



# The Core Elements of Outpatient Antibiotic Stewardship

## Appendix



National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases  
Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion



CS268900-A

## Systematic Reviews

# Appendix A. Supplemental Evidence Supporting Outpatient Stewardship

REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Arnold SR, et al. <u>Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing practices in ambulatory care.</u> <i>Cochrane Database Syst Rev</i> 2005; 4:CD003539.	<p><b>Interventions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Physician educational materials</li> <li>Audit and feedback</li> <li>Educational meetings</li> <li>Educational outreach visits</li> <li>Financial and healthcare system changes</li> <li>Physician reminders</li> <li>Patient-based interventions</li> <li>Multi-faceted interventions</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Improve selection, dose and duration of antibiotics prescribed</li> <li>Reduce incidence of pathogens with antimicrobial resistance</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Systematic review</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Healthcare consumers or primary care providers</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary care clinics and ambulatory care clinics</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>39 studies</li> <li>Only small changes observed for single interventions using printed educational materials or audit and feedback.</li> <li>Active educational interventions are more effective than nonactive interventions.</li> <li>Delayed prescriptions effectively reduced antibiotic use by patients without negatively affecting patient outcomes.</li> <li>Multifaceted interventions were more successful in decreasing inappropriate antibiotic prescribing.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Multifaceted interventions are most effective.</li> <li>No single intervention is recommended for all settings.</li> </ul>
Drekonja DM et al. <u>Antimicrobial stewardship in outpatient settings: a systematic review.</u> <i>Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol</i> 2015 Feb;36(2):142-52.	<p><b>Interventions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provider and/or patient education</li> <li>Provider feedback</li> <li>Delayed prescribing</li> <li>Communication skills training</li> <li>Guidelines</li> <li>Restriction Policies</li> <li>Computerized clinical decision support</li> <li>Financial incentives</li> <li>Rapid diagnostics</li> <li>Costs reporting</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prescribing outcomes</li> <li>Patient outcomes</li> <li>Microbial outcomes</li> <li>Costs</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Systematic review</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primarily healthcare consumers and primary care providers</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary care clinics and ambulatory care clinics</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>50 studies</li> <li>Stewardship programs using communication skills training and laboratory testing can lower antibiotic use.</li> <li>Several stewardship interventions can effectively improve antibiotic prescribing.</li> <li>Patient outcomes were not often reported, but did not appear to worsen due to intervention.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Outpatient antibiotic stewardship programs can improve antibiotic prescribing without negatively affecting patient outcomes.</li> <li>Sustainability and scalability of specific interventions is less clear.</li> </ul>

REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
McDonagh M, et al. <u>Improving Antibiotic Prescribing for Uncomplicated Acute Respiratory Tract Infections.</u> AHRQ Comparative Effectiveness Reviews 2016. No. 163.	<p><b>Interventions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Education</li> <li>Communication</li> <li>Clinical</li> <li>System-level</li> <li>Multifaceted interventions</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Improvement of appropriate antibiotic prescribing</li> <li>Reduction in antibiotic resistance</li> <li>Reduction in overall antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory tract infections (RTIs)</li> <li>Increases in adverse drug events</li> <li>Increases in patient dissatisfaction</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Systematic review</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Healthcare consumers (both adults and children) with acute RTIs</li> <li>Primary care providers</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary care clinics and ambulatory care clinics</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>133 studies</li> <li>Four interventions showed evidence of improving antibiotic prescribing with or without worsening patient outcomes due to reductions in antibiotic prescribing:</li> <li>Clinic-based parent education (21% reduction).</li> <li>Public patient education campaigns combined with clinician education (7% prescribing reduction).</li> <li>Procyclitomin for adults (12% to 72% prescribing reduction).</li> <li>Electronic decision support systems (improved antibiotic selection and 5% to 9% reduction in prescribing).</li> <li>Public parent education campaigns reduce overall prescribing without increasing followup visits.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Several interventions safely reduced antibiotic prescribing or improved appropriate antibiotic prescribing without adversely affecting patient outcomes.</li> <li>These include education for patients, parents, and clinicians, procyclitomin testing in adults, and electronic clinician decision support.</li> </ul>
Ranji SR, et al. <u>Closing the quality gap: A critical analysis of quality improvement strategies.</u> Vol. 4: Antibiotic Prescribing Behavior. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US). 2006. Rockville, MD.	<p><b>Interventions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinician education</li> <li>Patient education</li> <li>Delayed prescriptions</li> <li>Audit and feedback</li> <li>Clinician reminders</li> <li>Financial or regulatory incentives</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reductions in inappropriate antibiotic prescribing</li> <li>Prescribing antibiotics for non-bacterial illnesses</li> <li>Prescribing broad-spectrum antibiotics when narrow-spectrum agents are indicated</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Systematic review</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Healthcare consumers (both adults and children) with acute respiratory infections</li> <li>Primary care providers</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary care clinics and ambulatory care clinics</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>54 studies</li> <li>Interventions demonstrated a median absolute effect of -8.9% reduction in prescribing antibiotic for non-bacterial illnesses.</li> <li>Antibiotic resistance was measured in two studies, neither of which showed a reduction in resistance.</li> <li>No individual intervention was most effective at reducing prescribing.</li> <li>Active educational strategies target clinicians appeared more effective than passive strategies.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Selected interventions appear effective at reducing both antibiotic overprescribing and inappropriate antibiotic selection.</li> <li>No single intervention was clearly more effective than others.</li> <li>Active clinician education interventions appear more effective than passive education.</li> </ul>

REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Ranji SR, et al. <b>Interventions to reduce unnecessary antibiotic prescribing: A systematic review and quantitative analysis.</b> <i>Med Care</i> 2008; 46(8):847-62.	<p><b>Interventions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinician education</li> <li>Patient education</li> <li>Audit and feedback</li> <li>Clinician reminders</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reduction in proportion of patients receiving antibiotics</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Systematic review and quantitative analysis</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Healthcare consumers (both adults and children) with acute outpatient infections</li> <li>Primary care providers</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary care clinics and ambulatory care clinics</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>43 studies</li> <li>Most studies examined antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory infections</li> <li>The quantitative analysis (n=30 studies) found a median reduction of 9.7% in the percent of patients receiving antibiotics</li> <li>No single intervention was clearly superior.</li> <li>Active clinician education strategies had a nonsignificant trend toward better efficacy compared to passive education strategies.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some interventions are effective at reducing antibiotic use in outpatient settings.</li> <li>Active clinician education strategies appear to work better than passive education strategies.</li> <li>Targeting antibiotic prescribing for all ARIs, versus single diagnoses, may lead to larger reductions in antibiotic use.</li> </ul>
van der Velden AW, et al. <b>Effectiveness of physician-targeted interventions to improve antibiotic use for respiratory tract infections.</b> <i>Br J of Gen Pract</i> 2012; 62(605):e801-7.	<p><b>Interventions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Educational materials (patients, clinicians, and the general public)</li> <li>Educational meetings</li> <li>Consensus procedure</li> <li>Local opinion leaders</li> <li>Near-patient testing</li> <li>Audit and feedback</li> <li>Financial incentives</li> <li>Communications skills training</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Systematic review</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Healthcare consumers (both adults and children) with acute outpatient infections</li> <li>Primary care providers</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary care clinics in high income countries</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Difference of differences for interventions with a before and after measurement with a control group</li> <li>Differences for interventions with a before and after measurement without a control group</li> <li>Difference in after measurement for interventions with a control group but without a before measurement</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>58 studies</li> <li>About 60% of studies contained interventions that led to significant improvements in antibiotic prescribing.</li> <li>Interventions targeting decreases in overall antibiotic prescription were more often effective than interventions targeting improvements in antibiotic selection.</li> <li>Antibiotic prescriptions were reduced on average by 11.6%. First-line antibiotic prescription increased on average by 9.6%.</li> <li>Combination interventions targeting clinicians were more often effective compared to single interventions.</li> <li>Interventions containing patient-directed materials demonstrated no added value.</li> <li>Interventions with the largest effect sizes included communication skills training and point-of-care testing.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinician education, including communication skills training, is important to optimize antibiotic use.</li> <li>Combination interventions appear to be more effective than individual interventions.</li> </ul>

## Commitment

REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Meeker D, et al. <u>Nudging guideline-concordant antibiotic prescribing: A randomized clinical trial.</u> <i>JAMA Intern Med</i> 2014; 174(3):425-31.	<p><b>Interventions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Poster containing a public commitment to use antibiotics judiciously with clinician picture and signature displayed in examination rooms at point of clinician-patient encounter</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Antibiotic prescribing rates for acute respiratory infections (ARIs) for which antibiotics are inappropriate</li> </ul>	<p><b>Method</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Randomized clinical trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>15 primary care providers</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5 primary care clinics in the United States</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>954 adults with ARI</li> <li>Poster group had a 19.7% decrease in inappropriate prescribing for acute respiratory infections compared to controls, p=0.02, controlled for baseline rates of antibiotic prescribing.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Public commitments in a poster are a low-cost intervention that can result in reduced inappropriate prescribing.</li> </ul>
Pollack LA, et al. <u>Antibiotic stewardship programs in U.S. acute care hospitals: findings from the 2014 National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Annual Hospital Survey.</u> <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2016. [Epub ahead of print].	<p><b>Interventions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No intervention; observational study</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Level of variability in antibiotic stewardship programs (ASPs) by hospital characteristic and location</li> </ul>	<p><b>Method</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Observational study</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Hospitals enrolled in the National Healthcare Safety Network</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2014 National Healthcare Safety Network Annual Hospital Survey</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4184 US hospitals</li> <li>On self-report, 38% of hospitals have an ASP meeting all 7 CDC defined core elements of inpatient antibiotic stewardship.</li> <li>59% of hospitals with more than 200 beds (59%) had an ASP meeting all Core Elements</li> <li>25% of hospitals with less than 50 beds had an ASP meeting all Core Elements</li> <li>States reporting a percentage of hospitals with all 7 core elements ranged from 7% to 58%.</li> <li>Written support and salary support for ASP were significantly associated with having an ASP meeting all Core Elements.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is wide variability with ASP implementation.</li> <li>Hospital leadership support appears crucial for comprehensive ASPs</li> <li>ASPs can be established in hospitals of all sizes.</li> </ul>

REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Pollack LA, et al. <b>Antibiotic stewardship programs in U.S. acute care hospitals: findings from the 2014 National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Annual Hospital Survey.</b> <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2016; [Epub ahead of print].	<p><b>Interventions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No intervention; observational study</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Level of variability in antibiotic stewardship programs (ASPs) by hospital characteristic and location</li> </ul>	<p><b>Method</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Observational study</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Hospitals enrolled in the National Healthcare Safety Network</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2014 National Healthcare Safety Network Annual Hospital Survey</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4184 US hospitals</li> <li>On self-report, 39% of hospitals have an ASP meeting all 7 CDC defined core elements of inpatient antibiotic stewardship.</li> <li>59% of hospitals with more than 200 beds (59%) had an ASP meeting all Core Elements</li> <li>25% of hospitals with less than 50 beds had an ASP meeting all Core Elements</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is wide variability with ASP implementation.</li> <li>Hospital leadership support appears crucial for comprehensive ASPs</li> <li>ASPs can be established in hospitals of all sizes.</li> </ul>

## Action

REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Chao JH, et al. Comparison of two approaches to observation therapy for acute otitis media in the emergency department. <i>Pediatrics</i> . 2008; 121(5):e1352-6.	<b>Intervention</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Watchful waiting/observation therapy with no prescription or with a delayed antibiotic prescription</li></ul> <b>Outcomes</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Antibiotic use for AOM at 3 days (primary) and 7-10 days (secondary)</li><li>Parental visit satisfaction</li></ul>	<b>Methods</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Prospective randomized trial</li></ul> <b>Participants</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Children aged 2 to 12 years diagnosed with AOM and who met criteria for observation</li></ul> <b>Setting</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Pediatric emergency room of an urban public hospital in the United States (New York)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>232 patients enrolled, 206 patients completed follow-up</li><li>At 3 days: 87% parents of children in the observation group with no antibiotic prescription reported no antibiotic use versus 62% parents of children in the of children in the observation group with a delayed antibiotic prescription.</li><li>At 7-10 days, 81% of the observation group with no antibiotic prescription reported no use of antibiotics compared to 53% in the group with a delayed antibiotic prescription.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Observation therapy was well accepted by parents of children with AOM.</li><li>Observation without an antibiotic prescription led to lower antibiotic use for AOM than observation with a delayed antibiotic prescription without affecting visit satisfaction.</li><li>No differences in satisfaction were observed between the groups.</li></ul>

REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
de la Poza A, et al. <u>Prescription strategies in acute uncomplicated respiratory infections: A randomized clinical trial</u> . <i>JAMA Intern Med</i> 2016; 176(1):21-9.	<p><b>Interventions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 4 antibiotic prescriptions strategies for acute uncomplicated respiratory tract infections.</li> <li>• Delayed antibiotic prescription given to patients at the visit with instructions to wait to fill it unless not improving</li> <li>• Delayed antibiotic prescription awaiting patient at clinic, patient to return and collect prescriptions if not improving</li> <li>• Immediate antibiotic prescription issued at visit</li> <li>• No antibiotic prescription issued at visit</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Primary: symptom duration and severity</li> <li>• Secondary: antibiotic use, patient satisfaction, and belief about antibiotic effectiveness among patients complicated respiratory infections.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Open-label, randomized clinical trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adults with acute, uncomplicated respiratory infections</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 23 primary care clinics in Spain</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 405 adult patients with acute, uncomplicated respiratory infections</li> <li>• Delayed prescription strategies led to lower antibiotic use:</li> <li>• 91% of patients used antibiotics in the immediate prescription group;</li> <li>• 33% of patients used antibiotics in the group with delayed prescription;</li> <li>• 23% of patients used antibiotics in the group who had to collect the delayed prescription;</li> <li>• 12% of patients used antibiotics in the no prescription group.</li> <li>• Delayed and no prescription strategies led to “slightly greater” symptom burden.</li> <li>• Similar satisfaction was observed among groups.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delayed prescription strategies for acute uncomplicated respiratory tract infections are effective in decreasing antibiotic use.</li> </ul>

DELAYED PRESCRIBING PRACTICES OR WATCHFUL WAITING		REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Francis NA, et al. <u>Delayed antibiotic prescribing and associated antibiotic consumption in adults with acute cough.</u> <i>Br J Gen Pract</i> 2012; 62(602):e639-46.			<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No intervention; observational study</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rates of delayed antibiotic prescribing in adults presenting with acute cough to primary care.</li> <li>Duration of advised delay</li> <li>Consumption of delayed antibiotic or another antibiotic at 28 days</li> <li>Factors associated with antibiotic consumption</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prospective observational cohort study</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>General practitioners</li> <li>Adult patients with acute cough</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>14 primary care networks in 13 European countries</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3368 patients with acute cough</li> <li>About 6% (n=210) were prescribed delayed antibiotics (median recommended delay 3 days).</li> <li>44% (n=75/169) with consumption data used the delayed prescription antibiotic course by 28 days</li> <li>30% (n=50/169) started on the day the prescription was written.</li> <li>10% took another antibiotic by 28 days.</li> <li>45% took no antibiotic by 28 days. Upper respiratory tract/viral infections diagnoses were associated with lower use of delayed prescription.</li> <li>Patients who wanted antibiotics were more likely to consume the antibiotics.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delayed antibiotic prescribing was not used often for adults presenting to primary care.</li> <li>Expanding delayed antibiotic prescribing and standardizing prescribing practices may improve antibiotic prescribing.</li> </ul>
Little P, et al. <u>Information leaflet and antibiotic prescribing strategies for acute lower respiratory tract infection: a randomized controlled trial.</u> <i>JAMA</i> 2005; 293(24):3029-35.			<p><b>Interventions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One of 3 prescribing strategies was used</li> <li>Immediate antibiotics</li> <li>No antibiotics</li> <li>Delayed antibiotics available by request after 14 days</li> <li>Information leaflet for acute lower respiratory tract infection</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinical signs and symptoms</li> <li>Reported antibiotic use</li> <li>Daily diary and satisfaction questionnaire</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Randomized controlled trial</li> <li>Factorial design involving 6 groups: leaflet or no leaflet and 1 of 3 prescribing strategies</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>37 English general practitioners</li> <li>Patients aged ≥3 years with acute uncomplicated lower respiratory infections</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary care clinics in England</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>807 patients recruited</li> <li>No implemented intervention altered cough duration or other clinical outcome.</li> <li>Cough lasted on average 11.7 days.</li> <li>The information leaflet did not have any impact on main outcome.</li> <li>Fewer patients in the delayed and control groups, compared to immediate antibiotic group, used antibiotics, were "very satisfied" with visit, and believed in the antibiotic effectiveness.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not prescribing antibiotics, or offering a delayed antibiotic prescribing is associated with minimal differences in symptom burden and may reduce antibiotic use.</li> </ul>

DELAYED PRESCRIBING PRACTICES OR WATCHFUL WAITING		REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
<b>Little P, et al. Delayed antibiotic prescribing strategies for respiratory tract infections in primary care: pragmatic, factorial, randomised controlled trial.</b> <i>Brit Med J</i> 2014; 348:g1606.			<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delayed antibiotic prescribing strategies</li> <li>Re-contact for a prescription (i.e., patient calls for the prescription)</li> <li>Post-dated prescription</li> <li>Post-visit collection of a prescription</li> <li>No antibiotic prescription</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcome</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary: Symptom severity at days 2-4</li> <li>Secondary: antibiotic use by 14 days and patient belief about antibiotic effectiveness</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Open, pragmatic, randomized controlled trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patients aged ≥3 years with acute respiratory tract infections</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>25 primary care clinics in the United Kingdom</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>889 patients recruited</li> <li>No significant differences in symptom severity were observed between those who received no prescription and those receiving delayed prescription via any strategy.</li> <li>Symptom duration did not differ between groups, and no significant difference was observed for patient satisfaction.</li> <li>Those receiving antibiotics did not appear to benefit from them based on symptom severity scores.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interventions involving delayed antibiotic prescriptions or no prescription strategies resulted in fewer than 40% of prescribed antibiotics being used among patients.</li> <li>Interventions involving delayed prescriptions or no prescriptions were associated with less belief in antibiotic efficacy and similar symptom outcomes compared to immediate antibiotic prescriptions.</li> </ul>
<b>McCormick DP, et al. Nonsevere acute otitis media: a clinical trial comparing outcomes of watchful waiting versus immediate antibiotic treatment. Pediatrics</b> 2005;115(6):1455-65.			<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Watchful waiting (WW) versus immediate antibiotic prescription</li> <li>Educational intervention</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcome</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient satisfaction with care</li> <li>Resolution of symptoms</li> <li>Acute otitis media (AOM) failure/recurrence</li> <li>Nasopharyngeal colonization with antibiotic-resistant <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i></li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Single-blind, randomized controlled trial (investigators were blinded)</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Children aged 6 months to 12 years with nonsevere AOM</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pediatric clinics in the United States (Texas)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>223 children recruited</li> <li>Parent satisfaction with care did not differ between treatment groups.</li> <li>Children treated with immediate antibiotics had faster symptom resolution.</li> <li>In the WW group, 66% of children did not take antibiotics by day 30.</li> <li>The WW group were reduced by 73% compared to the immediate antibiotic group.</li> <li>Immediate antibiotic treatment group had more antibiotic adverse drug events than WW group.</li> <li>Children in the immediate antibiotic group were more likely to have multi-drug resistant <i>S. pneumoniae</i> nasopharyngeal colonization at day 12.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Immediate antibiotic treatment was associated with decreased treatment failures and improved symptom resolution compared to WW, but also higher adverse drug events and higher likelihood of carriage of multi-drug resistant <i>S. pneumoniae</i>.</li> <li>Classification of AOM severity, parent education, symptom management, followup care, and access to effective antibiotics when needed are all important in implementing watchful waiting for children with AOM.</li> </ul>

DELAYED PRESCRIBING PRACTICES OR WATCHFUL WAITING		REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Siegel R, et al. <b>Treatment of otitis media with observation and a safety-net antibiotic prescription.</b> <i>Pediatrics</i> 2003; 112(3):527-31.	<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delayed antibiotic prescription ("safety-net prescription")</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary: parental willingness to treat AOM without antibiotics and with pain medicine alone</li> <li>Secondary: filling of antibiotic prescription, parents' future plans to use antibiotics for AOM</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cohort study</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Children aged 1 to 12 years with nonsevere AOM</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>11 pediatric clinics in the United States</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>194 children enrolled, 175 with complete follow-up</li> <li>At follow-up, 31% of parents had filled the antibiotic prescription.</li> <li>63% of parents reported willingness in future to use pain medicine only without antibiotics for AOM.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Safety-net prescriptions can decrease antibiotic use for non-severe AOM, and some parents find it an acceptable treatment strategy.</li> </ul>		
Spiro DM, et al. <b>Wait-and-see prescription for the treatment of acute otitis media: a randomized controlled trial.</b> <i>JAMA</i> . 2006; 296(10):1235-41.	<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>"Wait and see" (i.e. delayed) antibiotic prescription versus standard prescription for children with acute otitis media (AOM)</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Filling of the antibiotic prescription</li> <li>Clinical symptoms and symptoms resolution</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Randomized controlled trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Children aged 6 months to 12 years with AOM</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Emergency department in Northeastern United States</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>283 children</li> <li>More parents in the wait and see group did not fill the antibiotic prescription (62%) compared to the standard prescription group (13%) did not fill antibiotic prescription, p&lt;0.001).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Wait and see antibiotic prescriptions reduced antibiotic use in children with AOM.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No differences between groups were observed for the frequency of fever, ear pain, or unscheduled medical visits.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In the wait and see group, fever and ear pain were associated with filling the antibiotic prescription.</li> </ul>

REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Little P, et al. <b>Effects of internet-based training on antibiotic prescribing rates for acute respiratory-tract infections: a multinational, cluster, randomised, factorial, controlled trial.</b> <i>Lancet.</i> 2013; 382(9899):1175-82.	<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Internet based training on communication skills, C-reactive protein (CRP) testing, or both versus standard care</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcome</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Changes in antibiotic prescribing for respiratory tract infections (RTIs)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cluster randomized controlled trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary care providers</li> </ul> <p><b>Settings</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>246 primary care clinics in 6 European countries</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4264 patients</li> <li>Training in CRP testing and communication skills independently led to reductions in antibiotic prescribing for RTIs, and combination of both trainings led to largest reduction.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Internet training for CRP testing and communications skills led to reductions in antibiotic prescribing for RTIs.</li> </ul>
Cals JW, et al. <b>Enhanced communication skills and C-reactive protein point-of-care testing for respiratory tract infection: 3.5-year follow-up of a cluster randomized trial.</b> <i>Annals of Family Medicine.</i> 2013; 11(2):157-64.	<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Physician enhanced communication skills training</li> <li>Point-of-care C-reactive protein (CRP)</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcome</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient visits for respiratory tract infections (RTIs)</li> <li>Percent of RTI episodes treated with antibiotics</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pragmatic, cluster-randomized controlled trial</li> <li>3.5 years of follow-up</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patients with family physician visits for RTIs</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20 family practices in the Netherlands</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>379 patients</li> <li>No difference in number of patient visits for RTIs among groups.</li> <li>RTI episodes treated by physicians who received communications training were less likely to receive antibiotics in follow-up period (26% with communications training v. 39% control, p=0.02).</li> <li>No difference in antibiotic treatment during follow-up for RTI episodes in CRP group.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Communications training led to sustained reductions in the percent of RTIs leading to antibiotic prescriptions, while CRP testing did not.</li> </ul>

## REQUIRE EXPLICIT WRITTEN JUSTIFICATION FOR NON-RECOMMENDED ANTIBIOTIC PRESCRIBING

REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Meeker et al. <a href="#">Effect of behavioral interventions on inappropriate antibiotic prescribing among primary care practices: A randomized clinical trial</a> . JAMA 2016; 315(6):562-70.	<p><b>Interventions:</b></p> <p><b>3 behavioral interventions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Suggested alternatives to antibiotics placed within electronic health records for these diagnoses</li> <li>• Accountable justification required in medical record for non-recommended antibiotic prescribing</li> <li>• Peer comparison to top-performing peers</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rate of antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory tract infections for which antibiotics are not indicated</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cluster randomized clinical trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 248 primary care clinicians</li> </ul> <p><b>Settings</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 47 primary care practices in the United States</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 31,712 visits for acute respiratory tract infections for which antibiotics are not indicated</li> <li>• 14,753 during baseline</li> <li>• 16,959 during intervention</li> <li>• Antibiotic prescribing decreased from:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Controls: 24.1% to 13.1%</li> <li>• Suggested alternatives: 22.1% to 6.1% (<math>P = .66</math> for differences compared to control group)</li> <li>• Accountable justification: 23.2% to 5.2% (<math>P &lt; .001</math>)</li> <li>• Peer comparison: 9.9% to 3.7 (<math>P &lt; .001</math>).</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Compared to the control group, no intervention showed significant diagnosis shifting.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accountable justification and peer comparison interventions reduced antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory tract infections for which antibiotics are not indicated</li> </ul>

CLINICAL DECISION SUPPORT	REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
McGinn TG, et al. <u>Efficacy of an evidence-based clinical decision support in primary care practices: A randomized clinical trial.</u> <i>JAMA Intern Med</i> 2013; 173(17):1584-11.		<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinical decision support involving integration of Walsh rule for streptococcal sore throat and Heckerling rule for pneumonia</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Frequency of antibiotic prescriptions and streptococcal tests in experimental versus control group</li> <li>Use of clinical prediction rule in EHR</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Randomized clinical trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Attending physicians, fellows, residents and nurse practitioners</li> <li>Patients with complaints consistent with pharyngitis or pneumonia</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Two large urban ambulatory care practices in the United States (New York)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>168 primary care providers with 984 visits with clinical decision rule triggered</li> <li>Clinicians in the intervention group used the clinical prediction rules in 58% of visits.</li> <li>Intervention clinicians were less likely to prescribe antibiotics than control clinicians (RR = 0.75; 95% CI, 0.60-0.92).</li> <li>Number needed to treat in order to prevent one antibiotic prescription was 10.8.</li> <li>Intervention clinicians ordered rapid streptococcal tests for patients with pharyngitis less often than control clinicians (RR 0.75; 95% CI, 0.58-0.97).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinical prediction rules integrated into EHRs can reduce inappropriate antibiotic prescribing.</li> </ul>
Jenkins TC, et al. <u>Effects of clinical pathways for common outpatient infections on antibiotic prescribing.</u> <i>Am J Med.</i> 2013; 126(4):327-35 e312.		<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinical decision support targeting antibiotic prescribing for common conditions</li> <li>Patient education materials</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Change in antibiotic prescribing over time for non-pneumonia acute respiratory infections (ARIs)</li> <li>Change over time in broad-spectrum antibiotic prescriptions for ARIs</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Quasi-experimental study</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinicians working in primary care clinics</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary care clinics in the United States (Colorado), including adult and pediatric clinics; urban, suburban and rural clinics; academic and private providers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8 primary care clinics</li> <li>Antibiotic prescriptions for visits for non-pneumonia ARIs decreased from 42.7% to 37.9% (11.2% relative reduction) in the intervention group compared to 39.8% to 38.7% in the control group (2.8% relative reduction) during the intervention period.</li> <li>Use of broad-spectrum antibiotics decreased from 26.4% to 22.6% in the intervention group (14.4% relative reduction) compared to a 20.0% to 19.4% reduction in the control group (3.0% relative reduction).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinical decision support was associated with reduced antibiotic prescriptions for non-pneumonia ARIs and reduced use of broad-spectrum antibiotics during one year of implementation.</li> </ul>

CLINICAL DECISION SUPPORT REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Gonzales R, et al. <b>A cluster randomized trial of decision support strategies for reducing antibiotic use in acute bronchitis.</b> <i>JAMA Intern Med</i> 2013; 173(4):267-73.	<p><b>Interventions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinical decision support, through the electronic medical record, or printed tools targeting antibiotic prescribing for acute bronchitis</li> <li>Clinician and patient education</li> <li>Audit and feedback</li> <li>Controls without interventions</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reductions in antibiotic prescribing for acute uncomplicated bronchitis.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cluster randomized controlled trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary care clinicians</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>33 primary care practices in the United States (Pennsylvania)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>12,776 visits for acute bronchitis</li> <li>Prescribing for acute bronchitis reduced by 11.7% in the print-based strategy and 13.7% in the EMR-based strategy.</li> <li>Prescribing at control sites increased slightly.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinical decision support strategies for acute bronchitis can help reduce overuse of antibiotics in primary care.</li> <li>The observed effect in print-based versus computer-based interventions showed no significant differences.</li> </ul>
Rattinger GB, et al. <b>A sustainable strategy to prevent misuse of antibiotics for acute respiratory infections.</b> <i>PLoS One</i> 2012; 7(12):e51147.	<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinical decision support promoting adherence to clinical practice guidelines for acute respiratory infections (ARIs)</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Guideline concordance and proportion of inappropriate antibiotic prescribing</li> <li>Reductions in fluoroquinolone and azithromycin use</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Non-randomized retrospective controlled study</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary care providers for an outpatient veteran population</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Outpatient clinics in a veterans healthcare system in the United States</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3831 patients</li> <li>Clinical decision support was associated with greater clinical practice guideline adherence (<math>RR=2.57</math> 95% CI, 1.87 to 3.54).</li> <li>Inappropriate prescriptions for fluoroquinolones and azithromycin decreased from 22% to 3% (<math>P&lt;0.0001</math>).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A clinical decision support system decreased unwarranted use of fluoroquinolones and azithromycin for ARI and improved antibiotic use for ARI in an outpatient veterans' healthcare system.</li> </ul>
Linder JA, et al. <b>Documentation-based clinical decision support to improve antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory infections in primary care: A cluster randomised controlled trial.</b> <i>Inform Prim Care</i> 2009; 17(4):231-40.	<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Electronic health record-based clinical decision support for acute respiratory infection (ARI) — “ARI Smart Form” versus standard care</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcome</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory tract infections</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Randomized controlled trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary care providers</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>27 primary care clinics in the United States (Massachusetts)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>21,961 visits for ARIs</li> <li>ARI Smart Form only used in 6% of eligible visits.</li> <li>Antibiotic prescribing for intervention clinics was not different compared to controls: odds ratio (OR) 0.8, 95% CI 0.6-1.2.</li> <li>When ARI Smart Form was used (per protocol analysis), ARI prescribing was modestly improved.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A clinical decision support tool for ARIs, the ARI Smart Form, was rarely used by clinicians and thus did not improve antibiotic prescribing for ARIs.</li> </ul>

CLINICAL DECISION SUPPORT REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Forrest, C. B., et al. <b>Improving adherence to otitis media guidelines with clinical decision support and physician feedback.</b> <i>Pediatrics</i> 2013; 131(4): e1071-1081.	<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinical decision support (CDS) in an electronic health record system</li> <li>Audit and feedback to clinicians with peer comparison</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcome</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Physician guideline adherence for management of acute otitis media (AOM) and otitis media with effusion (OME)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Factorial-design cluster randomized trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary care providers</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary care network in the United States (Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>24 practices with 139,305 visits for AOM and OME</li> <li>Guidelines were adhered to in 15% and 5% of AOM and OME cases, respectively during the baseline period.</li> <li>Improvements in guideline adherence was larger in visits with CDS and audit and feedback</li> <li>Audit and feedback combined with CDS did not improve guideline adherence beyond levels observed for audit and feedback alone.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Both CDS and audit and feedback effectively increased adherence to guidelines for treatment of AOM and OME</li> <li>The effect of the individual interventions did not appear to be additive.</li> </ul>
<b>CALL CENTERS, NURSE HOTLINES, OR PHARMACIST CONSULTATIONS</b>				
REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Harper R, et al. <b>Optimizing the use of telephone nursing advice for upper respiratory infection symptoms.</b> <i>Am J Manag Care</i> 2015; 21(4): 264-270.	<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of a nursing advice hotline to optimize self-care for upper respiratory infections</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinical outcomes associated with related cases</li> <li>Sufficiency of advice as evidence by no return calls within 7 days leading to a "higher" level of care, such as an in-person appointment.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retrospective observational study</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adult patients 18 years and older who called into a self-care advice line for URI symptoms</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>279,625 calls</li> <li>For 88% of initial advice calls, self-care advice over the phone alone was sufficient.</li> <li>Most follow-up calls made by the patient were for additional advice or other information.</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Large healthcare system in the United States (California)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>URI symptoms can be effectively managed by nurses via a telephone advice line.</li> </ul>

## Tracking and Reporting

AUDIT AND FEEDBACK	REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Gerber JS, et al. <b>Effect of an outpatient antimicrobial stewardship intervention on broad-spectrum antibiotic prescribing by primary care pediatricians: A randomized trial.</b> <i>JAMA</i> 2013; 309(22): 2345-52.	<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Quarterly audit and feedback on antibiotic prescribing practices for sinusitis, pharyngitis, and pneumonia with peer comparisons</li> <li>One hour of clinician education</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Broad-spectrum antibiotic prescribing rates for sinusitis, pharyngitis, and pneumonia</li> <li>Antibiotic prescribing for viral infections</li> </ul> <p><b>Intervention after discontinuation of audit and feedback.</b> <i>JAMA</i> 2014; 312(23): 2569-2570.</p>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cluster randomized controlled trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pediatric primary care providers</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>18 pediatric primary care practices in the United States (New Jersey)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Intervention group showed a reduction in broad-spectrum antibiotic prescribing compared to controls with 6.7% difference in differences.</li> <li>No change in group A</li> <li><i>Streptococcus pharyngitis</i> prescribing or for viral infections, which were both relatively appropriate at baseline.</li> <li>Broad-spectrum prescribing returned to baseline rates once audit-and-feedback stopped.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Audit and feedback with peer comparisons and with clinician education led to decreases in non-recommended broad-spectrum antibiotic prescribing.</li> <li>Benefits were not sustained once the audit-and-feedback ended.</li> </ul>	
Meeker et al. <b>Effect of behavioral interventions on inappropriate antibiotic prescribing among primary care practices: A randomized clinical trial.</b> <i>JAMA</i> 2016; 315(6):562-70.	<p><b>Interventions</b></p> <p><b>3 behavioral interventions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Suggested alternatives to antibiotics placed within electronic health records for these diagnoses</li> <li>Accountable justification required in medical record for non-recommended antibiotic prescribing</li> <li>Peer comparison to top-performing peers</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cluster randomized clinical trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>248 primary care clinicians</li> </ul> <p><b>Settings</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>47 primary care practices in the United States</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>31,712 visits for acute respiratory tract infections for which antibiotics are not indicated:</li> <li>14753 during baseline</li> <li>16959 during intervention period.</li> <li>Antibiotic prescribing decreased from:</li> <li>Controls: 24.1% to 13.1%</li> <li>Suggested alternatives: 22.1% to 6.1% (<math>P = .66</math> for differences compared to control group)</li> <li>Accountable justification: 23.2% to 5.2% (<math>P &lt; .001</math>)</li> <li>Peer comparison: 9.9% to 3.7 (<math>P &lt; .001</math>).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accountable justification and peer comparison interventions reduced antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory tract infections for which antibiotics are not indicated</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Compared to the control group, no intervention showed significant diagnosis shifting.</li> </ul>

AUDIT AND FEEDBACK	REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Butler CC, et al. <b>Effectiveness of multifaceted educational programme to reduce antibiotic dispensing in primary care: Practice based randomised controlled trial.</b> <i>BMJ</i> 2012; 344:d8173.		<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Multifaceted clinician education, including communication skills, targeting antibiotic prescribing versus standard care</li> <li>• Audit and feedback of practice antibiotic dispensing data</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Primary: total number of antibiotics dispensed per 1000 patients by practice</li> <li>• Secondary: return visits and hospital admissions for respiratory tract infections, and cost</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Randomized controlled trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• General practitioners</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• General practices in United Kingdom (Wales)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 68 practices serving 480,000 patients</li> <li>• A 4.2% reduction in total antibiotic prescribing was observed in the intervention group compared to controls in one year (<math>p=0.02</math>).</li> <li>• No differences in hospital admissions or return visits for respiratory tract infections were observed between the intervention and control groups.</li> <li>• 5.5% non-significant decreased in antibiotic dispensing cost in intervention group compared to controls.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A clinician educational intervention led to reductions in antibiotic dispensing with no changes in hospital admissions, return visits, or costs.</li> </ul>
Finkelstein JA, et al. <b>Impact of a 16-community trial to promote judicious antibiotic use in Massachusetts.</b> <i>Pediatrics</i> 2008; 121(1):e15-23.		<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Multi-faceted intervention with clinician education, parent education, and audit and feedback on antibiotic prescribing</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Overall oral antibiotic dispensing per person-year of observation for children 3 to &lt;72 months of age</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Community-level cluster-randomized controlled trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clinicians, parents, and pediatric patients aged 6 years or younger</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Non-overlapping communities in the United States (Massachusetts)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 16 communities with 223,135 person-years observed</li> <li>• Decreasing antibiotic prescribing was seen in all groups, including controls, during study period.</li> <li>• Intervention led to 4.2% decrease in overall antibiotic prescribing among children 24 to &lt;48 months old and 6.7% among children 48 to &lt;72 months old compared to control communities.</li> <li>• No difference in antibiotic prescribing for intervention or control communities for children aged 3 to &lt;24 months.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A multifaceted, sustained, community level intervention modestly decreased antibiotic use.</li> </ul>

AUDIT AND FEEDBACK	REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Metlay JP, et al. <b>Cluster-randomized trial to improve antibiotic use for adults with acute respiratory infections treated in emergency departments.</b> <i>Ann Emerg Med</i> 2007; 50(3):221-30.		<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinician and patient education</li> <li>Audit and feedback on prescribing practices for upper respiratory infections (URIs) and acute bronchitis</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary: Proportion of patients URIs and acute bronchitis with antibiotic prescribed</li> <li>Secondary: antibiotic prescribing for antibiotic-appropriate respiratory infections, return ED visits within 2 weeks, and hospital admission within 2 weeks</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cluster-randomized controlled trial</li> <li><b>Participants</b></li> <li>Emergency department (ED) clinicians and patients</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Hospital EDs, including veterans and non-veterans hospitals in the United States</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>16 EDs with 5,665 visits by adults for acute respiratory infections</li> <li>Intervention sites had a significant decrease in antibiotic prescribing for URIs and acute bronchitis (-10%; 95% CI -18 to -2%), compared to no change in control sites (0.5% 95% CI -3 to 5%).</li> <li>No significant increases in emergency department return visits or patient satisfaction was observed among control or intervention sites.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Multifaceted education interventions combined with audit and feedback can decrease antibiotic prescribing for ED patients with URIs and acute bronchitis.</li> </ul>
Hallswoth M, et al. <b>Provision of social norm feedback to high prescribers of antibiotics in general practice: a pragmatic national randomised controlled trial.</b> <i>The Lancet</i> 2016; 387:1743-52		<p><b>Interventions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Audit and feedback as a letter from England's Chief Medical Officer sent to the high-prescribing practices defined as the top 20% for their National Health Service (NHS) Local Area Team versus no communication</li> <li>Patient education materials versus no materials</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rate of antibiotics dispensed per 1000 weighted population, controlling for past prescribing</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pragmatic factorial randomized controlled trial</li> <li>Analysis by intention-to-treat</li> <li><b>Participants</b></li> <li>General practitioners (GP)</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GP practices NHS clinics across England</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1581 practices</li> <li>Letters sent to 3227 GPs</li> <li>Intervention group had 126.98 antibiotics dispensed per 1000 population versus and 131.25 antibiotics dispensed per 1000 population in the control group (difference of 3.3%, p&lt;0.001).</li> <li>Estimated 73,406 fewer antibiotics dispensed in intervention group.</li> <li>No difference in antibiotic prescribing for patient educational materials.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Audit and feedback from an important figure (e.g. England's Chief Medical Officer) reduced antibiotic prescribing at the national level.</li> </ul>

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING EDUCATIONAL EFFORTS TARGETING PARENTS AND PATIENTS TO IMPROVE ANTIBIOTIC USE					
REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS	
Mangione-Smith R, et al. <u>Communication Practices and Antibiotic Use for Acute Respiratory Tract Infections in Children.</u> <i>Ann Fam Med</i> 2015; 13(3): 221-227.	<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No intervention; observational study.</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcome:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Communication techniques used by providers that were associated with prescribing antibiotics for acute respiratory tract infections (ARTIs) and with parent visit satisfaction</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cross-sectional study with parent and provider post-visit surveys</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pediatric providers</li> <li>Parents of children (6 months to 10 years old) presenting with complaints consistent with ARTIs</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>10 pediatric practices in the United States (Washington)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>28 pediatric providers</li> <li>1,284 parents</li> <li>Communication techniques using recommendations for treating symptoms were associated with lower risk of antibiotic prescribing for ARTIs.</li> <li>Communication techniques that combined explanations of why antibiotics are not needed with recommendations for treating symptoms were associated with lower risk of antibiotic prescribing and higher parental visit satisfaction.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Communication strategies combining explanations of why antibiotics are not needed with recommendations for treating symptoms may help providers decrease inappropriate antibiotic prescribing while helping maintain parental visit satisfaction.</li> </ul>	
Mangione-Smith R, et al. <u>Parent expectations for antibiotics, physician-parent communication, and satisfaction.</u> <i>Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med</i> 2001;155(7): 800-806.	<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No intervention; observational study.</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcome</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Physician perception of parental pressure for antibiotics</li> <li>Physician-perceived pressure to prescribe antibiotics</li> <li>Parental visit-specific satisfaction</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Qualitative study involving pre- and post-visit survey</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Physicians and eligible parents who attended acute care visits for their child</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2 private practice pediatric clinics in the United States (California)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>10 physicians and 295 parents</li> <li>Half of parents expected antibiotics prior to the visit, but only 1% of visits verbally requested them.</li> <li>Physicians perceived parental expectation for antibiotics 34% of the time without a direct request by parents for antibiotics.</li> <li>Offering a contingency plan of possibly receiving future antibiotics if their child did not improve was associated with higher satisfaction among parents who expected but did not receive antibiotics.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A contingency plan should be considered for parents expecting antibiotics for their children who do not need antibiotics.</li> </ul>	

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING EDUCATIONAL EFFORTS TARGETING PARENTS AND PATIENTS TO IMPROVE ANTIBIOTIC USE					
REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS	
Roberts, RM, et al. <u>Can Improving Knowledge of Antibiotic-Associated Adverse Drug Events Reduce Parent and Patient Demand for Antibiotics? Health Serv Res and Man Epi</u> 2015; 1-5.	<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No intervention; observational study.</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient and parent knowledge and attitudes about antibiotics and adverse drug events (ADEs) from antibiotics</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Computer assisted telephone focus groups</li> <li>Participants</li> <li>Adult patients and mothers of young children</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>United States</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Familiarity with side effects of antibiotics were common.</li> <li>Few mothers were familiar with severe antibiotic-associated ADEs.</li> <li>Most mothers felt strongly that information about severe ADEs should be shared with parents at the time an antibiotic is prescribed.</li> <li>Adult patients did not believe that antibiotic-associated ADEs was a significant issue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Parents of pediatric patients are interested in information about antibiotic-associated ADEs.</li> <li>Adult patients may be less receptive about receiving information about antibiotic-associated ADEs.</li> </ul>	
EVIDENCE SUPPORTING EDUCATIONAL EFFORTS TARGETING CLINICIANS TO IMPROVE ANTIBIOTIC USE					
REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS	
Butler CC, et al. <u>Effectiveness of multifaceted educational programme to reduce antibiotic dispensing in primary care: Practice based randomised controlled trial</u> . BMJ 2012;344:d8173.	<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Multifaceted clinician education, including communication skills, targeting antibiotic prescribing versus standard care</li> <li>Audit and feedback of practice antibiotic dispensing data</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Total number of antibiotics dispensed per 1000 patients by practice</li> <li>Secondary: Return visits and hospital admissions for respiratory tract infections, and cost</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Randomized controlled trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>General practitioners</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>General practices in the United Kingdom (Wales)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>68 practices serving 480,000 patients</li> <li>A 4.2% reduction in total antibiotic prescribing was observed in the intervention group compared to controls in one year (<math>p=0.02</math>).</li> <li>No differences in hospital admissions or return visits for respiratory tract infections were observed between the intervention and control groups.</li> <li>5.5% non-significant decrease in antibiotic dispensing cost in intervention group compared to controls</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A clinician educational intervention led to reductions in antibiotic dispensing with no changes in hospital admissions, return visits, or costs.</li> </ul>	

## EVIDENCE SUPPORTING EDUCATIONAL EFFORTS TARGETING CLINICIANS TO IMPROVE ANTIBIOTIC USE

REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Harris RH, et al. <u>Optimizing antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory tract infections in an urban urgent care clinic.</u> <i>J Gen Intern Med</i> 2003;18(5):326-34.	<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinician education targeting antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory tract infections (ARTIs)</li> <li>Posters directed at providers placed in exam rooms</li> <li>Patient education through an interactive computerized education (ICE) module.</li> <li>Patients who chose not to participate in the ICE were considered to have been exposed to the “limited” intervention</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proportion of patients with ARTIs who received antibiotics</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prospective, nonrandomized controlled trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adults with ARTIs</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Urban urgent care clinic serving the major public hospital in the United States (Colorado)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>554 adults with ARTIs</li> <li>Antibiotic prescribing for patients diagnosed with acute bronchitis decreased from 58% to 30% in those exposed to the limited intervention, and to 24% among those exposed to full intervention (<math>p&lt;0.001</math> compared to baseline).</li> <li>Antibiotic prescribing for nonspecific upper respiratory tract infections decreased from 14% to 3% in those exposed to the limited intervention, and to 1% among those exposed to the full intervention (<math>p&lt;0.001</math> compared to baseline).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A combination of patient and provider educational materials can reduce antibiotic prescribing for adults with ARTIs.</li> </ul>
Juzych NS, et al. <u>Improvements in antimicrobial prescribing for treatment of upper respiratory tract infections through provider education.</u> <i>J Gen Internal Med</i> 2005; 20(10):901-5.	<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinician education using interactive and case-based learning targeting antibiotic prescribing for upper respiratory tract infections (URIs)</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Improvements in antibiotic prescribing for URIs</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prospective nonrandomized controlled trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary care physicians</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Four primary care clinics within a staff model health maintenance organization in the United States (Michigan)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>30 primary care physicians</li> <li>Antibiotic prescribing in the intervention group decreased 24.6% for both pediatric and adult medicine clinicians.</li> <li>In the control group, no significant decline in antibiotic prescribing was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An educational program involving interaction and case-based learning improved antibiotic prescribing for URIs by primary care providers.</li> </ul>

ACADEMIC DETAILING	REFERENCE	INTERVENTIONS AND OUTCOMES	METHODS, PARTICIPANTS, AND SETTINGS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Gjelstad, S., et al. <b>Improving antibiotic prescribing in acute respiratory tract infections: cluster randomised trial from Norwegian general practice</b> (prescription peer academic detailing (Rx-PAD) study). <i>BMJ</i> 2013; 347: f4403.		<p><b>Intervention</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Academic detailing on antibiotic prescribing for respiratory tract infections</li> <li>Clinician education</li> <li>Audit and feedback</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Improvements in antibiotic prescribing for respiratory tract infections</li> <li>Improvements in broad-spectrum antibiotic prescribing</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cluster randomized controlled trial</li> </ul> <p><b>Participants</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>General practitioners</li> </ul> <p><b>Setting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>General practice clinics in Norway</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>382 general practitioners</li> <li>Reductions in antibiotic prescribing were observed in the intervention group compared to the control groups (odds ratio 0.72, 95% confidence interval 0.61 to 0.84).</li> <li>Prescribing of non-penicillin V drugs also decreased in the intervention arm (0.64, 0.49 to 0.82).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Education interventions improved antibiotic prescribing among general practitioners in Norway.</li> </ul>