



## **Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report**

Weekly

October 20, 2006 / Vol. 55 / No. 41

## STD-Prevention Counseling Practices and Human Papillomavirus Opinions Among Clinicians with Adolescent Patients — United States, 2004

In 2000, an estimated 18.9 million new cases of sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) occurred in the United States (1). Although young persons aged 15-24 years represented only 25% of the sexually active population, approximately 48% of STD cases in 2000 occurred in this age group (1). The most common sexually transmitted infection in persons aged <24 years was attributed to human papillomavirus (HPV) (1). Although the natural immunity of most young persons can clear HPV infections with no clinical consequences, certain infections persist and result in warts, precancerous changes, and invasive cancers of the anogenital region in both males and females. In 2000, an estimated 4.6 million new HPV infections occurred among persons aged 15-24 years (1), resulting in expected direct medical lifetime costs of \$2.9 billion (2). In June 2006, the Food and Drug Administration licensed the first HPV vaccine for females aged 9-26 years for the prevention of cervical cancer (U.S. 2000 incidence rate: 9.4 cases per 100,000), precancerous genital lesions, and genital warts associated with HPV types included in the vaccine (HPV 6, 11, 16, and 18). Protection has been demonstrated for genital infections associated with HPV types included in the vaccine; therapeutic efficacy for persons already infected has not been demonstrated.\* To assess 1) STD risk assessment, counseling, and education practices of U.S. health-care providers during routine adolescent<sup>†</sup> check-ups and 2) provider opinions regarding methods to prevent HPV acquisition, CDC and Battelle Centers for Public Health Research and Evaluation surveyed clinicians who provided adolescent primary care. The results of this survey indicated that most of the clinicians assessed STD risk in their adolescent patients, addressed STD prevention, and recommended various STD-prevention methods; however, clinician opinions varied regarding the effectiveness of methods for preventing HPV infection and whether their patients would adopt these methods for the long term. Clinicians periodically should assess STD risk in their adolescent patients and provide STD counseling and education to reduce the incidence of STDs in this age group at high risk.

The analyses described in this report resulted from a broader assessment of the knowledge, attitudes, and practices among U.S. clinicians regarding HPV infections and general STD practice (3). In May 2004, CDC mailed surveys to 5,386 clinicians in seven specialties who commonly provide STD diagnosis, treatment, and prevention services. Nationally representative samples were drawn from databases that included members and nonmembers of the American Medical Association, American Association of Physicians' Assistants, American College of Nurse Midwives, and American Association of Nurse Practitioners. Clinicians were eligible for the survey if they practiced ≥8 hours per week in an outpatient setting, they provided routine checkups, and ≥20% of their patients were aged 13–65 years. Stratified sampling by specialty was conducted to enable comparisons among specialties. The sur-

#### **INSIDE**

- 1120 Update: Guillain-Barré Syndrome Among Recipients of Menactra® Meningococcal Conjugate Vaccine — United States, June 2005–September 2006
- 1124 Vaccination Coverage Among Children Entering School United States, 2005–06 School Year
- 1126 Varicella Surveillance Practices United States, 2004
- 1130 QuickStats

<sup>\*</sup>Available at http://www.fda.gov/cder/offices/oodp/whatsnew/gardasil.htm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>An age range for adolescents was not defined in this survey.

<sup>§</sup> Family/general physicians; general internists; adolescent medicine physicians; obstetrician/gynecologists; nurse practitioners specializing in family, adult, or women's health; certified nurse midwives; and physician assistants practicing primary care.

The MMWR series of publications is published by the Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA 30333.

**Suggested Citation:** Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Article title]. MMWR 2006;55:[inclusive page numbers].

#### **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention**

Julie L. Gerberding, MD, MPH *Director* 

Tanja Popovic, MD, PhD (Acting) Chief Science Officer

James W. Stephens, PhD (Acting) Associate Director for Science

Steven L. Solomon, MD

Director, Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service

Jay M. Bernhardt, PhD, MPH

Director, National Center for Health Marketing

Judith R. Aguilar

(Acting) Director, Division of Health Information Dissemination (Proposed)

#### **Editorial and Production Staff**

Eric E. Mast, MD, MPH (Acting) Editor, MMWR Series

Suzanne M. Hewitt, MPA Managing Editor, MMWR Series

Douglas W. Weatherwax

Douglas W. Weatherwax (Acting) Lead Technical Writer-Editor

Catherine H. Bricker, MS Jude C. Rutledge Writers-Editors

Beverly J. Holland Lead Visual Information Specialist

Lynda G. Cupell Malbea A. LaPete Visual Information Specialists

Quang M. Doan, MBA Erica R. Shaver Information Technology Specialists

#### **Editorial Board**

William L. Roper, MD, MPH, Chapel Hill, NC, Chairman Virginia A. Caine, MD, Indianapolis, IN David W. Fleming, MD, Seattle, WA William E. Halperin, MD, DrPH, MPH, Newark, NJ Margaret A. Hamburg, MD, Washington, DC King K. Holmes, MD, PhD, Seattle, WA Deborah Holtzman, PhD, Atlanta, GA John K. Iglehart, Bethesda, MD Dennis G. Maki, MD, Madison, WI Sue Mallonee, MPH, Oklahoma City, OK Stanley A. Plotkin, MD, Doylestown, PA Patricia Quinlisk, MD, MPH, Des Moines, IA Patrick L. Remington, MD, MPH, Madison, WI Barbara K. Rimer, DrPH, Chapel Hill, NC John V. Rullan, MD, MPH, San Juan, PR Anne Schuchat, MD, Atlanta, GA Dixie E. Snider, MD, MPH, Atlanta, GA John W. Ward, MD, Atlanta, GA

vey collected data on clinician demographic, practice, and patient characteristics; STD risk assessment, counseling, and education practices; and opinions regarding HPV infection prevention methods. Analyses were weighted to adjust for disproportionate sampling by specialty and nonresponse. Survey methods have been more fully described previously (3).

To increase the response rate, the initial survey mailing included \$50 cash, and up to four additional reminders were sent to the 5,386 clinicians sampled. After adjusting for ineligibility, the overall response rate was 82%. For this study, analyses were restricted to the 2,958 (87%) respondents who reported providing routine adolescent checkups.

Among the 2,958 clinicians, 84% reported practicing in a private setting, 83% were white, and 55% were male. Those surveyed reported practicing a median of 14 years; the majority of their patients were female (mean: 69%), white (mean: 69%), and privately insured (mean: 53%). Nearly all the clinicians (94%) reported previous experience in diagnosing STDs, with reported medians of five and six diagnoses of Chlamydia trachomatis infection and genital herpes during the preceding 12 months, respectively. Among the respondents, 81% reported usually or always asking about the sexual behavior of their adolescent patients to assess STD risk. To prevent STDs, 90% of clinicians reported usually or always recommending that their adolescent patients use condoms, 76% recommended practicing monogamy or limiting the number of sex partners, and 54% recommended abstaining from sex.

Surveyed clinicians were asked their opinions regarding use of condoms, practicing monogamy/limiting number of sex partners, and abstinence as methods for both their sexually active adult and adolescent patients to prevent acquisition of HPV infection or HPV-related conditions (Table). Nearly all (95%) respondents believed that practicing monogamy or limiting the number of sex partners was highly effective, and 81% thought these practices were worthwhile to recommend to most patients. In response to another question, although 91% believed that abstinence was highly effective for prevention of HPV infection, 45% thought that abstinence was worthwhile to recommend. Among the clinician groups sampled, adolescent medicine and family practice physicians were significantly more likely to agree that abstinence was worthwhile to recommend than clinicians in all the other specialties combined (56% [95% confidence interval (CI) = 51%— 61%] versus 36% [CI = 33%-39%]; odds ratio = 2.3 [CI =

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Participants were asked to respond to a series of statements (e.g., "For most of my patients, it is worthwhile to recommend consistent condom use." or "Abstinence is highly effective.") by choosing one response from a five-point scale (i.e., strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, and strongly disagree).

TABLE. Opinions of clinicians who provide routine adolescent check-ups regarding methods to prevent acquisition of HPV infection or HPV-related conditions in their sexually active adolescent and adult patients — United States, 2004

		e method nly effective	•	nod is worthwhile nd to most patients	Agree most patients will adopt method long ter		
Method	%	(95% CI*)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	
Monogamy	95	(94–97)	81 <sup>†</sup>	(78–83)	21 <sup>†</sup>	(18–23)	
Limiting number of sex partners	95	(94-96)	_	_	_	_	
Abstinence	91	(89-92)	45	(42-47)	6	(5–8)	
Consistent condom use	78	(76–80)	89	(87–91)	23	(20–25)	

<sup>\*</sup> Confidence interval.

1.8–2.9]; p<0.05). In addition, 78% believed that consistent and correct condom use was effective, and 89% thought that condom use was worthwhile to recommend. However, 96% agreed with the statement, "Condoms may not be 100% effective due to slippage, breakage, leakage or pore size," and 97% agreed with the statement, "Condoms cannot prevent transmission of infections during skin-to-skin contact in areas not covered/in contact with a condom." Among respondents, 23% believed consistent condom use would be adopted for the long term by most of their patients, compared with 21% for monogamy/limiting number of sex partners and 6% for abstinence (Table).

Practices of a subset of 352 clinicians who reported ≥75% of their patients were aged <18 years also were analyzed. Of these, 97% reported usually or always during adolescent checkups recommending that their patients use condoms for STD prevention, 62% recommended practicing monogamy or limiting the number of sex partners, and 51% recommended abstinence. In addition, 93% reported routinely providing STD-prevention education, and 69% reported routinely providing education about genital HPV infection to adult and adolescent patients whom they believed were sexually active. Among the clinicians who provided STD-prevention education, in-person education (73%) was more common than delegating to staff (15%) or providing written materials (5%). Reported by: D Montaño, PhD, D Kasprzyk, PhD, L Carlin, PhD, A Greek, PhD, C Freeman, PhD, Battelle Centers for Public Health Research and Evaluation, Seattle, Washington. K Irwin, MD, R Barnes, MPH, N Jain, MD, C Walsh, DrPH, Div of STD Prevention, National Center for HIV, Viral Hepatitis, STDs, and Tuberculosis Prevention

**Editorial Note:** As recommended by national STD treatment guidelines (4), 81% of the clinicians surveyed in this study reported taking advantage of the routine check-up to assess STD risk in their adolescent patients. In addition, 93% of those with ≥75% of their patients aged <18 years reported educating patients they believed were sexually active about prevention of STDs, and 69% reported specifically addressing HPV infection. Clinician counseling of adolescents

(proposed); Z Henderson, MD, EIS Officer, CDC.

regarding STD prevention has been determined to reduce the incidence of STDs (5). Current national recommendations encourage clinicians to periodically assess adolescents for STD risk and provide STD counseling (6).

Although abstinence is the surest method to reduce the risk for acquiring HPV infection and other sexually transmitted infections, monogamy, minimizing the number of sex partners, and condom use also can reduce the risk (4,7). Large proportions (78%–95%) of clinicians believed that consistent condom use, abstinence, monogamy, and limiting number of sex partners were highly effective methods to prevent acquisition of HPV infection or HPV-related conditions. However, only 6%–23% believed that the majority of their patients would adopt these methods for the long term.

In this study, clinicians were more likely to rate abstinence, monogamy, and limiting number of sex partners as highly effective compared with condom use; however, they rated condoms as the method their patients most likely would use long term. These findings are consistent with studies suggesting that clinicians are more likely to recommend STD prevention and contraceptive methods such as condoms, which are not as effective as abstinence but more likely to be used (8). A limited number of prospective studies have evaluated the effect of condom use on the acquisition of genital HPV infection; a recent prospective study among college women demonstrated that consistent condom use was associated with a 70% reduction in the acquisition of genital HPV infection (7). In addition, previously published data indicate that condom use might reduce the risk for both genital warts and cervical cancer (4).

Although the majority of clinicians surveyed did not believe that most of their patients would use effective STD-prevention methods long term, they nonetheless thought recommending these methods was worthwhile. Such recommendations might not reach the estimated 40% of adolescents in the United States who do not receive routine medical check-ups and might be at higher risk for STDs (9). However, STD risk assessment, screening, and counseling also can

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Monogamy and limiting number of sex partners were combined for these survey questions.

be provided during urgent-care visits and nonroutine visits required for sports, camp, and school participation (9,10).

The findings in this report are subject to at least four limitations. First, although estimates were weighted for nonresponse bias, whether practices of responders differed substantially from those of nonresponders is unknown. Second, survey responses were not compared with medical or counseling records that might document actual practices; surveyed clinicians might have reported practices that were more consistent with guidelines than were documented in their medical records or reported by their patients. Third, certain questions about prevention methods did not distinguish between sexually inexperienced and sexually active patients, and prevention messages likely differed by patient sexual experience. Finally, general pediatricians who did not indicate a specialty of adolescent medicine were not included in the sample, although their patients might include large proportions of adolescents.

Scientific data link HPV infection to cervical cancer (4). Screening tests for HPV infection and the new vaccine to prevent infections from HPV genotypes that cause most cases of cervical HPV infection are now available, in addition to traditional Pap tests for precancerous and cancerous cervical lesions. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices issued provisional recommendations that this vaccine be routinely administered to girls aged 11–12 years and used for catch-up immunization in females aged 13–26 years.\*\* Clinicians should be prepared to discuss with their adolescent patients prevention of HPV infection and other viral and bacterial STDs.

To support clinician risk assessment and prevention counseling for HPV infection, CDC and others have updated online training and support materials. A webcast, HPV and Cervical Cancer: An Update on Prevention Strategies, is available at http://www.phppo.cdc.gov/phtn/hpv-05; a netconference, Human Papillomavirus (HPV), Cervical Cancer, and HPV Vaccine and Recommendations, is available at http://www.cdc.gov/nip/ed/ciinc/hpv.htm. Materials regarding HPV infection also have been updated for patients and the general public to increase awareness of these topics and various prevention strategies. An overview of HPV infection and information regarding STDs is available at http://www.cdc.gov/std/hpv, and information regarding HPV vaccine is available at http://www.cdc.gov/nip/vaccine/hpv/default.htm.

#### **Acknowledgments**

The findings in this report are based, in part, on contributions by D Burkom, MS, Battelle Centers for Public Health Research and Evaluation, Seattle; L Koutsky, PhD, W Phillips, MD, Univ of Washington. T Cox, MD, G Sawaya, MD, Univ of California, San Francisco. D Saslow, PhD, American Cancer Society, Atlanta, Georgia. D Harper, MD, Norris Cotton Cancer Center, Manchester, New Hampshire. K Noller, MD, Tufts New England Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts. J Dixon, W Teal, T Grant, J Douglas, MD, E Dunne, MD, K Stone, MD, H Lawson, MD, M Saraiya, MD, D McCree, PhD, A Friedman, M Sternberg, PhD, CDC.

#### References

- Weinstock H, Berman S, Cates W Jr. Sexually transmitted diseases among American youth: incidence and prevalence estimates, 2000. Perspect Sex Reprod Health 2004;36:6–10.
- Chesson HW, Blandford JM, Gift TL, Tao G, Irwin KL. The estimated direct medical cost of sexually transmitted diseases among American youth, 2000. Perspect Sex Reprod Health 2004;36:11–9.
- Irwin K, Montano DE, Kasprzyk D, et al. Cervical cancer screening, abnormal cytology management, and counseling practices in the United States. Obstet Gynecol 2006;108:397–409.
- 4. CDC. Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2006. MMWR 2006;55(No. RR-11).
- 5. Bolu OO, Lindsey C, Kamb ML, et al. Is HIV/sexually transmitted disease prevention counseling effective among vulnerable populations? A subset analysis of data collected for a randomized, controlled trial evaluating counseling efficacy (Project RESPECT). Sex Transm Dis 2004;31:469–74.
- Elster A, Kuznets N. AMA guidelines for adolescent preventive services (GAPS): recommendations and rationale. Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins; 1994.
- Winer RL, Hughes JP, Feng Q, et al. Condom use and the risk of genital human papillomavirus infections in young women. N Engl J Med 2006;354:2645–54.
- 8. Grimley DM, Lee PA. Condom and other contraceptive use among a random sample of female adolescents: a snapshot in time. Adolescence 1997;32:771–9.
- 9. Burstein GR, Lowry R, Klein JD, Santelli JS. Missed opportunities for sexually transmitted diseases, human immunodeficiency virus, and pregnancy prevention services during adolescent health supervision visits. Pediatrics 2003;111(5 Pt 1):996–1001.
- 10. Shafer MA, Tebb KP, Ko TH. Extending preventive care to pediatric urgent care: a new venue for CT screening. Presented at the National STD Prevention Conference, Philadelphia, PA, March 8–11, 2004.

## Update: Guillain-Barré Syndrome Among Recipients of Menactra® Meningococcal Conjugate Vaccine — United States, June 2005– September 2006

In October 2005, reports indicating a possible association between Guillain-Barré Syndrome (GBS) and receipt of meningococcal conjugate vaccine (MCV4) (Menactra<sup>®</sup>, Sanofi Pasteur, Inc., Swiftwater, Pennsylvania) were made to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) (*I*). GBS is a serious neurologic disorder involving inflammatory demyelination of the peripheral nerves. During March

<sup>\*\*</sup> Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nip/recs/provisional\_recs/hpv.pdf.

2005–February 2006, eight confirmed cases had occurred within 6 weeks (i.e., the time window of elevated risk noted for GBS after administration of other vaccines) after MCV4 vaccination (2,3). This report summarizes nine additional GBS cases reported to VAERS during March–September 2006. This report also provides a preliminary analysis of data from VAERS and the Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD) since MCV4 became available in the United States in March 2005 and includes all 17 cases of GBS reported since June 2005. Although these data suggest a small increased risk for GBS after MCV4 vaccination, the inherent limitations of VAERS and the uncertainty regarding background incidence rates for GBS require that these findings be viewed with caution. Because of the risk for meningococcal disease and the associated morbidity and mor-

tality, CDC continues to recommend routine vaccination with MCV4 for adolescents, college freshmen living in dormitories, and other populations at increased risk (4).

Each of the nine most recent cases reported to VAERS was reviewed by a CDC medical officer and a clinical immunization safety assessment investigator from Boston University to confirm a diagnosis of GBS. Of the nine cases, eight met the surveillance case definition (KS Kohl, MD, The Brighton Collaboration, Atlanta, Georgia, personal communication, 2006), and one was a sensory variant of GBS diagnosed by the attending neurologist based on nerve conduction studies consistent with GBS. The following is an illustrative case report from the nine cases reported most recently (Table).

TABLE. Demographic and clinical characteristics for nine patients reported with Guillain-Barré Syndrome (GBS) after MCV4\* vaccination — United States, March–September 2006

							Nerve conduction		ospinal uid	
Patient	Sex	Age (yrs)	State	Date of vaccination	Onset interval (days)†	Signs and symptoms	study consistent with GBS	White blood cells/µL	Protein mg/dL§	Concomitant vaccines <sup>1</sup>
1	Male	15	New York	February 27	10	Tingling/weakness/decrease in deep tendon reflexes in extremities.	Yes	Not per	formed	Tdap
2	Female	15	Michigan	March 3	2	Weakness/decrease in deep tendon reflexes in lower extremities; MRI** consistent with GBS.	Not performed	3	29	Hepatitis B, Tdap
3	Male	16	Michigan	March 22	13	Tingling/numbness in feet; decrease in deep tendon reflexes in lower extremities.	Yes	2	30	None
4	Female	18	North Carolina	May 22	15	Numbness/weakness in extremities; slurred speech.	Yes	Not per	formed	None
5	Male	43	Oklahoma	June 2	11	Numbness in extremities; gait difficulty; paresthesias of extremities and tongue; areflexia in upper and lower extremities; decrease in muscle strength in bilateral lower extremities.	Not performed	2	100	TIV, YFV, MMR, IPV, typhoid, hepatitis A, hepatitis B
6	Female	11	Kentucky	June 29	33	Numbness/weakness/decrease in deep tendon reflexes/decrease in muscle strength in bilateral lower extremities; gait abnormalities.	Yes	1	17	Tdap
7	Male	17	Arkansas	July 7	9	Difficulty swallowing; weakness in gait.	Not performed	1	109	None
8	Male	30	New York	July 31	9	Tingling in feet/face; weakness in bilateral lower extremities.	Yes	0	92	None
9	Female	16	Mississippi	July 31	13	Tingling/numbness in extremities; absent deep tendon reflexes bilaterally in upper and lower extremities; decrease in muscle strength in lower extremities.	Yes e	0	59	HPV

<sup>\*</sup> Meningococcal conjugate vaccine (Menactra®, Sanofi Pasteur, Inc., Swiftwater, Pennsylvania).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> From date of vaccination to date of onset of neurologic events.

<sup>§</sup> Usual reference range: 15-40 mg/dL.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tdap: tetanus toxoid, reduced diphtheria toxoid, and acellular pertussis vaccine; TIV: trivalent inactivated influenzavirus vaccine; YFV: yellow fever vaccine; MMR: measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine; IPV: inactivated poliovirus vaccine; HPV: human papillomavirus vaccine.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Magnetic resonance imaging.

## Mississippi, July 2006

On July 31, 2006, an adolescent girl aged 16 years from Mississippi received MCV4 and human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccines. On August 13, she experienced numbness and tingling in her extremities. On August 25, she was evaluated by a neurologist for increasing weakness and subsequently admitted to the hospital. On physical examination, she was found to have absent reflexes bilaterally in the upper and lower extremities and had decreased muscle strength in the lower extremities. Nerve conduction studies were consistent for GBS, and analysis of the cerebrospinal fluid showed the protein to be 59 mg/dL (reference range: 15–40 mg/dL) with no white blood cells. The patient received intravenous immunoglobulin, improved, and was discharged on September 5. As of September 7, she still had residual weakness but was continuing to improve.

#### **Case Characteristics**


Clinical data for other possible causes of GBS frequently were not available when investigating the 17 cases of GBS after MCV4 vaccination. *Campylobacter jejuni* is a leading cause of gastroenteritis and the most frequent antecedent pathogen in GBS cases (5). None of the patients had reported diarrheal prodromes; however, many *C. jejuni* infections are asymptomatic. Three of the 17 patients had stool cultures; one was tested for *C. jejuni*, and the results were negative. A serum sample from one of the patients was tested for *C. jejuni*, and the result was negative; no other serum samples were available for testing. None of the states where the patients resided reported outbreaks of *C. jejuni* during June 2005–September 2006.

The range of onset intervals (i.e., date of vaccination through date of onset of adverse event) for the 17 cases was 2–33 days, with a mean and median of 15.7 and 14 days, respectively (Figure). Using a temporal scan statistic, the cases were determined to be significantly clustered at onset intervals of 9–15 days and greater than expected by chance (p = 0.012 [6]).

#### **Comparison of Rates**

Information from a managed-care organization within VSD indicates that approximately 94% of persons who have received MCV4 are aged 11–19 years (CDC, unpublished data, 2006). Therefore, analyses were limited to this age group and excluded two GBS cases in persons aged 30 years and 43 years. To assess whether the VAERS reporting rate for GBS after MCV4 vaccination was higher than expected, the VAERS reporting rate was calculated by dividing the 15 confirmed GBS cases in persons aged 11–19 years with onset within 6 weeks of vaccination by 7.46 million person-months (i.e., 5.39 million vaccine doses distributed to persons aged 11–19 years

FIGURE. Number of cases\* of Guillain-Barré Syndrome and interval between MCV4† vaccination and onset of neurologic events — United States, June 2005–September 2006



 $_{+}^{*}N = 17$ , as of September 22, 2006.

Meningococcal conjugate vaccine (Menactra<sup>®</sup>, Sanofi Pasteur, Inc., Swiftwater, Pennsylvania).

SCluster at 9–15 days statistically significant (p = 0.012; temporal scan statistics [5]).

multiplied by 6 weeks follow-up per dose). The resulting rate was 0.20 per 100,000 person-months.

The background incidence rate for GBS was estimated both from the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP), which is a multistate hospital discharge database, and the VSD database. Using 2000–2003 data from HCUP, the background incidence rate for GBS among persons aged 11–19 years was estimated at 0.11 per 100,000 person-months. Based on HCUP data, the ratio of the VAERS reporting rate of GBS after MCV4 vaccination to the background rate was 1.78 (95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.02–2.85). A separate analysis was performed, using a VSD background incidence rate of 0.11 per 100,000 person-months, based on 2000–2004 data and using a Poisson model to adjust for seasonal variation. Using these VSD data, the ratio of the VAERS reporting rate of GBS after MCV4 vaccination to the expected incidence rate was 1.77 (CI = 0.96–3.07).

Finally, an analysis of MCV4 use based on VSD data revealed that, during March 2005–September 20, 2006, a total of 126,506 doses were delivered and no cases of GBS were observed among vaccine recipients aged 11–19 years within 6 weeks of vaccination (0.2 cases would be expected during that period). During the same period, two cases of GBS were reported among an equal number of persons aged 11–19 years from a matched comparison group receiving preventive care and who had not received MCV4 vaccination.

**Reported by:** EJ Woo, MD, R Ball, MD, M Braun, MD, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Admin, Rockville, Maryland. T Clark, MD, N Rosenstein Messonnier, MD, Div of

Bacterial Diseases; M Wharton, MD, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases (proposed); C Vellozzi, MD, S Campbell, MSPH, E Weintraub, MPH, R Davis, MD, Immunization Safety Office, Office of the Chief Science Officer, CDC.

Editorial Note: Neisseria meningitidis is a major cause of bacterial meningitis and sepsis in the United States. The casefatality ratio for meningococcal disease is 10%–14% (4). Meningococcal disease also causes substantial morbidity; 11%–19% of survivors have sequelae (e.g., neurologic disability, limb loss, or hearing loss). Although rates of disease are highest among children aged <2 years, 62% of meningococcal disease cases in the United States occur among persons aged >11 years (4). During 1991–2002, the rate for persons aged 11–19 years was 1.2 per 100,000 per year and was higher than the rate for the general population. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) has recommended MCV4 vaccination for the prevention of invasive meningococcal disease (4).

In October 2005 and April 2006, CDC and the Food and Drug Administration alerted health-care providers about a possible association between GBS and MCV4 (1,3). Since introduction of MCV4, a total of 15 cases of GBS have been reported in persons aged 11–19 years with onset within 6 weeks of MCV4 vaccination. The ratio calculated by using HCUP data, but not VSD data, to define the background incidence rate, suggests a statistically significant increased risk for GBS after vaccination with MCV4.

The completeness of GBS reporting to VAERS, a passive surveillance system (7), is unknown. If underreporting to VAERS of GBS after MCV4 vaccination has occurred, the risk would be higher than estimated in this report. In addition, VSD has a limited ability to detect rare health events such as GBS; therefore, not finding any cases after vaccination in this population aged 11–19 years should not offer substantial reassurance regarding the safety of MCV4. Finally, the timing of onset of neurologic symptoms within 1–5 weeks of vaccination among reported cases continues to be of concern.

Using the HCUP background incidence rate and assuming the ratio of 1.78 accurately represents the true magnitude of increased risk after MCV4 vaccination, the number of excess cases of GBS for every 1 million doses distributed to persons aged 11–19 years is approximately 1.25 (CI = 0.058–5.99). However, substantial uncertainty exists regarding the risk estimate, using either the HCUP or VSD background incidence rate. Furthermore, no surge in the frequency of GBS reports to VAERS was noted after either the October 2005 or April 2006 CDC reports, as might be expected if underreporting had occurred (e.g., after alerts for intussusception associated with RotaShield® vaccine [8]).

GBS is a rare illness, regardless of etiology; expected incidence rates for GBS are not precisely known, and the available data cannot determine with certainty whether MCV4 increases the risk for GBS. Ongoing evaluation of GBS after MCV4 vaccination is being performed using VSD data. A larger study will be necessary to provide a more definitive assessment, but any such study likely will take several years to accumulate cases and attain sufficient statistical power.

In May 2005, CDC recommended routine vaccination with MCV4 of adolescents, college freshmen living in dormitories, and others at high risk for meningococcal disease (4). However, CDC recommends that persons with a history of GBS not receive MCV4, although persons with a history of GBS at especially high risk for meningococcal disease (i.e., microbiologists routinely exposed to isolates of Neisseria meningitidis) might consider vaccination. Given the data in this report, ACIP will review the current recommendations for MCV4. A Vaccine Information Statement and fact sheet providing information on the vaccine and reported GBS cases is available at http://www.cdc.gov/nip/publications/vis/default.htm. An updated fact sheet for health-care workers on GBS and Menactra is available at http://www.cdc.gov/nip/vacsafe/ concerns/gbs/menactra.htm. Because of the ongoing risk for meningococcal disease and the limitations of the data indicating a small risk for GBS after MCV4 vaccination, the additional cases reported here do not affect or change current CDC recommendations (4).

CDC encourages all persons to report cases of GBS or any other clinically significant adverse events associated with MCV4 or any other vaccination to VAERS. Reports may be submitted securely online at http://www.vaers.hhs.gov or by fax at 877-721-0366. Reporting forms and additional information are available at telephone, 800-822-7967.

#### **References**

- CDC. Guillain-Barré syndrome among recipients of Menactra<sup>®</sup> meningococcal conjugate vaccine—United States, June–July 2005. MMWR 2005;54:1023–5.
- 2. Schonberger LB, Bregman DJ, Sullivan-Bolyai JZ, et al. Guillain-Barré syndrome following vaccination in the National Influenza Immunization Program, United States, 1976–1977. Am J Epidemiol 1979;110:105–23.
- CDC. Update: Guillain-Barré syndrome among recipients of Menactra<sup>®</sup> meningococcal conjugate vaccine—United States, October 2005– February 2006. MMWR 2006;55:364–6.
- CDC. Prevention and control of meningococcal disease: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR 2005;54(No. RR-7).
- Takahashi M, Koga M, Yokoyama K, Yuki N. Epidemiology of Campylobacter jejuni isolated from patients with Guillain-Barré and Fisher syndrome in Japan. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:335–9.
- Kulldorff M. A spatial scan statistic. Communications in statistics: theory and methods 1997;26:1481–96.

- Rosenthal S, Chen R. The reporting sensitivities of two passive surveillance systems for vaccine adverse events. Am J Public Health 1995;85:1706–9.
- 8. Zanardi LR, Haber P, Mootrey GT, Niu MT, Wharton M. Intussusception among recipients of rotavirus vaccine: reports to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System. Pediatrics 2001;107:E97.

## Vaccination Coverage Among Children Entering School — United States, 2005–06 School Year

One of the national health objectives for 2010 is to achieve and sustain ≥95% vaccination coverage among children in kindergarten through first grade for the following vaccines: hepatitis B vaccine; diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine, diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis vaccine, or diphtheria and tetanus toxoids vaccine (DTP/DTaP/DT); poliovirus (polio) vaccine; measles, mumps, and rubella vaccines; and varicella vaccine (1). To determine vaccination coverage among children entering kindergarten, data were analyzed from reports submitted to CDC by states and the District of Columbia (DC) for the 2005–06 school year. This report summarizes the results of that analysis, which indicated that coverage for each vaccine was reported to have exceeded 95% in more than half of the states (Table 1).

For the 2005–06 school year, DC and all states except two (Illinois and Wyoming) submitted reports of vaccination coverage levels for children entering kindergarten. Of these, 49 reports included coverage for polio vaccine, DTP/DTaP/DT

TABLE 1. Number and percentage of states reporting ≥90% or ≥95% vaccination coverage among children entering kindergarten, by vaccine — United States, 2005–06 school year

		reporting coverage		reporting overage
Vaccine	No.	(%)	No.	(%)
Polio <sup>†</sup>	45	(88.2)	33	(64.7)
DTP/DTaP/DT§	43	(84.3)	34	(66.7)
Measles¶	43	(84.3)	31	(60.8)
Rubella**	43	(84.3)	33	(64.7)
Hepatitis B <sup>††</sup>	41	(80.4)	31	(60.8)
Mumps <sup>§§</sup>	40	(78.4)	32	(62.7)
Varicella <sup>¶¶</sup>	36	(70.6)	29	(56.9)

- \* All states and the District of Columbia.
- <sup>†</sup> Three or more doses of any poliovirus vaccine.
- § Four or more doses of any diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine, diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis vaccine, or diphtheria tetanus toxoids vaccine.
- ¶ One or more doses of measles-containing vaccine.
- \*\* One or more doses of rubella-containing vaccine.
- †† Three or more doses of hepatitis B vaccine.
- §§ One or more doses of mumps-containing vaccine.
- $\P$  One or more doses of varicella vaccine or history of varicella disease.

vaccine, measles-containing vaccine, and rubella-containing vaccine; 46 reports included coverage for mumps-containing vaccine; 43 reports included coverage for hepatitis B vaccine; and 41 reports included coverage for varicella vaccine (Table 2).

All states based their assessments, in part, on public schools; in addition, 47 states assessed private schools, and 17 states assessed home schools. In 2005–06, 11 states reported assessments based on 100% of children entering kindergarten in public, private, and home schools; in the 2004–05 school year, five state reports included all school types (2). Although many states conducted a census of all students in the schools they assessed, five states selected a random sample of schools, students, or both to determine coverage rates. Health departments reviewed immunization records to assess coverage in six states, relied on self-reported coverage from schools in 29 states, and used some other methodology (e.g., reports from health departments and school personnel) in 14 states.

Four of the eight U.S. territories that receive federal immunization grants also reported data for the 2005–06 school year. All four reports included coverage for polio vaccine; DTP/DTaP/DT vaccine; measles, mumps, and rubella vaccines; and hepatitis B vaccine (Table 2). Two U.S. territories reported coverage for varicella vaccine. The percentage of children surveyed by the U.S. territories ranged from 10% to 100%. Both public and private schools were included in the assessments, and varying methods were used to assess coverage (e.g., self-reports, health department audits, and vaccination registries).

To determine coverage, state or territory up-to-date status was used rather than number of doses received because the number of doses required to be up-to-date varies depending on timing of vaccinations, area requirements regarding number of doses, and brand of vaccines. National and territorial estimates of coverage were calculated by weighting each state's or territory's coverage estimate according to the size of the kindergarten enrollment for 2005–06.

Coverage for the newest recommended vaccine included in the assessment, varicella, was reported as  $\geq$ 95% in 29 (57%) states and DC and  $\geq$ 90% in 36 (71%) states and DC (Table 1). Coverage for other vaccines was higher, ranging from 31 (61%) states with  $\geq$ 95% coverage for measles and hepatitis B vaccines, to 34 (67%) states with  $\geq$ 95% coverage for DTP/DTaP/DT vaccine.

Varicella coverage was <95% in the two territories (Puerto Rico [89%] and the Virgin Islands [88%]) that reported varicella coverage. Vaccination coverage ≥95% was reported for hepatitis B by Mariana Islands and Puerto Rico. Coverage levels in the reporting territories for all other vaccines were <95%.

TABLE 2. Estimated vaccination coverage among children enrolled in kindergarten, by vaccine and state\*/territory — United States, 2005-06 school year

State/Territory	% surveyed <sup>†</sup>	Polio (%)§	DTP/DTaP/DT (%) <sup>¶</sup>	Measles (%)**	Mumps (%) <sup>††</sup>	Rubella (%) <sup>§§</sup>	Hepatitis B (%) <sup>¶¶</sup>	Varicella (%)***
United States		95.7	95.5	95.4	95.9	95.9	96.0	96.0
Alabama	100.0	95.1	95.1	95.1	95.1	95.1	_	97.0
Alaska	93.2	98.8	98.3	97.7	97.7	97.7	98.7	_
Arizona	99.3	97.6	96.6	95.1	95.1	95.1	96.5	96.5
Arkansas	100.0	95.5	96.6	94.7	94.6	94.5	96.6	_
California	100.0	96.7	96.4	96.8	99.2	99.2	98.4	98.8
Colorado	1.7	90.5	89.6	86.3	86.3	86.3	93.7	93.2
Connecticut	100.0	99.0	98.9	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.1
Delaware	75.7	89.7	89.8	87.1	87.1	87.1	90.2	84.8
District of Columbia	100.0	94.4	93.6	93.9	93.9	93.9	95.6	96.5
Florida	100.0	94.1	94.1	94.1	94.1	94.1	94.1	94.1
Georgia	100.0	93.0	93.0	93.0	93.0	93.0	93.0	93.0
_	99.8	99.1	99.0	99.2	99.2	99.2	99.6	99.8
Hawaii								
Idaho	100.0	93.3	85.9	87.3	87.3	87.3	93.9	_
Illinois†††	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Indiana	100.0	97.6	97.6	97.3	99.5	99.5	98.9	99.0
lowa	93.2	93.0	93.0	93.0	<del>-</del>	93.0	93.0	93.0
Kansas	35.4	94.8	84.1	94.4	94.4	94.4	91.3	85.3
Kentucky	95.0	95.0	95.1	94.5	94.5	94.5	94.2	94.7
Louisiana	100.0	97.6	98.9	99.2	99.2	99.2	98.9	98.2
Maine	96.9	94.0	94.5	93.9	93.9	93.9	_	94.9
Maryland	69.2	99.4	99.2	98.6	99.1	99.1	99.2	99.5
Massachusetts	98.1	95.7	95.1	95.7	98.5	98.5	97.7	98.0
Michigan	100.0	97.8	97.2	96.9	96.9	96.9	97.6	97.9
Minnesota	97.2	95.7	95.3	95.6	95.6	95.6	96.6	97.0
Mississippi	100.0	98.7	98.7	98.7	98.7	98.7	98.7	98.7
Missouri	95.0	97.6	97.0	96.9	96.9	96.9	97.5	97.6
Montana	98.9	99.8	99.8	99.8	99.8	99.8	_	_
Nebraska	91.3	98.5	98.7	97.8	97.8	97.8	97.2	97.8
Nevada	91.4	78.3	91.3	97.3	97.3	97.3	93.6	95.0
New Hampshire	94.9	96.1	96.3	93.3	97.3 97.7	97.3	98.5	99.1
•	100.0	90.1	97.1	93.3 97.1	97.7 97.1	97.7	97.1	97.1
New Jersey								
New Mexico	100.0	98.7	98.6	98.5	98.5	98.5	99.6	99.4
New York	100.0	98.4	98.4	96.8	98.3	98.3	98.1	98.2
North Carolina	86.6	99.3	99.1	99.3	99.3	99.3	99.6	99.9
North Dakota	92.5	94.4	95.3	94.0	94.0	94.0	96.4	95.5
Ohio	100.0	94.8	94.4	97.6	97.6	97.6	96.8	_
Oklahoma	80.1	97.5	96.8	97.6	97.6	97.6	99.4	99.5
Oregon	98.2	95.8	95.3	95.5	96.6	96.6	95.8	96.2
Pennsylvania	84.4	77.6	77.6	77.6	77.6	77.6	77.6	77.6
Rhode Island	95.1	96.5	95.7	96.0	96.0	96.0	98.3	98.5
South Carolina	9.7	94.8	96.0	95.4	95.4	95.4	95.7	95.7
South Dakota	99.4	98.9	99.0	98.2	98.2	98.2	_	99.9
Tennessee	100.0	97.1	97.1	97.1	97.1	97.1	97.1	97.1
Texas	100.0	98.9	98.6	98.5	99.2	99.4	99.4	99.2
Utah	97.4	98.3	97.8	98.1	98.4	98.4	98.7	99.0
Vermont	99.8	96.3	96.9	93.6	_	93.6	_	_
Virginia	6.3	95.5	93.8	95.5	95.5	95.5	93.9	89.5
Washington	97.5	90.9	91.1	93.9	95.5	95.5	92.8	_
West Virginia	96.9	100.0	98.8	96.9	_	96.9	_	_
Wisconsin	2.3	96.7	96.0	87.0	87.0	87.0	95.2	96.9
Wyoming <sup>†††</sup>	۷.5							
, ,	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
J.S. territories	_	90.9	93.4	89.7	89.7	89.7	95.6	88.5
Guam	11.4	92.1	91.5	82.3	82.3	82.3	94.1	_
Mariana Islands	100.0	90.0	93.0	91.1	91.1	91.1	98.0	_
Puerto Rico	51.2	90.9	93.6	89.9	89.9	89.9	95.6	88.5
U.S. Virgin Islands	9.8	91.0	91.6	93.8	93.8	93.8	93.8	87.6

Includes District of Columbia.
The proportion of eligible children included in the assessment survey.

<sup>§</sup> Three or more doses of any poliovirus vaccine

Four or more doses of any diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine, diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis vaccine, or diphtheria and tetanus toxoids vaccine.

One or more doses of measles-containing vaccine.

<sup>††</sup> One or more doses of mumps-containing vaccine.

 $<sup>\</sup>$  One or more doses of rubella-containing vaccine.

Three or more doses of hepatitis B vaccine.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> One or more doses of varicella vaccine or history of varicella disease.

<sup>111</sup> Did not report vaccination coverage to CDC during 2005-06 school year.

**Reported by:** C Stanwyck, PhD, J Davila, MSPH, B Lyons, MPH, C Knighton, Immunization Svcs Div, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases (proposed), CDC.

Editorial Note: More than half of reporting states indicate that they have already reached the Healthy People 2010 goal of ≥95% coverage for each of the vaccines recommended by the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP); the remaining states are making progress toward this goal. However, required vaccines and methods for surveying kindergarten-aged children vary substantially from state to state; the majority of states rely on self-reports by schools, rather than audits by health departments, to determine coverage, which might lead to underestimations or overestimations. CDC provided a new online reporting system, which has been available since the 2002-03 school year, to help states and U.S.-affiliated jurisdictions collect and report data on vaccination coverage among children entering school. Anecdotal reports from states indicate that this system, which automates data-management and calculation tasks, has made reporting coverage easier. CDC also has promoted greater standardization of reporting, for example, by encouraging all states to report coverage based on ACIP recommendations rather than on state requirements (3). These improvements in survey methods and assessment procedures will help ensure that health jurisdictions are accurately reporting progress toward the ≥95% coverage goal.

State laws requiring proof of vaccination at school entry have been considered a safety net for the U.S. vaccination program because they are intended to ensure that no child is missed (4). This safety net relies on school nurses, teachers, health department staff, and others to identify children who are not up-to-date with their vaccinations. Findings of high nationwide coverage in recent years underscore the success of school entry requirements in boosting vaccination coverage, which increased substantially when entry requirements were established. Childhood vaccination coverage also is measured nationally among children aged 19-35 months (5). Higher percentages of children are up-to-date when entering kindergarten than at younger ages, suggesting that school entry laws are an important factor in maintaining high vaccination coverage and ensuring completion of the vaccine doses recommended at ages 4-6 years (5).

The findings in this report are subject to at least two limitations. First, the substantial variation in assessment methods limits the comparability of these data and suggests, in some cases, that data quality could be improved (e.g., by using methods other than self-report, standardizing measurement of vaccination coverage, monitoring data for validity and reliability, and using appropriate sampling methods). Second, children

attending private schools or home schools were not surveyed by all states. The difference in vaccination rates between children schooled at home and children in public or private school environments is unknown.

Additional information about assessing and reporting vaccination coverage among children entering school is available at http://www.cdc.gov/nip/coverage/schoolsurv/overview.htm. The schedule of recommended vaccinations for children is available at http://www.cdc.gov/nip/recs/child-schedule-4pg-landscp.pdf.

#### References

- 1. US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy people 2010 (conference ed, in 2 vols). Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2000. Available at http://www.health.gov/healthypeople.
- 2. CDC. The school entry immunization assessment report, 2004–05. Available at http://www2.cdc.gov/nip/schoolsurv/rptgmenu05.asp.
- CDC. Recommended childhood and adolescent immunization schedule—United States, 2006. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nip/recs/ child-schedule-4pg-landscp.pdf.
- Orenstein WA, Hinman AR. The immunization program in the United States—the role of school immunization laws. Vaccine 1999;17 (Suppl):S19–24.
- CDC. National, state, and urban area vaccination levels among children aged 19–35 months—United States, 2005. MMWR 2006;55:988–93.

# Varicella Surveillance Practices — United States, 2004

Varicella became a reportable disease in the United States in 1972, with states reporting weekly aggregate data to the National Notifiable Disease Surveillance System (NNDSS) (1). In 1981, varicella reporting was removed from the national notifiable diseases list (2) because reporting of this common disease was becoming a burden in the absence of a vaccine. This action was followed by additional changes in varicella surveillance practices (Box). In 1995, varicella vaccine was licensed and added to the routine childhood vaccination schedule. In 2002, the Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (CSTE) recommended that varicella casebased surveillance be implemented in all states by 2005; in 2003, varicella again was added to the national notifiable diseases list (3) to allow for monitoring of the effect of varicella vaccine on varicella incidence. In 2004, to assess the progress in varicella surveillance in the United States, CDC surveyed immunization program managers in selected public health jurisdictions. This report describes the results of that survey, which indicated that substantial progress has been made toward the implementation of case-based surveillance as recommended by CSTE in 2002. As of 2004, however, 28 jurisdictions still had not implemented case-based surveillance. To

BOX. History of national varicella surveillance and related events — United States, 1972–2004

	<u> </u>
Year	Surveillance milestones
1972	Varicella becomes a nationally notifiable disease.
1981	Varicella is removed from the national notifiable diseases list.*
1995	Varicella vaccine is licensed and recommended for routine childhood vaccination in the United States.
1998	Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (CSTE) recommends that states establish some form of ongoing systematic morbidity surveillance and that varicella deaths become nationally notifiable, with implementation on January 1, 1999.
2002	CSTE recommends including varicella in the National Notifiable Disease Surveillance System by 2003 and establishing case-based surveillance in all states by 2005, with implementation on January 1, 2003.
	g 1972–1997, a total of 14 states maintained continuous varicella ng to CDC.

monitor the effect of the vaccination program on the changing epidemiology of varicella disease, every state should now be conducting case-based surveillance for varicella. This is particularly important in light of the 2006 recommendation by the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices for a routine second dose of varicella vaccine for children aged 4–6 years because enhanced surveillance is needed to further monitor varicella epidemiology.

In September 2004, a self-administered survey was distributed to immunization program managers in all 50 states, the District of Columbia (DC), and five cities (Chicago, Illinois; New York, New York; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Houston, Texas; and San Antonio, Texas). The survey included questions about varicella reporting and surveillance practices, existing or planned legislation mandating varicella reporting, and barriers to and strategies for implementing varicella case-based surveillance.


For the survey, case-based surveillance was defined as the collection and reporting of data on individual cases of varicella. Statewide case-based surveillance was defined as solicitation of varicella case reports from any reporting source within the state, including but not limited to health-care providers, hospitals, and schools. Sentinel-site case-based surveillance was defined as the solicitation of individual varicella case reports from designated reporting sites, such as selected physician of-

fices or schools. Mandated varicella reporting was defined as the existence of a reporting law or regulation requiring reporting of varicella cases to public health officials.

Fifty-one (91%) of the 56 jurisdictions responded to the survey, including 46 states (all but Alaska, Mississippi, Nebraska, and New York), DC, and four cities (Chicago, New York, Philadelphia, and San Antonio). Twenty-three (45%) of the respondents (from 19 states, three cities, and DC) reported having established case-based surveillance. Of the 19 states, 15 reported that they had implemented statewide reporting, two reported that they received reports only from sentinel sites, and two did not respond regarding methods for case-based surveillance. Of the three city respondents reporting establishment of case-based surveillance, one was located in a state that reported having established case-based surveillance; the other two cities were in states that were planning to implement case-based surveillance. DC received reports from throughout the jurisdiction. Seventeen (33%) of the respondents indicated that they were planning to implement casebased surveillance, although the time frame for implementation was unknown (Figure 1).

The respondents who reported that they had established case-based surveillance stated that they were receiving varicella case reports from hospitals (70% of respondents), emergency departments (68%), outpatient clinics (68%), physician offices (68%), laboratories (68%), elementary and high schools (61%), colleges (58%), and day care facilities (52%). Thirty (59%) of 51 respondents reported that they had mandated varicella case reporting, including 26 states, DC, and three cities; two of the cities are in states that reported having varicella reporting laws. Twenty-one (70%) of these 30 jurisdic-

FIGURE 1. Status of varicella case-based surveillance (CBS) in public health jurisdictions (N = 55)\* — United States, 2004




<sup>\*</sup>Includes all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and four U.S. cities (Chicago, Illinois; New York, New York; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; and San Antonio, Texas).

tions had implemented case-based surveillance, but only four (20%) of the 21 jurisdictions without mandated varicella reporting had implemented case-based surveillance.

When queried about the various varicella incidents that are reported (e.g., outbreaks, deaths, individual cases, adult hospitalizations, child hospitalizations, laboratory-confirmed cases, and aggregate cases), a greater proportion of respondents from jurisdictions with a reporting mandate stated that they were being informed about hospitalizations, laboratory-confirmed cases, and aggregate cases than respondents from jurisdictions without a mandate. However, outbreaks and deaths were reported most frequently and at nearly the same rate, regardless of a reporting mandate; 90% of outbreaks and 83% of deaths were reported from states with varicella reporting mandates, and 82% of outbreaks and 77% of deaths were reported from states without varicella reporting mandates (Figure 2).

Respondents were questioned about barriers to and strategies for the implementation of case-based surveillance. The most frequently reported barrier was lack of staffing resources (39%). Respondents also identified other barriers, including the absence of a reporting mandate (16%), difficulty establishing support for varicella surveillance among local health department staff and community partners (10%), the perception that varicella is not serious enough to be reported (4%), and the lack of usefulness of the individual case data (1%).

FIGURE 2. Percentage of public health jurisdictions (N = 51)\* reporting varicella incidents, by incident type and presence or absence of a varicella reporting mandate — United States, 2004



<sup>\*</sup> Includes 46 states (all but Alaska, Mississippi, Nebraska, and New York), four cities (Chicago, Illinois; New York, New York; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; and San Antonio, Texas), and the District of Columbia.

The most frequently cited successful strategy in facilitating case-based surveillance implementation was partnering with the reporting community (i.e., groups that report varicella cases, such as physician groups, day care centers, or school nurses) through meetings, e-mails, and newsletters (41%).

**Reported by:** F Averhoff, MD, L Zimmerman, MPH, R Harpaz, MD, D Guris, MD, Epidemiology and Surveillance Div, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases (proposed); A Rue, MPH, EIS Officer, CDC.

Editorial Note: The results of the survey described in this report provide a snapshot of case-based varicella surveillance implementation in the United States in 2004 and help interpret trends in surveillance data as case-based surveillance implementation increases. The findings suggest that substantial progress has been made toward the implementation of case-based surveillance as recommended by the CSTE in 2002; however, 28 jurisdiction respondents still had not implemented case-based surveillance as of 2004. In 2006, 31 jurisdictions are conducting case-based surveillance for varicella.

Implementation of a national varicella vaccination program in 1995 resulted in reestablishment of national varicella surveillance. In 1995, only 17 states were reporting varicella to NNDSS, and levels of reporting in states that had reported continually since 1970 had been declining (2). In 1995, CDC initiated support for active surveillance in three geographic areas in the United States; two of these sites continue to provide data that have demonstrated the effect of the vaccination program and the changing epidemiology of varicella disease. These geographic areas have vaccination coverage that is higher than the national average and is therefore not representative of the U.S. population (4). Supplementary surveillance data from national varicella mortality reports and outbreak investigations provide additional information. However, mortality reports measure only severe disease. In addition, although outbreak investigations provide critical data about vaccine effectiveness, they provide more limited data on varicella epidemiology. Because numbers of infected persons usually are small, not all outbreaks are investigated, and the settings in which they are investigated are inconsistent, leading to variable findings. Although morbidity and outbreak data have been important for guiding the varicella vaccination program during the initial years of implementation, more complete national data are necessary to maintain an effective and efficient vaccination program. Case-based surveillance is necessary to 1) assess the effect of vaccination on the epidemiology of varicella, including changes in age distribution and severity of cases; 2) evaluate the proportion of cases in persons who are vaccinated (i.e., breakthrough disease); and 3) assess and monitor vaccine effectiveness.

Categories are not mutually exclusive; seven states and one city receive both aggregate and individual case reports (e.g., individual for adults and aggregate for children).

Because the considerable number of cases in the early vaccine era made case-based surveillance impractical in states with limited resources, CSTE initially did not recommend implementation of case-based surveillance in 1995. As a first step to implementing national surveillance, varicella deaths became reportable in 1999 (5), and states were also encouraged by CSTE to establish some form of morbidity reporting (6). In 2002, with rapidly decreasing varicella rates (4), CSTE recommended that national case-based surveillance be implemented by 2005 (7).

States with mandatory varicella notification more frequently reported implementing case-based surveillance and receiving reports of varicella incidents. Reports of deaths and outbreaks were less affected by the presence or absence of reporting mandates in the jurisdiction and were the most frequently cited type of report received by public health officials. In addition to the lack of mandatory reporting laws, other barriers to the implementation of case-based surveillance, such as insufficient staffing resources, were identified.

A gradual approach to full implementation of case-based surveillance might mitigate the burden of implementation on jurisdictions. CSTE has previously recommended that states consider initiating case-based surveillance using sentinel-site surveillance if statewide case-based surveillance was not feasible initially. Case-based surveillance might also be initiated by collecting only data for key variables (e.g., age of the patient, varicella vaccination history, and severity of disease). Collecting and analyzing this information locally will enable jurisdictions to monitor their own programs. When feasible, jurisdictions can incorporate additional variables, such as other symptoms, complications, and diagnostic laboratory data.

While states have been planning and implementing varicella case-based surveillance, CDC has been developing an electronic system capable of accepting individual case reports through NNDSS; this system is expected to be operational in 2006. These data will allow for the monitoring of national trends and will help guide national varicella vaccination policy.

As a guide for case-based varicella surveillance, a new varicella worksheet is available from CDC at http://www.cdc.gov/nip/diseases/varicella/default.htm. State and local public health officials with questions regarding implementation of case-based surveillance can contact CDC by telephone, 404-639-8230.

#### **Acknowledgments**

The findings in this report are based, in part, on contributions by state and local health departments.

#### References

- 1. CDC. Reported cases of specified notifiable diseases per 100,000 population, United States, 1964–1973. MMWR 1973;22:3.
- CDC. Evaluation of varicella reporting to the National Notifiable Disease Surveillance System—United States, 1972–1997. MMWR 1999;48:55–8.
- 3. CDC. Changes in National Notifiable Diseases list and data presentation. MMWR 2003;52:9.
- Seward J, Watson B, Peterson C, et al. Varicella disease after introduction of varicella vaccine in the United States, 1995–2000. JAMA 2002;287:606–11.
- Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists. Position statement 1998-ID-10. Inclusion of varicella-related deaths in the National Public Health Surveillance System (NPHSS). Available at http://www. cste.org/ps/1998/1998-id-10.htm.
- Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists. Position statement 1998-ID-9. Varicella surveillance and control. Available http://www. cste.org/ps/1998/1998-id-09.htm.
- Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists. Position statement 02-ID-06. Varicella surveillance. Available at http://www.cste.org/ position%20statements/02-id-06.pdf.

#### **Errata: Vol. 55, Nos. 38 and 39**

In issue No. 38, on page 1051, in Table II, "Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending September 23, 2006, and September 24, 2005 (38th Week)," errors occurred in data reported for Hepatitis (viral, acute) by type: B.

In the column, "Cum 2006," the entry for United States should read **2,803**; for Mid. Atlantic, **295**; and for New York City, **60**.

In issue No. 39, on page 1079, in Table II, "Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending September 30, 2006, and October 1, 2005 (39th Week)," errors occurred in data reported for Hepatitis (viral, acute) by type: B.

In the column, "Cum 2006," the entry for United States should read **2,943**; for Mid. Atlantic, **310**; and for New York City, **60**.


## Erratum: Vol. 55, No. 40

In the report, "Prevalence of Doctor-Diagnosed Arthritis and Arthritis-Attributable Activity Limitation — United States, 2003–2005," on page 1092, in the fourth paragraph, the third sentence should have read, "Second, the cross-sectional study design does **not** permit determining the temporal sequence of arthritis onset and selected characteristics (e.g., obesity or physical inactivity)."

## **QuickStats**

#### FROM THE NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

Median Serum Cotinine Levels in Nonsmokers, by Age Group — National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), United States, 1988–1991 through 2001–2002



\* NHANES III was conducted in two phases: October 1988–September 1991 and October 1991–September 1994. Additional information is available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm.

Cotinine is a metabolite only of nicotine. Among nonsmokers, the presence of cotinine in serum indicates exposure to secondhand tobacco smoke. From 1988–1991 through 2001–2002, median serum cotinine levels decreased by 74% in children aged 4–11 years, 79% in persons aged 12–19 years, and 83% in persons aged ≥20 years, suggesting a substantial reduction in the exposure of the U.S. population to secondhand tobacco smoke.

**SOURCE:** Pirkle JL, Bernert JT, Caudill SP, Sosnoff CS, Pechacek TF. Trends in the exposure of nonsmokers in the U.S. population to secondhand smoke: 1988–2002. Environ Health Perspect 2006;114:853–8.

TABLE I. Provisional cases of infrequently reported notifiable diseases (<1,000 cases reported during the preceding year) — United States, week ending October 1/ 2006 (/1st Week)

	C	C	5-year	Total	cases rep	orted for	r nreviou	s vears	
Disease	Current week	Cum 2006	weekly average <sup>†</sup>	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	States reporting cases during current week (No.
Anthrax		1	0				2	23	
Botulism:									
foodborne	_	8	0	19	16	20	28	39	
infant	_	64	2	90	87	76	69	97	
other (wound & unspecified)	_	43	1	33	30	33	21	19	
Brucellosis	2	84	2	122	114	104	125	136	CA (2)
Chancroid	1	24	1	17	30	54	67	38	MA (1)
Cholera	_	6	0	8	5	2	2	3	
Cyclosporiasis§	_	100	1	734	171	75	156	147	
Diphtheria	_	_	0	_	_	1	1	2	
Domestic arboviral diseases <sup>§,¶</sup> :			_						
California serogroup	_	37	5	80	112	108	164	128	
eastern equine	_	6	0	21	6	14	10	9	
Powassan	_	1	_	1	1		1	N	
St. Louis	_	4	1	13	12	41	28	79	
western equine	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Ehrlichiosis§:		007	0	700	E07	000	E44	061	
human granulocytic	3	287 300	9 7	790	537	362	511	261	NV (1) TN (0)
human monocytic	1	133	1	522 122	338 59	321	216 23	142	NY (1), TN (2)
human (other & unspecified)  Haemophilus influenzae,**	ı	133	'	122	59	44	23	6	NY (1)
invasive disease (age <5 yrs):									
serotype b		8	0	9	19	32	34	_	
nonserotype b	1	68	2	135	135	117	144	_	NY (1)
unknown serotype	3	162	2	217	177	227	153	_	TN (3)
Hansen disease§	2	58	1	88	105	95	96	79	NH (1), NV (1)
Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome§	_	25	Ö	29	24	26	19	8	1411 (1), 144 (1)
Hemolytic uremic syndrome, postdiarrheal§	3	190	5	221	200	178	216	202	NE (1), SC (1), AL (1)
Hepatitis C viral, acute	2	606	32	771	713	1,102	1,835	3,976	NY (1), OK (1)
HIV infection, pediatric (age <13 yrs)§,††	_	52	7	380	436	504	420	543	(.), (.)
Influenza-associated pediatric mortality <sup>§,§§,¶¶</sup>	_	40	_	45	_	N	N	N	
Listeriosis	9	526	19	892	753	696	665	613	NY (1), PA (2), MO (1), KS (1), NC (1), FL (1),
Measles	_***	44	0	66	37	56	44	116	LA (1), WA (1)
Meningococcal disease,††† invasive:									
A, C, Y, & W-135	1	172	3	297	_	_	_	_	MN (1)
serogroup B	_	108	2	157	_	_	_	_	
other serogroup	_	14	0	27	_	_	_	_	
Mumps	16	5,832	5	314	258	231	270	266	OH (1), MN (10), MO (2), KS (2), AL (1)
Plague	_	12	0	8	3	1	2	2	
Poliomyelitis, paralytic	_	_	0	1	_	_	_	_	
Psittacosis§	_	18	0	19	12	12	18	25	
Q fever§	1	122	1	139	70	71	61	26	CA (1)
Rabies, human	_	1	0	2	7	2	3	1	
Rubella	_	8	0	11	10	7	18	23	
Rubella, congenital syndrome	_	1	_	1	_	1	1	3	
SARS-CoV <sup>§,§§</sup>	_	_	_	_	_	8	N	N	
Smallpox <sup>§</sup>	_	_			_	_	_		011.40
Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome§	1	81	1	129	132	161	118	77	OH (1)
Streptococcus pneumoniae,§	45	000	40	4.057	4 400	0.45	540	400	NIV (4) OLL (0) IN (4) MIN (4) NIE (4) OK (5)
invasive disease (age <5 yrs)	15	806	12	1,257	1,162	845	513	498	NY (1), OH (3), IN (1), MN (4), NE (1), OK (5)
Syphilis, congenital (age <1 yr)	1	210	8	361	353	413	412	441	FL (1)
Tetanus Tayia ahaak ayadrama (athar than atraptasasas	.1\6 4	17	0	27	34	20	25	37 127	SC (1)
Toxic-shock syndrome (other than streptococca	ıl)§ 1	73	1	96	95	133	109	127	SC (1)
Trichinellosis	_	11	0	19	5	6	14	22	KC (1)
Tularemia§	1	71	3	154	134	129	90	129	KS (1)
Typhoid fever Vancomycin-intermediate <i>Staphylococcus aure</i>	5 us§ —	211 2	8	324	322	356	321 N	368 N	MO (1), CA (4)
vancomycin-intermediate <i>Staphylococcus aure</i> Vancomycin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> §		2	0	2	1	N N		N	
Yellow fever	_	_	_	3			N 1	N —	
I CHOW IEVEL	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	_	

N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. -: No reported cases.

Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional, whereas data for 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, and 2005 are finalized.

Calculated by summing the incidence counts for the current week, the two weeks preceding the current week, and the two weeks following the current week, for a total of 5 preceding years. Additional information is available at http://www.cdc.gov/epo/dphsi/phs/files/5yearweeklyaverage.pdf. Not notifiable in all states.

Includes both neuroinvasive and non-neuroinvasive. Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-

Includes both neuroinvasive and non-neuroinvasive. Opacied weekiy from reports to the Division of vector-borne infectious Diseases, National Center for 2007/000, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases (proposed) (ArboNET Surveillance).

Data for H. influenzae (all ages, all serotypes) are available in Table II.

Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention (proposed). Implementation of HIV reporting influences the number of cases reported. Pediatric HIV data will not be updated monthly for the remainder of this year due to upgrading of the national HIV/AIDS. surveillance data management system. Data for HIV/AIDS are available in Table IV quarterly.

Updated weekly from reports to the Influenza Division, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases (proposed).

Cumulative totals for 2005 and 2006 do not include reports from states where influenza-associated pediatric mortality is not a notifiable condition.

No measles cases were reported for the current week.

<sup>111</sup> Data for meningococcal disease (all serogroups and unknown serogroups) are available in Table II.

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 14, 2006, and October 15, 2005 (41st Week)\*

(41st Week)*	Chlamydia <sup>†</sup> Previous						Coccio	lioidomy	cosis			Crvr	otosporio	liosis	
		Pre						ious				Pre	vious		
Reporting area	Current week	52 v Med	veeks Max	Cum 2006	Cum 2005	Current week	52 w Med	eeks Max	Cum 2006	Cum 2005	Current week	52 v Med	veeks Max	Cum 2006	Cum 2005
United States	11,448	19,103	35,170	746,835	753,499	62	151	1,643	6,459	3,253	77	70	594	3,806	5,986
New England Connecticut Maine <sup>§</sup> Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island Vermont <sup>§</sup>	834 — 53 623 37 107 14	632 170 43 289 37 60 20	1,550 1,214 67 534 65 100 43	25,745 7,196 1,779 12,003 1,548 2,354 865	25,083 7,335 1,752 11,140 1,469 2,620 767	N N 	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	N N — — N	N N — —	5 — — — 3 2	4 0 0 1 1 0 0	30 27 4 14 4 6 5	242 27 32 88 37 14	293 69 25 130 31 7 31
Mid. Atlantic New Jersey New York (Upstate) New York City Pennsylvania	1,471 100 435 429 507	2,413 373 499 731 749	3,696 497 1,727 1,570 1,105	95,102 14,214 18,940 30,283 31,665	92,619 15,197 18,300 30,054 29,068	N N N N	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	N N N N	N N N N	7 4 — 3	11 0 3 2 4	444 3 441 9 13	439 10 134 74 221	2,404 53 2,003 127 221
E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin	1,665 591 255 627 79 113	3,127 971 391 658 686 398	12,578 1,693 510 9,888 1,432 531	125,067 40,878 15,349 27,627 25,555 15,658	126,563 39,586 15,909 21,064 33,890 16,114	N — N	1 0 0 0 0	3 0 0 3 1 0	38 — N 34 4 N	9 N 9 — N	16  2 1 13 	16 1 1 2 5 5	92 7 18 7 33 53	924 72 70 109 298 375	1,421 142 65 93 679 442
W.N. Central lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska <sup>§</sup> North Dakota South Dakota	673 184 161 — 253 — 27 48	1,151 157 153 230 439 95 34 51	1,456 225 269 346 612 176 58 116	46,040 6,512 5,619 8,522 17,871 4,109 1,318 2,089	46,458 5,623 5,812 9,750 17,772 4,055 1,263 2,183	N N — — N N	0 0 0 0 0 0	12 0 0 12 1 1 0	1 N N 1 N N N	4 N N 3 1 N N	8 -2 5 - - 1	11 1 1 2 2 1 0	74 28 7 22 18 16 4 7	677 154 62 162 147 79 9	530 114 32 109 226 21 1 27
S. Atlantic Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Maryland <sup>§</sup> North Carolina South Carolina <sup>§</sup> Virginia <sup>§</sup> West Virginia	2,112 82 60 768 1 328 480 — 367 26	3,512 68 52 946 644 334 572 306 423 58	4,929 92 103 1,157 2,142 486 1,772 1,306 840 226	141,672 2,796 1,907 38,394 23,199 13,830 26,400 13,895 18,760 2,491	140,614 2,647 3,029 34,346 24,862 14,554 25,379 15,028 18,674 2,095	N	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0	3 N N N 3 N N N N	1 N N 1 N N N N	34 — 24 7 — 2 1 —	14 0 0 6 3 0 0 1 1	65 3 32 11 3 11 13 6 3	818 12 12 380 164 15 81 108 38	570 4 10 260 116 26 70 18 53
E.S. Central Alabama <sup>§</sup> Kentucky Mississippi Tennessee <sup>§</sup>	533 16 10 — 507	1,419 391 160 374 505	1,947 756 402 802 602	57,121 16,054 6,437 14,472 20,158	54,694 12,291 6,976 17,015 18,412	N N N	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	N N — N	N N N	2 — — — 2	3 1 1 0	12 10 8 3 5	143 62 31 14 36	181 21 122 2 36
W.S. Central Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas <sup>§</sup>	1,613 200 88 375 950	2,182 158 265 221 1,408	3,605 335 761 2,159 1,844	86,501 6,482 11,366 9,591 59,062	86,963 6,870 13,057 9,078 57,958	  N N	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 0	1 1 N N	 N N N	_ _ _ _	4 0 0 1 1	29 2 9 4 20	204 18 51 32 103	192 4 74 36 78
Mountain Arizona Colorado Idaho <sup>§</sup> Montana Nevada <sup>§</sup> New Mexico <sup>§</sup> Utah Wyoming	658 427 — — 172 — 59	1,027 359 151 50 44 77 172 93 27	1,839 881 482 191 195 432 339 170 55	39,466 14,546 4,512 2,236 1,912 3,920 7,422 3,887 1,031	49,471 16,914 11,938 2,018 1,827 5,704 6,648 3,539 883	25 23 N N N 2	114 111 0 0 0 1 0	452 448 0 0 0 4 3 3	4,499 4,397 N N N 49 13 38 2	2,102 2,020 N N N 48 16 15	5 3 — 1 — 1 —	3 0 1 0 0 0 0	39 2 7 5 26 1 4 3	293 22 58 30 105 8 16 16 38	116 9 39 14 16 11 13 11
Pacific Alaska California Hawaii Oregon <sup>§</sup> Washington	1,889 — 1,189 — 307 393	3,294 84 2,570 103 170 350	5,079 152 4,231 135 315 604	130,121 3,247 101,948 4,026 6,931 13,969	131,034 3,347 101,598 4,369 7,020 14,700	37 — 37 N N N	43 0 43 0 0	1,179 0 1,179 0 0	1,917 — 1,917 N N	1,137 — 1,137 N N	_ _ _ _	2 0 0 0 1	52 1 14 1 6 38	66 4  4 58	279 3 162 1 61 52
American Samoa C.N.M.I. Guam Puerto Rico U.S. Virgin Islands	U U — —	0 0 18 75 5	46 0 37 161 16	U U  2,945 178	U 0 666 3,304 196	U U N	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	U U N	U     N 	U U N	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	U U N	U U N

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to\* Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional.
† Chlamydia refers to genital infections caused by *Chlamydia trachomatis*.
§ Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS). Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 14, 2006, and October 15, 2005 (41st Week)\*

			Giardiasi	is			G	onorrhe	а		Hae		<i>ıs influen</i> es, all se	<i>zae</i> , invas rotypes	sive
	Current		rious eeks	Cum	Cum	Current		vious veeks	Cum	Cum	Current		vious veeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2006	2005	week	Med	Max	2006	2005	week	Med	Max	2006	2005
United States	224	324	1,029	13,190	15,092	3,909	6,519	14,136	259,144	258,672	19	40	142	1,608	1,807
New England Connecticut	15	24 0	75 37	987 222	1,377 298	107	107 42	288 241	4,325 1,698	4,519 1,921	2	2	19 9	129 40	137 40
Maine <sup>†</sup>	4	2	13	137	173	3	2	6	101	112	_	0	4	17	8
Massachusetts New Hampshire	_	10 0	18 9	357 24	620 51	82 6	46 4	86 9	1,936 156	1,951 132	_	1 0	7 2	52 7	69 7
Rhode Island	4	0	25	96	86	14	8	19	381	356	_	0	7	4	7
Vermont <sup>†</sup> Mid. Atlantic	7 39	3 64	12 254	151 2,536	149 2,719	2 443	1 648	1,014	53 25,393	47 26,625	_ 6	0 8	2 30	9 333	6 344
New Jersey	_	8	13	297	358	81	102	143	3,872	4,498	_	1	4	45	71
New York (Upstate) New York City	24 —	24 15	227 29	935 685	942 720	154 101	123 175	455 357	4,941 7,581	5,341 8,052	5 —	2 1	27 6	108 64	100 62
Pennsylvania	15	15	29	619	699	107	218	399	8,999	8,734	1	3	8	116	111
E.N. Central Illinois	23	49 10	86 21	1,926 353	2,695 628	830 179	1,288 378	7,047 710	50,932 15,624	51,436 15,623	_	5 1	14 6	219 47	310 104
Indiana Michigan	N 5	0 14	0 22	N 519	N 645	136 419	161 258	237 5,880	6,865 11,579	6,402 8,720	_	1 0	11 3	66 18	55 19
Ohio	18	16	32	642	629	29	329	648	11,554	16,093	_	2	6	65	95
Wisconsin	_	10	40	412	793	67	134	172	5,310	4,598	_	0	4	23	37
W.N. Central lowa	21 —	29 5	260 15	1,464 232	1,678 227	184 25	366 34	436 52	14,545 1,404	14,750 1,243	4	2 0	15 1	118 1	91 —
Kansas Minnesota	3 1	3 1	11 238	151 478	163 691	44	44 62	124 105	1,578 2,199	2,061 2,737		0	3 9	14 59	11 38
Missouri	12	10	32	436	382	105	190	251	7,911	7,432	_	0	6	32	29
Nebraska† North Dakota	3 2	2	8 7	94 14	106 12	4	23 2	56 7	1,062 94	918 80	1	0 0	2 3	7 5	12 1
South Dakota	_	1	7	59	97	6	6	15	297	279	_	0	0	_	_
S. Atlantic Delaware	40	49 1	96 4	1,984 34	2,186 46	1,050 28	1,555 27	2,334 44	63,231 1,160	61,470 677	2	10 0	26 1	414 1	427
District of Columbia	1	1	5	53	42	18	34	61	1,270	1,675	_	0	1	4	7
Florida Georgia	25 9	18 10	40 44	846 425	772 586	324 3	439 309	554 1,014	18,262 11,436	15,751 11,558	1	2	9 12	134 80	104 92
Maryland† North Carolina	3 N	4 0	11 0	162 N	165 N	105 490	128 286	186 766	5,148 13,704	5,462 12,179	1	1 0	5 9	55 46	59 68
South Carolina <sup>†</sup>	2	1	7	82	89	_	132	704	6,262	6,894	_	1	3	28	29
Virginia† West Virginia	_	7 0	50 6	359 23	451 35	66 16	130 17	288 42	5,227 762	6,722 552	_	1 0	8 4	50 16	44 24
E.S. Central	5	8	40	393	335	168	564	864	23,111	21,786	5	2	7	85	96
Alabama <sup>†</sup> Kentucky	3 N	5 0	29 0	215 N	150 N	5 4	183 55	310 132	7,428 2,305	7,029 2,398	1	0	5 1	21 4	17 11
Mississippi Tennessee <sup>†</sup>	_ 2	0 4	0 12	 178	— 185	 159	141 188	436 237	5,696 7,682	5,603 6,756	<u> </u>	0 1	1 4	3 57	— 68
W.S. Central	12	6	31	227	258	591	899	1,430	37,376	35,312	_	1	15	57	97
Arkansas	8	2	6 5	100 24	71 53	103 61	81 161	142 354	3,351 6,950	3,574	_	0 0	2	7	7
Louisiana Oklahoma	4	2	24	103	134	140	79	764	3,575	7,272 3,603	_	1	14	40	32 52
Texas <sup>†</sup>	N	0	0	N	N	287	555	879	23,500	20,863	_	0	2	2	6
Mountain Arizona	11 5	30 3	65 36	1,306 130	1,188 119	164 91	217 92	552 201	8,904 3,632	10,685 3,855	_	3 1	8 7	160 74	184 92
Colorado Idaho†	 5	9 3	33 12	439 149	409 115	_	41 3	90 15	1,595 132	2,535 84	_	1 0	4 1	42 4	37 4
Montana	_	2	11	83	58	_	2	20	150	126	_	Ō	0	_	_
Nevada <sup>†</sup> New Mexico <sup>†</sup>	1	2 1	8 6	82 49	87 70	56 —	24 30	194 64	1,288 1,348	2,233 1,239	_	0 0	1 4	 22	14 21
Utah Wyoming	_	7 1	19 4	344 30	310 20	17	17 2	25 6	666 93	552 61	_	0	4 1	15 3	9 7
Pacific	58	59	202	2,367	2,656	372	803	963	31,327	32,089	_	2	15	93	121
Alaska California	— 45	1 42	15 105	75 1,658	91 1,886	269	11 659	23 830	451 25,780	462 26,724	_	0	2	9	26 50
Hawaii	_	1	3	39	55	_	18	29	725	806	_	Ö	1	14	8
Oregon <sup>†</sup> Washington	5 8	8 6	14 90	314 281	349 275	30 73	28 74	58 142	1,046 3,325	1,203 2,894	_	1 0	6 4	47 2	37 —
American Samoa	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	2	U	U	U	0	0	U	U
C.N.M.I. Guam	<u>U</u>	0	0	<u>U</u>	U 11	U —	0	0 15	<u>U</u>	U 71	U —	0	0 2	U —	U 9
Puerto Rico	_	2	12	62	215	_	5	16	188	291	_	0	1	1	3
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	5	30	45	_	0	0	_	_

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to\* Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional.

† Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS). Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 14, 2006, and October 15, 2005 (41st Week)\*

				Нера	titis (viral,	acute), by ty	/ре					1.	ngionalla		
		Pres	A rious				Previ	B					egionello: vious	SIS	
Reporting area	Current week		eeks	Cum 2006	Cum 2005	Current week		eeks Max	Cum 2006	Cum 2005	Current week		veeks Max	Cum 2006	Cum 2005
United States	34	68	245	2,527	3,317	42	84	597	3,183	4,110	63	44	127	1,786	1,646
New England	2	3	20	146	378	1	2	9	78	120	4	2	12	103	117
Connecticut Maine <sup>†</sup>	_	1 0	2 2	34 6	43 3	_	0	3 2	27 15	39 12	2	0	9 2	40 7	22 6
Massachusetts	_	1	13	51	240	_	0	5	14	40	_	Ō	6	27	55
New Hampshire Rhode Island		0 0	16 4	36 11	76 10	1	0	2 4	12 9	24 1	_ 1	0	1 10	1 21	9 16
Vermont <sup>†</sup>	_	Ö	2	8	6		Ő	1	1	4	i	Ő	3	7	9
Mid. Atlantic	3	6 2	15 7	272 61	540 112	_	8 2	55 8	327 80	531 196	38	15	46 10	660 79	559 96
New Jersey New York (Upstate)	<u> </u>	1	14	68	83	_	1	43	49	46	30	2 5	29	260	143
New York City Pennsylvania	1 1	2 1	9 5	91 52	261 84	_	2	5 9	67 131	111 178	 8	2 5	9 18	84 237	85 235
E.N. Central	2	6	12	229	291	 5	8	24	316	447	9	8	24	351	342
Illinois	_	1	4	50	106	_	1	7	57	130	_	0	4	21	47
Indiana Michigan	1 1	0 2	5 8	24 82	14 89	3	0 3	17 7	45 105	33 144	_	0 2	3 7	24 93	24 94
Ohio	_	1	4	45	43	2	2	10	103	105	9	4	19	180	146
Wisconsin	_	1	3	28	39	_	0	4	6	35	_	0	5	33	31
W.N. Central lowa	_	2	30 2	104 8	71 18	1	4 0	22 3	130 14	215 22	1 —	1 0	15 3	55 10	64 5
Kansas Minnesota	_	0 0	5 29	24 9	14 3	_	0	2 13	8 17	24 29	_ 1	0	2 11	4 12	2 16
Missouri	_	1	3	39	28	_	2	7	76	112		0	3	18	24
Nebraska† North Dakota	_	0 0	3 2	16 —	8	1	0	2	14	22 —	_	0	2 1	7	3 2
South Dakota	_	Ö	3	8	_	_	Ö	1	1	6	_	0	6	4	12
S. Atlantic	13	10	29	435	590	14	23	66	911	1,105	8	9	19	332	315
Delaware District of Columbia	_	0 0	2 2	10 6	5 4	_	1 0	4 2	36 5	26 10	3	0	2 5	8 19	13 9
Florida	11	4	13 7	176 51	234 112	11	8	19 7	333	380 169	2	3	9	132	90
Georgia Maryland <sup>†</sup>	1 —	1 1	6	53	59	2	3	10	127 131	123		1	6	15 67	28 88
North Carolina South Carolina <sup>†</sup>	_ 1	0 0	20 3	67 21	70 35	_ 1	0 2	23 7	123 67	128 122	_ 1	0	5 1	29 3	24 12
Virginia <sup>†</sup>	_	1	11	46	67		1	18	43	115	_	1	7	49	36
West Virginia	_	0	3	5	4	_	0	18	46	32	_	0	3	10	15
E.S. Central Alabama <sup>†</sup>	2	2 0	8 3	102 13	219 41	2	6 1	15 8	254 79	290 69	1	1 0	9 2	71 9	68 12
Kentucky	1	0	5	31	23	1	1	5	58	57	_	0	4	24	25
Mississippi Tennessee <sup>†</sup>	1	0 1	1 5	5 53	17 138	1	0 2	2 8	11 106	44 120	1	0 1	1 7	1 37	3 28
W.S. Central	_	3	77	138	381	9	14	315	592	497	_	0	32	43	39
Arkansas Louisiana	_	0 0	9 4	35 15	16 56	_	1 0	4 4	37 28	55 64	_	0	3 2	3 4	5 1
Oklahoma	_	0	2	6	4	9	0	17	52	39	_	0	3	1	7
Texas <sup>†</sup>	_	2	73	82	305	_	11	295	475	339	_	0	26	35	26
Mountain Arizona	1 1	5 2	18 16	209 121	261 140	_	4 1	39 23	142 34	437 280	_	2 1	8 5	99 33	82 20
Colorado	_	1	4	33	34	_	1	5	29	46	_	0	2	21	18
Idaho† Montana	_	0 0	2	9 9	20 7	_	0	2 7	10	13 3	_	0	3 1	11 5	3 5
Nevada <sup>†</sup> New Mexico <sup>†</sup>	_	0	2	11 12	19 21	_	1 0	5 2	30 15	42 18	_	0	2 1	7 4	17 3
Utah	_	0	2	11	19	_	0	5	24	33	_	Ō	1	18	12
Wyoming	_	0	1	3	1	_	0	1	_	2	_	0	0	_	4
Pacific Alaska	11 —	19 0	163 0	892	586 4	10	9	61 1	433 5	468 7	2	1 0	9 1	72 —	60
California	8	15	162	805	485	9	7	41	327	314	2	1	9	72	57
Hawaii Oregon <sup>†</sup>	_	0 0	2 5	9 38	21 38		0 1	1 5	6 56	6 84	N	0	1 0	N	3 N
Washington	3	1	13	40	38	<u>.</u>	Ö	18	39	57		Ő	ő	<u></u>	
American Samoa	U	0	0	U	1 U	U	0	0	U	_ U	U	0	0	U	U
C.N.M.I. Guam	_	Ō	0	<u>U</u>	2	<u>U</u>	0	Ō	<u>U</u>	18	<u>U</u>	Ō	0	U —	U —
Puerto Rico	_	0	5 0	23	58 —	_	1 0	8	24	40	_	0	1 0	1	_
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	U	U	_	_	_	U	U	_		_	U	U	_	_

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to\* Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional.

† Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS). Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 14, 2006, and October 15, 2005 (41st Week)\*

(41st Week)*												
		Dre	Lyme dis	ease				Prev	Malaria	1		
	Current		reeks	Cum	Cum	Cur	rent	52 w		Cum	Cum	
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2006	2005		eek	Med	Max	2006	2005	
United States	131	248	2,153	13,500	18,133	1	0	25	125	974	1,124	
New England	56	32	780	2,298	3,166	-	_	1	11	44	63	
Connecticut Maine <sup>†</sup>	37 16	13 1	753 34	1,556 192	519 219	-	_	0 0	3 1	11 4	16 5	
Massachusetts	—	1	35	33	2,158			0	3	19	34	
New Hampshire	1	6	60	433	194		_	0	3	9	5	
Rhode Island Vermont <sup>†</sup>		0 1	5 14	1 83	32 44		_	0 0	8 1	1	2 1	
Mid. Atlantic	62	151	1,176	7,783	10,545		1	5	13	204	302	
New Jersey	_	21	168	1,655	3,137		_	1	3	28	70	
New York (Upstate)	57	74	1,150	3,301	3,207		1	1	11	37	40	
New York City Pennsylvania	<u> </u>	1 39	17 227	101 2,726	356 3,845		_	2 1	6 3	104 35	162 30	
E.N. Central	_	10	141	1,210	1,625	_	_	2	7	102	121	
Illinois	_	0	2	· —	120	-	_	1	4	42	67	
Indiana Michigan	_	0 1	3 6	16	27 47	-	_	0 0	3 2	9	4	
Michigan Ohio	_	1	5	42 37	51	_		1	3	16 27	19 20	
Wisconsin	_	9	136	1,115	1,380	-	_	Ö	3	8	11	
W.N. Central	1	6	168	500	684		1	0	32	34	43	
Iowa Kansas	_	0 0	8 2	77 4	88 3		_ 1	0 0	1 2	1 7	8 5	
Minnesota	_	4	167	398	575	-		0	30	14	11	
Missouri	1	0	3	12	13		_	0	1	6	16	
Nebraska† North Dakota	_	0 0	1 3	8	3		_	0 0	1 1	4 1	3	
South Dakota	_	0	1	1	2			0	i	i	_	
S. Atlantic	6	31	110	1,440	1,903		3	7	16	265	246	
Delaware	2	8	28	414	576		_	0	1	5	3	
District of Columbia Florida	_	0 1	7 5	41 35	8 34		_ 1	0 1	2 6	3 52	8 43	
Georgia	_	0	1	3	5		1	1	6	70	44	
Maryland†	2 1	14	67	685	1,012		_	1	5	57	89	
North Carolina South Carolina <sup>†</sup>	1	0	4 2	25 14	44 19		1	0 0	8 2	25 9	25 7	
Virginia <sup>†</sup>	_	3	25	214	189		_	1	9	42	26	
West Virginia	_	0	44	9	16		_	0	2	2	1	
E.S. Central	1	0	3	23	31 2		1	0 0	3	20 9	24	
Alabama† Kentucky	_	0	1 2	7 7	5	_	1	0	2 2	3	4 8	
Mississippi	_	0	0	_	_	-	_	0	1	3	_	
Tennessee <sup>†</sup>	1	0	2	9	24	-	_	0	2	5	12	
<b>W.S. Central</b> Arkansas	_	0 0	3 1	15	71 4	-	_	1 0	31 1	55 2	106 5	
Arkansas Louisiana	_	0	0	_	3	-		0	1	4	5 4	
Oklahoma	_	0	0		_	-	_	0	2	7	9	
Texas <sup>†</sup>	_	0	3	15	64	-	_	1	29	42	88	
Mountain Arizona	1 1	0 0	4 2	25 5	20 7	-	_	1 0	9 9	56 18	46 10	
Arizona Colorado		0	1	5 5	_	-		0	2	11	23	
daho†	_	0	2	5	2	-	_	0	1	1	_	
Montana Nevada†	_	0 0	0 1		3	- -	_	0 0	1 1	2 3	_ 3	
New Mexico <sup>†</sup>	_	0	1	1	3		_	0	1	4	3	
Utah	_	0	1	6	2 3	-	_	0	2	17	5 2	
Wyoming	_	0	1	1		-	_	0	0	_		
<b>Pacific</b> Alaska	4	4 0	17 1	206 2	88 4	_	4	5 0	13 4	194 23	173 5	
California	4	4	16	192	58		3	4	10	130	127	
Hawaii Oranant	N	0	0	N	N	-	_	0	2	4	15	
Oregon† Washington	_	0 0	2	9 3	18 8	-	1	0 0	1 5	9 28	11 15	
American Samoa	U	0	0	U	U		U	0	0	U	U	
C.N.M.I.	U	0	0	Ü	Ü		Ŭ	0	0	Ŭ	U	
Guam Puerto Rico	 N	0 0	0 0	N	N	-	_	0 0	0 1	_	_ 3	
U.S. Virgin Islands	<u> </u>	0	0				_	0	0	_	_	

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: No N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

<sup>†</sup> Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional.

† Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 14, 2006, and October 15, 2005 (41st Week)\*

					gococcal d	isease, inva									
			All serogi	roups			Sero Previ	<del></del>	nknown			Due	Pertus ious	ssis	
Reporting area	Current week	Prev 52 w Med	eeks Max	Cum 2006	Cum 2005	Current week	52 we		Cum 2006	Cum 2005	Current week		reeks Max	Cum 2006	Cum 2005
United States	6	20	85	855	979	5	13	58	561	596	87	264	2,877	10,218	18,021
New England Connecticut	_	1 0	3 2	35 9	62 12	_	0	2	25 2	22 1	2	28 1	83 5	970 35	1,097 55
Maine† Massachusetts	_	0	1 2	4 15	2 28	_	0	1 2	3 15	2 5	_	1 18	11 43	63 594	43 831
New Hampshire	_	0	2	5	12	_	0	2	5	12	2	2	36	139	61
Rhode Island Vermont <sup>†</sup>	_	0	1 1	2	3 5	_	0 0	0 0	_	2	_	0 1	17 14	45 94	29 78
Mid. Atlantic New Jersey	_	3 0	13 2	129 11	122 28	_	2	11 2	98 11	93 28	19	34 4	137 13	1,438 161	1,075 147
New York (Upstate)	_	1	7 4	31	33 19	_	0	5	4 50	12	16	15	123	661	410
New York City Pennsylvania	_	1	5	50 37	42	_	1 0	4 5	33	19 34	3	1 12	8 26	64 552	87 431
E.N. Central	2	3 0	11 4	98 18	122 27	2	1 0	6 4	67 18	99 27	18	40 7	133 35	1,447 230	3,072 720
Indiana	1	0	5	20	18	1	0	1	7	8	_	4	75	189	253
Michigan Ohio	<u> </u>	0 1	3 5	19 38	26 32	1	0 1	3 4	8 31	15 30	2 16	8 14	30 30	410 475	250 927
Wisconsin	_	0	2 4	3	19	_	0	2	3	19	_	4	29	143	922
W.N. Central lowa	2 1	1	2	47 14	66 15	1 1	0	1	16 6	29 1	8	28 6	552 63	981 212	3,004 796
Kansas Minnesota	1	0 0	1 2	1 12	9 13	_	0	1 1	1 3	9 5	3 1	7 0	28 485	238 161	352 966
Missouri Nebraska†	_	0	2	13 5	22 4	_	0	1 1	2	11 3	2	7 2	42 9	249 75	368 236
North Dakota	_	0	1	1	_	_	0	1	1	_	_	0	25	26	115
South Dakota S. Atlantic	_	0 3	1 14	1 149	3 184	_	0 2	0 7	— 61	— 78	 8	0 20	4 46	20 790	171 1,152
Delaware	_	0	1	4	4	_	0	1	4	4	_	0	1	3	15
District of Columbia Florida	_	0 1	1 6	1 59	5 70	_	0 0	1 5	1 21	4 28	4	0 4	3 9	6 176	7 173
Georgia Maryland <sup>†</sup>	_	0 0	2	12 11	14 19	_	0	2 1	12 2	14 3	_	0 3	3 9	17 98	41 166
North Carolina South Carolina <sup>†</sup>	_	0	11 2	24 18	28 13	_	0	3 2	7 8	6 8	<u> </u>	0	22 22	155 145	98 329
Virginia <sup>†</sup>	_	0	4	15	25	_	Ō	3	6	9	_	2	27	155	284
West Virginia E.S. Central	_ 1	0 1	2 4	5 32	6 49	_ 1	0 1	0 4	 26	2 38	— 19	0 7	9 25	35 299	39 442
Alabama <sup>†</sup>		0	1	5	5	_	0	1	4	3	16	1	16	83	73
Kentucky Mississippi	_	0 0	2 1	7 3	17 5	_	0 0	2 1	7 3	17 5	_	1 1	5 4	53 37	132 48
Tennessee <sup>†</sup>	1	0	2	17	22	1	0	2	12	13	3	2	10	126	189
W.S. Central Arkansas	_	1 0	23 3	52 9	96 13	_	0 0	6 2	23 6	24 3	2 1	16 1	360 21	546 49	1,889 252
Louisiana Oklahoma	_	0 0	2 4	6 8	29 14	_	0	1 0	3	6 2	1	0	3 124	13 18	44 1
Texas <sup>†</sup>	_	1	16	29	40	_	0	4	14	13	_	14	215	466	1,592
Mountain Arizona	_	1 0	5 3	58 16	80 31	_	0	4 3	27 16	21 10	7 5	60 8	230 177	2,135 419	3,313 826
Colorado Idaho†	_	0	2	19 3	17 4	_	0	1 2	2	3	1	20 2	40 8	650 78	1,070 177
Montana	_	0	1	4	_	_	0	1	2	_	_	2	9	97	551
Nevada <sup>†</sup> New Mexico <sup>†</sup>	_	0 0	1 1	3 4	12 5	_	0	0 1	1	2 4	1	0 2	9 6	51 62	43 155
Utah Wyoming	_	0	1 2	5 4	11 —	_	0	0 2	<u> </u>	2	_	14 1	39 8	716 62	447 44
Pacific	1	5	29	255	198	1	5	25	218	192	4	41	1,334	1,612	2,977
Alaska California	_ 1	0 3	1 14	2 157	3 129	_ 1	0	1 14	2 157	3 129	_	2 26	15 1,136	61 1,129	119 1,424
Hawaii	_	0	1 7	7 60	11 36	_	0	1 4	7 41	6	_	2 2	4 8	68 94	144
Oregon <sup>†</sup> Washington	_	0	25	29	19	=	0	11	11	18	4	7	195	260	601 689
American Samoa C.N.M.I.	U U	0	0	_	_	U U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U
Guam	_	0	0	_	1	_	0	0	_	1	_	Ō	0	_	2
Puerto Rico U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0 0	1 0	4	7	_	0 0	1 0	4	7 —	_	0	1 0	1	6

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to\* Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional.

† Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS). Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 14, 2006, and October 15, 2005 (41st Week)\*

(41st Week)*		R	abies, ani	mal		Boo	sky Mour	ntain sno	tted feve	r		S	almonello	nsis	
		Prev		iliui			Prev		rica icve	<u> </u>			vious	3313	
Departing area	Current		eeks	Cum 2006	Cum 2005	Current		eeks	Cum 2006	Cum 2005	Current	52 v	weeks Max	Cum 2006	Cum 2005
Reporting area United States	112	Med 114	Max 174	4,859	4,906	week 51	Med 39	<b>Max</b> 246	1,700	1,369	week 660	803	2,291	31,890	34,468
New England	12	12	26	546	587	_	0	2	2	7	14	30	403	1,570	1,813
Connecticut Maine <sup>†</sup>	5 1	3 2	14 7	165 89	164 50	N	0	0	N	N	_	0 2	395 10	395 91	402 140
Massachusetts New Hampshire	_ 1	4 0	17 5	178 40	291 12	_	0	1 1	1 1	5 1	7	17 3	53 24	782 169	962 146
Rhode Island	<u>-</u> 5	0	4	21	20	_	0	2	_	1	4	0	17	77	81
Vermont <sup>†</sup> Mid. Atlantic	5 —	1 23	4 60	53 1.110	50 797	_ 1	0 1	0 6	63	— 82	3 51	1 84	6 272	56 3.883	82 4,181
New Jersey	N	0 10	0	N 416	N	_	0	2	7	25	33	14 22	43	644 996	822
New York (Upstate) New York City	_	0	22 5	27	444 26	_	0	2 3	4 16	1 6	1	23	233 43	943	987 981
Pennsylvania	_	14	42	667	327	1	1	3	36	50	17	29	67	1,300	1,391
E.N. Central Illinois	1 1	1	18 7	142 45	163 47	_	0	6 1	32 3	38 11	40	96 25	173 45	3,995 856	4,713 1,554
Indiana Michigan	_	0 0	2 5	11 41	11 35	_	0	1 1	5 2	<u> </u>	2 4	15 16	67 32	712 765	508 771
Ohio Wisconsin	N	0	9	45 N	70 N	_	0	4 1	21 1	20 2	33 1	23 15	56 27	1,015 647	1,081 799
W.N. Central	2	5	20	256	285	2	2	15	200	143	31	43	107	2,063	2,108
lowa Kansas	_	1 1	7 5	53 63	— 71	_ 1	0	1 1	4 6	6 5		7 6	21 16	343 275	356 305
Minnesota Missouri	1 1	1 1	6 8	37 66	62 66	_ 1	0 2	2 11	4 165	2 118	12 15	10 14	60 36	570 606	455 653
Nebraska <sup>†</sup>	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	5	21	7	1	4	9	148	179
North Dakota South Dakota	_	0	7 4	16 21	28 58	_	0	1 0	_	5	<u>1</u>	0 3	46 7	20 101	29 131
S. Atlantic Delaware	86	36 0	118 0	1,689	1,756	42	15 0	94 3	942 18	681 7	308 2	207 2	450 9	8,574 125	9,591 109
District of Columbia	=	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	1	2	1	1	7	51	45
Florida Georgia	<u> </u>	0 2	99 9	140 154	201 218	1	0 0	3 3	15 29	13 84	166 54	95 27	228 100	3,615 1,311	3,821 1,551
Maryland <sup>†</sup> North Carolina	 12	7 9	13 22	254 418	315 399	 40	1 15	6 87	60 703	62 356	8 55	12 32	29 130	549 1,286	652 1,220
South Carolina† Virginia†	 20	3 11	11 27	137 498	180 393	1	0	5 13	30 83	62 89	15	21 20	51 57	791 748	1,142 912
West Virginia	_	1	13	88	50	_	0	2	3	6	7	2	19	98	139
E.S. Central Alabama†	4	4 1	16 8	213 69	132 68	6 2	5 1	29 9	303 97	250 64	37 21	54 15	149 71	2,310 770	2,391 556
Kentucky	2	0	4	25	16	_	0	1	1	3	4	8	23	361	403
Mississippi Tennessee <sup>†</sup>	2	0 2	2 9	4 115	5 43	4	0 3	1 21	2 203	13 170	12	12 14	39 31	578 601	760 672
W.S. Central Arkansas	_	14 0	34 4	549 26	758 32	_	1 0	161 10	105 46	141 102	57 36	83 15	922 45	3,017 740	3,403 604
Louisiana	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	2	6	1	12	33	455	767
Oklahoma Texas <sup>†</sup>	_	1 12	9 29	52 471	67 659	_	0 0	154 4	35 22	7 26	20 —	7 45	48 839	403 1,419	333 1,699
Mountain	1 1	3 2	27 10	180 120	239 155	_	1 0	6 6	46 10	25 12	15 11	53 16	87 67	2,039 659	1,894 517
Arizona Colorado	_	0	1	_	16	_	0	1	2	4	_	12	30	515	486
Idaho† Montana	_	0	25 2	25 13	 15	_	0	3 2	13 2	3 1	2	3 3	9 16	142 108	115 76
Nevada† New Mexico†	_	0	1 2	1 7	14 9	_	0	0 2	_ 7	_ 3	2	4 4	20 13	165 183	150 216
Utah	_	0	1 2	9	14 16	_	0	2	6	_	_	5	15 5	230	264
Wyoming Pacific	6	3	9	5 174	189	_	0	1	6 7	2 2	107	1 109	5 426	37 4,439	70 4,374
Alaska California	<del>-</del> 6	0	4 9	14 143	1 181	_	0	0 1	<u>,</u> 5		95	1 86	7 292	62 3,472	46 3,321
Hawaii	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	4	10	185	244
Oregon <sup>†</sup> Washington	U	0 0	4 0	17 U	7 U	N	0 0	1 0	2 N	2 N	1 11	7 7	16 124	337 383	338 425
American Samoa	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	7
C.N.M.I. Guam	<u>U</u>	0 0	0 0	U —	<u>U</u>	<u>U</u>	0 0	0	<u>U</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>U</u>	0 1	0 3	<u>U</u>	U 30
Puerto Rico U.S. Virgin Islands	_	1 0	6 0	66 —	58 —	N —	0	0	N —	N —	_	6 0	35 0	189	513 —
		•	·				•	•				•	•		

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: No U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to
\* Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional.

† Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS). Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 14, 2006, and October 15, 2005 (41st Week)\*

(41st Week)*	Shiga		Sh	nigellosis	;	Streptococcal disease, invasive, group A									
	Current	Prev 52 w		Cum	Cum	Current		ious eeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Prev 52 w	ious	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2006	2005	week	Med	Max	2006	2005	week	Med	Max	2006	2005
United States	31	56	297	2,340	2,525	231	244	1,013	9,403	11,678	25	90	283	3,941	3,703
New England Connecticut	1	3 0	60 59	219 59	186 51	1	4 0	60 54	210 54	264 47	1 U	4 0	15 3	178 U	237 83
Maine <sup>§</sup> Massachusetts	_	0	8	30 82	28 72	_	0	2 11	3 128	13 162	_	0	2	15 101	12 108
New Hampshire	1	0	3	23	14	_	0	4	7	12	_	0	9	44	16
Rhode Island Vermont <sup>§</sup>	_	0 0	2 2	8 2	5 16	1	0	6 2	12 6	14 16	1	0	3 2	6 12	9 9
Mid. Atlantic New Jersey	3	4 0	107 3	160 3	294 63	4	16 4	72 26	671 210	1,060 270	5	18 3	43 8	757 123	738 152
New York (Upstate)	_	0	103	12	112	2	4	60	190	222	4	4	32	254	212
New York City Pennsylvania	_	0 0	4 5	27 5	13 106	1 1	5 1	12 5	202 69	350 218	1	3 6	8 13	126 254	144 230
E.N. Central	2	11 1	53 7	512 59	521 123	2	19 7	38 14	707 231	915 315	1	14 3	43 11	671 144	767 256
Indiana	1	1	8	70	54	_	2	18	112	127	1	2	11	96	86
Michigan Ohio	<u>1</u>	1 3	7 18	71 150	78 132	_	3 3	10 11	119 132	195 85	_	3 4	12 19	184 205	184 161
Wisconsin	_ 4	2 8	40	162	134	_	3 35	9	113	193	_	1 5	4	42	80
W.N. Central lowa		2	35 8	348 109	432 89	36 —	2	77 10	1,301	1,252 70	N	0	57 0	287 N	226 N
Kansas Minnesota	3	0 3	3 27	190	42 139	1 18	3 2	20 19	112 142	171 72	_	1 0	5 52	48 136	35 86
Missouri Nebraska <sup>§</sup>	1	2 1	13 8	145 55	84 44	8 1	13 2	69 14	583 112	797 90	_	1 0	5 4	61 25	57 19
North Dakota South Dakota	_	0	15 5	36	6 28	8	0 4	18 21	71 201	4 48	_	0	5 3	9	9
S. Atlantic	3	7	39	356	330	81	54	133	2,247	1,774	7	22	43	935	748
Delaware District of Columbia	_	0	2 1	7 2	8	_	0	2	8 14	11 11	_	0	2	10 13	5 8
Florida	2	2 1	29 6	77 70	78 45	46 32	26 17	73 56	1,110 759	863 468	5 2	6 4	16 11	239 178	194 162
Georgia Maryland <sup>§</sup>	1	1	8	71	67	1	2	10	95	76	_	4	12	169	147
North Carolina South Carolina§		1 0	10 2	92 6	46 9		1 1	21 9	127 70	149 87	_	0 1	26 6	138 52	104 31
Virginia <sup>§</sup> West Virginia	_	0	8 2	7	75 2	_	1 0	8 2	60 4	108 1	_	2	11 6	110 26	75 22
E.S. Central	5	3	21	189	138	15	13	37	553	1,034	2	3	11	164	149
Alabama <sup>§</sup> Kentucky	4 2	0 1	5 12	38 79	26 52	6 9	3 4	20 12	186 180	197 262	N —	0 0	0 5	N 34	N 30
Mississippi Tennessee <sup>§</sup>	_	0	0 4	 24	8 52	_	1	8 12	65 122	77 498		0 3	0 9	130	 119
W.S. Central	1	1	52	39	85	8	34	596	1,168	2,894	5	7	58	311	258
Arkansas Louisiana	<u>1</u>	0 0	7 1	21 —	11 18	2 1	1 1	7 25	83 98	52 120	_	0 0	5 1	24 7	16 5
Oklahoma Texas <sup>§</sup>	_	0 1	8 44	18 64	23 33	5	3 28	286 308	105 882	536 2,186	4	2 4	14 43	85 195	94 143
Mountain	3	5	16	245	244	24	23	82	1,024	688	3	11	78	551	494
Arizona Colorado	3	1 1	8 8	88 87	23 64	22	12 3	32 18	527 180	361 126	_2	6 3	57 8	292 112	210 151
Idaho <sup>§</sup> Montana	1	1 0	7 1	61	34 14	_	0	4 6	15 13	14 5	_	0	2	8	3
Nevada§	4	0	3	21	18	2	1	20 10	98	45	1	0	3 7	13	8
New Mexico <sup>§</sup> Utah	_	1	1 13	103	22 61	_	2	6	121 62	99 33	_	1	7	63 60	69 50
Wyoming Pacific	9	0 7	3 55	17 272	8 295	— 60	0 37	3 148	8 1,522	5 1,797	_ 1	0 2	1 9	3 87	3 86
Alaska	_	0	1	_	9	_	0	2	9	11	_	0	0	_	_
California Hawaii		4	18 2	168 12	107 10	47 —	31 1	104 4	1,245 35	1,541 28		0 2	9	87	86
Oregon <sup>§</sup> Washington	<u> </u>	2 1	47 32	102 92	90 79	— 13	2 2	31 43	110 123	112 105	N N	0 0	0 0	N N	N N
American Samoa	Ų	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	7	U	0	0	U	U
C.N.M.I. Guam	<u>U</u>	0 0	0 0	<u>U</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>U</u>	0 0	0 3	<u>U</u>	U 16	<u>U</u>	0 0	0 0	U —	<u>U</u>
Puerto Rico U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	0	_	2	_	0	2	12	5 —	N —	0	0	N —	N
- 3															

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: No N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

<sup>†</sup> Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional.
† Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional.
† Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 14, 2006, and October 15, 2005 (41st Week)\*

	Streptoc	Sypi	seconda	ry	Varicella (chickenpox)										
	Current	Previ 52 we		Cum	Cum	Current	Previo		Cum	Cum	Current		vious veeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2006	2005	week	Med	Max	2006	2005	week	Med	Max	2006	2005
United States	32	52	334	2,022	2,072	96	173	334	6,921	6,656	441	802	3,204	32,112	21,884
New England Connecticut		1 0	24 7	30 U	176 74	5	4 0	17 11	163 34	162 34	14 U	37 0	144 58	1,169 U	4,153 1,238
Maine† Massachusetts	_	0	2 6	8	N 76	<u> </u>	0	2 6	7 101	1 100	_	4	20 54	151 94	247 1,859
New Hampshire	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	2	10	13	6	7	47	387	245
Rhode Island Vermont <sup>†</sup>	_	0	11 2	10 12	17 9	1	0	6 1	9 2	13 1	 8	0 12	0 50	537	 564
Mid. Atlantic	1	3	15	127	172	12	21	35	879	821	77	103	183	3,737	3,674
New Jersey New York (Upstate)	N	0 1	0 10	N 45	N 66	2 4	3 2	7 14	132 121	112 64	_	0	0	_	
New York City	U	0	0	U	U	1	10	23	418	491	_	0	0	_	_
Pennsylvania	1	2	9	82	106	5	5	10	208	154	77	103	183	3,737	3,674
E.N. Central Illinois	13	11 0	41 3	456 15	517 27	6	18 8	38 23	697 325	722 404	168	237 2	587 7	11,467 68	4,546 79
Indiana Michigan	5	2	21 4	123 17	161 35	2 1	1 2	4 19	70 91	53 65	— 51	0 102	475 174	475 3,361	251 2,729
Ohio	8	6	32	301	294	3	4	8	161	172	117	93	420	6,933	1,141
Wisconsin W.N. Central	N 1	0 1	0 191	N 97	N 35	_	1 5	4 11	50 197	28 202	23	12 23	52 84	630 1,135	346 367
Iowa	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	2	14	8	N	0	0	N	N
Kansas Minnesota	N —	0	0 191	N 60	N —	_	0 0	2	16 21	15 58	3	0	8	27 —	_
Missouri	1	1	3	36	28	_	3	8	130	116	19	19	82	1,025	255
Nebraska† North Dakota	_	0 0	0 1	_	2 2	=	0 0	1 1	3 1	4	_	0 0	0 25	44	20
South Dakota	_	0	1	1	3	_	0	3	12	1	1	1	12	39	92
S. Atlantic Delaware	14	26 0	53 2	1,052	853 1	28 —	41 0	186 2	1,650 16	1,642 9	34	90 1	860 5	3,411 52	1,736 27
District of Columbia Florida	 12	0 13	3 36	23 586	13 466	7	2 15	9 29	100 584	86 566	4	0	5 0	34	28
Georgia	2	8	29	348	272	1	7	147	280	350	_	0	0	_	_
Maryland† North Carolina	N	0 0	0	N	N	5 12	5 5	19 17	234 241	250 210	_	0	0	_	_
South Carolina†	N	0	0	 N	N	3	1	6 12	54 136	61 107	10	16 30	53 812	836 1,302	472 368
Virginia† West Virginia	<u> </u>	1	14	95	101	_	0	1	5	3	20	27	70	1,187	841
E.S. Central	3	3	13	155	145	3	13	25	568	363	1	1	70	94	95
Alabama† Kentucky	N 1	0 0	0 5	N 30	N 26	_	5 1	19 8	255 56	117 37	1 N	1 0	70 0	93 N	95 N
Mississippi Tennessee <sup>†</sup>		0 3	0 13	 125	1 118		1 5	6 13	48 209	39 170	N	0	1 0	1 N	N
W.S. Central	_	0	5	18	100	39	27	43	1,217	976	124	183	1,757	8,990	5,223
Arkansas Louisiana	_	0	3 4	12 6	12 88	 27	1 4	5 17	60 216	40 204	38	7 0	110 8	636 48	110
Oklahoma	N	0	0	N	N	2	1	6	59	31	_	0	0	_	_
Texas <sup>†</sup>	N	0	0	N	N	10	21	36	882	701	86	170	1,647	8,306	5,110
Mountain Arizona	N	2 0	8 0	87 N	74 N	1 1	8 3	25 16	325 145	342 137	_	54 0	138 0	2,109 —	2,090
Colorado Idaho†	N N	0	0	N N	N N	_	1 0	3 1	32 2	39 20	_	33 0	76 0	1,152	1,450
Montana	_	0	Ĭ	_	_	_	0	1	1	5	_	0	2	2	_
Nevada <sup>†</sup> New Mexico <sup>†</sup>	_	0 0	3 1	12 1	29 —	_	1 1	12 5	85 52	91 42	_	0 3	3 34	7 307	2 175
Utah Wyoming	_	0 1	8 4	34 40	23 22	_	0	1 0	8	8	_	11 0	55 8	608 33	412 51
Pacific	_	0	0	_	_	_	33	49	1,225	1,426	_	0	0	_	_
Alaska	_ N	0	0	_ N		_	0	4	9	6	_	0	0	_	_
California Hawaii	_	0	Ō	_	N -	1	28 0	40 2	1,045 15	1,270 9	N	Ō	0	N	N
Oregon† Washington	N N	0	0	N N	N N	1	0 3	6 10	14 142	26 115	N N	0	0	N N	N N
American Samoa	_	0	0	_	_	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U
C.N.M.I. Guam	_	0	0	_	_	U	0	0	U	U 3	Ü	0	0 12	U	U 393
Puerto Rico	N	0	0	N	N	_	2	10	86	167	_	8	47	284	560
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	0		_		0	0			_	0	0		

Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts.

Med: Median.

Max: Maximum.

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to† Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional.
† Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 14, 2006, and October 15, 2005 (41st Week)\*

(41st Week)*	West Nile virus disease† Neuroinvasive Non-neuroinvasive													
			Neuroinva	sive										
	Current		rious eeks	Cum	Cum	Curi	ront		/ious /eeks	Cum	Cum			
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2006	2005	we		Med	Max	2006	2005			
Jnited States	_	1	165	1,197	1,274	_	-	1	372	2,145	1,665			
New England	_	0	3	8	9	_	_	0	2	3	4			
Connecticut Maine§	_	0	2	6	4	_		0	1 0	2	2			
Massachusetts	_	0	1	2	4	_		0	1	1	2			
New Hampshire	_	0	0	_	_		-	0	0	_	_			
Rhode Island /ermont <sup>§</sup>	_	0	0 0	_	1			0	0 0	_	_			
Mid. Atlantic	_	0	6	16	47	_	_	0	3	6	22			
New Jersey	_	0	2	2	3	_	-	0	1	2	3			
New York (Upstate) New York City	_	0 0	0 4	7	19 11	_		0	0 2	3	5 3			
Pennsylvania	=	0	2	7	14	=		0	1	1	11			
E.N. Central	_	0	37	204	258	_	_	0	18	80	154			
llinois	_	0	21	111	136	-		0	16	58	114			
ndiana Michigan	_	0 0	5 8	22 31	11 54	_		0 0	2 1	5 2	11 8			
Ohio	_	0	11	29	46	_	-	0	3	7	15			
Visconsin	_	0	2	11	11	_	-	0	2	8	6			
<b>V.N. Central</b> owa	_	0	32 2	196 17	166 14	_		0	74 4	378 12	462 23			
owa Kansas	_	0	3	14	15		_	0	3	10	N			
Minnesota	_	0	6	29	18	_		0	7	34	27			
∕lissouri Nebraska§	_	0 0	13 7	46 33	17 55	_		0	2 24	11 123	13 132			
North Dakota	_	0	5	20	12	_	-	0	27	115	74			
South Dakota	_	0	7	37	35	_	-	0	22	73	193			
<b>S. Atlantic</b> Delaware	_	0 0	3 0	8	32 1	_		0	3 1	6	26 —			
District of Columbia	_	0	0	_	3	_		0	i	1	1			
Florida	_	0	2	3	10	_		0	0	<u> </u>	11			
Georgia Maryland§	_	0	1 1	2 2	8 4	_		0	2 1	1	10 1			
North Carolina	_	0	0	_	2	_		0	0	_	2			
South Carolina§ Virginia§	_	0 0	1 0	_	4			0 0	0 0	_	_ 1			
West Virginia	_	0	1	1	_	1		Ö	0	N	Ń			
E.S. Central	_	0	12	88	63	_	_	0	15	87	38			
Alabama <sup>§</sup>	_	0	1	4	6	_		0	2	_	4			
Kentucky Mississippi	_	0 0	1 9	3 74	4 39			0 0	1 15	1 84	— 31			
Γennessee <sup>§</sup>	_	Ō	3	7	14	_	-	Ö	2	2	3			
N.S. Central	_	1	57	300	260	_	_	0	26	155	148			
Arkansas ₋ouisiana	_	0	4 14	21 66	12 109	_		0	2 8	5 49	15 54			
Oklahoma	_	0	6	22	17	_		0	3	12	13			
Γexas <sup>§</sup>	_	0	37	191	122	-	-	0	15	89	66			
Mountain	_	0	59	303	137	_	-	0	220	1,214	232			
Arizona Colorado	_	0 0	6 10	24 60	45 21	_	_	0 0	6 48	27 250	53 85			
daho§	_	0	29	108	3	_	-	0	149	710	10			
Montana Nevada§	_	0	3 9	12 34	8 14	_		0	7 13	21 74	17 17			
New Mexico§	_	0	1	1	19	_	-	0	1	3	13			
Jtah Wyoming	_	0 0	8 7	50 14	21 6	_		0	17 7	93 36	31 6			
-														
<b>Pacific</b> Alaska	_	0 0	15 0	74 —	302	_		0	45 0	216 —	579 —			
California	_	0	15	70	301	_		0	33	171	573			
Hawaii Dregon§	_	0 0	0 2	4	_ 1	_		0	0 12	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Vashington	_	0	0	_		_		0	2	3	_			
American Samoa	U	0	0	U	U	l	J	0	0	U	U			
C.N.M.I.	Ü	0	0	Ü	Ü	Ü	J	0	0	Ü	Ü			
Guam	_	0	0 0	_	_	_		0	0 0	_	_			
Puerto Rico		~	Ö						Ö					

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: No N: Not notifiable.

Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts.

Med: Median.

Max: Maximum.

The Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional.

† Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional.

† Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases (proposed) (ArboNET Surveillance).

§ Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE III. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities.\* week ending October 14, 2006 (41st Week)

TABLE III. Deaths	<u>in 122 0.</u> 		auses, b			r 14, 4	st week)	All causes, by age (years)							
	All						P&I <sup>†</sup>		All			<u> </u>			P&I <sup>†</sup>
Reporting Area	Ages	<u>≥</u> 65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total	Reporting Area	Ages	<u>≥</u> 65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total
New England Boston, MA	474 138	328 95	113 30	17 6	7 2	9 5	34 9	S. Atlantic Atlanta, GA	1,113 161	676 97	282 36	94 18	33 6	28 4	43 6
Bridgeport, CT	40	25	11	_	2	2	3	Baltimore, MD	147	82	45	14	3	3	11
Cambridge, MA	14	12	2	_	_	_	1	Charlotte, NC	94	60	19	11	3	1	4
Fall River, MA	20	17	2	1	_	_	2	Jacksonville, FL	131	87	31	7	1	5	3
Hartford, CT	48	35	11	1	1	_	7	Miami, FL	104	66	24	9	4	1	4
Lowell, MA	16	12	3	_	_	1	1	Norfolk, VA	37	18	14	3	1	1	_
Lynn, MA New Bedford, MA	6 13	6 11	1	_	_ 1	_	_	Richmond, VA Savannah, GA	49 48	21 28	17 13	8 2	3	_	2 2
New Haven, CT	U	Ü	ΰ	U	ΰ	U	Ú	St. Petersburg. FL	51	34	11	1	1	4	5
Providence, RI	47	32	12	2	1	_	2	Tampa, FL	177	120	37	8	6	6	4
Somerville, MA	3	3	_	_	_	_	_	Washington, D.C.	106	60	32	11	2	1	2
Springfield, MA	52	28	18	6	_	_	3	Wilmington, DE	8	3	3	2	_	_	_
Waterbury, CT	30	21	8	_	_	1	_	E.S. Central	749	494	169	53	17	16	56
Worcester, MA	47	31	15	1	_	_	4	Birmingham, AL	147	89	37	15	4	2	13
Mid. Atlantic	1,976	1,349	429	128	38	29	113	Chattanooga, TN	70	50	11	4	3	2	4
Albany, NY	39	32	7	_	_	_	3	Knoxville, TN	73	50	14	6	2	1	4
Allentown, PA Buffalo, NY	22 85	18 54	3 18	1 7	_	3	1 10	Lexington, KY Memphis, TN	80 126	49 85	21 30	7 4	<u> </u>	3 1	8 10
Camden, NJ	32	20	7	1	1	3	3	Mobile, AL	77	54	18	2	1	2	4
Elizabeth, NJ	13	10	2	_	_	1	1	Montgomery, AL	19	10	6	1	_	2	_
Erie, PA	55	43	8	2	1	1	1	Nashville, TN	157	107	32	14	1	3	13
Jersey City, NJ	24	19	4	1	_	_	2	W.S. Central	1,381	860	362	91	31	37	61
New York City, NY	991 34	682	215	60 6	20	12 1	43	Austin, TX	79	44	25	6	2	2	2
Newark, NJ Paterson, NJ	20	16 10	11 9	1	_		4	Baton Rouge, LA	53	37	14	1	1	_	_
Philadelphia, PA	272	155	80	22	10	5	8	Corpus Christi, TX	48	31	12	3	1	1	6
Pittsburgh, PA§	23	12	7	4	_	_	3	Dallas, TX El Paso, TX	187 90	91 69	58 11	23 6	6 2	9 2	11 3
Reading, PA	39	30	4	3	_	2	6	Fort Worth, TX	98	58	30	5	3	2	1
Rochester, NY	148	113	27	6	1	1	17	Houston, TX	277	159	80	20	7	11	10
Schenectady, NY Scranton, PA	15 26	9 22	4 4	2	_	_	3	Little Rock, AR	75	44	21	4	3	3	_
Syracuse, NY	79	65	6	6	2	_	7	New Orleans, LA <sup>1</sup>	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Trenton, NJ	34	17	12	5	_	_	_	San Antonio, TX	256 83	175	60	15 5	4 1	2	19
Utica, NY	9	9	_	_	_	_	_	Shreveport, LA Tulsa, OK	135	56 96	18 33	3	1	2	5 4
Yonkers, NY	16	13	1	1	1	_	1								
E.N. Central	2,083	1,350	508	133	45	47	126	Mountain Albuquerque, NM	1,001 110	689 83	186 14	74 6	26 1	25 6	55 9
Akron, OH	58	42	12	1	3	_	9	Boise, ID	49	39	6	2		2	3
Canton, OH Chicago, IL	38 352	26 221	9 92	3 23	9		4 25	Colorado Springs, CO	75	52	14	5	2	2	7
Cincinnati, OH	92	53	21	10	4	4	25 5	Denver, CO	99	59	25	6	4	5	3
Cleveland, OH	240	173	49	11	2	5	11	Las Vegas, NV	237	163	51	19	2	2	9
Columbus, OH	188	132	36	11	1	8	17	Ogden, UT Phoenix, AZ	41 145	28 94	8 29	4 8	1 9	_ 4	 8
Dayton, OH	128	92	28	4	2	2	10	Pueblo, CO	34	29	5	_	_	_	_
Detroit, MI	160	86	54	12	5	3	11	Salt Like City, UT	89	59	14	11	2	3	6
Evansville, IN Fort Wayne, IN	47 75	32 50	12 16	2 7	1	1	4 6	Tucson, AZ	122	83	20	13	5	1	10
Gary, IN	16	9	5	1		i	_	Pacific	1,350	940	267	85	25	33	90
Grand Rapids, MI	47	28	14	3	1	1	6	Berkeley, CA	20	12	6	1	_	1	2
Indianapolis, IN	202	105	59	23	9	6	6	Fresno, CA	89	68	15	3	1	2	10
Lansing, MI	58	36	15	6	_	1	3	Glendale, CA	5	4	1	_	_	_	_
Milwaukee, WI Peoria, IL	95 43	65 27	25 6	3 6	1 1	1 3	1 2	Honolulu, HI Long Beach, CA	67 58	51 40	13 13	1 2	2 2	_ 1	6 3
Rockford, IL	46	29	13	1	2	1	1	Los Angeles, CA	100	62	18	15	2	3	14
South Bend, IN	54	36	14	1	1	2	1	Pasadena, CA	13	11	1	1	_	_	2
Toledo, OH	82	56	19	4	2	1	2	Portland, OR	107	75	25	5	_	2	4
Youngstown, OH	62	52	9	1	_	_	2	Sacramento, CA	200	133	43	16	4	4	13
W.N. Central	525	325	143	26	15	16	18	San Diego, CA	140	103	25	5 11	2	5 2	7 8
Des Moines, IA	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	San Francisco, CA San Jose, CA	108 156	74 115	21 29	11 4	3	5	8 6
Duluth, MN	22	14	8		_	_	1	Santa Cruz, CA	21	17	29	2	_	_	_
Kansas City, KS	15	8	5	1	<u> </u>	1 1	_	Seattle, WA	116	66	27	10	9	4	10
Kansas City, MO Lincoln, NE	83 34	49 19	25 14	4 1	<u>4</u>		4 1	Spokane, WA	57	41	9	3	_	4	3
Minneapolis, MN	73	47	13	6	3	4	4	Tacoma, WA	93	68	19	6	_	_	2
Omaha, NE	72	48	14	2	3	5	3	Total	10,652**	7,011	2,459	701	237	240	596
St. Louis, MO	77	46	19	6	2	4	_								
St. Paul, MN	73	40	28	3	1	1	3								
Wichita, KS	76	54	17	3	2		2	l							

U: Unavailable. —:No reported cases.

\* Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of ≥100,000. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.


† Pneumonia and influenza.

§ Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.

¶ Because of Hurricane Katrina, weekly reporting of deaths has been temporarily disrupted.

\*\* Total includes unknown ages.

FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals October 14, 2006, with historical data



Beyond historical limits

#### Notifiable Disease Data Team and 122 Cities Mortality Data

Patsy A. Hall

Deborah A. Adams Rosaline Dhara Willie J. Anderson Vernitta Love Lenee Blanton Pearl C. Sharp

<sup>\*</sup> No measles cases were reported for the current 4-week period yielding a ratio for week 41 of zero (0).
† Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format. To receive an electronic copy each week, send an e-mail message to listserv@listserv.edc.gov. The body content should read SUBscribe mmwrtoc. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's Internet server at <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr">http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr</a> or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at <a href="http://fip.cdc.gov/pub/publications/mmwr">ftp://fip.cdc.gov/pub/publications/mmwr</a>. Paper copy subscriptions are available through the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone 202-512-1800.

Data in the weekly MMWR are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Data are compiled in the National Center for Public Health Informatics, Division of Integrated Surveillance Systems and Services. Address all inquiries about the MMWR Series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, MMWR Series, Mailstop E-90, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333 or to www.mmwrq@cdc.gov.

All material in the MMWR Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to MMWR readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites. URL addresses listed in MMWR were current as of the date of publication.

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 2006-523-056/40080 Region IV ISSN: 0149-2195