Weekly / Vol. 61 / No. 10

Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report

March 16, 2012

National Kidney Month — March 2012

March is designated National Kidney Month to raise awareness about kidney disease prevention and early detection. In 2010, kidney disease was the eighth leading cause of death in the United States (1). Approximately 20 million U.S. adults aged ≥20 years have chronic kidney disease (CKD), and most of them are unaware of their condition (2,3). If left untreated, CKD can lead to kidney failure, requiring dialysis or transplantation for survival (2,4). Among persons on hemodialysis because of kidney failure, the leading causes of hospitalization are cardiovascular disease and infection (4).

CDC, in collaboration with partner agencies and organizations, has created the *National Chronic Kidney Disease Fact Sheet 2010 (2)* and is establishing a national CKD surveillance system to document and monitor the burden of CKD in the United States. Diabetes and high blood pressure are major risk factors for CKD, but controlling diabetes and blood pressure can prevent or delay CKD and improve health outcomes (*2*).

Information about kidney disease prevention and control is available at http://www.nkdep.nih.gov. Information about CDC's Chronic Kidney Disease Initiative is available at http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/projects/kidney.htm.

References

- 1. Murphy SL, Xu JQ, Kochanek KD. Deaths: preliminary data for 2010. Natl Vital Stat Rep 2012;60(4).
- CDC. National chronic kidney disease fact sheet 2010. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2010. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pubs/factsheets/kidney.htm. Accessed March 5, 2012.
- Plantinga LC, Boulware LE, Coresh J, et al. Patient awareness of chronic kidney disease: trends and predictors. Arch Intern Med 2008;168:2268–75.
- 4. US Renal Data System. USRDS 2011 annual data report: atlas of chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease in the United States. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases; 2011. Available at http://www.usrds.org/adr.aspx. Accessed March 5, 2012.

Reducing Bloodstream Infections in an Outpatient Hemodialysis Center — New Jersey, 2008–2011

Patients undergoing hemodialysis are at risk for bloodstream infections (BSIs), and preventing these infections in this highrisk population is a national priority (1). During 2008, an estimated 37,000 BSIs related to central lines occurred among hemodialysis patients in the United States. This is almost as many as the estimated 41,000 central line-associated BSIs that occurred during 2009 among patients in critical-care units and wards of acute-care hospitals. In 2009, to decrease BSI incidence in a New Jersey outpatient hemodialysis center, a package of interventions was instituted, beginning with participation in a national collaborative BSI prevention program and augmented by a social and behavioral change process to enlist staff members in infection prevention. Rates of BSIs related to the patient's vascular access (i.e., access-related BSIs [ARBs]) were evaluated in the preintervention and postintervention periods. The incidence of all ARBs decreased from 2.04 per 100 patient-months preintervention to 0.75 (p=0.03) after initiating program interventions and to 0.24 (p<0.01) after adding a behavioral change intervention. Only one ARB occurred during the last 12 postintervention months. At this hemodialysis facility, participating in a collaborative prevention program along with implementation of a behavioral change strategy was associated with a large decrease in ARBs. Other outpatient hemodialysis facilities also might reduce ARBs by adopting similar approaches to prevention.

To address BSI prevention in outpatient hemodialysis centers, CDC established the CDC Hemodialysis BSI Prevention Collaborative in mid-2009. As part of this effort, member

INSIDE

174 Tickborne Relapsing Fever in a Mother and Newborn Child — Colorado, 2011

177 Announcements

179 QuickStats



hemodialysis centers report BSIs to the National Healthcare Safety Network and adopt a uniform package of BSI prevention interventions.* Participating facilities also can implement a "positive deviance" approach to social and behavioral change to engage staff members in these efforts and thereby improve adherence to recommended interventions. A premise of positive deviance is that in most communities or organizations, uncommon (deviant) practices of persons or groups within the organization can yield better (positive) results (e.g., better adherence to recommended practices) than traditional practices of their peers who have access to the same resources (2). The process helps members of an organization identify, generate, and diffuse positive deviant practices.

The dialysis unit at AtlantiCare Regional Medical Center is a 12-station, hospital-based outpatient hemodialysis center serving patients in Atlantic City, New Jersey, and the surrounding region. Several interventions already were in place to reduce BSIs before introduction of the prevention program and positive deviance; despite this, BSI incidence remained above facility goals. The facility joined the collaborative in September 2009 and during the next 3 months worked to implement the collaborative's prevention program interventions, which included, in addition to dialysis event surveillance, 1) observation of catheter care and vascular access care, 2) use

of chlorhexidine for skin antisepsis, 3) auditing of hand hygiene adherence, 4) patient education and engagement, 5) catheter use reduction programs, and 6) staff member education and competency testing. Program members also participated in monthly telephone conferences and yearly face-to-face meetings that served as a forum for presenting infection prevention topics, sharing best practices, and problem solving.

The positive deviance process was introduced to leaders from the medical center and dialysis center in early 2010. Two identical kick-off sessions were held in August 2010 to orient dialysis staff members and support personnel to positive deviance. After the kick-off sessions, discovery and action dialogue sessions were held (3). These sessions were designed to tap the expertise of front-line staff members, identify positive deviant practices and their potential use, and encourage staff members to take personal responsibility for BSI prevention. For example, one nurse used a mnemonic device to achieve near-perfect hand hygiene compliance, which she taught to the other nurses. To assess and promote the progress of initiatives developed by staff members during these discussions, follow-up activities were built into regular staff meetings.

ARBs were measured using Dialysis Event surveillance in the National Healthcare Safety Network. An ARB was defined as a positive blood culture attributed to either the vascular access or an unknown source and collected from a hemodialysis outpatient or from a maintenance hemodialysis patient within 1 day after a hospital admission. Infection rates were reported as events per 100 patient-months and were sequenced for analysis

The MMWR series of publications is published by the Office of Surveillance, Epidemiology, and Laboratory Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA 30333.

Suggested citation: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Article title]. MMWR 2012;61:[inclusive page numbers].

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Thomas R. Frieden, MD, MPH, Director
Harold W. Jaffe, MD, MA, Associate Director for Science
James W. Stephens, PhD, Director, Office of Science Quality
Stephen B. Thacker, MD, MSc, Deputy Director for Surveillance, Epidemiology, and Laboratory Services
Stephanie Zaza, MD, MPH, Director, Epidemiology and Analysis Program Office

MMWR Editorial and Production Staff

Ronald L. Moolenaar, MD, MPH, Editor, MMWR Series

John S. Moran, MD, MPH, Deputy Editor, MMWR Series
 Teresa F. Rutledge, Managing Editor, MMWR Series
 Douglas W. Weatherwax, Lead Technical Writer-Editor
 Donald G. Meadows, MA, Jude C. Rutledge, Writer-Editors
 Martha F. Boyd, Lead Visual Information Specialist

Maureen A. Leahy, Julia C. Martinroe, Stephen R. Spriggs, Terraye M. Starr Visual Information Specialists Quang M. Doan, MBA, Phyllis H. King Information Technology Specialists

MMWR Editorial Board

William L. Roper, MD, MPH, Chapel Hill, NC, Chairman

Matthew L. Boulton, MD, MPH, Ann Arbor, MI
Virginia A. Caine, MD, Indianapolis, IN
Jonathan E. Fielding, MD, MPH, MBA, Los Angeles, CA
David W. Fleming, MD, Seattle, WA
William E. Halperin, MD, DrPH, MPH, Newark, NJ
King K. Holmes, MD, PhD, Seattle, WA
Deborah Holtzman, PhD, Atlanta, GA
Timothy F. Jones, MD, Nashville, TN

Dennis G. Maki, MD, Madison, WI
Patricia Quinlisk, MD, MPH, Des Moines, IA
Patrick L. Remington, MD, MPH, Madison, WI
John V. Rullan, MD, MPH, San Juan, PR
William Schaffner, MD, Nashville, TN
Dixie E. Snider, MD, MPH, Atlanta, GA
John W. Ward, MD, Atlanta, GA

^{*}Additional information is available at http://www.cdc.gov/dialysis/collaborative/index.html.

[†] Additional information is available at http://www.positivedeviance.org.

into three periods: 1) preintervention (January 2008-August 2009), 2) participation in the prevention program (September 2009-July 2010), and 3) participation in the program with positive deviance (August 2010-December 2011). Trends in infection rates over the three periods were analyzed with Poisson regression using the three periods as indicator variables. Two interrupted time series models using Poisson regression were used to evaluate the effect of the two main interventions (i.e., participation in the prevention program and implementation of positive deviance) on ARBs (4). The first modeled the pre-prevention program rate trend, the rate change immediately after joining the program, and the difference between pre-prevention program and program rate trends. The second modeled the same rates but also modeled the rate change immediately after implementing positive deviance and the difference between the pre-positive deviance and positive deviance rate trends. Using the Durbin-Watson statistic, neither model appeared to demonstrate autocorrelation (i.e., no significant correlation of adjacent monthly outcomes within each model). To assess adherence to interventions, process measures were monitored for five infection prevention practice categories at least eight times per month. A z-test comparing proportions was performed to determine whether adherence differed with each process measure category before and after implementation of positive deviance.

ARB incidence rates were reported for the preintervention, prevention program, and program with positive deviance periods (Table 1) and compared (Figure). The comparison revealed a significant decrease in ARB from the preintervention to the second postintervention period (2.04 per 100 patient-months to 0.24 per 100 patient-months [p<0.01]). For the model using enrollment in the prevention program as the intervention point, monthly ARB incidence did not change before the intervention (incidence rate ratio [IRR] = 1.00, p=0.94); at the time of the intervention, the slope of the postintervention monthly ARB incidence did not change significantly, but the IRR suggested a more downward trend compared with the preintervention period (IRR = 0.91, p=0.08); and the ARB incidence postintervention decreased approximately 9% per month (IRR = 0.91, p=0.045). For the model that used

What is known on this topic?

In 2008, an estimated 37,000 bloodstream infections (BSIs) related to central lines occurred among hemodialysis patients in the United States. Despite national decreases in BSIs in other health-care settings, the incidence of these infections in dialysis settings does not appear to be decreasing.

What is added by this report?

At one dialysis center, participation in the CDC Hemodialysis BSI Prevention Collaborative, use of collaborative interventions, and introduction of a social and behavioral change process (positive deviance) were associated with significant reductions in BSIs that were related to the patient's vascular access.

What are the implications for public health practice?

Health-care—associated infections, including BSIs, are an ongoing hazard for patients who receive their care primarily as outpatients. Based on the success at this facility and the success of similar programs in other health-care settings, the approach described in this report might be effective in other outpatient dialysis facilities to prevent BSIs.

enrollment in the prevention program and positive deviance as two different intervention points, none of the changes reached statistical significance; however, a decreasing trend occurred in the ARB incidence after prevention program enrollment (IRR = 0.85, p=0.25), which continued downward at nearly the same rate after the addition of positive deviance (IRR = 1.06, p=0.75) (Figure). Changes in adherence rates for the five process measure categories were tracked over the pre— and post—positive deviance periods (Table 2).


Reported by

Gemma Downham, MPH, Erin Jones, Pamela Peterson, MBA, M. Yaser Mourad, MD, AtlantiCare Regional Medical Center, New Jersey. Curt Lindberg, DMan, Billings Clinic, Montana. Priti R. Patel, MD, Alexander J. Kallen, MD, Div of Healthcare Quality Promotion, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Diseases, CDC. Corresponding contributor: Gemma Downham, gemma.downham@atlanticare.org, 609-748-7010.

TABLE 1. Incidence rates of all vascular access-related bloodstream infections in an outpatient hemodialysis center across the preintervention and two postintervention periods — New Jersey, 2008–2011

Period	Patient months	Access-related bloodstream infections	Incidence rate (per 100 patient-months)	Incidence rate ratio	p-value
Preintervention (Jan 2008–Aug 2009)	1,518	31	2.04	Referent	Referent
Prevention program (Sep 2009–Jul 2010)	799	6	0.75	0.37	0.03
Prevention program and positive deviance (Aug 2010–Dec 2011)	1,268	3	0.24	0.12	<0.01

FIGURE. Actual access-related bloodstream infection (ARB) incidence per 100 patient-months at an outpatient hemodialysis center and predicted ARB incidence using enrollment in the CDC Hemodialysis BSI Prevention Collaborative (collaborative enrollment) (September 2009) as the intervention, and predicted ARB incidence using collaborative enrollment (September 2009) and addition of a social and behavioral change process (positive deviance initiation) (August 2010) as separate interventions — New Jersey, 2008–2011



Editorial Note

At this outpatient hemodialysis center, use of a package of interventions, combined with a behavioral change intervention (positive deviance), was associated with a decline in ARB incidence. Only one ARB was identified in the final 12 months of the intervention period that included more than 1,200 patient-months. Adherence to process measures that are markers for important infection prevention practices was high and improved after implementation of positive deviance. These results demonstrate the utility of a collaborative prevention program that promotes important prevention practices to decrease BSIs in hemodialysis settings and the potential for a behavioral change strategy, such as positive deviance, to increase adherence to prevention strategies.

BSIs are potentially life-threatening infections sometimes associated with the provision of health care. Preventing these infections is a priority; however, prevention efforts have focused primarily on acute-care facilities. Some patients who receive their care primarily as outpatients, including maintenance hemodialysis patients, also are at risk for BSIs. Nationally, the

number of BSIs among hemodialysis patients is substantial. Since 1993, hospitalizations for bacteremia or septicemia have increased 40% among hemodialysis patients (5). This increase occurred while the number of BSIs declined in intensive-care units of acute-care hospitals (1).

Preventing BSIs can be a challenge in outpatient hemodialysis settings. However, a number of interventions have been recommended for prevention, particularly among hemodialysis patients with central lines (>20% of hemodialysis patients) (6–8). The members of this prevention program worked together to identify a package of evidence-based interventions that could be implemented in dialysis centers to prevent BSIs and to develop solutions to the challenges of implementation and sustainability. A similar collaborative approach has been used successfully in intensive-care units to decrease the incidence of central line—associated BSIs (9). Effective BSI prevention programs such as this include implementation of evidence-based practices, endorsement by facility leaders, and empowerment of frontline health-care personnel to intercede on behalf of patients when infection control breaches are observed.

TABLE 2. Process measure adherence rates in an outpatient hemodialysis center across two postintervention periods — New Jersey, 2008–2011

			Period		
	Collabora	tive only	Collaborative and p	ositive deviance	
Process measure	No.*	(%)	No.*	(%)	p-value
Equipment handling [†]	236/245	(96)	378/380	(99)	0.005
General practice§	1,166/1,190	(98)	1,538/1,546	(99)	< 0.001
Medication administration	333/344	(97)	267/269	(99)	0.040
Isolation precautions	84/88	(95)	26/29	(90)	0.240
Dialysis initiation and termination procedures	458/490	(93)	328/332	(99)	<0.001

^{*} Number of observations in which successful practice was observed / total number of observations.

Potentially contributing to this dialysis center's success was the use of positive deviance to improve adherence to recommended practices and infection prevention principles. Use of positive deviance or similar interventions has resulted in reductions in health-care—associated infections in other settings (10). The significant increases in compliance with infection prevention processes at this facility suggest that positive deviance helped improve staff member attention to important infection control practices.

The findings in this report are subject to at least three limitations. First, results are based on the experience of one dialysis center and might not be generalizable to other centers. Second, each intervention period included only a few months, which diminished the power of the interrupted time series model to detect statistically significant differences. Finally, this evaluation is observational. Because no control group was included, the interventions implemented in this study cannot be attributed definitively as the cause of the decrease in ARBs.

Prevention of health-care—associated infections, such as ARBs among hemodialysis patients, is a public health priority. Prevention efforts at this outpatient hemodialysis center were improved by including strategies for engaging staff members in the infection control process and by collaborating with other facilities to discover practices that can help overcome barriers to prevention. Other outpatient hemodialysis facilities might consider similar approaches to BSI prevention.

References

- 1. CDC. Vital signs: central line–associated bloodstream infections—United States, 2001, 2008, and 2009. MMWR 2011;60:243–8.
- 2. Marsh DR, Schroeder DG, Dearden KA, et al. The power of positive deviance. BMJ 2004;329:1177–9.
- Lindberg C, Norstrand P, Munger M, et al. Letting go, gaining control: positive deviance and MRSA prevention. Clinical Leader 2009;2:60–7.
- 4. Wagner AK, Soumerai SB, Zhang F, Ross-Degnan D. Segmented regression analysis of interrupted time series studies in medication use research. J Clin Pharm Ther 2002;27:299–309.
- 5. US Renal Data System. USRDS 2011 annual data report: atlas of chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease in the United States. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases; 2011. Available at http://www.usrds.org/adr.aspx. Accessed March 5, 2012.
- National Kidney Foundation. KDOQI clinical practice guidelines and clinical practice recommendations for 2006 updates: hemodialysis adequacy, peritoneal dialysis adequacy and vascular access. Am J Kidney Dis 2006;48(Suppl 1).
- 7. O'Grady NP, Alexander M, Burns LM, et al. Guideline for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 52:e162–93.
- Mid-Atlantic Renal Coalition. 2010 Fistula First Breakthrough Initiative annual report. Lake Success, NY: IPRO ESRD Network of New York; 2011. Available at http://www.fistulafirst.org. Accessed February 29, 2012.
- CDC. Reduction in central line–associated bloodstream infections among patients in intensive care units—Pennsylvania, April 2001–March 2005. MMWR 2005;54:1013–6.
- Ellingson K, Muder RR, Jain R, et al. Sustained reduction in the clinical incidence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization or infection associated with a multifaceted infection control intervention. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2011;32:1–8.

[†] Included equipment storage and segregation of clean and dirty equipment.

[§] Included use of personal protective equipment and disinfection of the treatment station.

Tickborne Relapsing Fever in a Mother and Newborn Child — Colorado, 2011

Tickborne relapsing fever (TBRF) is a bacterial infection caused by certain species of Borrelia spirochetes and transmitted through the bite of Ornithodoros ticks. Clinical illness is characterized by relapsing fever, myalgias, and malaise. On May 10, 2011, CDC and the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment were notified of two patients with TBRF: a young woman and her newborn child. This report summarizes the clinical course of these patients and emphasizes the importance of considering a diagnosis of TBRF among patients with compatible clinical symptoms and residence or travel in a TBRF-endemic area. Pregnant women and neonates are at increased risk for TBRF-associated complications and require prompt diagnosis and treatment for optimal clinical outcomes. Public health follow-up of reported TBRF cases should include a search for persons sharing an exposure with the patient and environmental investigation with remediation measures to prevent additional infections.


On May 2, 2011, a previously healthy woman aged 24 years sought treatment at a local emergency department in Colorado after 1 week of fever, nausea, headache, stiff neck, and occasional blurred vision. Approximately 20 hours earlier, she had delivered a newborn (at 39 weeks' gestation) in a mountain cabin, without medical attendance. She had received limited prenatal care. Delivery was notable for amniotic fluid discoloration consistent with meconium. Physical examination revealed an ill-appearing and afebrile woman with hypotension (blood pressure: 70/40 mmHg). Gynecologic examination was unremarkable. A complete blood count revealed an elevated white blood cell count of $18,000/\mu L$ (normal: $4,500-10,000/\mu L$), a decreased hematocrit of 30% (normal: 37%-47%), and a decreased platelet count of $42,000/\mu$ L (normal: $130,000-400,000/\mu$ L). Blood chemistries were remarkable for an elevated creatinine of 1.6 mg/dL (normal: 0.6-1.3 mg/dL), elevated aspartate aminotransferase of 61 IU/L (normal: 15-37 IU/L), and elevated alkaline phosphatase of 422 IU/L (normal: 50-136 IU/L). She was admitted and treated empirically using intravenous piperacillin with tazobactam for postpartum sepsis and fluid resuscitation for hypotension. Antibiotics were changed to oral amoxicillin after 48 hours. A blood culture drawn at admission revealed no growth, and the patient remained afebrile during hospitalization. Because of worsening anemia, she was transfused with packed red blood cells on May 3. Her condition improved, and she was discharged on May 5.

The newborn female accompanied her mother to the emergency department on May 2. Although physical examination was normal, the newborn was admitted for observation. An initial complete blood count was unremarkable, and blood

culture collected at admission had no growth after 5 days. The patient developed neonatal jaundice on May 4 and remained hospitalized. On May 7, she became febrile with a temperature of 101.2°F (38.4°C) and had a platelet count of $34,000/\mu$ L (normal: 130,000–400,000/ μ L). Blood chemistries revealed an elevated alkaline phosphatase of 196 IU/L (normal: 50–136 IU/L) and a decreased albumin of 2.4 g/dL (normal: 3.4-5.0 g/dL). Treatment for sepsis was initiated with administration of gentamicin, ampicillin, and acyclovir. Subsequently, her platelet count decreased further to 14,000/µL. A review of the peripheral blood smear to evaluate the newborn's thrombocytopenia incidentally revealed spirochetes consistent with TBRF (Figure). A 10-day course of intravenous penicillin-G and platelet transfusions for progressive thrombocytopenia were initiated. The newborn recovered and was discharged on May 20. Because of the newborn's spirochetemia, the mother was presumptively treated for TBRF with doxycycline.

Blood and serum samples from the mother and her newborn were tested by CDC's Bacterial Diseases Branch, Fort Collins, Colorado. Presence of spirochetes was visually confirmed from the newborn's blood smear prepared May 7; a whole blood sample collected the same day yielded evidence of relapsing fever *Borrelia* species by polymerase chain reaction. Sequencing of polymerase chain reaction targets revealed 100% match to *Borrelia hermsii*. Testing of the newborn's serum also obtained

FIGURE. Stained thin smear of a newborn's peripheral blood, showing the presence of numerous spirochetes (indicated by black arrows) at 63X magnification — Colorado, 2011



Photo/CDC

May 7 did not detect *B. hermsii* antibodies by either enzyme immunoassay (EIA) or immunoglobulin M (IgM) and immunoglobulin G (IgG) Western immunoblots. A sample collected from the newborn 3 days later had equivocal results by EIA and three bands visible on IgM immunoblot and one band visible on IgG immunoblot. Serum collected from the mother on May 13 produced a positive *B. hermsii* EIA, >10 bands by IgM immunoblot, and 10 bands by IgG immunoblot. The mother's clinical history and dominant IgM antibody response supported acute maternal *B. hermsii* infection acquired during the weeks preceding delivery; the limited antibody response by the newborn also supported a diagnosis of acute TBRF infection.

The mother was not employed and had moved from a densely populated urban area in Colorado to the previously vacant cabin 18 days before delivery. This rural Colorado cabin was situated near the base of a mountain range within a juniper and piñon tree forest at an approximate elevation of 8,800 feet. The single-room structure lacked electricity and running water. An environmental assessment indicated no ongoing rodent activity, and no ticks were recovered. The cabin owner declined to permit access to internal wall spaces to search for rodent nests.

Reported by

Elisabeth W. Lawaczeck, DVM, Colorado Dept of Public Health and Environment. Paul S. Mead, MD, Martin E. Schriefer, PhD, Div of Vector-Borne Diseases, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases; Meghan E. Brett, MD, Jeffrey T. McCollum, DVM, EIS officers, CDC. Corresponding contributor: Jeffrey T. McCollum, jmccollum@cdc.gov, 303-692-2745.

Editorial Note

B. hermsii is the most frequent cause of TBRF in the United States. This spirochete is transmitted to humans by the soft tick Ornithodoros hermsi, which usually is associated with the nests of chipmunks and other wild rodents (1). Unlike hard ticks, O. hermsi transmit spirochetes through a brief (<30 minutes' duration) and painless nocturnal bite. Humans typically are exposed to these ticks during an overnight stay in rodent-infested dwellings at elevations >2,000 feet.

After an average incubation period of 7 days (range: 2–18 days), TBRF symptoms include fever, headache, myalgias, nausea, and chills with a median duration of 3 days (range: 2–7 days) alternating with afebrile periods of a median duration of 7 days (range: 4–14 days) (*I*). Febrile periods can recur ≤10 times without treatment. Moderate to severe thrombocytopenia is typical during acute TBRF illness (*I*). As occurred in the newborn's illness, spirochetes are not detected by automated blood cell counts but can be observed on direct examination of stained

(Wright's or Giemsa) blood smears, with sensitivity approaching 70% during febrile episodes (2). Blood smears most often reveal spirochetes during acute infection and before antibiotic treatment. Alternatively, serologic testing for TBRF can be used for diagnosis but is not widely available. Antibiotics recommended for treatment include penicillin, doxycycline, and erythromycin. Patients with TBRF infection should be monitored for ≥2 hours after initial antibiotic dose for a Jarisch-Herxheimer reaction, an acute worsening of symptoms that can be life-threatening.* One case series documented such reactions among 54% of patients, demonstrating that this reaction is common (3).

TBRF infection can pose serious risks for mothers and neonates. Only 12 TBRF infections among pregnant women have ever been reported in the United States, including the one in this report (1,3–9). Among these cases, serious maternal complications of TBRF infection have been documented and include adult respiratory distress syndrome, Jarisch-Herxheimer reaction, and precipitous or premature delivery (4–6). Among newborns born to these TBRF-infected mothers, six (55%) of 11 had a documented perinatal TBRF infection; two (33%) died despite treatment. Potential routes of perinatal TBRF infection include transplacental transmission or acquisition during delivery; however, studies have been limited.

The findings in this report are subject to at least two limitations. First, transmission route for the newborn was not determined, but possibilities include transplacental, during birth, or during residence in the cabin. Second, the cabin remains the most likely site of exposure for the mother on the basis of arrival date and acute nature of her illness; however, no rodent nests or ticks were identified within the structure to provide more substantial evidence.

TBRF should be considered a potential diagnosis among febrile patients who reside in or have traveled to the western United States, especially those inhabiting rustic housing. Cases should be reported immediately to public health officials to facilitate identification of other potentially exposed persons and to evaluate and treat those persons for TBRF infection. Additionally, TBRF is a reportable disease in 12 western U.S. states. An environmental investigation should be undertaken to search for rodent nests. Reinfection and additional TBRF illnesses can occur in housing previously linked to TBRF cases (10). Remediation efforts should include rodent-proofing and treatment of structures with pesticides (particularly crack- and crevice-type) by pest control specialists to reduce risk for continued tick exposure.

^{*} A Jarisch-Herxheimer reaction is characterized by hypotension, tachycardia, chills, rigors, diaphoresis, and elevated body temperature and can occur after initial antibiotic therapy for infections caused by spirochetes, including relapsing fever (1).

[†]One woman with TBRF infection elected to terminate her pregnancy.

[§] Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oregon, Texas, Utah, and Washington.

What is already known on this topic?

Tickborne relapsing fever (TBRF) is a spirochetal infection transmitted to humans through the bites of soft ticks. TBRF infection is endemic to the western United States and often acquired by patients lodging in rodent-infested rustic dwellings at elevations >2,000 feet.

What is added by this report?

This report describes the sixth reported case of acute neonatal TBRF infection associated with maternal TBRF illness in the United States. It highlights the incidental diagnosis of two TBRF infections, indicating that TBRF might not be considered initially for clinically compatible illnesses even in TBRF-endemic areas.

What are the implications for public health practice?

TBRF should be considered among the differential diagnoses of patients with unexplained or recurrent fever, especially those with a history of travel or residence in areas where TBRF is endemic. Pregnant women and neonates are at increased risk for severe TBRF illness and require prompt diagnosis and treatment for optimal clinical outcomes. Public health follow-up of reported TBRF cases should include a search for additional illnesses and environmental assessment with remediation measures to prevent further infections or reinfection.

Acknowledgments

Local clinicians and clinical laboratories; local health department personnel; Ken Gershman, MD, Communicable Disease Epidemiology Program, Colorado Dept of Public Health and Environment. Christopher Sexton, John Young, Bacterial Diseases Branch Laboratory, Div of Vector-Borne Diseases; Kris Bisgard, DVM, EIS Field Assignments Branch, Scientific Education and Professional Development Program Office, CDC.

References

- Dworkin MS, Schwan TG, Anderson DE Jr. Tick-borne relapsing fever in North America. Med Clin North Am 2002;86:417–33, viii–ix.
- Southern PM Jr, Sanford JP. Relapsing fever: a clinical and microbiological review. Medicine 1969;48:129–49.
- 3. Dworkin MS, Anderson DE Jr, Schwan TG, et al. Tick-borne relapsing fever in the northwestern United States and southwestern Canada. Clin Infect Dis 1998;26:122–31.
- 4. Davis RD, Burke JP, Wright LJ. Relapsing fever associated with ARDS in a parturient woman. A case report and review of the literature. Chest 1992;102:630–2.
- 5. Guggenheim JN, Haverkamp AD. Tick-borne relapsing fever during pregnancy: a case report. J Reprod Med 2005;50:727–9.
- 6. Fuchs PC, Oyama AA. Neonatal relapsing fever due to transplacental transmission of *Borrelia*. JAMA 1969;208:690–2.
- 7. Morrison SK, Parsons L. Relapsing fever: report of three cases, one in a six day old infant. JAMA 1941;116:220–1.
- 8. Steenbarger JR. Congenital tick-borne relapsing fever: report of a case with first documentation of transplacental transmission. Birth Defects Orig Artic Ser 1982;18(3 Pt A):39–45.
- 9. Malison MD. Relapsing fever. JAMA 1979;241:2819-20.
- Wynns HL. The epidemiology of relapsing fever. In: In: Moulton FR, ed. A symposium on relapsing fever in the Americas. Washington, DC: American Association for the Advancement of Science; 1942.

Announcements

National Poison Prevention Week, 50th Anniversary — March 18–24, 2012

This year commemorates the 50th anniversary of National Poison Prevention Week (NPPW), which will be observed March 18–24. Each year, the observance of NPPW is organized by the Poison Prevention Week Council, a coalition of partners working to raise awareness about poison prevention across wide-ranging disciplines.*

Since passage of the Poison Prevention Packaging Act in 1970, the child-resistant packaging required on many medicines and toxic substances has saved hundreds of lives (1). However, child poisoning, particularly from medicines, remains a public health problem. Each year, approximately 60,000 emergency department visits and half a million calls to poison control centers are made because young children have gotten into medicines (2,3). A CDC-led public-private partnership, PROTECT, has developed the Up and Away and Out of Sight program to remind a new generation of caregivers about the importance of safe medicine storage. †

NPPW also serves to focus attention on the substantial increase in the number of poisoning deaths among youths and adults during the past decade. In 2008, poisoning became the leading cause of injury-related death in the United States (4). Nearly 90% of poisoning deaths involved drugs, and approximately half of those involved prescription medications. Of the prescription medication overdose deaths, 74% involved opioid analgesics (5). NPPW provides a reminder of the many opportunities available for reversing these trends (2,6).

Additional information about carbon monoxide poisoning, lead poisoning, and other unintentional poisonings is available from CDC at http://www.cdc.gov/co/default.htm, http://www.cdc.gov/nceh/lead, and http://www.cdc.gov/homeandrecreationalsafety/poisoning/index.html, respectively. Additional poison prevention information is available at http://poisonhelp.hrsa.gov. The national Poison Help line can be reached toll-free by dialing 1-800-222-1222.

References

- 1. Rodgers G. The safety effects of child-resistant packaging for oral prescription drugs: two decades of experience. JAMA 1996;275:1661–5.
- 2. Budnitz DS, Salis S. Preventing medication overdoses in young children: an opportunity for harm elimination. Pediatrics 2011;127:e1597–9.
- 3. Bronstein AC, Spyker DA, Cantilena LR Jr, Green JL, Rumack BH, Dart RC. 2010 annual report of the American Association of Poison Control Centers' National Poison Data System (NPDS): 28th annual report. Clin Toxicol (Phila) 2011;49:910–41.
- Warner M, Chen LH, Makuc DM, Anderson RN, Miniño AM. Drug poisoning deaths in the United States, 1980–2008. NCHS data brief, no. 81. Hyattsville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, National Center for Health Statistics, CDC; 2011. Available at http:// www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db81.htm. Accessed March 6, 2012.
- CDC. Vital Signs: overdoses of prescription opioid pain relievers—United States, 1999–2008. MMWR 2011;60:1487–92.
- CDC. Policy impact: prescription painkiller overdoses. Atlanta, GA: US
 Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2011. Available at
 http://www.cdc.gov/homeandrecreationalsafety/rxbrief/index.html.
 Accessed March 6, 2012.

World Water Day — March 22, 2012

World Water Day, sponsored by the United Nations, has been observed on March 22 each year since 1993. This year, World Water Day focuses on the link between water use and food production, in conjunction with its theme, "Water and Food Security: The World is Thirsty Because We are Hungry."

Food production accounts for 70% of all water use, more than the amount needed for domestic and industrial use combined. The average person drinks approximately 2.5 liters of water a day, whereas 15,000 liters of water are required to produce 1 kilogram (2.2 pounds) of beef. As the world population continues to grow, the demand for fresh water needed for food production will continue to increase, placing a strain on the world's fresh water supply.*

Since 1990, the number of persons able to access improved drinking water and sanitation resources has increased by 2 billion and 1.8 billion respectively (1). Despite these gains, hundreds of millions still lack access to these essential resources (1). CDC's global water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) program provides expertise and interventions to increase global access to safe water, adequate sanitation, and improved hygiene.[†]

Reference

1. United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), World Health Organization. Progress on drinking water and sanitation: 2012 update. New York, NY: UNICEF, World Health Organization; 2012. Available at http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/2012/jmp_report/en/index.html. Accessed March 13, 2012.

^{*}Additional information available at http://www.poisonprevention.org.

[†] Additional information available at http://www.cdc.gov/medicationsafety/protect/protect_initiative.html and http://www.upandaway.org.

^{*}Additional information available at http://www.unwater.org/worldwaterday/index.html.

[†] Additional information available at http://www.cdc.gov/healthywater/global.

Announcements

Epidemiology in Action Course

CDC and Rollins School of Public Health at Emory University will cosponsor the course, Epidemiology in Action, June 11–22, 2012, at Emory University in Atlanta, Georgia. This course is designed for state and local public health professionals.

The course emphasizes practical application of epidemiology to public health problems and consists of lectures, workshops, classroom exercises (including actual epidemiologic problems), and roundtable discussions. Topics scheduled for presentation include descriptive epidemiology and biostatistics, analytic epidemiology, epidemic investigations, public health surveillance, surveys and sampling, and Epi Info training, along with discussions of selected prevalent diseases. Tuition is charged.

Additional information and applications are available by mail (Emory University, Hubert Department of Global Health [Attn: Pia Valeriano], 1518 Clifton Rd. NE, CNR Bldg., Rm. 7038, Atlanta, GA 30322), telephone (404-727-3485), fax (404-727-4590), Internet (http://www.sph.emory.edu/epicourses), or e-mail (pvaleri@emory.edu).

CDC Launches National Tobacco Education Campaign

Many smokers do not fully understand the health risks of smoking and underestimate their personal risk (1). Media campaigns are an evidence-based strategy to educate the public regarding the harms of tobacco use, prevent smoking initiation, promote and facilitate cessation, and change social norms on the acceptability of tobacco use (2,3). Media campaigns that have strong negative messages regarding health effects, that use testimonials, or that address the impact of smoking on others have been demonstrated to be effective (2–4). Smokers who report being exposed to advertisements that are more highly emotional and include personal testimonials have been shown to be more likely to have quit smoking at follow-up (3), and graphic television advertisements have been associated with increased call volume to telephone quitlines (5).


On March 15, 2012, CDC launched a 12-week national education campaign on the dangers of tobacco use. This campaign, "Tips from Former Smokers," profiles real persons who are living with the significant adverse health effects of smoking-related diseases, such as stomas, paralysis from stroke, lung removal, heart attack, and limb amputations. The multimedia campaign will include advertisements that will be placed nationally via television, radio, newspapers, magazines, the Internet, billboards, bus stops, and movie theaters. Advertisements will include a prompt for smokers to call 800-QUIT-NOW for free help to quit. Additional information is available at http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco.

References

- 1. Weinstein ND, Marcus SE, Moser RP. Smokers' unrealistic optimism about their risk. Tob Control 2005;14:55–9.
- National Cancer Institute. The role of the media in promoting and reducing tobacco use. Tobacco control monograph no. 19. Bethesda, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute; 2008.
- 3. Wakefield M, Loken B, Hornik RC. Use of mass media campaigns to change health behaviour. Lancet 2010;376:1261–71.
- 4. US Department of Health and Human Services. Preventing tobacco use among youth and young adults: a report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2012.
- Farrelly MC, Davis KC, Nonnemaker JM, Kamyab K, Jackson C. Promoting calls to a quitline: quantifying the influence of message theme, strong negative emotions and graphic images in television advertisements. Tob Control 2011;20:279–84.

FROM THE NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

Percentage of Hospitalizations, by Expected Payment Source* and Hospital Locality† — National Hospital Discharge Survey, United States, 2009



^{*} Expected payment source is the type of program or insurance that, on admission to the hospital, was expected to be the principal payer for the hospital stay.

In 2009, Medicare was expected to pay for 51% of U.S. hospitalizations in nonmetropolitan counties and 40% of hospitalizations in metropolitan counties. Private insurance was the expected source of payment for 32% of hospitalizations in metropolitan counties, compared with 24% of hospitalizations in nonmetropolitan counties.

Source: National Hospital Discharge Survey data (2009). Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhds.htm.

Reported by: Margaret J. Hall, PhD, mhall@cdc.gov, 301-458-4252; Maria F. Owings, PhD.

[†] Counties where hospitals are located were classified as metropolitan or nonmetropolitan using June 2003 U.S. Office of Management and Budget standards based on the 2000 Census.

^{§ 95%} confidence interval.

Notifiable Diseases and Mortality Tables

 $TABLE\ I.\ Provisional\ cases\ of\ infrequently\ reported\ notifiable\ diseases\ (<1,000\ cases\ reported\ during\ the\ preceding\ year)\ --\ United\ States,\ week\ ending\ March\ 10,\ 2012\ (10th\ week)^*$


	C	C	5-year	Total	cases repo	orted for	previous	years	States reporting cases
Disease	Current week	Cum 2012	weekly average [†]	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	States reporting cases during current week (No.)
Anthrax				1	_	1	_	1	
Arboviral diseases [§] , [¶] :									
California serogroup virus disease	_	_	0	134	75	55	62	55	
Eastern equine encephalitis virus disease	_	_	_	4	10	4	4	4	
Powassan virus disease	_	_	_	16	8	6	2	7	
St. Louis encephalitis virus disease	_	_	0	6	10	12	13	9	
Western equine encephalitis virus disease	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Babesiosis	2	12	0	752	NN	NN	NN	NN	NY (1), MD (1)
Botulism, total	1	17	2	135	112	118	145	144	
foodborne	1	3	0	13	7	10	17	32	OH (1)
infant	_	12	2	91	80	83	109	85	
other (wound and unspecified)	_	2	0	31	25	25	19	27	
Brucellosis	1	13	2	81	115	115	80	131	AK (1)
Chancroid	_	4	1	28	24	28	25	23	
Cholera	_	_	0	36	13	10	5	7	
Cyclosporiasis [§]	_	5	1	154	179	141	139	93	
Diphtheria	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Haemophilus influenzae, ** invasive disease (age <5 yrs):									
serotype b	_	3	1	11	23	35	30	22	
nonserotype b	_	27	5	115	200	236	244	199	
unknown serotype	1	41	5	254	223	178	163	180	NYC (1)
Hansen disease [§]	1	9	2	51	98	103	80	101	FL (1)
Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome [§]	_	2	0	23	20	20	18	32	
Hemolytic uremic syndrome, postdiarrheal s	1	9	3	219	266	242	330	292	NC (1)
nfluenza-associated pediatric mortality [§] , ^{††}	_	5	5	118	61	358	90	77	
isteriosis	3	68	10	840	821	851	759	808	NY (2), CA (1)
Λeasles ^{§§}	1	25	3	216	63	71	140	43	FL (1)
Meningococcal disease, invasive ^{¶¶} :									
A, C, Y, and W-135	_	16	9	197	280	301	330	325	
serogroup B	_	7	4	121	135	174	188	167	
other serogroup	_	2	1	19	12	23	38	35	
unknown serogroup	5	72	12	391	406	482	616	550	NE (1), MD (1), FL (1), TX (1), HI (1)
Novel influenza A virus infections***	_	_	0	8		43,774	2	4	
Plague	_	_	0	2	2	8	3	7	
Poliomyelitis, paralytic	_	_	_	_	_	1	_	_	
Polio virus Infection, nonparalytic s	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Psittacosis ⁸	_	_	0	2	4	9	8	12	
Q fever, total [§]	1	12	2	117	131	113	120	171	
acute	1	9	1	94	106	93	106	_	MD (1)
chronic	_	3	0	23	25	20	14	_	
Rabies, human	_	_	_	2	2	4	2	1	
Rubella ^{†††}	_	_	0	4	5	3	16	12	
Rubella, congenital syndrome	_	_	_	_	_	2	_	_	
SARS-CoV [§]	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Smallpox [§]	_	_	_	_			_	_	
Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome §	3	27	5	142	142	161	157	132	NY (2), NC (1)
yphilis, congenital (age <1 yr) ^{§§§}	_	5	8	288	377	423	431	430	
etanus	_	_	0	12	26	18	19	28	
oxic-shock syndrome (staphylococcal) ⁹	_	11	2	81	82	74	71	92	
richinellosis	_	2	0	11	7	13	39	5	
ularemia	_	1	0	141	124	93	123	137	
yphoid fever	3	42	7	378	467	397	449	434	NY (1), OH (1), MD (1)
/ancomycin-intermediate <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> §	1	6	1	67	91	78	63	37	GA (1)
/ancomycin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> s	_	_	0	_	2	1	_	2	
/ibriosis (noncholera <i>Vibrio</i> species infections) [§]	1	33	4	783	846	789	588	549	FL (1)
Viral hemorrhagic fever ^{¶¶¶}	_	_	_	_	1	NN	NN	NN	
Yellow fever	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	

See Table 1 footnotes on next page.

TABLE I. (Continued) Provisional cases of infrequently reported notifiable diseases (<1,000 cases reported during the preceding year) — United States, week ending March 10, 2012 (10th week)*

- —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts.
- * Case counts for reporting year 2011 and 2012 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph_surveillance/nndss/phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf.
- † Calculated by summing the incidence counts for the current week, the 2 weeks preceding the current week, and the 2 weeks following the current week, for a total of 5 preceding years. Additional information is available at http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph_surveillance/nndss/phs/files/5yearweeklyaverage.pdf.
- Not reportable in all states. Data from states where the condition is not reportable are excluded from this table except starting in 2007 for the arboviral diseases, STD data, TB data, and influenza-associated pediatric mortality, and in 2003 for SARS-CoV. Reporting exceptions are available at http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph_surveillance/nndss/phs/infdis.htm.
- Includes both neuroinvasive and nonneuroinvasive. Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases (ArboNET Surveillance). Data for West Nile virus are available in Table II.
- ** Data for *H. influenzae* (all ages, all serotypes) are available in Table II.
- ^{††} Updated weekly from reports to the Influenza Division, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases. Since October 2, 2011, five influenza-associated pediatric deaths occurring during the 2011-12 influenza season have been reported.
- §§ The one measles case reported for the current week was imported.
- ¶ Data for meningococcal disease (all serogroups) are available in Table II.
- *** CDC discontinued reporting of individual confirmed and probable cases of 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus infections on July 24, 2009. During 2009, four cases of human infection with novel influenza A viruses, different from the 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) strain, were reported to CDC. The four cases of novel influenza A virus infection reported to CDC during 2010, and the eight cases reported during 2011, were identified as swine influenza A (H3N2) virus and are unrelated to the 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus. Total case counts are provided by the Influenza Division, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases (NCIRD).
- ††† No rubella cases were reported for the current week.
- 555 Updated weekly from reports to the Division of STD Prevention, National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention.
- 👭 There were no cases of viral hemorrhagic fever reported during the current week. See Table II for dengue hemorrhagic fever.

FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals March 10, 2012, with historical data



^{*} Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

Notifiable Disease Data Team and 122 Cities Mortality Data Team

Jennifer Ward Deborah A. Adams
Willie J. Anderson Lenee Blanton
Rosaline Dhara Diana Harris Onweh
Pearl C. Sharp Michael S. Wodajo

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 10, 2012, and March 12, 2011 (10th week)*

		Chlamydia	trachomati	s infection			Cocci	dioidomy	cosis			Cryp	tosporidio	osis	
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	2 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	52 weeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2012	2011	week	Med	Max	2012	2011	week	Med	Max	2012	2011
United States	11,288	26,847	30,781	203,700	262,900	12	404	588	2,618	4,316	51	134	399	792	957
New England	637	898	1,593	5,916	7,651	_	0	1	_	_	1	6	22	36	50
Connecticut	_	240	869	_	954	N	0	0	N	N	_	1	9	5	10
Maine	56	59	101	583	592	N	0	0	N	N	_	1	4	4	7
Massachusetts	505	427	860	3,733	4,230	N	0	0	N	N	_	2	8	15	23
New Hampshire Rhode Island	1 16	58 80	90 187	315 1,025	605 984	_	0	1 0	_	_	_	1 0	5 1	5	6 1
Vermont	59	27	66	260	286	N	0	0	N	N	1	1	5	7	3
Mid. Atlantic	1,761	3,155	4,080	28,015	31,723	_	0	0	_	_	2	15	44	79	128
New Jersey	116	539	898	4,488	4,653	N	0	0	N	N	_	1	4	1	9
New York (Upstate)	775	717	2,009	6,259	6,271	N	0	0	N	N	_	4	16	15	30
New York City	292	1,012	1,315	7,125	10,909	N	0	0	N	N	_	1	6	14	14
Pennsylvania	578	1,043	1,598	10,143	9,890	N	0	0	N	N	2	9	27	49	75
E.N. Central	1,218	4,207	4,691	31,058	43,322	_	1	5	10	8	14	33	148	197	206
Illinois	29	1,221	1,475	6,513	12,420	N	0	0	N	N	_	3	26	13	22
Indiana	196	571	731	4,639	5,823	N	0	0	N	N	_	3	14	12	31
Michigan Ohio	597 228	930 1,029	1,210 1,180	8,310 7,727	10,355 10,192	_	1 0	3 2	6 4	4 4	13	7 11	14 95	44 91	41 62
Wisconsin	228 168	466	561	7,727 3,869	4,532	 N	0	0	N N	N N	13	8	95 65	37	50
	27	1,494	1,819	3,663	14,628	_	0	2	_	_	4	15	85	68	105
W.N. Central lowa	27	211	439	2,063	2,126	N	0	0	N	N	_	5	19	22	45
Kansas	_	206	281	114	1,945	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	11	4	
Minnesota	_	316	407	_	3,318	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0		_
Missouri	_	526	759	_	4,960	_	0	0	_	_	3	5	61	22	27
Nebraska	_	124	213	923	1,155		0	2			1	2	12	9	24
North Dakota	_	45	76	5	429	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	12		_
South Dakota	4 2 4 4	62	89	558	695	N	0		N	N	_	2	13	11	9
S. Atlantic	4,244	5,468	7,518	51,395	54,659	1	0	2	1	_	11	22	61	181	206
Delaware District of Columbia	43	85 111	182 217	722 1,151	850 1,111	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	4 1	6	2
Florida	874	1,505	1,696	13,951	14,406	N	0	0	N N	N N	7	8	17	83	82
Georgia	690	1,101	1,563	9,866	8,746	N	0	Ö	N	N	1	5	12	36	48
Maryland	221	484	769	2,370	4,702	1	0	2	1	_	2	1	7	22	14
North Carolina	833	991	1,688	9,041	9,718	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	46	_	21
South Carolina	666	532	1,344	5,877	6,838	N	0	0	N	N	_	2	6	16	23
Virginia Wast Virginia	917	665	1,778	7,549	7,403	N	0	0	N N	N	1	2 0	8 5	17	13
West Virginia	1 003	81	146	868	885	N	0	0		N		8	25	1	
E.S. Central	1,093	1,924	2,804	18,758	17,890		0	0	 N		5			51 21	33
Alabama Kentucky	281	551 325	1,566 557	4,275 3,060	5,297 2,066	N N	0	0	N N	N N	1	2 2	7 17	21 4	16 8
Mississippi	488	419	792	5,417	4,656	N	0	0	N	N	_	1	4	8	3
Tennessee	324	604	822	6,006	5,871	N	0	Ö	N	N	4	2	6	18	6
W.S. Central	470	3,272	4,311	24,766	33,034	_	0	1	_	2	5	9	44	64	50
Arkansas	358	317	439	3,321	3,022	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	2	3	2
Louisiana	_	354	1,071	1,566	3,943	_	0	1	_	2	_	1	9	13	6
Oklahoma	112	103	675	883	2,372	N	0	0	N	N	3	2	6	13	9
Texas	_	2,368	3,108	18,996	23,697	N	0	0	N	N	2	6	40	35	33
Mountain	573	1,698	2,412	13,874	17,631	4	307	460	2,183	3,344	2	10	29	56	93
Arizona	_	546	784	4,027	5,269	_	303	457	2,151	3,296	_	1	4	2	4
Colorado Idaho	_	402 90	846 274	3,261	4,926	N N	0	0	N N	N N	_	2	11 9	5 12	27 9
Montana	— 62	68	274 91	653 738	733 663	N N	0	0	N N	N N		1	6	13	8
Nevada	248	207	285	1,595	2,162	4	2	5	23	18	_	0	2	2	2
New Mexico	242	220	336	2,182	2,124		1	4	2	19	_	2	9	16	26
Utah	21	136	190	1,310	1,341	_	0	4	5	8	_	1	5	3	8
Wyoming	_	29	67	108	413	_	0	2	2	3	_	0	3	3	9
Pacific	1,265	4,032	5,436	26,255	42,362	7	93	172	424	962	7	9	23	60	86
Alaska	51	109	152	1,083	1,215	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	3	_	3
California	748	3,071	4,501	18,731	32,971	7 N	93	172	424	962	3	6	16	48	43
Hawaii Oregon	_	114 280	142 412	360 2,581	1,182 2,494	N N	0	0	N N	N N	_ 1	0 2	1 8	2 4	30
Washington	466	434	612	3,500	4,500	N N	0	0	N N	N N	3	1	8 17	6	10
					.,500								.,		
Territories American Samoa		0	0			N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
C.N.M.I.	_			_	_	N			N	N	IN			IN	N
Guam	_	6	26	_	147	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Puerto Rico	_	109	348	1,009	1,075	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	16	27	_	146	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

^{*} Case counts for reporting year 2011 and 2012 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph_surveillance/nndss/phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 10, 2012, and March 12, 2011 (10th week)*

					Dengue Vir	us Infection				
		С	engue Fever†				Dengue H	lemorrhagic F	ever§	
		Previous	52 weeks					52 weeks		
Reporting area	Current week	Med	Max	Cum 2012	Cum 2011	Current week	Med	Max	Cum 2012	Cum 2011
Jnited States	_	2	17	_	44	_	0	1	_	
lew England	_	0	1	_	2	_	0	0	_	_
Connecticut	_	0	0	_	1	_	0	0	_	_
Maine	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Massachusetts	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
New Hampshire Rhode Island	_	0 0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Vermont	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	0	_	_
Mid. Atlantic	_	1	6	_	13	_	0	0	_	_
New Jersey	_	0	0	_	— —	_	0	0	_	_
New York (Upstate)	_	Ö	2	_	1	_	0	Ö	_	_
New York City	_	0	4	_	7	_	0	0	_	_
Pennsylvania	_	0	2	_	5	_	0	0	_	_
E.N. Central	_	0	2	_	5	_	0	1	_	_
Illinois	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	1	_	_
Indiana	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	0	_	_
Michigan	_	0	2	_	1	_	0	0	_	_
Ohio	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Wisconsin	_	0	1	_	2	_	0	0	_	_
W.N. Central	_	0	2	_	1	_	0	0	_	_
lowa	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Kansas Minnesota	_	0 0	1 1	_	1	_	0	0	_	_
Missouri	_	0	0	_		_	0	0	_	_
Nebraska	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
North Dakota	_	Ö	1	_	_	_	Ö	Ö	_	_
South Dakota	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
S. Atlantic	_	1	9	_	9	_	0	1	_	_
Delaware	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
District of Columbia	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Florida	_	1	7	_	5	_	0	0	_	_
Georgia	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	0	_	_
Maryland	_	0	2	_	1	_	0	0	_	_
North Carolina	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	0	_	_
South Carolina	_	0 0	1	_	_ 1	_	0	0	_	_
Virginia West Virginia	_	0	1 0	_	_	_	0	1 0	_	
E.S. Central	_	0	3			_	0	0	_	
Alabama	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Kentucky	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Mississippi	_	0	0		_	_	0	0	_	_
Tennessee	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
W.S. Central	_	0	2	_	1	_	0	0	_	_
Arkansas	_	0	0	_		_	0	0	_	_
Louisiana	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	0	_	_
Oklahoma	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Texas	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Mountain	_	0	1	_	2	_	0	0	_	_
Arizona	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	0	_	_
Colorado	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Idaho	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Montana Nevada	_	0 0	0 1	_	_	_	0 0	0	_	_
New Mexico	_	0	1	_	 1	_	0	0		_
Utah	_	0	1	_		_	0	0	_	_
Wyoming	_	ő	Ö	_	_	_	ő	Ö	_	_
Pacific	_	0	4	_	11	_	0	0	_	_
Alaska	_	ő	Ö	_	_	_	ő	Ö	_	_
California	_	0	2	_	3	_	0	0	_	_
Hawaii	_	0	1	_	5	_	0	0	_	_
Oregon	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Washington	_	0	1	_	3	_	0	0	_	_
Territories										
American Samoa	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
C.N.M.I.	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Guam	_	0	0	_		_	0	0	_	_
Puerto Rico	_	9	83	_	179	_	0	3	_	1
U.S. Virgin Islands		0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

* Case counts for reporting year 2011 and 2012 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph_surveillance/nndss/phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.

† Dengue Fever includes cases that meet criteria for Dengue Fever with hemorrhage, other clinical and unknown case classifications.

§ DHF includes cases that meet criteria for dengue shock syndrome (DSS), a more severe form of DHF.

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 10, 2012, and March 12, 2011 (10th week)*

							Ehrlichio	sis/Anapla	smosis [⊤]						
		Ehrli	chia chaffe	ensis			Anaplasm	a phagocy	tophilum			Un	determined	d	
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	_			Previous	52 weeks	_			Previous	52 weeks	_	
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	Cum 2012	Cum 2011	Current week	Med	Max	Cum 2012	Cum 2011	Current week	Med	Max	Cum 2012	Cum 2011
United States	1	9	90	16	12	1	16	58	21	23	_	2	8	4	2
New England	_	0	1	1	_	_	3	28	4	15	_	0	1	_	_
Connecticut	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Maine	_	0	1 0	_	_	_	0 1	3 18	1	1	_	0	0 0	_	_
Massachusetts New Hampshire	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	5	_	1	_	0	1	_	_
Rhode Island	_	0	1	1	_	_	0	15	3	13	_	0	1	_	_
Vermont	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Mid. Atlantic	_	1	5	1	1	1	6	43	13	3	_	0	2	1	_
New Jersey	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
New York (Upstate) New York City		0	4 2	_ 1	_ 1	1	3 1	43 5	10 3	2 1	_	0	2 0	1	_
Pennsylvania	_	0	0			_	0	1	_		_	0	0	_	_
E.N. Central	_	0	5	_	2	_	0	2	1	1	_	0	6	_	2
Illinois	_	0	4	_	1	_	0	2	1	_	_	0	1	_	1
Indiana	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	4	_	1
Michigan	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	2	_	_
Ohio Wisconsin	_	0	1 0	_	1	_	0	1 1	_	_ 1	_	0	1 1	_	_
		1	16	1	1		0	6				0	6		
W.N. Central Iowa	 N	0	0	I N	N	N N	0	0	N N	N N	N	0	0	N	N
Kansas	—	0	2	_	—	_	0	1	_	—	_	0	1		
Minnesota	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Missouri	_	1	16	1	1	_	0	5	_	_	_	0	6	_	_
Nebraska North Dakota	N	0	1 0	N	N	N	0	1 0	N	 N	 N	0	1 0	 N	 N
South Dakota		0	1				0	1				0	0		
S. Atlantic	_	4	33	12	8	_	1	8	2	3	_	0	2	2	_
Delaware	_	0	2	_	1	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
District of Columbia	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
Florida	_	0	3	2	1	_	0	3	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Georgia Maryland	_	0	3 3	6 1	1 3	_	0	2 2	2	_ 1	_	0	1 1	1 1	_
North Carolina	_	0	3 17	1	2	_	0	6	_	2	_	0	0		_
South Carolina	_	0	1		_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
Virginia	_	1	13	2	_	_	0	3	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
West Virginia	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
E.S. Central	1	1	8	1	_	_	0	2	1	1	_	0	3	_	_
Alabama	_	0	2 3	_	_	_	0	1 0	1	1	N —	0	0 0	N	N —
Kentucky Mississippi	_	0	3 1	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Tennessee	1	0	5	1	_	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	3	_	_
W.S. Central	_	0	30	_	_	_	0	3	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Arkansas	_	0	13	_	_	_	0	3	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Louisiana	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Oklahoma Texas	_	0	25 1	_	_	_	0	1 2	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
Mountain Arizona	_	0	0		_	_	0	0	_	_		0	1	_	_
Colorado	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
Idaho	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
Montana	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
Nevada New Mexico	N N	0	0	N N	N N	N N	0	0 0	N N	N N	N N	0	0 0	N N	N N
Utah		0	0				0	0				0	1		
Wyoming	_	0	Ö	_	_	_	0	Ö	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Pacific	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	2	1	_
Alaska	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
California		0	0	_			0	0			_	0	2	1	_
Hawaii	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
Oregon Washington	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1 0	_	_	_	0	0 0	_	_
Territories American Samoa	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
C.N.M.I.		_	_	_	_		_	_	_		_	_	_		_
Guam	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
Puerto Rico	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

* Case counts for reporting year 2011 and 2012 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph_surveillance/nndss/phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData2010927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.

† Cumulative total *E. ewingii* cases reported for year 2011 = 13, and 0 case reports for 2012.

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 10, 2012, and March 12, 2011 (10th week)*

New Figure New				Giardiasis	i				Gonorrhe	ea		Ha	emophilus i All ages	nfluenzae, , all seroty		
Whete States	Reporting area															Cum
New forgland		110														
ComeRicitat																46
Massachuetts	Connecticut	_	4	10	24	50	_	43	91	_	542	_	1	5	13	11
New Hampshile																5 22
Bindole Island		_										_				3
Mid. Atlante	Rhode Island											_			1	3
New York (Upstate)																1
New York (Lipstate) 13 20 50 126 159 165 117 400 1,136 935 1 3 16 35 22 New York (Lipstate) 14 30 147 178 62 23 15 1,64 31 2,431 3 4 9 44 22 25 25 16 16 16 177 18 30 97 154 146 271 492 2,887 2,502 1 5 15 15 22 25 25 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18										,						
Pennsylvania 7 15 30 97 154 146 271 492 2,887 2,592 1 5 15 52 596																23
EN. Central 17 51 92 343 458 310 1,084 1,292 7,694 1,1362 6 11 22 72 72 1,016	,									,	,					26
Illinois	•									,						
Indiana 1 5 13 25 61 46 135 172 1,0095 1,519 — 2 6 6 13 15 12 18 Michigan 4 11 22 98 95 157 236 375 2,085 2,676 — 1 5 12 18 Ohio 12 16 30 124 128 61 313 403 2,168 3,192 6 4 7 38 30 Misconin — 8 21 145 770 37 92 118 827 836 — 1 1 5 7 15 18 M.N.Central 10 18 50 139 174 9 313 383 665 2,857 1 1 2 9 9 23 22 18 M.N.Central 10 18 50 139 174 9 313 383 665 2,857 1 1 2 9 9 23 22 18 M.N.Central 10 18 50 139 174 9 313 383 665 2,857 — 0 1 2 9 23 22 18 M.N.Central 10 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								,		,		6				
Michigan 12 16 30 124 128 61 313 403 276 2.085 2.676 — 1 5 5 12 18 Microsinin 13 16 30 124 128 61 313 403 2768 319 6 4 7 38 3 30 Misconsin 14 18 50 139 174 9 313 383 665 2.857 1 2 9 9 23 22 10wa 15 4 15 39 43 9 36 110 361 375 — 0 1 1 — 2 9 23 22 10wa 15 4 15 39 43 9 36 65 2.857 1 2 9 9 23 22 10wa 16 10 10 10 1												_				15
Wisconsin — 8 21 45 70 37 92 118 627 836 — 1 5 7 15 7 15 7 15 7 15 39 43 9 36 110 361 375 — 0 1 — — - 0 1 — — - 0 1 — — - 0 1 — — - 0 1 — — - 0 1 — — - 4 6 2 4 4 6 2 4 4 6 2 2.85 1 1 5 7 15 1 — - 0 0 — - - 1 8 9 15 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 3 2 2 1 3 3 1 1 1	Michigan			22	98	95	157	236	375	2,085	2,676	_		5	12	18
M.N. Central 10																
Nova													=			
Sansas																
Missouri	Kansas			9				42				_			3	2
Nebraska																
North Dakota																9
S. Atlantic	North Dakota			12	_	_			14	_		_	0		_	_
Delaware	South Dakota															_
District of Columbia							,		,							171
Florida		_										_			_	
Maryland		10										2			44	54
North Carolina										,						40
South Carolina 5 2 8 23 19 195 152 421 1,625 1,880 1 1 5 23 15																
West Virginia — 0 8 — — 2 — 14 29 116 1177 — 0 5 10 — E.S. Central 2 3 8 30 24 — 168 408 1,177 1,177 4 7 47 47 37 Alabama 2 3 8 30 24 — 168 408 1,177 1,586 — 1 3 11 12 8 11 13 11 12 8 11 1 4 13 11 1 4 13 11 1 4 13 1 3 6 13 3 6 13 3 6 13 3 1 3 8 15 14 100 87 133 6,375 8,627 — 2 10 35 39 1 14 11 12 2 8 15 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>15</td></td<>																15
E.S. Central 2 3 8 30 24 299 531 789 4,984 4,774 2 4 12 47 37 Alabama 2 3 8 30 24 — 168 648 1,177 1,586 — 1 3 3 11 13 8 11 12 47 37 Mississippi N 0 0 N N N 67 81 151 727 547 1 1 4 13 8 Mississippi N 0 0 N N N 145 116 242 1,497 1,228 — 0 3 6 6 17 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 16 242 1,497 1,228 — 0 3 7 14 15 15 17 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 16 242 1,497 1,228 — 0 3 7 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 14 100 87 138 1914 909 — 0 3 7 15 15 15 14 100 87 138 1914 909 — 0 3 7 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15		4	_													24
Alabama	•	_														
Kentucky																
Tennessee N 0 0 0 N N 8 87 151 256 1,583 1,413 1 2 8 17 14 W.S. Central 1 5 15 42 36 129 865 1,173 6,375 8,627 — 2 10 35 39 Arkansas 1 1 3 8 15 14 100 87 138 914 909 — 0 3 3 6 7 Louisiana — 2 10 27 22 — 103 255 453 1,136 — 1 4 11 20 Oklahoma — 0 0 0 — — 29 30 196 225 770 — 1 9 18 11 Texas N 0 0 N N N — 591 828 4,783 5,812 — 0 1 1 — — Mountain 4 22 41 105 201 32 208 324 1,759 2,065 2 5 10 50 78 Arizona — 2 6 11 25 — 90 128 770 705 — 1 5 15 34 Arizona — 2 2 6 11 25 — 90 128 770 705 — 1 5 15 34 Idaho 4 3 9 15 33 — 2 15 3 28 — 0 2 2 4 3 1 Idaho 4 3 9 15 33 — 2 15 3 28 — 0 2 2 4 3 1 Montana — 2 5 8 7 1 1 1 5 20 15 3 28 — 0 2 2 4 3 3 Montana — 1 4 10 20 28 37 57 239 455 2 0 2 5 4 Newada — 1 4 10 20 28 37 57 239 455 2 0 2 5 4 New Mexico — 1 6 6 6 15 3 3 35 73 294 310 — 1 3 13 12 Utah — 3 9 10 37 — 6 10 55 45 — 0 3 3 6 5 Wyoming — 0 2 6 9 — 0 3 4 16 — 0 1 1 1 — Pacific 19 47 187 335 433 236 637 758 5,579 6,552 — 4 9 9 31 45 California 13 32 52 226 294 181 520 621 4,809 5,431 — 1 5 5 9 14 Hawaii — 0 4 2 5 — 12 24 34 138 — 0 3 3 5 6 California 13 32 52 226 294 181 520 621 4,809 5,431 — 1 5 5 9 14 Hawaii — 0 4 6 150 42 39 49 50 79 397 566 — 0 1 1 — — Territories American Samoa — 0 0 0 — — — 0 0 0 — — — — 0 0 0 — — C.N.M.I. — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —													-			8
W.S. Central 1 5 15 42 36 129 865 1,173 6,375 8,627 — 2 10 35 39 Arkansas 1 3 8 15 14 100 87 138 914 909 — 0 3 6 7 Louislana — 2 10 27 22 — 103 255 453 1,136 — 1 4 11 120 Oklahoma — 0 0 0 — — 29 30 196 225 770 — 1 9 18 12 Texas N 0 0 N N — 591 828 4,783 5,812 — 0 1 — 18 12 Montain 4 22 41 105 201 32 2,965 2 5 10 50 78																3
Arkansas 1 3 8 15 14 100 87 138 914 909 — 0 3 6 7 Louisiana — 2 10 27 22 — 103 255 453 1,136 — 1 4 11 20 Oklahoma — 0 0 0 — — 29 30 196 225 770 — 1 9 18 12 Texas N 0 0 0 N N N — 591 828 4,783 5,812 — 0 1 — — Mountain 4 22 41 105 201 32 208 324 1,759 2,065 2 5 10 50 78 Arizona — 2 6 11 25 — 90 128 770 705 — 1 5 15 34 Colorado — 7 23 39 55 — 40 77 374 491 — 1 3 4 18 Idaho 4 3 9 15 33 — 2 15 3 28 — 0 2 4 3 Montana — 2 5 8 8 7 1 1 5 5 20 15 — 0 1 1 2 2 Nevada — 1 4 10 20 28 37 57 239 455 2 0 2 5 4 New Mexico — 1 6 6 6 15 3 35 73 294 310 — 1 3 3 13 12 Utah — 3 9 10 37 — 6 10 55 45 — 0 1 1 3 13 13 Vyoming — 0 2 6 9 — 0 3 4 16 — 0 1 1 — — Pacific 19 47 187 335 433 236 637 758 5,579 6,552 — 4 9 9 14 Hawaii — 0 4 6 150 42 39 49 50 79 397 566 — 0 1 7 — — Cegon 2 6 20 53 84 — 27 60 212 237 — 1 6 15 15 9 Washington 4 6 150 42 39 49 50 79 397 566 — 0 1 6 15 15 9 Washington — 0 0 0 — — — 0 0 0 — — — C.N.M.I. — 0 0 0 — — 0 0 0 — — 0 0 0 — — 0 0 0 — — C.N.M.I. — 0 0 0 0 — — 0 0 0 — — 0 0 0 — 0 0 0 — — C.N.M.I. — 0 0 0 0 — — 0 0 0 — 0 0 0 — 0 0 0 0												1				
Louisiana																
Texas N 0 0 N N — 591 828 4,783 5,812 — 0 1 — — Mountain 4 22 41 105 201 32 208 324 1,759 2,065 2 5 10 50 78 Arizona — 2 6 11 25 — 90 128 770 705 — 1 5 15 34 Colorado — 7 23 39 55 — 40 77 374 491 — 1 3 4 18 Idaho 4 3 9 15 33 — 2 15 3 28 — 0 2 4 3 Montana — 1 4 10 20 28 37 57 239 455 2 0 1 1 2												_				20
Mountain 4 22 41 105 201 32 208 324 1,759 2,065 2 5 10 50 78 Arizona — 2 6 11 25 — 90 128 770 705 — 1 5 15 34 Colorado — 7 23 39 55 — 40 77 374 491 — 1 3 4 18 Idaho 4 3 9 15 33 — 2 15 3 28 — 0 2 4 18 Montana — 2 5 8 7 1 1 5 20 15 — 0 1 2 2 New Mexico — 1 4 10 20 28 37 57 239 455 2 0 2 5 4 New Mexico <		_					29					_	•		18	12
Arizona — 2 6 11 25 — 90 128 770 705 — 1 5 15 34 Colorado — 7 23 39 55 — 40 77 374 491 — 1 3 4 18 Idaho 4 3 9 15 33 — 2 15 3 28 — 0 2 4 3 3 Montana — 2 5 8 7 1 1 5 5 20 15 — 0 1 2 2 2 Nevada — 1 4 10 20 28 37 57 239 455 2 0 2 5 5 4 New Mexico — 1 6 6 6 15 3 35 73 294 310 — 1 3 3 13 12 Utah — 3 9 9 10 37 — 6 10 55 45 — 0 3 6 5 5 Wyoming — 0 2 6 9 — 0 3 4 16 — 0 1 1 1 — Pacific — 19 47 187 335 433 236 637 758 5,579 6,552 — 4 9 31 45 Alaska — 2 7 12 11 6 18 31 127 180 — 0 3 2 6 294 181 520 621 4,809 5,431 — 1 5 9 14 Hawaii — 0 4 2 5 — 12 24 34 138 — 0 3 5 6 0 7 9 397 566 — 0 1 5 9 14 Hawaii — 0 4 6 150 42 39 49 50 79 397 566 — 0 1 — — 1 6 15 19 Washington — 0 0 0 0 — — 0 0 0 0 — — 0 0 0 0 — — 0												_			_	
Colorado — 7 23 39 55 — 40 77 374 491 — 1 3 4 18 Idaho 4 3 9 15 33 — 2 15 3 28 — 0 2 4 3 Montana — 2 5 8 7 1 1 5 20 15 — 0 1 2 2 NewAda — 1 4 10 20 28 37 57 239 455 2 0 2 5 4 New Mexico — 1 6 6 15 3 35 73 294 310 — 1 3 13 13 12 Utah — 3 9 10 37 — 6 10 55 45 — 0 3 6 5		4														
Montana — 2 5 8 7 1 1 5 20 15 — 0 1 2 2 New Adda — 1 4 10 20 28 37 57 239 455 2 0 2 5 4 New Mexico — 1 6 6 6 13 35 73 294 310 — 1 3 13 13 12 Utah — 3 9 10 37 — 6 10 55 45 — 0 3 6 5 Wyoming — 0 2 6 9 — 0 3 4 16 — 0 1 1 — Pacific 19 47 187 335 433 236 637 758 5,579 6,552 — 4 9 31 45		_					_					_	-			18
Nevada — 1 4 10 20 28 37 57 239 455 2 0 2 5 4 New Mexico — 1 6 6 15 3 35 73 294 310 — 1 3 13 12 Utah — 3 9 10 37 — 6 10 55 45 — 0 3 6 5 Wyoming — 0 2 6 9 — 0 3 4 16 — 0 1 1 — Pacific 19 47 187 335 433 236 637 758 5,579 6,552 — 4 9 31 45 Alaska — 2 7 12 11 6 18 31 127 180 — 0 3 2 6		4										_				3
New Mexico — 1 6 6 15 3 35 73 294 310 — 1 3 13 12 Utah — 3 9 10 37 — 6 10 55 45 — 0 3 6 5 Wyoming — 0 2 6 9 — 0 3 4 16 — 0 3 6 5 Pacific 19 47 187 335 433 236 637 758 5,579 6,552 — 4 9 31 45 Alaska — 2 7 12 11 6 18 31 127 180 — 0 3 2 6 California 13 32 52 226 294 181 520 621 4,809 5,431 — 1 5 9 14		_														2
Utah — 3 9 10 37 — 6 10 55 45 — 0 3 6 5 Wyoming — 0 2 6 9 — 0 3 4 16 — 0 1 1 — Pacific 19 47 187 335 433 236 637 758 5,579 6,552 — 4 9 31 45 Alaska — 2 7 12 11 6 18 31 127 180 — 0 3 2 6 California 13 32 52 226 294 181 520 621 4,809 5,431 — 1 5 9 14 Alaskii — 0 4 2 5 — 12 24 34 138 — 0 3 5 6 <t< td=""><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>12</td></t<>		_														12
Pacific 19 47 187 335 433 236 637 758 5,579 6,552 — 4 9 31 45 Alaska — 2 7 12 11 6 18 31 127 180 — 0 3 2 6 California 13 32 52 226 294 181 520 621 4,809 5,431 — 1 5 9 14 Hawaii — 0 4 2 5 — 12 24 34 138 — 0 3 5 6 Oregon 2 6 20 53 84 — 27 60 212 237 — 1 6 15 19 Washington 4 6 150 42 39 49 50 79 397 566 — 0 1 — — </td <td>Utah</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>37</td> <td>_</td> <td>6</td> <td></td> <td>55</td> <td>45</td> <td>_</td> <td>-</td> <td>3</td> <td>6</td> <td>5</td>	Utah	_		-		37	_	6		55	45	_	-	3	6	5
Alaska — 2 7 12 11 6 18 31 127 180 — 0 3 2 6 California 13 32 52 226 294 181 520 621 4,809 5,431 — 1 5 9 14 Hawaii — 0 4 2 5 — 12 24 34 138 — 0 3 5 6 Oregon 2 6 20 53 84 — 27 60 212 237 — 1 6 15 19 Washington 4 6 150 42 39 49 50 79 397 566 — 0 1 — — Territories American Samoa — 0 0 — — — — — — 0 0 — — — — 0 0 — — — 0 0		_										_				_
California 13 32 52 226 294 181 520 621 4,809 5,431 — 1 5 9 14 Hawaii — 0 4 2 5 — 12 24 34 138 — 0 3 5 6 Oregon 2 6 20 53 84 — 27 60 212 237 — 1 6 15 19 Washington 4 6 150 42 39 49 50 79 397 566 — 0 1 — — Territories American Samoa — 0 0 — — — — — — 0 0 — — — — 0 0 — — — 0 0 — — — 0 0 — — —												_	-			
Hawaii — 0 4 2 5 — 12 24 34 138 — 0 3 5 6 6 Oregon 2 6 20 53 84 — 27 60 212 237 — 1 6 15 19 Washington 4 6 150 42 39 49 50 79 397 566 — 0 1 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —												_				6 14
Washington 4 6 150 42 39 49 50 79 397 566 — 0 1 — — Territories American Samoa — 0 0 — — — 0 0 — — — 0 0 — — — — 0 0 —	Hawaii	_	0	4	2	5		12	24	34	138	_	0	3	5	6
Territories American Samoa — 0 0 — — 0 0 — — — 0 0 —												_			15	19
American Samoa — 0 0 — — 0 0 — — 0 0 — — C.N.M.I. — <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>- 6</td> <td>150</td> <td>42</td> <td>39</td> <td>49</td> <td>50</td> <td>/9</td> <td>39/</td> <td>566</td> <td></td> <td>U</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td>		4	- 6	150	42	39	49	50	/9	39/	566		U	1		
C.N.M.I. —<			0	0				0	0				0	0		
Guam — 0 0 — — 0 0 — — 0 0 — — Puerto Rico — 1 8 — 19 — 6 14 38 69 — 0 0 — —		_	_	_	_	=	=	_	_	=	_	_	_	_	_	_
	Guam	_			_							_			_	_
U.S. VIII. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Puerto Rico U.S. Virgin Islands	_	1 0	8 0	_	19	_	6 2	14 10	38	69 29	_	0	0 0	_	_

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

* Case counts for reporting year 2011 and 2012 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph_surveillance/nndss/phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.

[†] Data for *H. influenzae* (age <5 yrs for serotype b, nonserotype b, and unknown serotype) are available in Table I.

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 10, 2012, and March 12, 2011 (10th week)*

							Hepatitis	viral, acut	e), by type	e					
			Α					В					С		
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	C	C	Command	Previous	52 weeks	C	C	Comment	Previous	52 weeks	C	
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	Cum 2012	Cum 2011	Current week	Med	Max	Cum 2012	Cum 2011	Current week	Med	Max	Cum 2012	Cum 2011
United States	14	23	43	188	232	33	49	104	412	536	17	21	42	175	173
New England	_	1	5	3	15	_	1	8	3	21	_	1	5	3	17
Connecticut	_	0	3	3	5	_	0	2	1	5	_	0	4	3	12
Maine Massachusetts	_	0	2 3	_	1 5	_	0	2 6	2	1 14	_	0	3 2	_	3 1
New Hampshire	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	1	N	0	0	N	N
Rhode Island Vermont	_	0	1 2	_	2 2	U —	0	0	U	U	U	0	0 1	U —	U 1
Mid. Atlantic	3	4	8	34	45	4	5	11	— 41	— 58	 5	2	5	24	14
New Jersey	_	1	3	1	7		1	4	14	11	_	0	2	2	
New York (Upstate)	1	1	4	13	6	2	1	4	8	10	3	1	4	9	7
New York City Pennsylvania	1 1	1	4 5	9 11	17 15		1 2	5 4	9 10	20 17		0 1	1 4	13	2 5
E.N. Central		4	7	25	44	5	6	37	54	85	_	3	8	23	30
Illinois	_	1	5	6	9	_	1	3	1	20	_	0	2	1	1
Indiana	_	0	1	2	7	_	1	4	6	12	_	0	5	4	21
Michigan Ohio	_	1 0	6 2	14 1	14 12		1	6 30	11 32	23 24	_	2 0	5 1	17 1	7
Wisconsin	_	0	1	2	2	_	1	3	4	6	_	0	1		1
W.N. Central	_	1	7	12	10	2	2	9	19	19	1	0	4	2	_
Iowa Kansas	_	0	1 1	_ 1	1 1	_	0	1 2	1	2	_	0	0 1	_ 1	_
Minnesota	_	0	7			_	0	7	_	_	_	0	2		_
Missouri	_	0	3	7	4	1	1	4	16	9	_	0	0	_	_
Nebraska North Dakota	_	0	1 0	4	2	1	0	2	2	4	1	0	1 0	1	_
South Dakota	_	0	0	_		_	0	0	_	_ 1	_	0	0	_	_
S. Atlantic	7	4	11	39	44	11	13	57	130	131	4	5	14	51	36
Delaware	_	0	1	1	1	_	0	2	3	_	U	0	0	U	U
District of Columbia Florida	 5	0 1	0 8	— 18	 16	7	0 4	0 7	— 43	— 37		0	0 5	 22	_ 8
Georgia	_	1	5	6	13	2	2	7	20	29	_	1	3	3	10
Maryland	2	0	4	4	3	_	1	5	15	11	_	1	3	4	4
North Carolina South Carolina	_	0	3 2	4 1	4 2	1	1	8 3	11 8	27 8	1	1 0	7 1	8	10
Virginia	_	0	3	4	5	1	1	6	11	19	_	0	3	4	4
West Virginia	_	0	2	1	_	_	0	43	19	_	_	0	7	10	_
E.S. Central	_	1	6	4	5	4	10	21	90	93	4	4	10	36	33
Alabama Kentucky	_	0	2 2	2		_ 1	2	6 10	12 30	17 32	_	0 2	3 8	2 14	1 17
Mississippi	_	0	1	_	1		1	4	7	7	U	0	0	Ü	Ú
Tennessee	_	0	5	2	2	3	4	10	41	37	4	2	5	20	15
W.S. Central	1	3	7	29	14	2	6	15	43	55	1	1	5	8	16
Arkansas Louisiana	_	0	2	2	_ 1	_	1 0	4 2	7 6	9 13	_	0	0 1	_	4
Oklahoma	_	0	2	_	_	_	1	9	6	12	_	1	4	1	7
Texas	1	3	7	27	13	2	3	12	24	21	1	0	4	7	5
Mountain	_	1	5	18	16	2	1	4	11	27 6	2 U	1 0	5 0	10 U	15 U
Arizona Colorado	_	0	2 2	6 4	4 6	_	0	2	1	6	_	0	2	_	4
Idaho	_	0	1	4	1	_	0	0	_	2	2	0	1	4	5
Montana Nevada	_	0	0 3	3	3		0	0 3	10	 8	_	0	3 2	3	1 1
New Mexico	_	0	3 1	3 1	1	_	0	2	10	2	_	0	2	_	2
Utah	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	1	_	3	_	0	2	3	2
Wyoming	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
Pacific Alaska	3	3 0	12 1	24	39 —	3	3	9 1	21	47 1	_ U	2	10 0	18 U	12 U
Alaska California	_	3	1 9	14	33	_	2	1 6	10	35	_	1	0 5	8	6
Hawaii	_	0	2	2	1	_	0	1	2	2	U	0	0	U	U
Oregon Washington		0	2 4	2 6	1		0	4 4	5 4	7 2	_	0	2 9	7 3	4
Washington	3		4	0	4		0	4	4			U	9	3	2
Territories American Samoa	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
C.N.M.I.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Guam Duarta Pica	_	0	2	_	6	_	1	3	_	22		0	1	_	9 N
Puerto Rico U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	3 0	_	2	_	0	3 0	_	4	N —	0	0	N	N
C N M I : Commonwealth	CNI														

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

* Case counts for reporting year 2011 and 2012 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph_surveillance/ $nndss/phs/files/Provisional Nationa\% 20 Notifiable Diseases Surveillance Data 2010 0927. pdf.\ Data for TB\ are\ displayed\ in\ Table\ IV,\ which\ appears\ quarterly.$

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 10, 2012, and March 12, 2011 (10th week)*

		L	egionellos.	is			Ly	me disease	9			٨	Nalaria		
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	2 weeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2012	2011	week	Med	Max	2012	2011	week	Med	Max	2012	2011
United States	23	72	183	328	392	125	551	2,210	2,001	2,001	6	28	56	133	219
New England	_	4	40	14	29	_	85	506	150	509	_	1	7	6	15
Connecticut	_	1	11	5	5	_	38	236	49	198	_	0	2	_	1
Maine Massachusetts	_	0	3 24	1 4	2 16		12 10	67 106	43 16	40 175	_	0	2 6	<u> </u>	 11
New Hampshire	_	0	3	_	2	_	10	90	14	71	_	0	1	_	1
Rhode Island	_	0	9	4	2	_	1	31	6	6	_	0	2	_	_
Vermont	_	0	2	_	2	_	6	70	22	19	_	0	1	1	2
Mid. Atlantic	9	18 2	92 16	87 4	101 25	110 63	352 159	1,235 543	1,534 855	1,039 367	_	6 0	12 2	20	54 6
New Jersey New York (Upstate)	6	6	27	27	28	22	57	220	150	83	_	1	4		7
New York City	_	3	17	20	22	_	10	42	2	92	_	4	11	14	33
Pennsylvania	3	5	43	36	26	25	116	536	527	497	_	1	5	4	8
E.N. Central	5	14	51	69	78	_	28	351	24	127	2	3	10	15	22
Illinois Indiana	_ 1	2	11 8	8 12	10 14	_	1 1	21 12	1 3	6 1	_	1 0	5 2	2	8 2
Michigan		2	15	9	16	_	1	13	3		_	0	4	2	3
Ohio	4	7	34	40	38	_	1	6	6	3	2	0	4	7	8
Wisconsin	_	0	1	_	_	_	25	309	11	117	_	0	2	1	1
W.N. Central	_	1	8	6	7	_	1	16	3	2	_	1	5	7	5
Iowa Kansas	_	0	2 2	_	1 1	_	0	13 2	1	1	_	0	3 2	1 3	1
Minnesota	_	0	0	_		_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Missouri	_	1	5	6	4	_	0	2	_	1	_	0	2	3	3
Nebraska	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	2	2	_	_	0	1	_	1
North Dakota South Dakota	_	0	1 1	_	_ 1	_	0	9 2	_	_	_	0	0 1	_	_
S. Atlantic	4	11	30	73	53	15	66	180	270	304	4	9	27	52	74
Delaware		0	4	4	1	_	13	48	68	82		0	3	1	_
District of Columbia	_	0	3	1	_	_	0	3	1	3	_	0	2	_	3
Florida	3	4	13	35	27	4	3	8	23	10	1	2	6	16	16
Georgia Mandand	_ 1	1	4 15	6 11	4 8	 8	0 20	5 115	5 106	1 116	3	1 2	6 17	6 15	11 21
Maryland North Carolina		2 1	15 7	5	7	<u> </u>	0	13	100	6	_	0	7	13	8
South Carolina	_	0	5	4	1	_	0	6	3	1	_	0	1	3	_
Virginia	_	1	8	7	5	2	18	75	55	82	_	1	8	10	15
West Virginia	_	0	5	_	_	1	0	20	8	3	_	0	1	_	_
E.S. Central	_	2	11	8	14	_	1	5	1	4	_	1	4	_	3
Alabama Kentucky	_	0 1	2 4	2 2	3 4	_	0	2 1	1	3	_	0	3 2	_	1 1
Mississippi	_	Ö	3	_	2	_	0	i		_	_	0	1	_	
Tennessee	_	1	8	4	5	_	0	4	_	1	_	0	3	_	1
W.S. Central	3	3	8	17	18	_	1	6	2	5	_	1	11	6	7
Arkansas	_	0	2	_	1	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
Louisiana Oklahoma	_	0	2 3	1	7 1	_	0	1 0	1	_	_	0	1 3	4	_ 1
Texas	3	2	7	16	9		1	6	1	5	_	1	9	2	6
Mountain	_	2	9	12	22	_	1	5	6	3	_	1	5	7	13
Arizona	_	1	4	4	6	_	0	4	1	1	_	0	4	1	3
Colorado	_	0	4	1	7	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	3	_	5
ldaho Montana	_	0	1 1	1	1	_	0	2 3	2	_	_	0	1 1	1	_
Nevada	_	0	2	3	1	_	0	3 1	1	_	_	0	2	4	3
New Mexico	_	0	2	_	1	_	0	2	_	1	_	0	1	_	2
Utah	_	0	2	2	5	_	0	1	1	1	_	0	1	1	_
Wyoming	_	0	2	1	1	_	0	1	1	_	_	0	0	_	_
Pacific	2	5	17	42	70	_	3	8	11	8	_	3	11	20	26
Alaska California	_ 1	0 4	0 11	— 35	— 63	_	0 1	3 7	1 10	3	_	0 2	1 7	1 18	2 18
Hawaii		0	2	_	1	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	1	_	_
Oregon	1	0	3	7	1	_	0	2	_	5	_	0	4	1	4
Washington		0	13		5		0	5				0	2		2
Territories															
American Samoa	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	1	_	_
C.N.M.I. Guam	_			_	_	_			_	=	_			_	_
Puerto Rico	_	0	2	_	4	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	1	_	_
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_

 $C.N.M.l.: Commonwealth\ of\ Northern\ Mariana\ Islands.$

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

* Case counts for reporting year 2011 and 2012 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph_surveillance/nndss/phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 10, 2012, and March 12, 2011 (10th week)*

	ı	Meningoco Al	ccal disea: I serogrou		re [†]			Mumps				P	ertussis		
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	52 weeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2012	2011	week	Med	Max	2012	2011	week	Med	Max	2012	2011
United States	5	12	27	97	182	1	6	21	28	75	191	320	867	3,296	3,398
New England Connecticut	_	0	3	1	7	_	0	2	_	1	1	17	33	166	103
Maine	_	0	1 1	_	1 1	_	0	2	_	_	_	1	7 19	5 25	15 28
Massachusetts	_	0	2	1	5	_	0	1	_	1	_	4	10	24	42
New Hampshire Rhode Island	_	0	1 1	_	_	_	0	0 2	_	_	_ 1	2	13 10	11 17	9 8
Vermont	_	0	3	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	_	1	18	84	1
Mid. Atlantic	_	2	5	16	24	_	0	7	_	8	57	47	189	713	315
New Jersey New York (Upstate)	_	0	2	2 4	2 7	_	0	1 3	_	7 1	— 41	4 19	12 142	29 374	32 87
New York City	_	0	2	4	8	_	0	6	_	_	_	4	42	67	_
Pennsylvania	_	0 2	2 6	6 9	7 24	_ 1	0 1	1 12	 5	— 16	16	13 72	32 220	243 909	196 786
E.N. Central Illinois	_	0	3	— —	9		1	10	_	8	23	21	123	129	146
Indiana	_	0	2	1	3	_	0	2	1	_	_	4	21	20	70
Michigan Ohio	_	0	2 2	2 5	3 6	_ 1	0	2 2	2 2	1 6	2 19	10 12	38 22	116 136	227 246
Wisconsin	_	0	2	1	3		0	1	_	1	2	17	91	508	97
W.N. Central	1	1	3	6	13	_	0	3	2	6	3	22	119	233	169
Iowa Kansas	_	0	1 1	_ 1	4 1	_	0	2 1	_		_	4 2	10 8	45 35	45 24
Minnesota	_	0	0	_		_	0	1	_	_	_	0	110	_	_
Missouri Nebraska	_ 1	0	2 2	4 1	4 3	_	0	2 1	2	3 1	3	8 1	33 5	127 7	72 23
North Dakota		0	1		_	_	0	3	_		_	0	16	16	3
South Dakota	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	7	3	2
S. Atlantic	2	2	8	16	26	_	1	4 0	6	2	10	27	55	243	347
Delaware District of Columbia	_	0	1 1	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	5 2	8 1	6 1
Florida	1	1	5	11	8	_	0	2	3	_	7	6	17	82	64
Georgia Maryland	_ 1	0	1 2	1 3	2 2	_	0	2 1	1	_	_ 1	2 2	7 10	11 33	54 32
North Carolina	_	0	2	_	7	_	0	2	_	_	_	3	20	13	71
South Carolina Virginia	_	0	1 2	_	3 4	_	0	1 4	_ 1		1 1	2 7	9 25	13 60	40 79
West Virginia	_	Ö	3	1		_	Ö	1	1	_	<u>.</u>	0	15	22	_
E.S. Central	_	0	3	1	9	_	0	1	1	3	3	9	19	95	101
Alabama Kentucky	_	0	2 2	_	5 —	_	0	1 0	_	1	1	2	11 10	19 38	26 44
Mississippi	_	0	1	1	1	_	0	1	1	2	_	1	4	14	5
Tennessee	_	0	1	_	3	_	0	1	_	_	2	2	7	24	26
W.S. Central Arkansas	1	1 0	5 2	8	17 4	_	1	4 2	6	33	17	19 1	116 8	138 3	157 8
Louisiana	_	0	2	1	3	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	3	2	8
Oklahoma Texas	_ 1	0	2 2	1 6	2 8	_	0 1	2 4	— 6	— 33	— 17	0 18	11 108	133	3 138
Mountain	_	1	4	7	14	_	0	2	3	1	_	40	91	349	518
Arizona	_	0	1	1	4	_	0	0	-	_	_	14	63	159	211
Colorado Idaho	_	0	1 1	_ 1	3 3	_	0	1 2	1	_	_	7 3	25 12	60 18	113 25
Montana	_	0	2	2	_	_	0	1	1	_	_	1	32	22	44
Nevada New Mexico	_	0	1 1	2 1	_	_	0	0 1	_	_ 1	_	0 4	5 24	10 23	7 36
Utah	_	0	1		4	_	0	1	1		_	7	17	54	80
Wyoming	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	3	3	2
Pacific Alaska	1	2	11 1	33	48 1		1	11 1	5	5	77 1	57 0	273 3	450 14	902 13
California	_	2	8	22	34	_	0	11	4	_	1	31	68	56	776
Hawaii Oregon	1	0	1 4	2 8	2 8	_	0	1 1	_	2 3	1 2	2 5	10 23	41 41	8 48
Washington	_	0	3	1	3	_	0	1	1	_	72	13	219	298	57
Territories															
American Samoa C.N.M.I.	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Guam	_	0	0	_	_	_	1	3	_	7	_		4	_	24
Puerto Rico	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	2	1	_	_	0	2	_	1
U.S. Virgin Islands		<u> </u>	U				U						U		

C.N.M.l.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

* Case counts for reporting year 2011 and 2012 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph_surveillance/nndss/phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.

† Data for meningococcal disease, invasive caused by serogroups A, C, Y, and W-135; serogroup B; other serogroup; and unknown serogroup are available in Table I.

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 10, 2012, and March 12, 2011 (10th week)*

		Ra	abies, anim	nal			Sa	lmonellosi	S		Shig	ja toxin-pro	ducing <i>E</i> . (coli (STEC)	†
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	2 weeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2012	2011	week	Med	Max	2012	2011	week	Med	Max	2012	2011
United States	28	80	123	436	550	241	900	1,917	4,075	4,787	21	94	209	359	427
New England	3	6	16	65	19	4	37	107	121	225	_	3	13	11	17
Connecticut	_	3	10	28	4	_	8	30	36	67	_	1	4	6	7
Maine Massachusetts	1	1 0	6 0	18	4	_	2 19	7 44	10 46	20 104	_	0 1	3 9	<u> </u>	1 2
New Hampshire	_	0	3				3	8	9	104	_	0	3	_	6
Rhode Island	1	0	6	6	2	1	1	62	7	8	_	0	2	_	_
Vermont	1	0	3	6	7	1	1	8	13	7	_	0	3	_	1
Mid. Atlantic	7	15	36	84	124	31	96	209	440	515	4	10	34	44	68
New Jersey New York (Upstate)	7	0 7	0 20	40	<u> </u>	 25	21 25	48 67	58 132	108 97	3	2	7 13	2 13	22 14
New York City		0	3	_	2	_	19	44	116	139	_	2	6	10	10
Pennsylvania	_	8	21	44	81	6	31	114	134	171	1	3	16	19	22
E.N. Central	_	2	20	3	8	7	89	185	355	556	4	16	54	61	91
Illinois	_	0	6	_	4	_	27	80	109	196	_	4	14	9	17
Indiana Michigan	_	0 1	7 6		3	3	8 15	27 42	25 82	55 93	_	2	10 19	4 33	15 19
Ohio	_	1	5	1	1	4	20	46	107	138	4	3	9	15	21
Wisconsin	N	Ö	0	N	N		12	46	32	74		3	21	_	19
W.N. Central	_	1	8	17	7	6	39	99	206	233	1	11	40	48	34
lowa	_	0	0	_	_	1	8	19	40	64	_	2	15	7	9
Kansas	_	0	4	7	3	_	8	27	54	40	_	2	8	5	7
Minnesota Missouri	_	0	0 4	3	_		0 15	0 42	— 82	— 90	_	0 5	0 32	 23	_ 9
Nebraska		0	3	_	4	2	4	13	20	22	1	1	7	8	8
North Dakota	_	0	4	7	_	_	0	15	_	_	_	0	4	_	_
South Dakota	_	0	0	_	_	_	3	10	10	17	_	1	4	5	1
S. Atlantic	11	19	48	146	249	105	276	741	1,403	1,335	8	12	32	80	75
Delaware	_	0	0	_	_	_	2	12	11	18	_	0	2	2	2
District of Columbia Florida	_	0	0 13	<u> </u>	120	— 58	1 107	6 203	608	6 510	 6	0	1 9	1 34	1 14
Georgia	_	0	0	_	120	5	43	139	167	256	_	2	8	6	14
Maryland	_	7	13	41	45	17	19	46	123	99	2	1	4	7	11
North Carolina		0	0			17	34	251	269	207	_	2	26	16	18
South Carolina	N 10	0	0	N 76	N 94	1	27	71 54	110	108	_	0	4	4	4
Virginia West Virginia	10 1	11 0	27 30	76 8	84	7	20 0	54 18	107 8	131		2	8 2	10	11
E.S. Central	2	3	11	14	25	11	64	190	275	330	_	4	18	25	23
Alabama	1	2	7	11	14	1	18	70	70	101	_	1	15	10	2
Kentucky	1	0	2	3	1		11	30	45	60	_	1	5	5	7
Mississippi	_	0	1	_	_	4	22	66	74	66	_	0	4	5	4
Tennessee	_	1	4		10	6	15	51	86	103	_	1	11	5	10
W.S. Central	4	22	55	78	99	38	135	257	512	505	_	10	66	26	35
Arkansas Louisiana	_	0	10 0	14	4	1	13 14	52 44	32 79	59 76	_	1 0	6 1	3	2
Oklahoma	_	0	21	7	3	10	13	31	60	46	_	1	10	6	4
Texas	4	19	44	57	92	27	94	165	341	324	_	7	66	17	27
Mountain	1	1	4	18		1	46	93	217	370	1	11	27	26	51
Arizona	N	0	0	N	N	_	14	35	88	125	_	2	6	5	11
Colorado	_	0	0	_	_	_	9	23	34	85	_	3	9	4	17
Idaho Montana	N	0	1 0	N	N	_ 1	2	8 10	10 13	34 7	_	1 1	8 4	3 1	6 3
Nevada		0	3		_		3	7	14	27	1	1	7	4	3
New Mexico	1	0	4	18	_	_	6	22	27	41		1	3	4	5
Utah	_	0	2	_	_	_	6	15	26	44	_	1	7	2	6
Wyoming	_	0	0	_	_	_	1	9	5	7	_	0	7	3	_
Pacific	_	4	14	11	19	38	94	173	546	718	3	9	28	38	33
Alaska California	_	0 4	2 13	3 8	9 6	1 20	1 70	6 141	12 396	10 559		0 5	1 14	 14	— 19
Hawaii	_	0	0	_	_	20 4	70 6	141	396 22	559 62	_	0	2		19 —
Oregon	_	0	2	_	4	_	6	12	43	51	_	2	11	11	7
Washington		0	0		_	13	10	44	73	36	3	2	22	13	7
Territories															
American Samoa	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	1	1	_	_	0	0	_	_
C.N.M.I.	_			_	_	_			_	 4	_			_	_
Guam Puerto Rico	_	1	0 6	13	 6	_	7	2 21	 6	68	_	0	0	_	_
		0	0	1.5	_		,		9	00		•	•		

C.N.M.l.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

* Case counts for reporting year 2011 and 2012 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph_surveillance/nndss/phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.

[†] Includes *E. coli* O157:H7; Shiga toxin-positive, serogroup non-O157; and Shiga toxin-positive, not serogrouped.

Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 10, 2012, and March 12, 2011 (10th week)*

			Chimallasia						otteu rev	rei nickettsi	osis (includi				
			Shigellosis					onfirmed					obable		
	Current		52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous		Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5		Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2012	2011	week	Med	Max	2012	2011	week	Med	Max	2012	2011
United States	81	261	381	1,699	1,546	1	3	13	19	11	6	31	137	88	55
New England Connecticut	_	4 1	21 4	13 5	31	_	0	1 0	_	_	_	0	1 0	_	1
Maine	_	0	8	_	6 1	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	
Massachusetts	_	3	20	8	22	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
New Hampshire	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
Rhode Island Vermont	_	0	3 1	_		_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1 0	_	1
Mid. Atlantic	8	29	88	289	113	_	0	2	4	_	_	1	7	10	3
New Jersey	_	7	39	71	23	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
New York (Upstate) New York City	6	7 8	41 29	102 100	21 48	_	0	1 0	_	_	_	0	3 3	1 2	_
Pennsylvania	2	2	13	16	21	_	0	2	4	_	_	0	3	7	1
E.N. Central	7	16	41	205	124	_	0	2	1	_	_	2	10	6	4
Illinois	_	4	16	13	43	_	0	1	_	_	_	1	4	3	3
Indiana Michigan	_ 1	1 4	6 11	5 40	11 26	_	0	1 1	1	_	_	1 0	5 1	1	_
Ohio	6	6	27	147	44	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	2	2	1
Wisconsin	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
W.N. Central lowa	_	5 0	18 3	53 5	82 4	_	0	4 0	_	_	1	4 0	24 2	7	8
Kansas	_	1	8	28	20	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	
Minnesota	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Missouri	_	3	14	17	55	_	0	2	_	_	1	4	22	7	7
Nebraska North Dakota	_	0	2 0	3	2	_	0	3 1	_	_	_	0	1 0	_	_
South Dakota	_	0	2	_	1	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	Ö	_	_
S. Atlantic	29	75	134	401	521	1	2	8	12	5	1	7	57	33	18
Delaware District of Columbia	_	0	2 5	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	0	1 1	_	_	_	0	4 1	4	1
Florida	19	49	98	236	323		0	1	_	2	_	0	2	5	1
Georgia	6	13	26	103	86	_	1	8	11	1	_	0	0	_	_
Maryland North Carolina	4	2	10 19	30 16	20 58	_	0	1 4	_	1 1	1	0	3 49	4 5	1 9
South Carolina	_	3 1	54	3	12	_	0	2	_		_	0	2	1	1
Virginia	_	2	7	12	17	1	0	1	1	_	_	4	14	14	5
West Virginia	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
E.S. Central Alabama	8	21 5	51 21	265 59	94 41	_	0	2 1	_	_	3	4 1	25 8	15 5	9
Kentucky	5	6	22	120	10	_	0	i	_	_	_	0	2	1	_
Mississippi	_	5	24	58	17	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	2	_	2
Tennessee W.S. Central	— 19	4 54	11 142	28 312	26 235	_	0	2	_	_	3 1	4	20 52	9 8	4 1
Arkansas	2	2	7	12	4	_	0	3	_	_		2	52	5	
Louisiana	_	4	21	27	28	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	2	1	_
Oklahoma	4	4	28	75	17	_	0	1	_	_	1	0	25	2	_
Texas Mountain	13	43 12	112 41	198 42	186 135	_	0	1 3	_	 6	_	0 1	4 7	7	1 11
Arizona	_	6	27	27	41	_	0	3	_	6	_	0	6	3	11
Colorado	_	1	8	2	17	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
ldaho Montana	_	0 1	3 15	2	5 25	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	2	2	_
Nevada	_	0	4	1	6		0	0	_	_	_	0	1		
New Mexico	_	2	6	6	35	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Utah	_	1	4	1	6	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	2	_
Wyoming Pacific	10	0 18	1 44	— 119	211	_	0	0 2		_	_	0	2 1	_	_
Alaska	_	0	2	3	1	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
California	9	13	41	98	176	_	0	2	2	_	_	0	1	2	_
Hawaii Oregon	_	0 1	3 4	1 10	17 9	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
Washington	1	1	11	7	8	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Territories	•	•		-											
American Samoa	_	0	0	_	1	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
C.N.M.I.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Guam Puerto Rico	_	0	0 1	_	1	N N	0	0	N N	N N	N N	0	0	N N	N N
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	0	_	_	IN	0	0	- IN	- N	- IN	0	0	N	- IN

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

^{*} Case counts for reporting year 2011 and 2012 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph_surveillance/nndss/phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.

[†] Illnesses with similar clinical presentation that result from Spotted fever group rickettsia infections are reported as Spotted fever rickettsioses. Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF) caused by *Rickettsia rickettsii*, is the most common and well-known spotted fever.

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 10, 2012, and March 12, 2011 (10th week)*

				Streptococ											
			All ages				Age <5			Syphilis, primary and secondary					
	Current Previous 52 weeks			S Cum	Cum	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	2 weeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2012	2011	week	Med	Max	2012	2011	week	Med	Max	2012	2011
United States	255	264	526	3,023	4,086	16	21	43	202	249	64	269	307	1,840	2,523
New England	4	13	31	124	216	_	1	4	6	8	4	7	23	67	74
Connecticut	_	6	20	54	100	_	0	3	2	2 1	_	0	12	4	13
Maine Massachusetts	1	2	8 3	23 5	28 7	_	0	1 2	1 2	3	2 1	0 5	2 10	45	2 45
New Hampshire	_	1	6	14	35	_	0	1	1	_	1	0	3	5	4
Rhode Island	_	1	5	11	36	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	7	13	8
Vermont	3	1	6	17	10	_	0	2	_	1	_	0	2	_	2
Mid. Atlantic New Jersey	34	31 12	68 26	470 104	441 218	1	2 0	11 4	23 8	22 12	8	29 3	48 11	200 8	319 36
New York (Upstate)	29	2	37	245	17	1	1	10	11	10	7	3	12	26	29
New York City	5	12	25	121	206	_	0	9	4	_	-	14	24	86	184
Pennsylvania	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N	1	7	17	80	70
E.N. Central Illinois	53 N	63 0	122 0	649 N	808 N	2	3 0	10 0	36	43	4	31 12	49 24	135 52	333 141
Indiana	_	14	36	101	213	_	1	4	3	12	1	3	8	29	36
Michigan	4	13	26	130	159	_	1	2	8	10	_	4	12	15	57
Ohio	46	27	42	320	330	2	1	7	16	16	_	7	17	35	88
Wisconsin W.N. Central	3 2	9 3	23	98 41	106	_	0	2 2	9 3	5	_	1 5	6 13	4 5	11 80
lowa	N N	0	28 0	A1 N	38 N	N	0	0	N N	2 N	_	0	3	4	4
Kansas	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	4	_	4
Minnesota		0	0			_	0	0	_	_	_	2	8	_	34
Missouri Nebraska	N	0 2	0 8	N 41	N	_	0	0	3		_	2	8 2	_ 1	35 3
North Dakota	2	0	25	41	38	_	0	2 1	_	_	_	0	1		_
South Dakota	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
S. Atlantic	87	65	143	816	1,145	8	5	15	60	74	30	68	86	542	594
Delaware	2	1	5 5	12	21	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	4 9	7	4
District of Columbia Florida	 29	0 21	48	1 277	16 464	_ 1	0 2	1 8	1 20	2 37		3 24	36	33 198	36 228
Georgia	19	19	35	250	313	2	1	6	21	20	10	13	47	98	66
Maryland	18	9	25	94	175	5	1	3	8	10	6	8	20	52	78
North Carolina South Carolina	N 16	0 8	0 22	N 128	N 156	N	0	0 3	N 4	N 5	4 4	8 4	21 11	72 41	86 52
Virginia	N	0	0	126 N	N	_	0	0	_	_	4	4	13	41	44
West Virginia	3	2	48	54	_	_	0	4	6	_	_	0	2	_	_
E.S. Central	22	23	45	244	354	_	2	4	12	19	2	15	31	90	131
Alabama	N 2	0 4	0	N 50	N	N	0	0 3	N 1	N	_	4 2	10 8	16 18	42 19
Kentucky Mississippi	N N	0	12 0	30 N	64 N	_	0	0		5 —	1	3	22	25	25
Tennessee	20	19	42	194	290	_	1	4	11	14	1	5	11	31	45
W.S. Central	48	32	154	380	477	4	3	10	34	40	2	37	51	323	305
Arkansas	4	4	14	47	64 77	_	0	3	5	8	2	4	15	52	31
Louisiana Oklahoma	N	2	14 0	39 N	77 N	_	0	2	3	5	_	7 1	25 6	17 13	49 9
Texas	44	25	140	294	336	4	3	10	26	27	_	23	38	241	216
Mountain	3	25	69	276	564	_	2	8	20	39	3	12	20	57	119
Arizona	_	12	33	176	281	_	1	3 4	12	18	_	5 2	11	20	44
Colorado Idaho	N	8	22 0	44 N	134 N	_	0	0	4	5 —	_	0	6 4	13 3	24 4
Montana	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	Ö	N	N	_	0	1	_	4
Nevada	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N	2	2	9	11	25
New Mexico Utah	2	4 1	13 7	51 —	85 57	_	0	2 1	4	7 9	1	1 0	4 2	7 3	14 4
Wyoming	1	0	3	 5	57 7	_	0	0	_	— —	_	0	0	_	<u>4</u>
Pacific	2	2	9	23	43	1	0	2	8	2	11	57	76	421	568
Alaska	1	2	9	22	42	1	0	2	8	2	_	0	2	3	_
California Hawaii	N 1	0	0 1	N 1	N 1	N	0	0 1	N	N —	7	46 0	64 3	358	449 1
Oregon	I N	0	0	N	I N	N	0	0	N	N N	_	4	3 14	28	41
Washington	N	0	0	N	N	N	Ö	Ö	N	N	4	5	12	32	77
Territories															
American Samoa	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
C.N.M.I. Guam	_			_	_	_			_	_	_			_	_
Puerto Rico	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	5	15	33	41
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

* Case counts for reporting year 2011 and 2012 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph_surveillance/nndss/phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.

† Includes drug resistant and susceptible cases of invasive Streptococcus pneumoniae disease among children <5 years and among all ages. Case definition: Isolation of S. pneumoniae from a normally sterile body site (e.g., blood or cerebrospinal fluid).

Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 10, 2012, and March 12, 2011 (10th week)*

						West Nile virus disease [†]										
		Varice	ella (chicke			Ne	uroinvasiv	е			Nonne	uroinvasiv	e§			
	Current Previous 52 weeks			Cum	Cum	Current	Previous !	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	2 weeks	Cum	Cum	
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2012	2011	week	Med	Max	2012	2011	week	Med	Max	2012	2011	
United States	180	293	414	2,362	2,815	_	0	63	_	1		0	33		1	
New England	9	21	54	155	231	_	0	3	_	_		0	1	_		
Connecticut	_	5	20	35	53	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	
Maine	2	4	11	40	42	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	
Massachusetts	_	9	18	47	79	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	
New Hampshire	_	2	10	_	22	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	
Rhode Island	2	0	6	3	9	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	
Vermont Mid. Atlantic	5 18	2 55	9 80	30 462	26 297	_	0	1 11	_	_	_	0	0 6	_		
New Jersey	8	34	70	286	108	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	2	_	_	
New York (Upstate)	N	0	0	200 N	N		0	5		_	_	0	4			
New York City		0	0		_	_	0	4	_	_	_	0	1	_		
Pennsylvania	10	20	44	176	189	_	0	2	_	_	_	Ö	1	_		
E.N. Central	32	63	118	568	764	_	0	13	_	_	_	0	7	_	_	
Illinois	1	15	38	129	176	_	0	6	_	_	_	0	5	_		
Indiana	_	5	20	70	60	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	
Michigan	4	18	45	160	254	_	0	7	_	_	_	0	2	_	_	
Ohio	27	21	47	209	273	_	0	3	_		_	0	3	_		
Wisconsin	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	
W.N. Central	_	13	32	124	141	_	0	9	_	1	_	0	7	_	_	
lowa	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	2	_	_	
Kansas	_	7	21	85	74	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	
Minnesota	_	0	1		_	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	1	_		
Missouri	_	4	18	32	55	_	0	2 4	_	1	_	0	2	_	_	
Nebraska North Dakota	_	0	3 7	3	7 1	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	3 1	_		
South Dakota		1	6	4	4	_	0	0			_	0	1			
S. Atlantic	37	35	66	269	380		0	12	_	_	_	0	6			
Delaware	_	0	2	1	3	_	0	1	_	_	_	ő	0	_	_	
District of Columbia	_	0	2		4	_	0	3	_	_	_	Ö	3	_	_	
Florida	32	16	38	173	200	_	0	4	_	_	_	0	2	_		
Georgia	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	4	_		_	0	1	_	_	
Maryland	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	5	_	_	_	0	3	_	_	
North Carolina	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	
South Carolina	_	0	9	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	
Virginia	5	10	27	66	69	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	
West Virginia	_	5	32	29	104	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	
E.S. Central	11	5	15	53	60	_	0	11	_	_	_	0	5	_	1	
Alabama	10	5	14	48	56	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	
Kentucky	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	
Mississippi	1 N	0	3 0	5 N	4 N	_	0	5 3	_	_	_	0	4 1	_	1	
Tennessee W.S. Central	49	56	199	485	455	_	0	3 4		_	_	0	3	_	_	
Arkansas	49	4	27	16	59	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_		
Louisiana	_	1	6	10	19		0	1	_	_	_	0	2			
Oklahoma	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	
Texas	49	48	193	459	377	_	Ő	3	_	_	_	0	3	_	_	
Mountain	24	23	68	226	441	_	0	11	_	_	_	Ö	5	_		
Arizona	_	9	50	49	144	_	0	7	_	_	_	0	4	_		
Colorado	16	6	32	79	118	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	2	_	_	
Idaho	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	
Montana	3	2	7	11	74	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	
Nevada	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	4	_	_	_	0	2	_	_	
New Mexico	4	1	8	29	13	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	
Utah	1	4	15	56	89	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	
Wyoming	_	0	1	2	3	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	
Pacific	_	2	9	20	46	_	0	18	_	_	_	0	8	_		
Alaska California	_	1 0	4 4	9 5	20 14	_	0	0 18	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	
California Hawaii	_	0	4	6	14 12	_	0	18 0	_	_	_	0	8 0	_		
Oregon	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0			
Washington	N N	0	0	N	N	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_		
Territories	**															
American Samoa	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	
C.N.M.I.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Guam	_	2	4	_	6	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	
Puerto Rico	_	8	21	27	84	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

^{*} Case counts for reporting year 2011 and 2012 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph_surveillance/

ndss/phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.

† Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases (ArboNET Surveillance). Data for California serogroup, eastern equine, Powassan, St. Louis, and western equine diseases are available in Table I.

§ Not reportable in all states. Data from states where the condition is not reportable are excluded from this table, except starting in 2007 for the domestic arboyrisal diseases and influenza-

associated pediatric mortality, and in 2003 for SARS-CoV. Reporting exceptions are available at http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph_surveillance/nndss/phs/infdis.htm.

TABLE III. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,* week ending March 10, 2012 (10th week)

		All ca	uses, by a	age (years)				All causes, by age (years)						
Reporting area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	P&I [†] Total	Reporting area (Continued)	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1–24	<1	P&I [†] Total
New England	531	369	122	23	7	10	56	S. Atlantic	945	579	231	77	24	30	64
Boston, MA	142	90	41	7	3	1	16	Atlanta, GA	181	96	45	19	7	12	7
Bridgeport, CT	33	23	7	2	1	_	6	Baltimore, MD	128	80	37	6	_	4	9
Cambridge, MA	15	13	2	_	_	_	2	Charlotte, NC	108	70	26	9	2	1	6
Fall River, MA	29	22	4	2		1	1	Jacksonville, FL	7	4	3		_	_	1
Hartford, CT	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	Miami, FL	97	56	27	10	4	_	6
Lowell, MA	25	18	7	_	_	_	1	Norfolk, VA	52	31	13	7	_	1	1
Lynn, MA	4	1	2	1	_	_	_	Richmond, VA	51	29	10	7	3	2	3
New Bedford, MA	29	24	4	_	1	_	3	Savannah, GA	64	44	14	4	1	1	4
New Haven, CT	46	30	10	5	1	_	6	St. Petersburg, FL	52	37	9	2	2	1	7
Providence, RI	67	58	9	_	_	_	5	Tampa, FL	98	64	22	6	2	4	8
Somerville, MA	_		_	_	_	_	_	Washington, D.C.	96	59	24	6	3	4	11
Springfield, MA	38	25	7	1	_	5	4	Wilmington, DE	11	9	1	1	_	_	1
Waterbury, CT	34	23	11	_	_	_	2	E.S. Central	936	634	223	54	14	11	73
Worcester, MA	69	42	18	5	1	3	10	Birmingham, AL	203	131	45	18	4	5	17
Mid. Atlantic	2,255	1,571	487	124	40	33	117	Chattanooga, TN	93	73	18	1	1	_	2
Albany, NY	47	30	13	3	_	1	2	Knoxville, TN	109	85	16	5	2	1	10
Allentown, PA	33	27	3	2	1	_	3	Lexington, KY	53	40	8	5	_	_	5
Buffalo, NY	86	55	22	5	1	3	7	Memphis, TN	186	114	55	14	2	1	17
Camden, NJ	31	18	10	_	_	3	1	Mobile, AL	79	48	26	1	2	2	6
Elizabeth, NJ	22	14	6	_	2	_	_	Montgomery, AL	44	28	14	1	1	_	3
Erie, PA	52	37	14	1	_	_	3	Nashville, TN	169	115	41	9	2	2	13
Jersey City, NJ	19	14	3	_	_	2	_	W.S. Central	1,173	765	237	85	50	35	69
New York City, NY	998	711	222	46	9	10	43	Austin, TX	77	52	16	6	1	2	7
Newark, NJ	48	27	10	7	4	_	3	Baton Rouge, LA	70	40	12	12	5	1	2
Paterson, NJ	24	16	3	3	2	_	_	Corpus Christi, TX	51	35	9	3	2	2	6
Philadelphia, PA	561	357	134	43	14	13	33	Dallas, TX	220	146	51	7	7	8	9
Pittsburgh, PA [§]	52	42	7	1	2	_	9	El Paso, TX	116	78	29	6	1	2	7
Reading, PA	40	34	3	1	2	_	4	Fort Worth, TX	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Rochester, NY	81	62	12	6	_	1	2	Houston, TX	132	79	5	19	21	8	6
Schenectady, NY	26	24	2	_	_	_	3	Little Rock, AR	89	60	20	6	1	2	5
Scranton, PA	26	21	4	1	_	_	1	New Orleans, LA	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Syracuse, NY	59	44	10	3	2	_	1	San Antonio, TX	241	157	59	15	6	4	15
Trenton, NJ	19	13	4	1	1	_	1	Shreveport, LA	64	41	13	3	5	2	4
Utica, NY	10	8	2	_	_	_	_	Tulsa, OK	113	77	23	8	1	4	8
Yonkers, NY	21	17	3	1	_	_	1	Mountain	1,193	820	251	83	24	13	93
E.N. Central	2,136	1,451	499	112	45	29	152	Albuquerque, NM	125	88	20	9	5	3	13
Akron, OH	54	36	16	1	_	1	7	Boise, ID	57	42	14	_	1	_	6
Canton, OH	30	21	8	1	_	_	2	Colorado Springs, CO	71	55	11	4	_	1	2
Chicago, IL	273	176	66	14	11	6	15	Denver, CO	114	74	33	7	_	_	7
Cincinnati, OH	92	60	19	9	2	2	10	Las Vegas, NV	273	191	53	21	6	2	26
Cleveland, OH	288	202	60	17	7	2	16	Ogden, UT	39	29	8	1	1	_	1
Columbus, OH	233	159	58	9	3	4	7	Phoenix, AZ	173	97	47	17	6	4	11
Dayton, OH	146	103	37	5	1		14	Pueblo, CO	36	29	6	1	_		6
Detroit, MI	168	103	45	14	2	4	11	Salt Lake City, UT	135	92	25	14	1	3	12
Evansville, IN	56	36	18		2	_	4	Tucson, AZ	170	123	34	9	4	_	9
Fort Wayne, IN	80	61	14	2	1	2	4	Pacific	1,819	1,291	389	74	35	30	184
Gary, IN	14	8	5	_		1	_	Berkeley, CA	1,019	5	4	1		2	-
Grand Rapids, MI	54	34	14	5	1	'	6	Fresno, CA	150	110	29	5	2	4	13
Indianapolis, IN	201	136	47	13	4	1	18	Glendale, CA	21	15	6	3	_	4	2
Lansing, MI	38	28	5	3	2		5	Honolulu, HI	78	56	19		1	_	
	84			7	_	3	8			56	17			1	11 7
Milwaukee, WI		56	18					Long Beach, CA	77			2	1		
Peoria, IL	58 70	43	7	5	3	_	7	Los Angeles, CA	282	196	67	12	4	3	48
Rockford, IL	70 56	53	14	1	2		4	Pasadena, CA	22 125	17	5	_	_	_	4
South Bend, IN	56	39	16	_	1	_	6	Portland, OR	125	92	29	3	_	1	10
Toledo, OH	96	63	24	3	3	3	6	Sacramento, CA	225	157	55	6	2	5	16
Youngstown, OH	45	34	8	3	16	_	2	San Diego, CA	180	125	36	9	5	5	20
W.N. Central	665	426	156	57	16	8	47	San Francisco, CA	127	85	28	8	4	2	11
Des Moines, IA	58	46	9	3	_	_	1	San Jose, CA	214	161	33	11	5	4	26
Duluth, MN	25	17	5	1	_	1	4	Santa Cruz, CA	22	18	2	1	1	_	5
Kansas City, KS	36	26	7	2	1	_	3	Seattle, WA	118	76	29	7	4	2	1
Kansas City, MO	69	32	13	20	4	_	2	Spokane, WA	58	45	9	2	1	1	4
Lincoln, NE	60	48	11	1	_	_	3	Tacoma, WA	108	77	21	5	5	_	6
Minneapolis, MN	61	40	12	5	2	2	6	Total [¶]	11,653	7,906	2,595	689	255	199	855
Omaha, NE	91	67	20	3	1	_	11	1000	,033	,,,,,,	-,595	009	233	. , , ,	000
St. Louis, MO	133	60	45	17	6	4	2								
Jt. Louis, MO								1							
St. Paul, MN	52	38	12	_	1	1	6								

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases.

Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of >100,000. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

[†] Pneumonia and influenza.

[§] Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks. ¶ Total includes unknown ages.

Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format. To receive an electronic copy each week, visit MMWR's free subscription page at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/mmwrsubscribe. html. Paper copy subscriptions are available through the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone 202-512-1800.

Data presented by the Notifiable Disease Data Team and 122 Cities Mortality Data Team in the weekly MMWR are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. Address all inquiries about the MMWR Series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, MMWR Series, Mailstop E-90, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333 or to mmurq@cdc.gov.

All material in the MMWR Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to MMWR readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites. URL addresses listed in MMWR were current as of the date of publication.

U.S. Government Printing Office: 2012-523-043/02003 Region IV ISSN: 0149-2195

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use \$300
Return Service Requested

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Atlanta, GA 30333