDPH; an additional 2,717 doses were distributed to a local hospital. Because of an ongoing national vaccine shortage and preferential ordering of single-dose syringes, which were not yet available, the medical practices had received only 3% of their 20,850 ordered doses by mid-November, and a four-hospital system in the area had received only 10% of 120,000 ordered doses. SHD did not collect information regarding the recipients of these vaccine doses; the medical practices signed an agreement with IDPH to abide by ACIP guidelines.

What is already known?

Local health departments typically provide services based on jurisdictional borders; this policy presented a challenge to 2009 H1N1 vaccination campaigns.

What is added by this report?

The Skokie Health Department in Illinois rapidly modified existing plans to accommodate persons in vaccine priority groups from a wide geographic area; overall, 54% of vaccinations were administered to persons who resided outside of Skokie.

Implications for public health practice?

During pandemics, vaccine shortages are likely to occur; where appropriate and permissible, mass vaccination clinics that cross public health jurisdictional borders can improve access to vaccine.

Phase 4. SHD conducted four mass vaccination clinics during December 3–12 that were open to anyone in the ACIP priority groups, ignoring jurisdictional borders as requested by IDPH. An online appointment system and a phone bank were established to schedule vaccinations, limiting participants to 600 per hour. At the clinics, 12,876 persons were vaccinated; 73% of recipients resided outside of Skokie.

SHD was able to modify procedures rapidly to improve clinic flow. For the first clinic, several hundred persons arrived well before the scheduled start time and could not be allowed to enter the building, which contributed to a slow start and resulting waits of 1–2 hours. For the remaining three clinics, SHD implemented refinements to reduce the entire vaccination process time to <30 minutes per vaccinee. Refinements included establishing an adults and teens express vaccination room, reorganizing patterns within the building to maintain a continuous flow, ensuring adequate staffing, and opening 1 hour earlier than scheduled to accommodate early arrivals. Overall, 25 persons were vaccinated per vaccinator, per hour.

Phase 5. During December 14–31, because of increased vaccine supply, IDPH opened 2009 H1N1 vaccinations to any person aged ≥6 months. SHD administered an additional 3,261 doses at the village hall, the public library, and to the homebound. At the same time, SHD distributed 3,780 doses to neighboring health departments, Skokie medical practices, and a long-term care facility.

TABLE. Number of doses of influenza A (H1N1) 2009 monovalent vaccine administered or distributed by Skokie Health Department (SHD), by campaign phase,* site, and recipient group — Skokie, Illinois, October 16–December 31, 2009

Campaign phase	Period in 2009	Site	Recipient group	No. of doses administered or distributed
1	October 21–November 20	Day-care facilities	6 mos−18 yrs ≥19 yrs†	196 101
		Schools	6 mos–18 yrs 6 mos–4 yrs 5–10 yrs 11–13 yrs 14–18 yrs ≥19 yrs [†]	6,920 804 2,749 1,347 2,020 1,687
2	October 16–November 24	Village hall	EMS personnel 6 mos–18 yrs ≥19 yrs	1,687 254 129 788
3	November 9–25	Medical practices Hospitals	≥6 mos ≥6 mos	8,141 2,717
4	December 3–12	Skokie Park District facility	6 mos–18 yrs First dose Second dose ≥19 yrs	6,107 1,173 4,934 6,769
5	December 14–31	Village hall, Public library	6 mos–18 yrs First dose Second dose ≥19 yrs	322 201 121 2,939
		Neighboring health departments Medical practices Long -term care facility	≥6 mos ≥6 mos Facility residents	2,100 1,510 170
Total				40,850

^{*} Phase 1: Doses administered by SHD at 39 schools and 13 day-care facilities. Phase 2: Administered by SHD to emergency medical services (EMS) personnel, vaccination clinic volunteers, and Skokie residents in Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) priority groups without other access to vaccination (e.g., children who did not attend school or day care in Skokie and pregnant women). Phase 3: Doses distributed to medical practices and local hospitals that had not received the vaccine they ordered. Phase 4: Administered by SHD at four regional mass vaccination clinics. Phase 5: Doses administered by SHD at clinics to anyone aged ≥6 months and also distributed to neighboring health departments, Skokie medical practices, and a long-term care facility.

 † Includes day-care or school staff members or parents or caregivers of children aged <6 months.


Staffing and Communication

SHD, which has a staff of 18 persons, including one physician, two full-time nurses, and one part-time nurse, relied on 1,075 community volunteers to administer vaccinations and fill support roles; most of these persons had never served in large vaccination clinics and had no previous emergency preparedness training. Volunteer recruitment efforts included a letter from the mayor to all Skokie boards and commissions, Internet postings, e-mail requests, and broadcast messages on the local emergency radio station and cable television news. Recruiting messages described specific tasks that would be assigned to volunteer support staff members, such as assisting with completion of consent forms or movement of persons through the clinic. The 172 volunteer vaccinators

included 108 nurses, 36 nursing students, 22 paramedics, four physicians, and two pharmacists; many volunteered on more than one occasion.

Teleconferencing was established and used along with mass e-mails for simultaneous communication with schools and day-care facilities. Local medical practices and retail pharmacies received regular updates via blast fax. Skokie residents and businesses were kept informed of the vaccination campaign through local newspapers, flyers, billboards, Internet postings, 10 radio station updates, five cable television spots, and 12 mass e-mailings. Twice, "reverse-911" calls with critical clinic information were sent to village businesses and residences. Monthly visits to the Village of Skokie website doubled during December, from 17,000 to 34,000. Chicago news coverage was

FIGURE 2. Residences of Illinois recipients of doses* of influenza A (H1N1) 2009 monovalent vaccine administered by the Skokie Health Department — Skokie, Illinois, October 16–December 31, 2009



^{*} A total of 20,596 doses are represented. The Skokie Health Department administered 26,212 doses; the map excludes 311 out-of-state residents and 250 persons with missing address data. The 5,055 children who received a second dose are represented only once. Among recipients, 54% were not residents of Skokie.

instrumental in promoting the availability of vaccine in Skokie; within 4 days of Chicago news coverage, all mass clinic appointments had been filled. To defray costs of the campaign, SHD received \$260,000 in federal Public Health Emergency Response funds, distributed through IDPH.

Reported by

C Counard, MD, A Rigoni, MPA, J Lockerby, MPA, A Tennes, MPA, R Czerwiniski, D Prottsman, MPA, M Slankard, MPA, A LeTendre, MPA, B Silverberg, P Hanley, JD, H Mueller, JD, B Nowak, MBA, B Gilley, MIT, M Aleksic, M DiFrancesca, C Ballowe, B Johnson, MS, B Jones, S Reisberg, MSN, C Braden, MPH, J Hartford, B Neirick, D Codd, B Kok, D McLin, C Starks, J Gaulin, L Kaplan, M Maggi, D Mohrlein, H Coleman, P Staffney, J Prendi, MPA, L Gooris, K Norwood, J Silva, N Wyatt, H Peters, J Scher, B Riplinger, J Gill, J Lyerly, D Nygren, J Puff,

L Rukavina, C Markoutsas, I Kalota, J Reichert, N Tharwani, S Collins, L Brangan, Village of Skokie. K Whitney, Municipal GIS Partners, Inc. D Nimke, MPH, private epidemiology contractor. C Conover, MD, LG Gallagher, MPH, K McMahon, Illinois Dept of Public Health. D Swerdlow, MD, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases, CDC.

Editorial Note

The 2009 H1N1 vaccination campaign presented substantial challenges to SHD. The initial, two-part plan devised in July was to offer vaccine first to children, the largest ACIP target population in Skokie, through school and day-care clinics during October and November. Planners anticipated that community medical providers would, at the same time, vaccinate members of the other ACIP priority groups, and SHD would finish up with four mass clinics in December, targeting Skokie residents who had not yet been vaccinated. However, because the vaccine shortage prevented many persons at high-risk for complications from 2009 H1N1 strain from getting vaccinated, the SHD plan quickly became to vaccinate a broader population as requested by IDPH, including persons who resided outside the village limits.

CDC has indicated previously that the convenience of school-located vaccination clinics might improve pediatric vaccination rates for seasonal influenza and during outbreaks of vaccine-preventable diseases (4). During an outbreak of pertussis at an Illinois high school, the vaccination rate among students, which had increased from 16% to 37% in the 3 months after parents and health-care providers were first notified, rose to 68% after a 4-day school-based vaccination clinic (5). The 49% 2009 H1N1 vaccination coverage among children in Skokie schools and day-care facilities is substantially higher than the preliminary vaccination rate estimates for this population nationally (36.8%) and in Illinois (37.5%) (6). However, caution should be used in comparing the Skokie coverage rate, which was calculated from administrative data, with national and state survey data.

Large numbers of community volunteers were essential to the success of the Skokie vaccination campaign; most were identified through established relationships. Effective use of volunteers during public health emergencies requires a clear organizational framework and well-defined job duties. This level of support will be required for future efforts to rapidly vaccinate the entire Village. Historic accounts of the

1918 influenza pandemic in the United States describe a similar reliance on volunteers to carry out local response efforts (7).

Rapid vaccination of the United States population during a pandemic is achieved through local efforts. SHD was able to quickly adjust its 2009 H1N1 vaccination plans at multiple junctures as the event unfolded and to provide vaccine to many persons not included in original plans. These adjustments were possible because of strong support from village officials of public health initiatives, an early commitment to administer 40,000 vaccine doses, and well-established lines of public health communication at the state and local level.

Acknowledgments

This report is based, in part, on contributions from school and day-care administrations and staff members, the Skokie Park District administration and staff members, and approximately 1,000 persons who volunteered their services to the vaccination campaign.

References


- CDC. Use of influenza A (H1N1) 2009 monovalent vaccine: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), 2009. MMWR 2009;58(No. RR-10).
- 2. Schwartz B, Wortley P. Mass vaccination for annual and pandemic influenza. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 2006;304:131–52.
- Fontanesi J, Hill L, Olson R, Bennett NM, Kopald D. Mass vaccination clinics versus appointments. J Med Pract Manage 2006;21;288–94.
- CDC. Prevention and control of seasonal influenza with vaccines: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR 2009;58(No. RR-8).
- CDC. Use of mass Tdap vaccination to control an outbreak of pertussis in a high school—Cook County, Illinois, September 2006–January 2007. MMWR 2008;57:796–9.
- CDC. Interim results: state-specific influenza A (H1N1) 2009 monovalent vaccination coverage—United States, October 2009–January 2010. MMWR 2010;59:363–8.
- 7. Jones MM. The American Red Cross and local response to the 1918 influenza pandemic: a four-city case study. Public Health Rep 2010;125(Suppl 3):92–104.

Erratum: Vol. 59, No. SS-7

In the *MMWR* Surveillance Summary "Malaria Surveillance — United States, 2008," the second sentence in the Results paragraph of the Abstract (page 1) should read as follows: "These cases included one **cryptic** case, one congenital case, and two fatal cases."

FROM THE NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

Breast Cancer Death Rates Among Women Aged 45–64 Years,* by Race — United States, 1990–2007



^{*} Rates per 100,000 women aged 45–64 years for whom breast cancer was the underlying cause of death (based on *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision* [ICD-9] codes 174–175 for 1990–1998 and ICD-10 code C50 for 1999–2007).

In 2007, breast cancer was the second leading cause of cancer death for white women aged 45–64 years and the leading cause of cancer death for black women aged 45–64 years. From 1990 to 2007, the breast cancer death rate in this age group declined by 41% for white women and 24% for black women, increasing the disparity between the two groups. In 2007, the breast cancer death rate for women aged 45–64 years was 60% higher for black women than white women (56.8 and 35.6 deaths per 100,000, respectively).

Sources: CDC. National Vital Statistics System. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss.htm. CDC. Health Data Interactive. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/hdi.htm.

Notifiable Diseases and Mortality Tables

TABLE I. Provisional cases of infrequently reported notifiable diseases (<1,000 cases reported during the preceding year) — United States, week ending July 24, 2010 (29th week)*


	Current	Cum	5-year weekly			ases re revious			. States reporting cases
Disease	week	2010	average [†]	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	during current week (No.)
Anthrax	_	_		1		1	1		
Botulism, total	_	40	3	118	145	144	165	135	
foodborne	_	4	0	10	17	32	20	19	
infant	_	28	2	83	109	85	97	85	
other (wound and unspecified)	_	8	0	25	19	27	48	31	
Brucellosis	1	63	2	115	80	131	121	120	FL (1)
Chancroid	_	26	1	28	25	23	33	17	
Cholera	_	2	0	10	5	7	9	8	
Cyclosporiasis [§]	9	96	6	141	139	93	137	543	FL (7), TX (2)
Diphtheria	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Domestic arboviral diseases ^{§ ,¶} :									
California serogroup virus disease	_	2	3	55	62	55	67	80	
Eastern equine encephalitis virus disease	_	3	0	4	4	4	8	21	
Powassan virus disease	_	1	0	6	2	7	1	1	
St. Louis encephalitis virus disease	_	1	0	12	13	9	10	13	
Western equine encephalitis virus disease	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	
Haemophilus influenzae,** invasive disease (age <5 yrs):									
serotype b	_	6	0	35	30	22	29	9	
nonserotype b	1	110	3	236	244	199	175	135	WA (1)
unknown serotype	1	127	3	178	163	180	179	217	FL (1)
Hansen disease§	1	19	1	103	80	101	66	87	VA (1)
Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome [§]		9	1	20	18	32	40	26	******
Hemolytic uremic syndrome, postdiarrheal [§]	2	82	7	242	330	292	288	221	NY (1), CA (1)
HIV infection, pediatric (age <13 yrs) ++	_	- 62	1		330		_	380	NT (1), CA (1)
nfluenza-associated pediatric mortality §,§§		 54	1	358	90	77	43	45	
Listeriosis	_	350			759				NV (2) OH (1) MAV (1) FL (1) AB (1) MA (2) CA (1)
Measles ¶¶	9		21	851		808	884	896	NY (2), OH (1), WV (1), FL (1), AR (1), WA (2), CA (1)
Meningococcal disease, invasive***:	1	32	2	71	140	43	55	66	NV (1)
	2	1.46		201	220	225	210	207	011/12 \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
A, C, Y, and W-135	2	146	4	301	330	325	318	297	OH (1), WA (1)
serogroup B	_	65	3	174	188	167	193	156	
other serogroup	_	7	0	23	38	35	32	27	
unknown serogroup	3	230	9	482	616	550	651	765	PA (1), OR (1), CA (1)
Mumps the Control of	6	2,230	15	1,991	454		6,584	314	NY (1), NYC (3), MI (1), CA (1)
Novel influenza A virus infections ****	_	1	0	43,771	2	4	NN	NN	
Plague	_	1	0	8	3	7	17	8	
Poliomyelitis, paralytic	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	1	
Polio virus Infection, nonparalytic s	_	_	_	_	_	_	NN	NN	
Psittacosis ⁵	_	4	0	9	8	12	21	16	
Q fever, total ^{§, §§§}	2	61	3	114	120	171	169	136	
acute	1	48	1	94	106	_	_	_	TX (1)
chronic	1	13	0	20	14	_	_	_	TX (1)
Rabies, human	_	_	_	4	2	1	3	2	
Rubella 111	_	5	0	3	16	12	11	11	
Rubella, congenital syndrome	_	_	_	2	_	_	1	1	
SARS-CoV ^S ,****	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
5mallpox ⁵	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome ⁵	2	106	1	161	157	132	125	129	CT (1), VA (1)
Syphilis, congenital (age <1 yr) ***********************************	_	96	8	423	431	430	349	329	
[etanus	_	1	0	18	19	28	41	27	
「oxic-shock syndrome (staphylococcal) [§]	_	41	2	74	71	92	101	90	
Trichinellosis	_	1	0	13	39	5	15	16	
Tularemia	1	40	5	93	123	137	95	154	CA (1)
Typhoid fever	8	193	8	397	449	434	353	324	MD (1), VA (2), NV (1), CA (4)
Vancomycin-intermediate Staphylococcus aureus§	1	55	1	78	63	37	6	2	NY (1)
Vancomycin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus§		1	_	1	_	2	1	3	• •
Vibriosis (noncholera <i>Vibrio</i> species infections) [§]	14	214	13	789	588	549	NN	NN	OH (1), MD (2), VA (1), FL (6), CO (1), CA (3)
Viral hemorrhagic fever ^{§§§§}		1	_	NN	NN	NN	NN	NN	() () () () () ()
Yellow fever	_		_		_	_	_	_	

See Table I footnotes on next page.

TABLE I. (Continued) Provisional cases of infrequently reported notifiable diseases (<1,000 cases reported during the preceding year) — United States, week ending July 24, 2010 (29th week)*

- —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts.
 - * Incidence data for reporting years 2009 and 2010 are provisional, whereas data for 2005 through 2008 are finalized.
 - † Calculated by summing the incidence counts for the current week, the 2 weeks preceding the current week, and the 2 weeks following the current week, for a total of 5 preceding years. Additional information is available at http://www.cdc.gov/ncphi/disss/nndss/phs/files/5yearweeklyaverage.pdf.
 - § Not reportable in all states. Data from states where the condition is not reportable are excluded from this table except starting in 2007 for the domestic arboviral diseases, STD data, TB data, and influenza-associated pediatric mortality, and in 2003 for SARS-CoV. Reporting exceptions are available at http://www.cdc.gov/ncphi/disss/nndss/phs/infdis.htm.
- Includes both neuroinvasive and nonneuroinvasive. Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases (ArboNET Surveillance). Data for West Nile virus are available in Table II.
- ** Data for H. influenzae (all ages, all serotypes) are available in Table II.
- ^{††} Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention. Implementation of HIV reporting influences the number of cases reported. Updates of pediatric HIV data have been temporarily suspended until upgrading of the national HIV/AIDS surveillance data management system is completed. Data for HIV/AIDS, when available, are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.
- 55 Updated weekly from reports to the Influenza Division, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases. Since April 26, 2009, a total of 286 influenza-associated pediatric deaths associated with 2009 influenza A (H1N1) virus infection have been reported. Since August 30, 2009, a total of 279 influenza-associated pediatric deaths occurring during the 2009–10 influenza season have been reported. A total of 133 influenza-associated pediatric deaths occurring during the 2008-09 influenza season have been reported.
- $\P\P$ The one measles case reported for the current week was imported.
- *** Data for meningococcal disease (all serogroups) are available in Table II.
- thi CDC discontinued reporting of individual confirmed and probable cases of 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus infections on July 24, 2009. During 2009, three cases of novel influenza A virus infections, unrelated to the 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus, were reported to CDC. The one case of novel influenza A virus infection reported to CDC during 2010 was identified as swine influenza A (H3N2) virus and is unrelated to pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus.
- 585 In 2009, Q fever acute and chronic reporting categories were recognized as a result of revisions to the Q fever case definition. Prior to that time, case counts were not differentiated with respect to acute and chronic Q fever cases.
- ¶¶¶ No rubella cases were reported for the current week.
- **** Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases.
- †††† Updated weekly from reports to the Division of STD Prevention, National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention.
- \$555 There was one case of viral hemorrhagic fever reported during week 12. The one case report was confirmed as lassa fever. See Table II for dengue hemorrhagic fever.

FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals July 24, 2010, with historical data



^{*} Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

Notifiable Disease Data Team a	nd 122 Cities Mortality Data Team
Patsy A. I	Hall-Baker
Deborah A. Adams	Rosaline Dhara
Willie J. Anderson	Pearl C. Sharp
Michael S. Wodajo	Lenee Blanton
,	

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 24, 2010, and July 25, 2009 (29th week)*

		Chlamydi	a trachomatis	infection			Cryp	tosporidiosis		
Dan autin n ausa	Current	Previous 5		Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5		Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2010	2009	week	Med	Max	2010	2009
Jnited States	11,294	22,408	26,080	605,318	699,417	107	121	284	3,156	3,303
New England	845	756	1,396	21,693	22,190	3	8	47	209	205
Connecticut Maine [†]	271 40	216 48	736 75	5,469 1,370	6,536 1,383	<u> </u>	0 1	41 4	41 34	38 20
Massachusetts	483	395	638	11,140	10,519		3	15	59	72
New Hampshire	_	39	119	1,186	1,156	_	1	6	31	34
Rhode Island [†]	_	70	130	1,821	1,940	_	0	8	8	4
Vermont [†]	51	23	63	707	656	2	1	9	36	37
Mid. Atlantic	1,646	3,174	4,619	90,449	87,146	19	15	38	358	379
New Jersey	385	447	648	12,669	13,809	_	0	5	— 75	24
New York (Upstate) New York City	697 —	657 1,179	2,530 2,144	18,250 33,886	16,046 32,843	5	3 1	16 5	35	80 47
Pennsylvania	564	867	1,092	25,644	24,448	14	9	19	248	228
E.N. Central	995	3,581	4,413	94,047	113,290	20	29	73	743	797
Illinois	22	882	1,322	20,270	34,638	_	3	7	86	77
Indiana	_	351	773	9,575	13,252	_	4	11	88	144
Michigan	663	889	1,417	26,808	26,181	4	6	11	161	137
Ohio Wisconsin	89 221	966 407	1,077 495	26,048 11,346	27,323 11,896	6 10	7 9	16 39	201 207	215 224
W.N. Central lowa	253 5	1,354 183	1,651	36,875	39,625	19	22 4	59 13	533 126	483 112
Kansas	2	191	294 381	5,440 5,108	5,462 5,811	3	2	6	57	46
Minnesota	_	271	337	7,264	8,109	_	4	31	98	120
Missouri	211	490	606	13,711	14,691	10	3	18	116	91
Nebraska [†]		95	237	2,667	2,945	6	2	9	70	48
North Dakota	24	35	93	1,061	928	_	0	18	12	6
South Dakota	11	60	82	1,624	1,679	_	2	10	54	60
S. Atlantic	2,625	3,847	5,681	99,879	143,654	14	18	51	495	526
Delaware District of Columbia	84	87 100	156 178	2,371 2,613	2,679 4,075	_	0	2 1	3 2	2 5
Florida	758	1,405	1,669	40,371	41,631	6	8	24	198	164
Georgia	3	411	1,323	7,656	23,073	1	5	31	170	207
Maryland [†]	524	452	1,031	12,652	12,628	1	1	3	17	24
North Carolina	_	0	908		24,465		1	6	11	58
South Carolina [†] Virginia [†]	565 594	524 592	747 902	15,233 16,965	15,632 17,369	4 2	1 2	7 7	37 51	26 33
West Virginia	97	67	137	2,018	2,102		0	2	6	33 7
E.S. Central	438	1,708	2,389	46,642	52,592	5	4	10	112	100
Alabama [†]	438	475	654	13,709	15,643	_	1	5	41	34
Kentucky	_	321	642	8,561	6,825	3	1	6	38	25
Mississippi	_	424	784	10,017	13,584	_	0	3	6	8
Tennessee [†]	_	556	734	14,355	16,540	2	1	5	27	33
W.S. Central	2,101	2,915	4,578	82,915	92,644	_	8	40	157	195
Arkansas [†]	356	237	402	5,173	8,019	_	1	4	17	22
Louisiana Oklahoma	 251	259 262	1,055 1,564	2,922 8,267	16,784 8,403	_	1 2	6 9	18 41	23 44
Texas [†]	1,494	2,143	3,208	66,553	59,438	_	5	30	81	106
Mountain	557	1,523	2,118	39,580	41,446	9	9	25	247	269
Arizona	88	488	713	12,394	14,418	_	Ó	3	15	23
Colorado	_	401	709	10,110	8,568	5	2	10	69	68
Idaho†	109	64	192	1,700	1,960	3	2	6	47	41
Montana [†] Nevada [†]	 156	58 175	74 478	1,583 5,463	1,708 5,464	_	1 0	4 2	29 8	23 9
New Mexico†	155	173	478	3,463	4,742	_	2	8	38	74
Utah	42	117	175	3,364	3,498	1	1	4	31	16
Wyoming [†]	7	35	70	994	1,088	_	0	2	10	15
Pacific	1,834	3,503	5,350	93,238	106,830	18	12	27	302	349
Alaska	_	105	146	3,125	2,972	_	0	1	2	3
California	1,560	2,744	4,406	75,602	81,947	13	8	20	181	195
Hawaii Oregon	_	112 143	159 468	2,825 1,367	3,448 6,099		0 2	0 10	— 79	1 109
Washington	 274	388	468 638	10,319	12,364	3	1	8	79 40	41
American Samoa	2/7	0	0	10,517	12,304	N	0	0	N	
American Samoa C.N.M.I.	_			_	_	N —			N	N
Guam	_	4	31	139	223	_	0	0	_	_
Puerto Rico	_	94	266	2,694	4,529	N	0	0	N	N
J.S. Virgin Islands	_	8	15	132	322	_	0	0	_	_

C.N.M.l.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.
* Incidence data for reporting years 2009 and 2010 are provisional. Data for HIV/AIDS, AIDS, and TB, when available, are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.
† Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 24, 2010, and July 25, 2009 (29th week)*

Reporting area United States New England Connecticut Maine Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island Vermont Mid. Atlantic New Jersey New York (Upstate) New York City Pennsylvania E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska North Dakota South Dakota S. Atlantic Delaware	Current week	Previous Med 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Dengue Feve 52 weeks Max 10 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 4	Cum 2010 112 1 - 1 - -	Cum 2009 NN NN NN NN NN	Current week — — —	Dengue H Previous S Med 0 0 0 0 0	Max 1 0 0	Fever [§] Cum 2010 1	Cum 2009 NN NN
Reporting area United States New England Connecticut Maine Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island Vermont Widen Mid. Atlantic New Jersey New York (Upstate) New York City Pennsylvania E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska North Dakota South Dakota	week	Med 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Max 10 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 4	2010 112 1 - 1 - -	2009 NN NN NN NN	week 	0 0 0	Max 1 0 0	2010 1 —	2009 NN NN
Reporting area United States New England Connecticut Maine* Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island* Vermont* Mid. Atlantic New Jersey New York (Upstate) New York City Pennsylvania E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska* North Dakota South Dakota	week	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	2010 112 1 - 1 - -	NN NN NN NN	week 	0 0 0	1 0 0	2010 1 —	2009 NN NN
New England Connecticut Maine* Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island* Vermont* Mid. Atlantic New Jersey New York (Upstate) New York (Upstate) New York City Pennsylvania E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska* North Dakota South Dakota		0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 0 0 0	1 1 - -	NN NN NN NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Connecticut Maine* Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island* Vermont* Mid. Atlantic New Jersey New York (Upstate) New York City Pennsylvania E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska* North Dakota South Dakota S. Atlantic		0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0	1 - -	NN NN NN		0	0		
Maine® Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island® Vermont® Mid. Atlantic New Jersey New York (Upstate) New York City Pennsylvania E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska® North Dakota South Dakota		0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0	1 — —	NN NN				_	
Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island 1 Vermont 1 Mid. Atlantic New Jersey New York (Upstate) New York (ity Pennsylvania E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska 1 North Dakota South Dakota		0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	_ _ _	NN		U	0	_	NN NN
New Hampshire Rhode Island [¶] Vermont [¶] Mid. Atlantic New Jersey New York (Upstate) New York (Upstate) New York Tity Pennsylvania E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska [¶] North Dakota South Dakota		0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 4	_			0	0	_	NN
Vermont [¶] Mid. Atlantic New Jersey New York (Upstate) New York City Pennsylvania E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska [¶] North Dakota South Dakota		0 0 0 0	0			_	Ö	Ö	_	NN
Mid. Atlantic New Jersey New York (Upstate) New York City Pennsylvania E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska® North Dakota South Dakota	_ _ _ _ _	0 0 0 0	4	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
New Jersey New York (Upstate) New York City Pennsylvania E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska [¶] North Dakota South Dakota	_ _ _ _	0 0 0			NN	_	0	0	_	NN
New York (Upstate) New York City Pennsylvania E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska¶ North Dakota South Dakota	_ _ _ _	0		27	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
New York City Pennsylvania E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska [¶] North Dakota South Dakota	_ _ _	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Pennsylvania E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska [¶] North Dakota South Dakota			0 4	23	NN NN	_	0	0	_	NN NN
E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska¶ North Dakota South Dakota	_	0	2	25 4	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska® North Dakota South Dakota	_	0	2	5	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska [¶] North Dakota South Dakota		0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Michigan Ohio Wisconsin W.N. Central lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska [¶] North Dakota South Dakota		0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Wisconsin W.N. Central lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska [¶] North Dakota South Dakota S. Atlantic	_	Ö	0	_	NN	_	Ö	0	_	NN
W.N. Central lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska [¶] North Dakota South Dakota	_	0	2	5	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska [¶] North Dakota South Dakota S. Atlantic	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska [¶] North Dakota South Dakota S. Atlantic	_	0	1	1	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Minnesota Missouri Nebraska [¶] North Dakota South Dakota S. Atlantic	_	0	1	1	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Missouri Nebraska [¶] North Dakota South Dakota S. Atlantic	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Nebraska [¶] North Dakota South Dakota S. Atlantic	_	0	0 0	_	NN NN	_	0	0	_	NN NN
North Dakota South Dakota S. Atlantic	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
S. Atlantic	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Delaware	_	0	9	67	NN	_	0	1	1	NN
	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
District of Columbia	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Florida	_	0	8	59	NN	_	0	1	1	NN
Georgia Maryland [¶]	_	0	2 0	4	NN NN	_	0	0	_	NN NN
North Carolina	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
South Carolina [¶]	_	0	1	3	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Virginia [¶]	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
West Virginia	_	0	1	1	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
E.S. Central	_	0	1	1	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Alabama [¶]	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Kentucky	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Mississippi Tennessee [¶]	_	0	0 1	_ 1	NN NN	_	0	0	_	NN NN
W.S. Central Arkansas [¶]	_	0	0	_	NN NN	_	0	0	_	NN NN
Louisiana	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Oklahoma	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Texas [¶]	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Mountain	_	0	1	3	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Arizona	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Colorado	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
ldaho [¶] Montana [¶]	_	0	0 1	_ 1	NN NN	_	0 0	0	_	NN NN
Nevada¶	_	0	1	1	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
New Mexico [¶]	_	0	1	1	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Utah	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Wyoming [¶]	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Pacific	_	0	2	7	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Alaska	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
California	_	0	1	4	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Hawaii	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Oregon Washington	_	0	0 2		NN NN	_	0	0	_	NN NN
=	-		4	3	1414	_	U	U	_	ININ
American Samoa C.N.M.I.		^	0		NINI		^	0		
Guam	_	0	0	_	NN NN	_	0	0	_	NN
Puerto Rico		_	_		NN	_	_	_	_ _ _	NN NN
U.S. Virgin Islands	_ _ _			_					_	NN

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.
* Incidence data for reporting years 2009 and 2010 are provisional.
† Dengue Fever includes cases that meet criteria for Dengue Fever with hemorrhage.

§ DHF includes cases that meet criteria for dengue shock syndrome (DSS), a more severe form of DHF.

¶ Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 24, 2010, and July 25, 2009 (29th week)*

	Ehrlichiosis/Anaplasmosis [†]								smosis†	mosis†							
		Ehrli	chia chaffe	ensis		A	naplasmo	phagocyt	ophilum			Unde	etermined				
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current -	Previous 5	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	2 weeks	Cum	Cum		
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2010	2009	week	Med	Max	2010	2009	week	Med	Max	2010	2009		
United States	18	11	181	227	487	10	13	309	206	491	3	2	35	37	108		
New England	_	0	6	3	24	_	2	22	24	135	_	0	1	2	2		
Connecticut Maine [§]	_	0	0 1		3	_	0	13 2		1 10	_	0	0	_	_		
Massachusetts	_	0	2	_	5	_	0	4		73	_	0	0	_	_		
New Hampshire Rhode Island [§]	_	0	1 4	1	3 13	_	0	3 20	10	14 37	_	0	1 0	2	1 1		
Vermont [§]	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_		
Mid. Atlantic New Jersey	2	1 0	15 6	20	89 57	10	3 0	17 5	78 1	150 56	_	0	3 0	1	30		
New York (Upstate)	2	1	15	14	19	10	2	17	77	89	_	0	1	1	3		
New York City Pennsylvania	_	0	1 5	5 1	5 8	_	0	1 1	_	4 1	_	0	0 3	_	1 26		
E.N. Central	_	0	7	11	60	_	3	13	72	191	1	1	5	16	48		
Illinois	_	0	3	6	27	_	0	1	_	4	_	0	1	1	3		
Indiana Michigan	_	0	0 1	_		_	0	0	_	_	1	0	2 1	10 2	26 —		
Ohio	_	0	2	_	6	_	0	0	_	1	_	0	1	_	2		
Wisconsin	 6	0 2	3 23	5 63	25 96	_	3 0	13 261	72 6	186 1	_ 1	0	3 30	3 11	17 9		
W.N. Central lowa	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_		0	0	_	_		
Kansas	_	0	1	4	6	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_		
Minnesota Missouri	6	0 1	6 22	 58	— 89	_	0	261 3	_ 6	_ 1	_ 1	0	30 4	— 11	2 7		
Nebraska [§]	_	0	1	1	1	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_		
North Dakota South Dakota	_	0	0 0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_		
S. Atlantic	7	3	22	78	132	_	0	4	20	10	_	0	2	1	2		
Delaware District of Columbia	1	0	3 0	12	11	_	0	1 0	4	2	_	0	0	_	_		
Florida	_	0	2	7	7	_	0	1	1	1	_	0	0	_	_		
Georgia Maryland [§]	_ 1	0	2	9 10	13 28	_	0	1 2	1 8	1 2	_	0	1 0	1	_		
North Carolina		0	9	7	33	_	0	1	1	2	_	0	0	_	_		
South Carolina [§] Virginia [§]	 5	0 1	2 13	2 31	6 33	_	0	0 2	 5		_	0	0 2	_			
West Virginia	_	0	0	_	1	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_		
E.S. Central	3	1	11	40	65	_	0	2	6	2	_	0	5	5	17		
Alabama [§] Kentucky	_	0	3 2	5 6	2 7	_	0	1 0	2	_	_	0	0	_	_		
Mississippi	_	0	1	1	5	_	0	1	1	_	_	0	0	_	_		
Tennessee [§]	3	1 0	10 141	28 11	51 19	_	0	1 23	3	2 1	 1	0	5 1	5 1	17		
W.S. Central Arkansas [§]	_	0	34		2	_	0	6	_	_		0	0		_		
Louisiana Oklahoma	_	0	0 105	_ 10	 16	_	0	0 16	_	<u> </u>	_	0	0	_	_		
Texas [§]	_	0	2	10	10	_	0	1	_		1	0	1	1	_		
Mountain	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_		
Arizona Colorado	_	0	0 0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1 0	_	_		
Idaho [§]	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_		
Montana [§] Nevada [§]	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_		
New Mexico [§]	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_		
Utah Wyoming [§]	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_		
Pacific	_	0	1	1	2	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	1	_	_		
Alaska	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_		
California Hawaii	_	0	1 0	1	2	_	0	1 0	_	1	_	0	1 0	_	_		
Oregon Washington	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_		
Washington American Samoa	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_		
C.N.M.I.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Guam Puerto Rico	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_		
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_		

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.
* Incidence data for reporting years 2009 and 2010 are provisional.
† Cumulative total *E. ewingii* cases reported for year 2010 = 4.
§ Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 24, 2010, and July 25, 2009 (29th week)*

			Giardiasis	i				Gonorrhe	a		Ha	emophilus i All ages,	nfluenzae, , all seroty		
Dan autin - aura	Current		52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current			Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5		Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	_ Med	Max	2010	2009	week	Med	Max	2010	2009	week	Med	Max	2010	2009
United States	237	340	666	8,906	9,372	2,697	5,109	6,656	137,311	168,867	24	56	171	1,668	1,783
New England Connecticut	14 1	31 5	65 15	746 130	775 149	99 44	99 45	196 169	2,936 1,380	2,700 1,256	3 2	3 0	21 15	101 22	118 36
Maine§	10	4	13	108	102	_	3	11	104	76	_	0	2	8	15
Massachusetts	_	13	36	311	326	49	40	72	1,204	1,098	_	2	8	52	54
New Hampshire Rhode Island [§]	_	3 1	11 7	69 34	93 32	_	2 5	7 13	78 134	61 185	_	0	2 2	7 7	6 3
Vermont [§]	3	4	14	94	73	6	1	17	36	24	1	0	1	5	4
Mid. Atlantic	36	61	112	1,502	1,734	337	648	941	18,194	16,819	5	12	34	340	335
New Jersey	_	7	15	160	238	76	99	138	2,696	2,591	_	2	7	49	82
New York (Upstate) New York City	24 7	23 16	84 26	560 412	634 452	108	104 216	422 394	2,912 6,326	2,799 6,048	1	3 2	20 6	90 64	77 38
Pennsylvania	5	15	37	370	410	153	209	277	6,260	5,381	4	4	9	137	138
E.N. Central	27	50	92	1,341	1,450	361	1,003	1,536	25,390	35,937	_	9	20	281	285
Illinois	_	11	22	264	317	6	208	441	4,580	11,540	_	2	9	79	108
Indiana	_ 3	6 13	14 25	121 328	138 343	268	95 248	183 502	2,663 7,567	4,306 8,425	_	1 0	6 4	51 19	50 15
Michigan Ohio	20	17	28	450	343 419	266	315	372	8,125	8,700	_	2	6	70	65
Wisconsin	4	7	23	178	233	61	89	193	2,455	2,966	_	2	5	62	47
W.N. Central	12	26	165	754	874	76	274	367	7,313	8,409	1	3	24	98	101
lowa	2	5	13	143	161	1	31	54	856	957	_	0	1	1	
Kansas Minnesota	_	4	14 135	111 136	70 250	1	39 41	83 64	1,035 1,028	1,425 1,315	_ 1	0	2 17	8 25	11 30
Missouri	4	9	27	197	249	73	122	172	3,531	3,712		1	6	45	39
Nebraska [§]	6	3	9	114	94	_	23	54	622	728	_	0	2	11	16
North Dakota South Dakota	_	0	8 10	12 41	7 43	_ 1	2 5	11 16	74 167	69 203	_	0	4 0	8	5
S. Atlantic	56	74	143	2,041	1,957	711	1,076	1,656	27,902	42,346	4	13	27	398	483
Delaware	_	0	3	14	17	26	19	37	542	510	_	0	1	5	3
District of Columbia		1	4	17	38		43	86	1,019	1,565	_	0	1	. 1	2
Florida Georgia	43 3	37 14	87 52	1,063 465	1,032 415	207	378 140	482 494	10,745 2,701	12,015 7,840	2 1	3 3	9 9	114 107	156 97
Maryland [§]	1	5	12	152	148	162	130	237	3,632	3,370		1	6	34	55
North Carolina	N	0	0	N	N		0	325	· · ·	8,254	_	1	6	20	57
South Carolina [§] Virginia [§]	3 6	2 8	7 36	67 247	50 233	183 123	158 162	247 271	4,579 4,429	4,747 3,745	_	2 2	7 4	54 50	39 54
West Virginia	_	0	5	16	233	10	8	19	255	300	1	0	5	13	20
E.S. Central	_	7	22	136	197	127	477	709	12,723	15,080	3	3	12	105	116
Alabama [§]	_	4	13	84	93	127	140	190	4,047	4,310	_	0	3	15	30
Kentucky Mississippi	N N	0	0	N N	N N	_	85 116	156 219	2,181 2,779	1,963 4,260	2	0	2 2	20 9	15 7
Tennessee [§]	_	3	18	52	104		145	206	3,716	4,547	1	2	10	61	64
W.S. Central	8	9	18	193	243	560	803	1,227	21,778	26,803	1	2	20	83	80
Arkansas [§]	2	2	9	59	70	106	72	139	1,527	2,460	_	0	3	12	15
Louisiana Oklahoma	1 5	3	10 10	72 62	104 69	— 83	80 81	343 359	910 2,377	5,480 2,455	_ 1	0 1	3 15	17 48	13 49
Texas [§]	N	0	0	N	N	371	568	962	16,964	16,408		0	2	6	3
Mountain	23	31	64	785	789	76	173	266	4,577	5,007	6	5	15	191	162
Arizona	3	3	7	78	101	15	61	109	1,444	1,634	2	2	10	70	52
Colorado Idabo§	17	13 4	27	387 107	224	_	50	127	1,352	1,559	3	1	5	58 12	49
ldaho ^s Montana [§]	3	2	10 11	107 55	86 64	1	2	8 6	42 61	52 44		0	2 1	12 2	2 1
Nevada [§]	_	1	11	30	58	24	27	94	967	941	_	0	2	5	12
New Mexico [§] Utah	_	1 4	8 13	42	68 151	33 3	19 6	41 15	509 184	571 162	_	1 0	5 4	24 15	20 23
Wyoming [§]	_	1	5	66 20	37	_	1	7	18	44	_	0	2	5	3
Pacific	61	53	133	1,408	1,353	350	564	724	16,498	15,766	1	2	9	71	103
Alaska	_	2	7	50	48	_	23	36	695	492	_	0	2	14	12
California	37	34	61	904	927	312	464	663	14,070	12,969	_	0	4	12	35
Hawaii Oregon	2	0 9	3 17	8 247	13 189	_	10 10	24 43	321 106	358 622	_	0 1	2 5	1 40	24 29
Washington	22	8	75	199	176	38	43	84	1,306	1,325	1	Ö	4	4	3
American Samoa	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
C.N.M.I.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Guam Puerto Rico	_	0 1	1 10	2 11	3 89	_	0 4	4 14	18 129	12 161	_	0	0 1	_ 1	_
FURIORICO			10		0,	_	-7	17	127	101		U			_

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

* Incidence data for reporting years 2009 and 2010 are provisional.

† Data for H. influenzae (age <5 yrs for serotype b, nonserotype b, and unknown serotype) are available in Table I.

§ Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 24, 2010, and July 25, 2009 (29th week)*

							Hepatitis (viral, acute	e), by type	e					
			Α					В					С		
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous :	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	2 weeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2010	2009	week	Med	Max	2010	2009	week	Med	Max	2010	2009
United States	14	31	69	760	1,104	36	58	204	1,542	1,868	12	14	44	413	426
New England Connecticut	_	2	5 2	57 15	56 12	_	1	5 2	30 7	32 8	_	1 0	5 4	18 13	33 25
Maine [†]	_	0	1	4	1	_	0	2	9	7	_	0	1	_	_
Massachusetts New Hampshire	_	1 0	4 1	33	33 5	_	0	2 2	7 5	14 3	_	0	1 0	5	7
Rhode Island [†]	_	0	4	5	3	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	U
Vermont [†]	_	0	0	_	2	_	0	1	2	_	_	0	0	_	1
Mid. Atlantic New Jersey	1	4 0	10 4	100 10	156 43	4	5 1	10 5	160 38	216 65	3	2 0	5 2	59 5	55 3
New York (Upstate)	1	1	3	30	28	3	1	6	30	37	2	1	3	35	29
New York City Pennsylvania	_	1 1	5 6	31 29	46 39	_ 1	1 1	4 5	47 45	39 75	_ 1	0	1 3	— 19	2 21
E.N. Central	_	4	10	92	178	1	8	15	236	268	1	2	6	82	62
Illinois	_	1	6	18	79	_	2	6	49	64	_	0	1	1	3
Indiana Michigan	_	0 1	2 4	8 29	13 41	_	1 2	5 6	30 62	44 85	_ 1	0 1	2 6	15 59	13 21
Ohio	_	0	4	17	26	1	2	6	63	60	_	0	1	5	22
Wisconsin	_	0 1	3 10	20 27	19 71	1	1	3 15	32 73	15 72	_ 1	0	1 11	2 15	3 7
W.N. Central lowa	_	0	3	4	22		0	3	10	20		0	4	1	3
Kansas	_	0	2	8	7	_	0	2	4	4	_	0	0	_	1
Minnesota Missouri	_	0	8 3	1 12	13 11	_ 1	0 1	13 5	2 47	12 25	_ 1	0	9 1	3 9	1 —
Nebraska†	_	0	2	2	16	_	0	2	9	10	_	0	1	2	2
North Dakota South Dakota	_	0	1 1	_		_	0	0 1	<u> </u>	_ 1	_	0	1 1	_	_
S. Atlantic	6	7	13	171	232	14	16	40	429	514	4	3	7	75	99
Delaware District of Columbia	_	0	1 1	5 1	3 1	_	1	2 2	17 2	18 7	U —	0	0 1	U 2	U
Florida	5	3	8	70	104	10	5	11	170	174	3	1	4	29	23
Georgia Maryland [†]	1	1 0	3 4	22 12	28 26	2 1	3 1	7 6	85 32	80 48	_ 1	0	2	6 14	26 13
North Carolina	_	0	4	11	25		1	4	32 4	68		0	4	9	13
South Carolina [†]	_	1 1	4	22	29	_	1	4 14	31	27	_	0	0	_	1 7
Virginia [†] West Virginia	_	0	6 2	27 1	16 —	1	2	14	56 32	48 44	_	0	2	9 6	16
E.S. Central	_	1	3	20	25	1	7	13	170	190	_	2	7	73	56
Alabama [†] Kentucky	_	0	1 2	5 9	6 4	_ 1	1 2	5 6	35 56	57 45	_	0	2 5	3 50	5 35
Mississippi	_	0	1	_	7		0	3	16	17	_	0	0	_	U
Tennessee [†]	_	0	2	6	8	_	2	6	63	71	_	0	4	20	16
W.S. Central Arkansas†	_	3 0	19 3	76 —	105 5	7	9 1	109 4	226 28	308 39	_	1 0	14 1	30	31 1
Louisiana	_	0	2	6	2	_	1	5	23	35	_	0	1	3	5
Oklahoma Texas [†]	_	0 2	3 18	 70	1 97	1 6	1 5	19 87	40 135	50 184	_	0	12 3	14 13	4 21
Mountain	_	3	8	85	86	2	2	6	59	84	_	1	4	22	32
Arizona	_	1	5	45	35	_	0	2	20	33	_	0	0	_	U
Colorado Idaho [†]	_	0	4 2	14 5	28 2	_	0	1	3 4	16 5	_	0	2	2 7	20 2
Montana [†]	_	0	1	4	4	_	0	1	1	_	_	0	0	_	1
Nevada [†] New Mexico [†]	_	0	2 1	7 3	7 6	2	1 0	3 1	24 2	17 5	_	0	1 2	2 6	2 5
Utah	_	0	2	4	3	_	0	1	5	4	_	0	1	5	2
Wyoming [†]		0 5	3 16	3 132	1 195	6	0 6	0 20	— 159	4 184	3	0 1	0 6	39	— 51
Pacific Alaska	_	0	1	132	2	_	0	1	1	2	_	0	2		U
California	6	4	15	104	147	3	4	16	106	133	_	0	4	19	25
Hawaii Oregon	1	0 0	2 2	1 14	8 10	_	0 1	1 4	 28	4 24	_	0	0 3	8	U 14
Washington	_	0	2	12	28	3	1	4	24	21	3	0	6	12	12
American Samoa	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
C.N.M.I. Guam	_	0	6	12	4	_		6	24	<u> </u>	_	0	6	22	30
Puerto Rico	_	0	2	3	20	_	0	5	8	21	_	0	0	_	_
U.S. Virgin Islands		0	0				0	0				0	0		

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.
* Incidence data for reporting years 2009 and 2010 are provisional.

† Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 24, 2010, and July 25, 2009 (29th week)*

			egionellos	SIS				me diseas	ie				//alaria		
Dan autiu u aua	Current	Previous !		Cum	Cum	Current -		52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5		Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2010	2009	week	Med	Max	2010	2009	week	Med	Max	2010	2009
United States	32	58	174	1,328	1,483	407	438	2,336	10,759	20,525	18	25	89	599	690
New England Connecticut	_	3 1	18 4	54 16	91 27	60 4	121 43	677 214	2,728 1,071	7,624 2,720	_	1 0	4 1	33 1	30 4
Maine [†]		0	3	4	2	48	13	76	277	2,720	_	0	1	5	1
Massachusetts	_	1	7	22	51	_	37	298	683	3,438	_	1	3	21	19
New Hampshire	_	0	3	3	5	_	21	61	529	882	_	0	1	1	2
Rhode Island [†] Vermont [†]		0	4 2	5 4	4 2	8	1 4	29 45	23 145	103 208	_	0	1 1	4 1	2 2
Mid. Atlantic	9	16	73	330	521	291	198	989	5,438	8,444	3	7	17	168	195
New Jersey	_	2	14	35	98	_	44	274	1,242	3,305	_	0	5	1	56
New York (Upstate)	7	5	29	110	135	188	56	577	1,405	1,613	1	1	4	36	27
New York City		2	14	52	114	102	1	53	3 700	549	2	4	12	101	80
Pennsylvania	6	6 11	20 41	133 277	174 273	103 4	74 23	470 177	2,788 698	2,977 1,830		1 2	3 12	30 64	32 93
E.N. Central Illinois	_	1	11	34	40	_	23 1	117	22	86	_	1	7	20	43
Indiana	_	2	6	48	29	_	1	6	29	45	_	0	4	7	9
Michigan	_	2	13	45	50	3	1	9	39	34	_	0	3	11	13
Ohio	6	5	17	121	121	_	1	5	14	18	2	0	6	23	23
Wisconsin		1 2	6 19	29 62	33 58	1	18 3	162	594 48	1,647 122		0 1	2 11	3 30	5 32
W.N. Central lowa	3 —	0	3	62 4	58 13	_	0	1,395 7	48 31	122 79	_	0	1	30 7	32 5
Kansas	_	0	2	5	4	_	0	1	5	14	_	0	1	3	3
Minnesota	3	0	16	21	6	_	0	1,380	_	26	_	0	11	3	13
Missouri	_	1	5	21	27	_	0	1	3	1	2	0	1	7	7
Nebraska [†] North Dakota	_	0	2 1	4	7 1	_	0	2 15	6 2	1	_	0	2 1	8	3
South Dakota		0	1	4		_	0	1	1	1	_	0	2	2	1
S. Atlantic	7	10	24	253	264	48	62	231	1,649	2,303	6	6	15	149	198
Delaware	_	0	3	10	8	4	12	58	388	572	_	0	1	2	2
District of Columbia	_	0	4	12	13	_	0	4	10	39	_	0	3	7	7
Florida Georgia	3	4 1	10 4	95 24	82 27	_ 1	2	11 2	39 5	22 32	2	2	7 4	61 3	50 43
Maryland [†]	3	3	12	58	67	27	27	134	717	1,126	1	1	13	31	49
North Carolina	_	0	4	2	32	_	0	5	12	54	_	0	3	5	18
South Carolina [†]	_	0	2	5	4	_	1	3	18	19	_	0	1	3	1
Virginia [†] West Virginia	1	1 0	6 3	38 9	29 2	13 3	14 0	79 33	441 19	376 63	2 1	1 0	5 2	36 1	26 2
E.S. Central	1	2	12	69	60	_	1	4	28	14	1	0	3	13	24
Alabama [†]		0	2	7	9	_	0	1	_	2		0	2	2	6
Kentucky	_	0	3	13	24	_	0	1	1	1	_	0	3	3	7
Mississippi	_	0	2	7	4	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	3
Tennessee [†]	1	1	9	42	23	_	1	4	27	11	1	0	1	8	8
W.S. Central Arkansas [†]	1	2	14 2	45 8	57 4	_	3 0	44 0	34	82	_	1 0	31 1	49 1	23 3
Louisiana		0	3	3	5	_	0	0		_	_	0	1		4
Oklahoma	1	0	4	8	3	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	1	3	_
Texas [†]	_	1	10	26	45	_	3	42	34	82	_	1	30	45	16
Mountain	1	3	8	84	64	_	0	4	8	34	1	1	6	25	25
Arizona Colorado	1	1 1	5 5	29 19	24 10	_	0	1 1	2 1	3	_ 1	0	3 2	14 4	3 18
Idaho [†]	_	0	2	1	1	_	0	3	2	9		0	1	_	1
Montana [†]	_	0	1	4	4	_	0	1	_	2	_	0	3	1	1
Nevada [†]	_	0	2	16	8	_	0	1	_	10	_	0	1	3	_
New Mexico [†] Utah	_	0	2	3 9	1 15	_	0	1 1	1 2	3 6	_	0	0 1	3	2
Wyoming [†]	_	0	2	3	1	_	Ö	1	_	1	_	0	0	_	_
Pacific	4	5	19	154	95	4	5	10	128	72	3	3	19	68	70
Alaska	_	0	1	1	1	_	0	1	1	4	_	0	1	2	2
California	3	3 0	19	132	73 1	4 N	3 0	9 0	86 N	42 N	3	1 0	13 0	43	52
Hawaii Oregon	_	0	1 3	1 8	1 7	N	1	4	N 36	N 23	_	0	1	 6	1 8
Washington	1	0	4	12	13	_	0	3	5	3	_	0	5	17	7
American Samoa	_	0	0	_	_	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	0	_	_
C.N.M.I.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Guam	_	0	0	_	_		0	0			_	0	0	_	_
Puerto Rico	_	0	1	_	_	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	1	1	3
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.
* Incidence data for reporting years 2009 and 2010 are provisional.
† Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 24, 2010, and July 25, 2009 (29th week)*

	ı	Meningoco	ccal disea:		e [†]			Pertussis				Rabi	ies, animal		
	Current	Previous					Previous	52 weeks	C	<u> </u>		Previous 5	52 weeks	<u> </u>	
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	Cum 2010	Cum 2009	Current week	Med	Max	Cum 2010	Cum 2009	Current week	Med	Max	Cum 2010	Cum 2009
United States	5	- 16	43	448	594	187	277	1,756	7,342	8,295	56	63	147	1,560	2,887
New England	_	0	2	10	23	_	7	21	165	390	2	5	24	136	193
Connecticut Maine [§]	_	0	2 1	1	3 3	_	1 0	5 5	30 18	24 66	_ 1	1	22 4	59 33	80 33
Massachusetts	_	0	1	2	11	_	4	11	96	228	_	0	0	_	_
New Hampshire Rhode Island [§]	_	0	1 0	_	1 4	_	0	3 8	6 12	50 14	_	0 1	2 5	3 12	23 24
Vermont [§]	_	0	1	4	1	_	0	1	3	8	1	1	5	29	33
Mid. Atlantic	1	1	4	40	67	38	21	41	533	650	18	11	26	385	322
New Jersey New York (Upstate)	_	0	2	9 9	11	 25	3 7	10 27	47 224	138 104	 18	0 9	0 22	 280	— 216
New York City	_	0	2	9	16 12		0	11	38	51		2	12	105	6
Pennsylvania	1	0	2	13	28	13	8	22	224	357	_	0	0	_	100
E.N. Central	1	2	8	73	105	42	65	120	1,879	1,678	8	2	19	109	101
Illinois Indiana	_	0	4	13 17	26 23	_	11 8	26 21	306 234	397 196	6	1 0	9 5	55 —	33 19
Michigan	_	0	2	10	17	6	20	41	501	345	2	1	6	33	30
Ohio Wisconsin	1	0	2	19 14	25 14	34 2	19 4	46 11	676 162	636 104	_	0	5 0	21	19
W.N. Central		2	6	35	45	24	25	627	574	1,301	3	5	18	137	221
lowa	_	0	3	8	7	_	5	23	198	140	_	0	2	7	21
Kansas	_	0	2	4	7		3	9 601	74	147	_	1	4	37	53
Minnesota Missouri	_	0	2	2 15	9 15	11 6	0 9	35	32 171	267 623	3	1	9 5	17 40	29 25
Nebraska [§]	_	0	2	5	5	7	2	9	75	95	_	1	6	30	56
North Dakota South Dakota	_	0	1 2	1		_	0 1	9 6	6 18	15 14	_	0	7 4	6	4 33
S. Atlantic	_	3	6	82	108	16	22	63	577	895	21	25	58	597	1,285
Delaware	_	0	1	1	2	_	0	3	5	8	_	0	0	_	_
District of Columbia Florida	_	0	0 5	— 42	— 36		0 5	1 28	3 154	3 285	_	0	0 32	— 62	— 161
Georgia	_	0	1	6	21		3	8	98	153	_	0	14	—	243
Maryland [§]	_	0	1	4	6	1	2	8	55	75	8	6	15	194	208
North Carolina South Carolina [§]	_	0	2 1	5 7	20 9	 5	0 5	10 19	— 169	126 135	_	0	17 0	_	286
Virginia [§]	_	0	2	15	10	2	4	15	81	102	10	10	26	297	319
West Virginia	_	0	2	2	4	1	0	6	12	472	3	2	6	44	68
E.S. Central Alabama [§]	_	0	4 2	22 4	21 6	3	14 4	31 16	392 114	472 178	_	2	7 4	64 25	95 —
Kentucky	_	0	2	10	4	2	4	15	137	133	_	0	4	10	30
Mississippi Tennessee [§]	_	0	1 2	2 6	2 9	_ 1	1 4	6 10	28 113	45 116	_	0 1	1 6	 29	2 63
W.S. Central		1	9	53	50	37	64	753	1,534	1,717	1	2	40	29	479
Arkansas [§]	_	0	2	5	5	1	4	29	62	192	_	0	10	13	28
Louisiana	_	0	4	10	10	_	1	7	16	106	_	0	0	_	_
Oklahoma Texas [§]	_	0 1	7 7	14 24	4 31	36	0 54	41 681	17 1,439	17 1,402	1	0	15 30	8	7 444
Mountain	_	1	5	38	46	14	19	41	560	551	1	1	8	27	55
Arizona	_	0	2	9	10	_	7	14	198	120	_	0	5	_	_
Colorado Idaho [§]	_	0	3 1	12 5	13 6	8 5	2	13 19	77 93	148 49	_	0	0 2		_ 1
Montana [§]	_	0	1	1	5	_	1	8	31	15	_	0	4	2	15
Nevada [§] New Mexico [§]	_	0	1 1	7 3	4	1	0 1	7 6	18 36	7 40	_ 1	0	1 3	2 8	2 16
Utah	_	0	1	1	1	_	3	10	103	151		0	2	_	3
Wyoming [§]	_	0	1	_	4	_	0	1	4	21	_	0	3	13	18
Pacific	3	3	16 2	95 1	129 3	13	33 0	186 6	1,128 17	641	2	3 0	12 2	84 11	136 9
Alaska California	1	2	13	59	82	_	21	162	809	29 296		3	2 11	66	121
Hawaii	_	0	2	_	3	_	0	4		20	_	0	0	_	_
Oregon Washington	1 1	1 0	3 7	24 11	28 13	2 11	6 4	16 24	189 113	145 151	_	0	2 0	7	6
American Samoa		0	0		_		0	0	_	_	N	0	0	N	N
C.N.M.I.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Guam	_	0	0 1	_	_	_	0	2 0	_	_ 1	_	0 1	0	 25	 25
Puerto Rico	_														

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

* Incidence data for reporting years 2009 and 2010 are provisional.

† Data for meningococcal disease, invasive caused by serogroups A, C, Y, and W-135; serogroup B; other serogroup; and unknown serogroup are available in Table I.

§ Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 24, 2010, and July 25, 2009 (29th week)*

		S	almonello	sis		Shig	a toxin-pı	oducing E	. coli (STEC	:)†		Sh	igellosis		
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current -	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	52 weeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2010	2009	week	Med	Max	2010	2009	week	Med	Max	2010	2009
United States	718	839	1,555	19,168	22,905	97	75	198	1,798	2,241	175	261	527	7,037	9,114
New England	3	29	265	1,090	1,435	_	3	30	83	154	_	5	36	156	151
Connecticut Maine [§]	_	0 2	249 7	249 59	430 71	_	0	29 2	29 5	67 10	_	0	29 2	29 3	43 2
Massachusetts	_	21	47	578	619		2	6	32	45	_	4	27	110	84
New Hampshire	_	3	9	85	191	_	0	2	11	19	_	0	2	4	10
Rhode Island [§]	_	2	16	88	81	_	0	26	_	_	_	0	7	9	8
Vermont [§]	1 97	1 94	4 208	31 2,453	43 2,649	— 7	0 7	2 24	6 209	13 208	 23	0 35	1 90	1 919	1 721
Mid. Atlantic New Jersey	97	15	208 47	332	2,649 549	_	1	2 4 5	19	60		55 6	23	165	1,721 372
New York (Upstate)	48	24	78	651	591	6	3	15	87	55	11	4	19	100	118
New York City	1	23	46	595	608	_	1	4	24	37	1	7	15	164	245
Pennsylvania	48	29	67	875	901	1	2	9	79	56	11	19	63	490	986
E.N. Central	45 —	82 25	188 94	2,384 841	2,883	7	10 1	29 6	255 25	412	4	27 9	235	992	1,732
Illinois Indiana	_	25 9	22	172	820 325	_	1	9	25 27	110 56	_	1	228 5	575 20	384 45
Michigan	9	15	34	396	561	2	2	16	83	70	_	4	10	115	142
Ohio	35	24	47	729	802	5	2	11	67	64	4	7	34	182	821
Wisconsin	1	10	32	246	375	_	2	8	53	112	_	4	16	100	340
W.N. Central	41	46 7	94	1,173	1,478	7	11	42	318 74	362 88	14	49	88 5	1,564	532
lowa Kansas	4	6	29 20	243 185	229 210	_	3 1	12 5	74 34	38	_	1 3	5 14	31 150	43 143
Minnesota	_	7	32	178	318	_	1	17	31	88	_	0	6	14	42
Missouri	30	13	29	373	312	7	2	29	130	72	14	44	75	1,346	283
Nebraska§	7	4 0	12	112	236	_	1	6	37	50	_	0	3	19	15
North Dakota South Dakota	_	2	39 6	16 66	27 146	_	0	7 12	12	4 22	_	0	5 2	4	3
S. Atlantic	276	247	502	4,919	5,756	9	12	26	280	352	30	39	70	1,028	1,399
Delaware	1	3	9	59	48	_	0	2	3	8	_	3	10	36	48
District of Columbia	_	2	6	37	56	_	0	1	4	2	_	0	4	16	17
Florida	179	126	277	2,426	2,444	7	3	10	108	86	19	12	30	439	243
Georgia Maryland [§]	24 26	39 15	105 32	810 417	1,045 394		1 1	4 6	32 42	41 43	4 2	12 3	25 15	354 60	379 247
North Carolina	_	20	90	230	788	_	0	5	4	69	_	1	18	15	275
South Carolina [§]	31	20	66	427	375	_	0	3	12	19	2	1	5	39	75
Virginia [§]	15	17	68	420	491	_	2	15	67	71	3	3	15	68	110
West Virginia	22	3 49	17 118	93 1,152	115 1,421	6	0 4	5 10	8 112	13 127	4	0 11	2 40	1 381	5 534
E.S. Central Alabama [§]		14	40	301	408	_	1	4	26	31	_	2	10	561 66	104
Kentucky	13	8	29	256	247	2	1	4	21	45	2	4	28	170	131
Mississippi	_	11	42	245	390	_	0	2	10	6	_	1	4	19	21
Tennessee [§]	9	14	33	350	376	4	2	8	55	45	2	5	11	126	278
W.S. Central	45	91	547	1,822	2,453	3	4	68	84	154	54	47	251	1,192	1,758
Arkansas [§] Louisiana	27 7	10 19	35 46	272 438	289 519	1	1 0	5 3	27 6	19 14	1 2	2	10 10	27 123	201 122
Oklahoma	11	10	46	238	290	2	0	27	10	13	8	6	96	163	145
Texas [§]	_	45	477	874	1,355	_	3	41	41	108	43	34	144	879	1,290
Mountain	22	50	133	1,245	1,607	20	7	26	201	275	10	13	39	334	664
Arizona		18	50	398	511	1	1	5	37	35	3	8	32	175	478
Colorado Idaho [§]	17 2	11 3	33 10	315 79	337 94	17 1	2 1	11 7	56 29	97 36	4 2	2	6 3	60 12	48 4
Montana [§]	_	2	7	52	70		1	7	25	15	_	0	1	4	11
Nevada [§]	3	4	14	125	146	1	0	4	12	18	1	1	7	18	34
New Mexico [§]	_	5	40	127	209	_	1	3	15	21	_	1	6	55	74
Utah Wyoming [§]	_	5 1	15 9	127 22	187 53	_	1 0	11 2	22 5	48 5	_	0	4 2	10	14 1
Pacific	167	116	299	2,930	3,223	38	10	46	256	197	36	21	64	471	623
Alaska	_	1	5	46	40	_	0	1	1	1	_	0	2		1
California	131	84	227	2,179	2,468	10	5	35	111	120	10	16	51	379	496
Hawaii	_	4	62	40	148	_	0	4	7	3	_	0	4	4	18
Oregon Washington	4 32	8 14	49 61	317 348	232 335	3 25	2	11 19	40 97	17 56	1 25	1 2	4 9	31 57	29 79
American Samoa	- JZ	1	1	2			0	0		_		0	1	1	3
C.N.M.I.	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_
Guam	_	0	2	3	6	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	3	1	4
Puerto Rico	_	7	39	104	285	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	9
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.
* Incidence data for reporting years 2009 and 2010 are provisional.
† Includes E. coli O157:H7; Shiga toxin-positive, serogroup non-O157; and Shiga toxin-positive, not serogrouped.
§ Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 24, 2010, and July 25, 2009 (29th week)*

				Spott	ed Fever Ricketts	iosis (including RM	ISF) [⊤]			
			Confirmed							
	Current	Previous 52	2 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	2 weeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2010	2009	week	Med	Max	2010	2009
United States	1	2	8	55	87	13	12	421	469	817
New England	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	1	1	8
Connecticut Maine [§]	_	0	0 0	_	_	_	0 0	0 1	_ 1	4
Massachusetts	_	0	0	_	1	_	0	1		4
New Hampshire	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
Rhode Island [§] Vermont [§]	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Mid. Atlantic New Jersey	_	0	3 1	12	4 1	1	1 0	6 3	23	60 41
New York (Upstate)	_	0	i	1		1	0	3	5	5
New York City	_	0	1	2	_	_	0	2	11	5
Pennsylvania	_	0	2	9	3	_	0	1	7	9
E.N. Central	_	0	1	1	7	1	0	5	28	57
Illinois Indiana	_	0	1 0	1	3	<u> </u>	0	5 3	12 11	38 6
Michigan	_	0	1	_	3		0	2	3	1
Ohio	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	4	2	10
Wisconsin	_	0	0	_	1	_	0	1	_	2
W.N. Central Iowa	_	0	3 0	6	10 1	7	2	23 1	139	156
Kansas	_	0	1		1	_	0	0	_	3
Minnesota	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
Missouri	_	0	1	3	4	7	2	22	137	151
Nebraska [§] North Dakota	_	0	2 0	1	4	_	0 0	1 1	1 1	2
South Dakota	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0		_
S. Atlantic	_	0	3	19	48	2	3	23	104	263
Delaware	_	Ö	1	1	_	_	0	3	8	7
District of Columbia	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
Florida Georgia	_	0	1 3	1 15	— 39	1	0	1 0	9	3
Maryland [§]	_	0	1	1	2	_	0	3	11	32
North Carolina	_	0	1	1	5	_	1	15	27	167
South Carolina [§]	_	0	1	_	2	_	0	1	5	15
Virginia [§] West Virginia	_	0	1 0	_	_	1	0	6 1	44	38 1
E.S. Central	1	0	2	10	3	2	3	22	152	163
Alabama [§]		0	1	10	1	_	1	8	31	34
Kentucky	_	0	2	6	1	_	0	0	_	_
Mississippi Tennessee [§]		0	0	_	_	_	0	1	1	120
	1	0	2	3	1	2	3	16	120	120
W.S. Central Arkansas [§]	_	0	3 1	1	4	_	1 0	408 110	18	94 43
Louisiana	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	1	2
Oklahoma	_	0	2	-	3	_	0	287	13	35
Texas [§]	_	0	1	1	1	_	0	11	4	14
Mountain Arizona	_	0	2	2	9	_	0	3	4	16
Colorado	_	0	2 1	_	3 1	_	0	2 0	1	6
Idaho [§]	_	Ő	0	_	<u>.</u>	_	Ö	1	1	_
Montana [§]	_	0	1	2	4	_	0	1	1	6
Nevada [§] New Mexico [§]	_	0	0 0	_	_	_	0	0 1	_ 1	1 1
Utah	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0		i
Wyoming [§]	_	0	0	_	1	_	0	1	_	1
Pacific	_	0	2	4	1	_	0	0	_	_
Alaska	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
California Hawaii	 N	0	2 0	4 N	1 N	N	0	0	 N	N
Oregon		0	0				0	0		
Washington	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
American Samoa	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
C.N.M.I.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Guam	N N	0	0 0	N N	N N	N N	0	0	N N	N N
Puerto Rico										

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

^{*} Incidence data for reporting years 2009 and 2010 are provisional.

[†] Illnesses with similar clinical presentation that result from Spotted fever group rickettsia infections are reported as Spotted fever rickettsioses. Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF) caused by *Rickettsia rickettsii*, is the most common and well-known spotted fever.

§ Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 24, 2010, and July 25, 2009 (29th week)*

				Streptococ	cus pneumo	niae,† invasi	ive disease	•							
			All ages			Age <5					Syphilis, primary and secondary				
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous :	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current -	Previous 5	2 weeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2010	2009	week	Med	Max	2010	2009	week	Med	Max	2010	2009
United States	142	165	484	9,252	1,956	16	50	156	1,443	1,459	84	240	413	6,131	7,734
New England	38	6	100	541	34	3	1	24	69	47	6	7	22	240	181
Connecticut Maine [§]	4	0 1	93 6	245 77	 8	1	0	22 2	23 6		1	1 0	10 3	46 14	36 1
Massachusetts	_	1	5	52	2	_	1	4	32	35	5	5	12	149	124
New Hampshire Rhode Island [§]	 34	0	7 5	59 53	 13		0	2 1	3 2	7 1	_	0	1 3	11 18	11 9
Vermont [§]	_	1	6	55	11	_	0	i	3	2	_	Ö	2	2	_
Mid. Atlantic	6	12	53	781	117	2	7	48	228	183	10	33	47	902	1,007
New Jersey New York (Upstate)		0 3	8 12	68 110	— 48		1 3	4 19	37 81	29 82	1 5	4 2	12 11	124 70	141 67
New York City	2	3	25	282	4	_	1	24	73	60	_	18	39	506	610
Pennsylvania	2	5	22	321	65	_	0	5	37	12	4	7	15	202	189
E.N. Central Illinois	15 —	24 0	97 7	1,854 60	453	1	8 1	18 5	226 54	245 40	3	29 13	45 21	676 238	831 412
Indiana	_	6	23	368	 177	_	1	6	30	48	_	3	13	79	82
Michigan	1	6	26	435	19	_	1	6	52	47	_	4	13	124	127
Ohio Wisconsin	13 1	13 3	49 22	784 207	257	1	2 1	6 4	61 29	85 25	3	8 1	13 3	212 23	183 27
W.N. Central	1	8	182	562	126	_	3	12	102	120	1	5	12	147	170
lowa	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	2	7	13
Kansas Minnesota	_	1 0	7 179	63 287	44 28	_	0 1	2 10	11 44	14 51	_	0 1	3 5	10 47	16 41
Missouri	_	1	9	76	45	_	0	3	28	37	1	3	8	78	93
Nebraska [§] North Dakota	1	1 0	7 11	88 34	7	_	0	2 1	10 2	7 4	_	0	1 1	5	4
South Dakota	_	0	3	14	2	_	0	2	7	7	_	0	0	_	_
S. Atlantic	30	39	143	2,150	875	2	12	28	361	348	22	57	218	1,493	1,802
Delaware District of Columbia	_	0	3 4	23 21	13 16	_	0	2 2		_ 3	1	0 2	2 8	4 73	22 100
Florida	21	18	89	1,002	519	1	3	18	133	129	2	18	31	501	612
Georgia	2	10	28	340	246	_	4	12	96	79	_	14	167	309	382
Maryland [§] North Carolina	4	5 0	25 0	309	4	1	1 0	6 0	35	56 —	3 7	6 9	12 31	157 215	150 299
South Carolina [§]	3	3	25	340	_	_	1	4	36	32	1	2	6	74	66
Virginia [§] West Virginia	_	0 1	4 21	41 74	— 77	_	1 0	4 4	39 15	31 18	8	4 0	22 2	157 3	167 4
E.S. Central	5	14	50	817	193	_	2	8	79	88	3	19	39	482	648
Alabama [§]	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	3	5	12	139	266
Kentucky Mississippi	_	2 1	16 6	126 38	53 31	_	0	2	10 8	7 15	_	2 5	13 17	72 105	31 110
Tennessee§	5	9	44	653	109	_	2	7	61	66	_	6	16	166	241
W.S. Central	34	13	89	1,173	80	7	6	41	189	214	18	39	72	853	1,590
Arkansas [§] Louisiana	3	2 1	9 8	113 47	38 42	_	0	3 3	10 16	29 17	4	4 6	14 27	87 64	119 472
Oklahoma	1	0	5	33	_	1	1	5	33	33	1	1	6	40	53
Texas§	30	10 13	82 83	980 1,179	— 76	6	3 5	34 12	130 162	135 195	13 3	27 9	46 20	662	946 294
Mountain Arizona	11 2	5	63 52	555	76 —	_	2	7	75	87	_	4	10	246 92	138
Colorado	7	5	20	339	_	_	1	4	42	28	_	2	5	61	52
ldaho [§] Montana [§]	1	0	1 2	9 14	_	_	0	1 1	4 1	7	_	0	1 1	2 1	3
Nevada [§]	1	1	4	50	28	_	0	1	4	6	2	1	10	56	56
New Mexico [§] Utah	_	1 2	8 9	107 97	— 39	_	0 1	4 4	13 21	23 43	1	1 0	4 3	21 13	27 16
Wyoming [§]	_	0	1	8	9	_	0	1	2	1	_	0	1	_	2
Pacific	2	3	14	195	2	1	0	7	27	19	18	39	64	1,092	1,211
Alaska California		0 2	9 12	74 121	_	1	0	5 2	17 10	11 —	 11	0 36	0 59	— 986	 1,075
Hawaii	_	0	1	—	2	_	0	1	_	8	_	0	3	18	21
Oregon	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	5 7	6	34
Washington American Samoa	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	7	3 0	0	82	81
C.N.M.I.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Guam Puerto Rico	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	114	120
r uel lo Nico	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0 0	_	_	_	3 0	17	114	120

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

* Incidence data for reporting years 2009 and 2010 are provisional.

† Includes drug resistant and susceptible cases of invasive Streptococcus pneumoniae disease among children <5 years and among all ages. Case definition: Isolation of S. pneumoniae from a normally sterile body site (e.g., blood or cerebrospinal fluid).

§ Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 24, 2010, and July 25, 2009 (29th week)*

		. 6		West Nile virus disease [†]											
	Varicella (chickenpox)§						Neuroinvasive				Nonneuroinvasive [¶]				
D	Current	Previous		Cum	Cum	Current .	Previous !		Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5		Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2010	2009	week	Med	Max	2010	2009	week	Med	Max	2010	2009
United States	89	330	551	8,845	14,271	_	0	46	19	73	_	0	49	16	70
New England Connecticut	1 1	16 6	36 20	403 183	659 317	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Maine§	_	4	15	118	117	_	0	Ö	_	_	_	0	Ö	_	_
Massachusetts	_	0	1	_	3	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
New Hampshire Rhode Island [§]	_	2 1	8 12	74 16	131 23	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Vermont [§]	_	0	10	12	68	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Mid. Atlantic	5	33	66	986	1,354	_	0	2	_	1	_	0	1	_	_
New Jersey		9	30	365	279	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
New York (Upstate)	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	1 0	_	_
New York City Pennsylvania	<u> </u>	0 21	0 52	— 621	1,075	_	0	1 0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
E.N. Central	15	108	176	3,048	4,409	_	0	4	_	1	_	0	3	_	_
Illinois	_	26	49	758	1,035	_	Ő	3	_	_	_	Ö	0	_	_
Indiana [§]	1	5	35	285	325	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	1	_	_
Michigan Ohio	2 10	35 28	62 56	958 848	1,291 1,363	_	0 0	1 0	_	_	_	0	0 2	_	_
Wisconsin	2	28 7	24	848 199	395	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
W.N. Central	_	13	40	347	915	_	0	5	1	2	_	0	11	4	20
lowa	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	1
Kansas [§]	_	4	18	99	382	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	1	1	4
Minnesota Missouri	_	0 6	0 16	205	442	_	0	1 2	_ 1	_ 1	_	0	1 1	_	1
Nebraska [§]	N	0	0	203 N	N	_	0	2			_	0	6	_	9
North Dakota	_	0	26	28	55	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	1	_
South Dakota	_	0	7	15	36	_	0	3	_	1	_	0	2	2	5
S. Atlantic	20	38	105	1,374	1,734	_	0	4	_	_	_	0	2	3	_
Delaware [§] District of Columbia	_	0	10 4	39 14	8 22	_	0 0	0 1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Florida§	11	15	57	692	883	_	Ő	i	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
Georgia	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	1	3	_
Maryland [§] North Carolina	N N	0	0	N N	N N	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1 0	_	_
South Carolina [§]		0	35	74	92	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Virginia [§]	3	11	34	288	465	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
West Virginia	6	8	26	267	264	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
E.S. Central	_	6	28	181	359	_	0	6	1	9	_	0	4	1	5
Alabama [§] Kentucky	N	6 0	27 0	174 N	356 N	_	0 0	0 1	_	_ 1	_	0	1 0	1	_
Mississippi	_	0	2	7	3	_	Ő	5	1	6	_	0	4	_	5
Tennessee§	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	2	_	2	_	0	1	_	_
W.S. Central	43	61	285	1,807	3,484	_	0	19	_	26	_	0	6	_	6
Arkansas [§] Louisiana	2	3 2	32 10	110 65	340 86	_	0	1 1	_	3 5	_	0	0 4	_	4
Oklahoma	N	0	0	N	N		0	2		1	_	0	2	_	_
Texas [§]	41	50	272	1,632	3,058	_	0	16	_	17	_	0	4	_	2
Mountain	5	25	48	674	1,280	_	0	12	15	22	_	0	17	6	25
Arizona Colorado [§]	 4	0 9	0 41	262	600	_	0	4 7	14 1	10	_	0	2 14	3	3 8
Idaho [§]	N N	0	0	262 N	690 N	_	0	3		2 1	_	0	5	3	6
Montana [§]	1	3	17	145	114	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	1		1
Nevada [§]	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	1	_	6	_	0	1	_	5
New Mexico [§] Utah	_	1 6	7 22	65 189	93 383	_	0 0	2 1	_	2	_	0	1 0	_	_ 1
Wyoming [§]	_	0	3	13		_	0	1	_	_	_	0	2	_	1
Pacific	_	1	5	25	77	_	0	12	2	12	_	0	12	2	14
Alaska	_	0	4	24	45	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
California Hawaii	_	0	0 2	_ 1	 32	_	0	8 0	2	9	_	0	6 0	2	11
Oregon	N	0	0	I N	32 N	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	4	_	_
Washington	N	Ö	0	N	N	_	0	6	_	3	_	0	3	_	3
American Samoa	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
C.N.M.I.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Guam Puerto Rico	_	0 5	3 30	9 150	14 355	_	0 0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	30 0	150 —	355	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
o.o. virgin islanus			Jalan da										<u> </u>		

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

*Incidence data for reporting years 2009 and 2010 are provisional. Data for HIV/AIDS, AIDS, and TB, when available, are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.

† Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases (ArboNET Surveillance). Data for California serogroup, eastern equine, Powassan, St. Louis, and western equine diseases are available in Table I.

§ Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

¶ Not reportable in all states. Data from states where the condition is not reportable are excluded from this table, except starting in 2007 for the domestic arboviral diseases and influenzance and influence and the condition of the condition is not reportable.

associated pediatric mortality, and in 2003 for SARS-CoV. Reporting exceptions are available at http://www.cdc.gov/ncphi/disss/nndss/phs/infdis.htm.

TABLE III. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,* week ending July 24, 2010 (29th week)

			All ca	uses, by a	ge (years)		,			All ca	uses, by a	ge (year:	s)		
Boston MA	Reporting area		≥65	45-64	25-44	1–24	<1		Reporting area		≥65	45-64	25-44	1–24	<1	
Bridgeport, CT 22 22 4 5 1 3 Baltimore, MO 13 13 3 0 - 1 1 3 Baltimore, MO 14 18 24 19 7 3 6 6 10 15 Alfrey, MA 15 13 13 0 - 0 - 1 1 2 Acksonville, FL 16 Alfrey, MA 16 13 14 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 Acksonville, FL 17 18 2 3 1 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	New England						3		S. Atlantic	,	741	286			22	
Cambridge, MA	,															
Fall River, MA Fall Rever MA Fall					5	_										
Hardford, CT 39 32 14 3 2 Mlami, EL 131 90 25 13 2 1 9 14 14 15 15 15 2 18 3 3 4 15 17 18 17 18 18 18 18 18	•					_										
Lowell, MA 10						_										
Lyan,MA						_										
New Beford, MA 17																
New Haven, CT 26 20 5 1 3 St. Petersburg, Ft. 43 30 8 2 1 2																
Providence, RI						_										
Somewhile May A 29 16 8 5 Washington, D. 120 89 24 3 3 3 1 33 3 1 34 35 35 35 35 35 35 35						_										
Springfield, MA 29 16 8 5 — — 1 Willmington, DE 15 8 4 3 — — 3 Willmington, DE 15 8 4 3 — — 3 Willmington, DE 15 8 4 3 — — 3 Willmington, DE 15 8 4 3 — — 3 Willmington, DE 15 8 4 3 — — 3 Willmington, DE 15 8 4 3 — — 3 Willmington, DE 15 8 8 4 3 — — 3 Willmington, DE 15 8 Willming																
Waterbury, CT																
Workersey, MA																
Mid. Atlantic 1,997 1,347 429 129 52 30 95 Chattanoga,TN 89 65 17 4 1 2 5 5 Albary,NY 48 43 4 1 4 Knowlile,TN 73 41 123 8 1 3 Albertown,PA 26 19 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 Lexington,KY 68 49 17 1 1 1 - 5 5 Bladio,NY 88 95 20 3 5 1 14 Morphis,TN 143 90 36 14 4 1 19 5 English, NY 28 19 20 3 5 1 1 14 Morphis,TN 145 90 36 14 4 1 19 5 English, NY 29 14 6 6 3 11 5 Morphis,TN 145 90 36 14 4 1 1 19 5 English, NY 29 14 6 6 3 11 5 Morphis,TN 145 90 36 14 4 1 1 19 5 English, NY 29 14 6 6 3 11 5 Morphis,TN 145 90 36 14 4 1 1 19 5 English, NY 29 14 6 6 3 11 5 Morphis,TN 145 90 36 14 4 1 1 19 5 English, NY 29 14 6 6 3 11 5 1 Morphis,TN 145 90 36 14 4 1 1 19 5 English, NY 29 14 6 6 8 27 14 4 53 Albary, NY 29 15 80 26 6 8 27 14 5 3 Albary, NY 29 15 80 26 6 8 27 14 5 3 Albary, NY 29 15 80 26 6 8 27 14 5 3 Albary, NY 29 15 8 7 6 English, NY 29 15 20 4 Corpus,Christ, NY 104 7 2 22 6 6 2 2 4 English, NY 29 15 20 4 Corpus,Christ, NY 29 15 20 4 2 2 5 Endo, NY 29 20 10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20																
Albamy, NY AB Albamy, NY AB Albamy, NY AB Albamy, NY AB Albamy, NY Albamy, NY Albamy, NY Albamy, NY Albamy, NY AB Albamy, NY Albamy, NY Albamy, NY Albamy, NY Albamy, NY BB Buffalo, NY																
Allenfown, PA 26 19 4 1 1 1 1 1 Lexington, KY 68 49 17 1 1 1 — 5 Buffalo, NY 88 59 20 3 5 1 14 Memphis, TN 145 90 36 14 4 1 19 Camden, NJ 29 14 6 3 1 5 — Memphis, TN 145 90 36 14 4 1 19 Elizabeth, NJ 11 5 6 — — — — Mobile, AL 64 45 13 4 1 1 19 Elizabeth, NJ 11 5 6 — — — — Mobile, AL 64 45 13 4 1 1 1 9 Elizabeth, NJ 11 5 6 — — — — Mobile, AL 64 45 13 4 1 1 1 9 Elizabeth, NJ 11 5 6 0 — — — — 1 Mobile, AL 64 45 13 4 1 1 1 9 Elizabeth, NJ 11 7 4 32 2 8 2 — — 3 3 Nashville, TN 137 94 34 5 1 3 1 0 1 2 1 2 2 6 1 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 3 Nashville, TN 137 94 34 5 1 3 1 10 2 2 2 6 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 3 Nashville, TN 137 94 34 5 1 3 1 10 2 2 2 6 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 3 Nashville, TN 137 94 34 5 5 1 3 1 10 2 2 2 6 6 2 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 2 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 4 6 18 7 — — 1 1 2 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 4 7 4 2 2 — — 2 2 1 2 4 2 2 1 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 4 8 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 4 8 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 4 8 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 6 1 2 2 2 4 8 2 2 2 6 1		,	,												2	
Buffalo, NY															_	
Camden, N	,															
Elizabeth, N	,															
Efic.PA 42 32 8 2 — — 3 Nashville, TN 137 94 34 5 1 3 10 JerseyCity, NJ 13 7 4 2 2 2 6 6 2 2 4 Ms. Central 1,125 723 280 71 27 24 59 New York City, NY 1,75 800 266 68 27 14 53 Nashville, TN 1,75 800 266 68 27 14 53 Nashville, TN 1,75 800 266 68 27 14 53 Nashville, TN 1,75 800 266 68 27 14 53 Nashville, TN 1,75 800 266 68 27 14 53 Nashville, TN 1,75 800 266 68 27 14 53 Nashville, TN 1,75 800 266 68 27 14 53 Nashville, TN 1,75 800 266 68 27 14 2 2 2 4 Ms. Central 1,125 723 280 71 27 24 59 Nashville, TN 1,75 800 266 68 27 14 53 Nashville, TN 1,75 800 266 62 2 2 4 Ms. Central 1,125 723 280 71 27 24 59 Nashville, TN 1,75 800 266 62 2 2 4 Ms. Central 1,125 723 280 71 27 24 59 Nashville, TN 1,75 800 12 Nashville, TN 1						1		_	:					1		
Jersey City, NJ Jersey	,					_		_						_		
New York Cirty, NY																
Newark, N										,						
Paterson, N		,														
Philadelphia, PA	•															
Pittsburgh, PA	,															
Reading_PA									· •							12
Rochester, NY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					1			· ·							
Schenectady, NY						_										
Scranton, PÁ 24 23 1	,					4										
Syracuse, NY						_										
Trenton, NJ 17 9 5 2 1 1 — 1 Shreveport, LA 84 57 20 5 1 1 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10				-		_										
Utica, NY						_										
Vonkers, NY 11 6 4 1 — — 1 Mountain 1,090 693 279 73 28 14 54 EN. Central 1,876 1,231 432 130 44 39 124 Albuquerque, NM 129 67 46 12 3 1 8 Akron, OH 48 33 12 2 — — — 2 Colorado Springs, CO 70 49 17 2 2 — — 2 Colorado Springs, CO 70 49 17 2 2 — 2 Colorado Springs, CO 70 49 17 2 2 — 2 Colorado Springs, CO 70 49 17 2 2 — 2 Colorado Springs, CO 70 49 17 2 2 — 2 Colorado Springs, CO 70 49 17 2 2 — 2 Colorado Springs, CO 70 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td></th<>						1	_								1	
EN. Central 1,876 1,231 432 130 44 39 124 Albuquerque, NM 129 67 46 12 3 11 8 Akron, OH 48 33 12 2 - 1 5 Boise, ID 56 42 10 2 2 - 2 Carton, OH 35 28 7 2 2 Colorado Springs, CO 70 49 17 2 2 2 - 2 Chicago, IL 28 145 51 25 3 4 14 Denver, CO 73 48 20 2 1 2 4 Chicago, IL 28 185 54 12 5 2 21 Ogden, UT 20 11 6 2 1 1 - 2 Columbus, OH 131 99 26 4 1 1 1 1 14 Pueblo, CO 25 15 9 - 1 1 - 3 Detroit, MI 182 90 57 19 10 6 3 Salt Lake City, UT 127 78 30 10 6 3 8 Evansville, IN 51 38 8 4 - 1 9 Tucson, AZ 136 91 27 9 4 4 3 3 Fort Wayne, IN 62 44 14 1 1 2 4 Paedic City, UT 127 78 30 10 6 3 8 Fort Wayne, IN 62 44 14 1 1 2 4 Paedic 1,602 1,072 369 86 36 39 146 Gary, IN 131 7 3 2 1 1 2 4 Paedic City, UT 127 78 30 10 6 3 8 Paedic City, UT 127 78 30 10 6 3 8 Paedic City, UT 127 78 30 10 6 3 8 Paedic City, UT 127 78 30 10 6 3 8 Paedic City, UT 127 78 30 10 6 3 8 Paedic City, UT 127 78 30 10 6 3 8 Paedic City, UT 127 78 30 10 6 3 8 Paedic City, UT 127 78 30 10 6 3 8 Paedic City, UT 127 78 30 10 6 3 8 Paedic City, UT 127 78 30 10 6 6 3 8 Paedic City, UT 128 78 Paedic City	,					_	_		:						_	
Akron, OH 48 33 12 2 — 1 5 Boise, ID 56 42 10 2 2 — — — — — 2 Colorado Springs, CO 70 49 17 2 2 — — 2 Chicago, IL 228 145 511 25 3 4 14 Denver, CO 73 48 20 2 1 2 4 Cloirdinati, OH 80 49 19 1 2 9 8 Las Vegas, NV 265 182 56 21 5 1 15 Clevland, OH 153 86 42 22 3 — 4 Phoenix, AZ 189 110 58 13 3 3 9 Dayton, OH 131 99 26 4 1 1 4 Pheelo, CO 25 15 9 — 1 — 3 8	,															
Canton, OH 35 28 7 — — — 2 Colorado Springs, CO 70 49 17 2 2 2 — 22 Chicago, IL 228 145 51 25 3 4 14 14 Denver, CO 73 48 20 2 1 2 4 Chicago, IL 228 145 51 25 3 4 14 14 Denver, CO 73 48 20 2 1 5 1 15 Cleveland, OH 80 49 19 1 2 9 8 Las Vegas, NV 265 182 56 21 5 1 15 Cleveland, OH 258 185 54 12 5 2 21 Ogden, UT 20 11 6 2 1 — 2 Columbus, OH 153 86 42 22 3 — 4 Phoenix, AZ 189 110 58 13 3 3 9 Dayton, OH 131 99 26 4 1 1 1 1 14 Pueblo, CO 25 15 9 — 1 — 30 Detroit, MI 182 90 57 19 10 6 3 Salt Lake City, UT 127 78 30 10 6 3 8 Evansville, IN 51 38 8 4 — — 1 9 Tucson, AZ 136 91 27 9 4 4 3 3 Fort Wayne, IN 62 44 114 1 1 1 2 4 Pacific 1,602 1,072 369 86 36 36 39 146 Gary, IN 13 7 3 2 1 — — Berkeley, CA 16 9 4 1 — 2 4 Grand Rapids, MI 47 30 13 — 1 3 5 Freno, CA 110 69 31 5 4 1 10 Indianapolis, IN 175 105 46 14 5 5 7 Glendale, CA 32 24 7 — — 1 Indianapolis, IN 175 105 46 14 5 5 7 Glendale, CA 32 24 7 — — 1 Indianapolis, IN 175 105 46 14 5 5 7 Glendale, CA 32 24 7 — — 1 Indianapolis, IN 175 105 46 14 5 5 7 Glendale, CA 32 24 7 — — 1 11 Lansing, MI 43 29 8 4 2 2 — 3 Honollut, HI 77 56 16 1 1 1 3 8 Milwaukee, WI 67 41 16 6 3 3 1 6 Long Beach, CA 22 21 1 — — 3 South Bend, IN 40 30 5 3 2 — 4 Portland, OR 110 82 21 1 — — 3 South Bend, IN 40 30 5 3 2 — 4 Portland, OR 110 82 21 1 — — 3 South Bend, IN 40 30 7 1 21 3 1 1 5 Saarbeneto, CA 209 142 43 13 6 5 20 Youngstown, OH 56 42 13 — 1 — — San Diego, CA 155 109 30 8 4 4 4 4 Duluth, MN 27 22 2 2 2 2 — 1 1 1 Sant Excussor, CA 209 142 43 13 6 5 2 0 Youngstown, OH 56 42 13 — 1 — 2 San Diego, CA 155 109 30 8 4 4 4 Duluth, MN 27 22 2 2 2 2 — 1 1 1 Sant Excussor, CA 30 3 4 4 3 3 10 Kansas City, MO 115 66 35 67 1 1 9 Spokane, WA 63 42 13 5 2 1 6 6 5 20 Youngstown, OH 56 4 3 3 2 14 7 3 3 2 6 6 7 1 9 Spokane, WA 63 42 13 5 2 1 6 6 5 20 Youngstown, OH 56 4 3 3 2 14 7 3 3 2 6 6 7 1 9 Spokane, WA 63 42 13 5 2 1 6 6 1 Clend, NE WANCentral 487 317 126 24 44 6 32 Sant Excussor, CA 30 5 7 166 6 3 5 6 7 1 9 Spokane, WA 63 42 13 5 2 1 6 6 5 5 7 6 7 1 6 6 6 3 5 6 7 1 9 Spokane, WA 63 42 13 5 2 1 6 6 5 5 7			,			44									1	8
Chicago, IL 228 145 51 25 3 4 14 14 Denver, CO 73 48 20 2 1 2 4 Chicago, IL 28 145 51 25 3 4 14 14 Denver, CO 73 48 20 2 1 2 4 15 Chicago, IL 28 145 51 25 2 9 8 Las Vegas, NV 265 182 56 21 5 1 15 Chicago, Chica	,														_	
Cincinati, OH 80 49 19 1 2 9 8 Las Vegas, NV 265 182 56 21 5 1 15 Cleveland, OH 258 185 54 12 5 2 21 Ogden, UT 20 11 6 2 1 - 2 2 Columbus, OH 153 86 42 22 3 3 - 4 Phoenix, AZ 189 110 58 13 3 3 3 9 Dayton, OH 131 99 26 4 1 1 1 14 Pueblo, CO 25 15 9 - 1 - 3 Detroit, MI 182 99 57 19 10 6 3 Salt Lake City, UT 127 78 30 10 6 3 8 Evansville, IN 51 38 8 4 - 1 1 9 Tucson, AZ 136 91 27 9 4 4 3 3 Fort Wayne, IN 62 44 14 1 1 2 4 Pacific 1,602 1,072 369 86 36 39 146 Gary, IN 13 7 3 2 1 Backley, CA 16 9 4 1 - 2 2 4 Grand Rapids, MI 47 30 13 - 1 3 5 Fresno, CA 110 69 31 5 4 1 10 Indianapolis, IN 175 105 46 14 5 5 7 Glendale, CA 32 24 7 1 11 Lansing, MI 43 29 8 4 4 2 - 3 Hoholulu, HI 77 56 16 1 1 3 3 Milwakee, WI 67 41 16 6 3 1 1 3 1 6 Long Beach, CA 55 36 14 4 1 1 - 1 1 3 Milwakee, WI 67 41 16 6 3 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 Pasadena, CA 22 21 1 1 1 1 3 South Bend, IN 40 30 5 3 2 - 4 Portland, OR 110 82 21 5 1 1 7 7 50 Toledo, OH 97 77 1 21 3 1 1 5 Sacramento, CA 209 142 43 13 6 5 20 Youngstown, OH 56 42 13 - 1 1 San Diego, CA 161 108 42 7 2 2 2 1 1 7 7 50 Des Moines, IN 47 31 126 24 14 6 32 San Diego, CA 155 109 30 8 4 4 4 4 Duluth, MN 27 22 2 2 2 - 1 1 1 5 Santa City, Central Harmson, In Central																
Cleveland, OH																
Columbus, OH 153 86 42 22 3 — 4 Phoenix, AZ 189 110 58 13 3 3 9 9 Dayton, OH 131 99 26 4 1 1 1 14 Pueblo, CO 25 15 9 — 1 — 3 8 Evansville, IN 182 90 57 19 10 6 3 Salt Lake City, UT 127 78 30 10 6 3 8 Evansville, IN 51 38 8 4 — 1 9 Tucson, AZ 136 91 27 9 4 4 3 3 Evansville, IN 182 90 57 19 10 6 3 Salt Lake City, UT 127 78 30 10 6 3 8 Evansville, IN 51 38 8 8 4 — 1 9 Tucson, AZ 136 91 27 9 4 4 4 3 3 6 Gary, IN 62 44 14 1 1 1 2 4 Pacific 1,602 1,072 369 86 36 39 146 Gary, IN 13 7 3 2 1 — — Berkeley, CA 16 9 4 1 — 2 4 Grand Rapids, MI 47 30 13 — 1 3 5 Fresno, CA 110 69 31 5 4 1 10 Indianapolis, IN 175 105 46 14 5 5 7 Glendale, CA 32 24 7 — — 1 11 Lansing, MI 43 29 8 4 4 2 — 3 Honolulu, HI 77 56 16 1 1 3 8 Milwaukee, WI 67 41 16 6 3 1 6 Long Beach, CA 55 36 14 4 1 1 — 1 Honolulu, HI 77 56 16 16 1 1 3 8 Rockford, IL 58 43 9 2 2 2 2 7 Los Angeles, CA 22 21 1 1 — — 3 Rockford, IL 58 43 9 2 2 2 2 7 Los Angeles, CA 22 21 1 1 — — — 3 Rockford, IL 58 43 9 12 2 2 2 7 Los Angeles, CA 22 21 1 1 — — — 3 Rockford, IL 58 43 9 2 2 2 2 7 Los Angeles, CA 22 21 1 1 — — — 3 Rockford, IL 58 48 3 9 1 2 2 2 2 7 Los Angeles, CA 22 21 1 1 — — — 3 Rockford, IL 58 43 9 12 2 2 2 7 Los Angeles, CA 22 21 1 1 — — — 3 Rockford, IL 58 43 13 6 5 20 Youngstown, OH 56 42 13 — 1 — — San Diego, CA 161 108 42 7 2 2 2 15 1 1 7 7 2 1 3 1 1 5 Sacramento, CA 209 142 43 13 6 5 20 Youngstown, OH 56 42 13 — 1 — — San Diego, CA 161 108 42 7 2 2 2 15 1 1 7 1 7 11 6 — — — 1 Ros Moines, IA U U U U U U U U San Jose, CA 155 109 30 8 4 4 4 4 4 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1																
Dayton, OH 131 99 26 4 1 1 14 Pueblo, CO 25 15 9 1 3	,															
Detroit, MI																
Evansville, IN 51 38 8 4 — 1 9 Tucson, AZ 136 91 27 9 4 4 3 3 Fort Wayne, IN 62 44 14 1 1 1 2 4 4 Pacific 1,602 1,072 369 86 36 39 146 Gary, IN 13 7 3 2 1 — Berkeley, CA 16 9 4 1 — 2 4 Grand Rapids, MI 47 30 13 — 1 3 5 Fresno, CA 110 69 31 5 4 1 10 Indianapolis, IN 175 105 46 14 5 5 7 Glendale, CA 32 24 7 — 0 1 11 Lansing, MI 43 29 8 4 2 — 3 Honolulu, HI 77 56 16 1 1 3 8 Milwaukee, WI 67 41 16 6 3 1 6 6 33 1 6 Long Beach, CA 55 36 14 4 1 — 1 Peoria, IL 58 43 9 2 2 2 2 7 Los Angeles, CA 273 162 69 21 9 12 31 Rockford, IL 52 36 8 6 1 1 3 3 Pasadena, CA 22 21 1 — — 3 South Bend, IN 40 30 5 3 2 — 4 Portland, OR 110 82 21 5 1 1 7 7 Toledo, OH 97 71 21 3 1 1 5 Sarramento, CA 209 142 43 13 6 5 20 Youngstown, OH 56 42 13 — 1 — — San Diego, CA 161 108 42 7 2 2 2 15 W.N. Central 487 317 126 24 14 6 32 Sarramento, CA 209 142 43 13 6 5 20 Youngstown, OH 56 42 13 — 1 — — San Diego, CA 161 108 42 7 2 2 2 15 W.N. Central 487 317 126 24 14 6 32 San Francisco, CA 90 57 23 6 — 4 7 Des Moines, IA U U U U U U U U U San Jose, CA 17 11 6 — — 1 Ransas City, KS 25 16 8 — 1 — 2 Seatle, WA 16 73 33 4 3 3 10 Kansas City, KS 25 16 8 — 1 — 2 Seatle, WA 16 73 33 4 3 3 10 Kansas City, KS 25 16 8 — 1 — 2 Seatle, WA 16 73 13 4 3 3 10 Kansas City, KS 25 16 8 — 1 — 2 Seatle, WA 16 73 16 6 3 — 8 Minneapolis, MN 58 32 14 7 3 3 2 6 Total 106 5 County, MO — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — 8 South, MO — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —																
Fort Wayne, IN 62 44 14 1 1 1 2 4 Pacific 1,602 1,072 369 86 36 39 146 Gary, IN 13 7 3 2 1 1 — — Berkeley, CA 16 9 4 1 — 2 4 Fresho, CA 110 69 31 5 4 1 10 Indianapolis, IN 175 105 46 114 5 5 5 7 Glendale, CA 32 24 7 — — 1 11 Lansing, MI 43 29 8 4 2 — 3 Honolulu, HI 77 56 16 1 1 3 3 8 Milwaukee, WI 67 41 16 6 3 1 6 Long Beach, CA 55 36 14 4 1 1 — 1 Peoria, IL 58 43 9 2 2 2 2 7 Los Angeles, CA 273 162 69 21 9 12 31 Rockford, IL 52 36 8 6 1 1 1 3 Pasadena, CA 22 21 1 — — — 3 South Bend, IN 40 30 5 3 2 — 4 Portland, OR 110 82 21 5 1 1 7 Toledo, OH 97 71 21 3 1 1 5 Sacramento, CA 209 142 43 13 6 5 20 Youngstown, OH 56 42 13 — 1 — — San Diego, CA 161 108 42 7 2 2 2 15 W.N. Central 487 317 126 24 14 6 32 San Francisco, CA 90 57 23 6 — 4 7 Des Moines, IA U U U U U U U U San Jose, CA 17 11 6 — — 1 Kansas City, KS 25 16 8 — 1 — 2 Seattle, WA 116 73 33 4 3 3 10 Kansas City, KS 25 16 8 — 1 — 2 Spokane, WA 63 42 13 5 2 1 6 Kansas City, MO 115 66 35 6 7 1 9 Spokane, WA 63 42 13 5 2 1 6 Kansas City, MO 15 64 22 4 3 3 3 — 5 Minneapolis, MN 58 32 14 7 3 3 2 6 Total 1 St. Louis, MO — — — — — — — 4																
Gary, IN 13 7 3 2 1 — — Berkeley, CA 16 9 4 1 — 2 4 4 Grand Rapids, MI 47 30 13 — 1 3 5 Fresno, CA 110 69 31 5 4 1 10 Indianapolis, IN 175 105 46 14 5 5 7 1 Glendale, CA 32 24 7 — — 1 11 Lansing, MI 43 29 8 4 2 — 3 Honolulu, HI 77 56 16 1 1 3 3 8 Milwaukee, WI 67 41 16 6 3 1 6 Long Beach, CA 55 36 14 4 1 1 — 1 1 Peoria, IL 58 43 9 2 2 2 2 7 Los Angeles, CA 273 162 69 21 9 12 31 Rockford, IL 52 36 8 6 1 1 3 3 Pasadena, CA 22 21 1 — — — 3 South Bend, IN 40 30 5 3 2 — 4 Portland, OR 110 82 21 5 1 1 7 7 Toledo, OH 97 71 21 3 1 1 5 Sacramento, CA 209 142 43 13 6 5 20 Youngstown, OH 56 42 13 — 1 — — San Diego, CA 161 108 42 7 2 2 15 W.N. Central 487 317 126 24 14 6 32 San Francisco, CA 90 57 23 6 — 4 Pulluth, MN 27 22 2 2 2 2 — 1 1 Santa Cruz, CA 155 109 30 8 4 4 4 4 Duluth, MN 27 22 2 2 2 2 — 1 1 Santa Cruz, CA 155 109 30 8 4 4 4 4 Duluth, MN 27 32 2 2 2 2 — 1 1 1 Santa Cruz, CA 157 11 6 6 — — — 1 Kansas City, KS 25 16 8 — 1 — 2 Seattle, WA 116 73 33 4 3 3 10 Kansas City, MO 115 66 35 6 7 1 9 Spokane, WA 96 71 16 6 3 — 8 Minneapolis, MN 58 32 14 7 3 3 2 6 Total 10 10, CE 3 10, CE																
Grand Rapids, MI										,						
Indianapolis, IN																
Lansing, MI													5	4		
Milwaukee, WI 67 41 16 6 3 1 6 Long Beach, CA 55 36 14 4 1 — 1 Peoria, IL 58 43 9 2 2 2 2 7 Los Angeles, CA 273 162 69 21 9 12 31 Rockford, IL 52 36 8 6 1 1 3 3 Pasadena, CA 22 21 1 — — — 3 South Bend, IN 40 30 5 3 2 — 4 Portland, OR 110 82 21 5 1 1 7 Toledo, OH 97 71 21 3 1 1 5 Sacramento, CA 209 142 43 13 6 5 20 Youngstown, OH 56 42 13 — 1 — — San Diego, CA 161 108 42 7 2 2 15 W.N. Central 487 317 126 24 14 6 32 San Francisco, CA 90 57 23 6 — 4 7 Des Moines, IA U U U U U U U U San Jose, CA 155 109 30 8 4 4 4 Duluth, MN 27 22 2 2 2 — 1 1 Santa Cruz, CA 17 11 6 — — 1 Kansas City, KS 25 16 8 — 1 — 2 Seattle, WA 116 73 33 4 3 3 10 Kansas City, MO 115 66 35 6 7 1 9 Spokane, WA 16 3 42 13 5 2 1 6 Lincoln, NE 54 43 8 3 — — 3 Tacoma, WA 96 71 16 6 3 3 — 8 Minneapolis, MN 58 32 14 7 3 2 6 Total 10,625 6,982 2,501 686 262 191 695 St. Louis, MO — — — — — — — 4							5	-				-	_	_		
Peoria, IL 58 43 9 2 2 2 7 Los Angeles, CA 273 162 69 21 9 12 31 Rockford, IL 52 36 8 6 1 1 3 Pasadena, CA 22 21 1 — — — 3 South Bend, IN 40 30 5 3 2 — 4 Portland, OR 110 82 21 5 1 1 7 Toledo, OH 97 71 21 3 1 1 5 Sacramento, CA 209 142 43 13 6 5 20 Youngstown, OH 56 42 13 — 1 — — San Diego, CA 161 108 42 7 2 2 15 W.N. Central 487 317 126 24 14 6 32 San Francisco, CA 90 57 23 <td></td>																
Rockford, IL 52 36 8 6 1 1 3 Pasadena, CA 22 21 1 — — — 3 South Bend, IN 40 30 5 3 2 — 4 Portland, OR 110 82 21 5 1 1 7 Toledo, OH 97 71 21 3 1 1 5 Sacramento, CA 209 142 43 13 6 5 20 Youngstown, OH 56 42 13 — 1 — — San Diego, CA 161 108 42 7 2 2 15 W.N. Central 487 317 126 24 14 6 32 San Francisco, CA 90 57 23 6 — 4 7 Des Moines, IA U U U U U San Jose, CA 155 109 3 8 4																
South Bend, IN 40 30 5 3 2 — 4 Portland, OR 110 82 21 5 1 1 7 Toledo, OH 97 71 21 3 1 1 5 Sacramento, CA 209 142 43 13 6 5 20 Youngstown, OH 56 42 13 — 1 — — San Diego, CA 161 108 42 7 2 2 15 W.N. Central 487 317 126 24 14 6 32 San Francisco, CA 90 57 23 6 — 4 7 Des Moines, IA U U U U U U U U U U San Jose, CA 155 109 30 8 4 4 4 Des Moines, IA U U U U U U U U San	,													9		
Toledo, OH 97 71 21 3 1 1 5 Sacramento, CA 209 142 43 13 6 5 20 Youngstown, OH 56 42 13 — 1 — — San Diego, CA 161 108 42 7 2 2 15 W.N. Central 487 317 126 24 14 6 32 San Francisco, CA 90 57 23 6 — 4 7 Des Moines, IA U U U U U U U San Jose, CA 155 109 30 8 4 4 4 A Duluth, MN 27 22 2 2 2 — 1 1 1 Santa Cruz, CA 17 11 6 — — — 1 Kansas City, KS 25 16 8 — 1 — 2 Seattle, WA 116 73 33 4 3 3 10 Kansas City, MO 115 66 35 6 7 1 9 Spokane, WA 163 42 13 5 2 1 6 Lincoln, NE 54 43 8 3 — — 3 Tacoma, WA 96 71 16 6 3 — 8 Minneapolis, MN 58 32 14 7 3 2 6 Total 10,625 6,982 2,501 686 262 191 695 St. Louis, MO — — — — — — — 4	,													_		
Youngstown, OH 56 42 13 — 1 — — — San Diego, CA 161 108 42 7 2 2 15 W.N. Central 487 317 126 24 14 6 32 San Diego, CA 161 108 42 7 2 2 15 W.N. Central 487 317 126 24 14 6 32 San Diego, CA 161 108 42 7 2 2 2 4 7 Des Moines, IA U U U U U U U U San Jose, CA 155 109 30 8 4 4 4 Duluth, MN 27 22 2 2 1 1 Santa Cruz, CA 17 11 6 — — 1 Kansas City, KS 25 16 8 — 1 9 Spokane, WA 63 42																
W.N. Central 487 317 126 24 14 6 32 San Francisco, CA 90 57 23 6 — 4 7 Des Moines, IA U San Jose, CA 155 109 30 8 4 4 4 Duluth, MN 27 22 2 2 — 1 1 Santa Gruz, CA 17 11 6 — — — 1 Kansas City, KS 25 16 8 — 1 — 2 Seattle, WA 116 73 33 4 3 3 10 Kansas City, MO 115 66 35 6 7 1 9 <td< td=""><td> , .</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	, .															
Des Moines, IA U Santa Cruz, CA 17 11 6 — — — 1 Kansas City, MO 115 66 35 6 7 1 9 Spokane, WA 63 42 13 5 2 1 6 Lincoln, NE 54 43 8 3 — — 3 Tacoma, WA 96 71 16 6 3 — 8 Minneapolis, MP 58 32 <td></td>																
Duluth, MN 27 22 2 2 2 — 1 1 Santa Cruz, CA 17 11 6 — — — 1 Kansas City, KS 25 16 8 — 1 — 2 Seattle, WA 116 73 33 4 3 3 10 Kansas City, MO 115 66 35 6 7 1 9 Spokane, WA 63 42 13 5 2 1 6 Lincoln, NE 54 43 8 3 — — 3 Tacoma, WA 96 71 16 6 3 — 8 Minneapolis, MN 58 32 14 7 3 2 6 Total II 10,625 6,982 2,501 686 262 191 695 St. Louis, MO — — — — — — — — — — —									· ·							
Kansas City, KS 25 16 8 — 1 — 2 Seattle, WA 116 73 33 4 3 3 10 Kansas City, MO 115 66 35 6 7 1 9 Spokane, WA 63 42 13 5 2 1 6 Lincoln, NE 54 43 8 3 — 3 2 6 Tacoma, WA 96 71 16 6 3 — 8 Minneapolis, MN 58 32 14 7 3 2 6 Total 10,625 6,982 2,501 686 262 191 695 Omaha, NE 90 61 22 4 3 — 5 St. Louis, MO — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —														4		
Kansas City, MO 115 66 35 6 7 1 9 Spokane, WA 63 42 13 5 2 1 6 Lincoln, NE 54 43 8 3 — — 3 Tacoma, WA 96 71 16 6 3 — 8 Minneapolis, MN 58 32 14 7 3 2 6 Total 10,625 6,982 2,501 686 262 191 695 Omaha, NE 90 61 22 4 3 — 5 St. Louis, MO — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — St. Paul, MN 31 23 8 — — 4									- E					_		
Lincoln, NE 54 43 8 3 — — 3 Tacoma, WA 96 71 16 6 3 — 8 Minneapolis, MN 58 32 14 7 3 2 6 Total 10,625 6,982 2,501 686 262 191 695 Omaha, NE 90 61 22 4 3 — 5 St. Louis, MO — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — St. Paul, MN 31 23 8 — — — 4																
Minneapolis, MN 58 32 14 7 3 2 6 Total 10,625 6,982 2,501 686 262 191 695 Omaha, NE 90 61 22 4 3 — 5 St. Louis, MO — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — St. Paul, MN 31 23 8 — — — 4																
Omaha, NE 90 61 22 4 3 — 5 St. Louis, MO — — — — — — St. Paul, MN 31 23 8 — — 4 I																
St. Louis, MO — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — St. Paul, MN 31 23 8 — — — 4									Total [¶]	10,625	6,982	2,501	686	262	191	695
St. Paul, MN 31 23 8 — — 4		90	61		4	3	_	5	İ							
					_	_	_		İ							
Wichita, KS 87 54 29 2 — 2 2						_			İ							
	Wichita, KS	87	54	29	2	_	2	2	1							

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases.

* Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of >100,000. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

[†] Pneumonia and influenza.

[§] Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.

[¶] Total includes unknown ages.

The *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)* Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format. To receive an electronic copy each week, visit *MMWR*'s free subscription page at *http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/mmwrsubscribe.html*. Paper copy subscriptions are available through the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone 202-512-1800.

Data presented by the Notifiable Disease Data Team and 122 Cities Mortality Data Team in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. Address all inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop E-90, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333 or to *mmwrq@cdc.gov*.

All material in the MMWR Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to MMWR readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites. URL addresses listed in MMWR were current as of the date of publication.

☆ U.S. Government Printing Office: 2010-623-026/41265 Region IV ISSN: 0149-2195