

# Economic Analysis of Nirsevimab in Pediatric Populations

**David W. Hutton, PhD, MS**

Associate Professor, Health Management and Policy, School of Public Health

Associate Professor of Global Public Health, School of Public Health

Associate Professor, Industrial and Operations Engineering, College of Engineering

**ACIP General Meeting**

**February 23, 2023**

**University of Michigan**



# Research Team

## University of Michigan

- David Hutton, PhD
- Lisa Prosser, PhD
- Angela Rose, MPH
- Kerra Mercon, MS

## CDC

- Jefferson Jones, MD, MPH, FAAP
- Mila Prill, MSPH
- Meredith McMorrow, MD, MPH, FAAP
- Jamison Pike, PhD
- Katherine Fleming-Dutra
- Ismael Ortega-Sanchez, PhD
- Fiona Havers, MD
- Betsy Gunnels, MSPH

# **Conflicts of interest statements**

- Authors have no known conflict of interests.


# Methods: Study question

- Determine the cost-effectiveness of nirsevimab by:
  - Evaluating the population burden of disease in pediatric US population in terms of
    - annual resource utilization
    - total cases
    - total costs
    - deaths
    - quality-adjusted life years
  - Comparing the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio of nirsevimab to no prevention.
  - Running scenario analyses outcomes that explore key areas of uncertainty.
- Perspective: Societal

# Methods: Intervention(s)


- Target population: US pediatric < 7 months of age entering their first RSV season
  - Secondary analysis high-risk infants in their second RSV season (7-18 months old)
- Interventions:
  1. No nirsevimab (Natural history)
  2. Nirsevimab against RSV illness
- Time horizon: 1 RSV season
- Analytic horizon: lifetime
- Discount rate: 3%

# Methods: Decision Tree Model



# Methods: Epidemiology

## Hospitalization



	Base Case	Range	Source
<b>Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) incidence, per 100,000</b>	See Above	See Above	CDC NVSN, December 2016 to September 2020
<b>Proportion with LRTI</b>			
<b>Age 0-5 months</b>	1.0	0.5-1.0	Rainisch, 2020
<b>Age 6-11 months</b>	1.0	0.5-1.0	Rainisch, 2020

# Methods: Epidemiology

## ED and Outpatient


Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) incidence, per 100,000	Base Case	Range	Source
<b>Emergency Department</b>			
Age 0-5 months	7,500	5,500 – 7,500	Lively 2019 (base case and range) <sup>5</sup> , Hall 2009 (range) <sup>6</sup>
Age 6-11 months	5,800	5,700 – 5,800	
Age 12 -23 months	3,200	3,200 – 5,300	Hall 2009 (base case and range) <sup>6</sup> , Lively 2019 (range) <sup>5</sup>
<b>Proportion with LRTI</b>			
Age 0-5 months	0.65	0.25-1.0	Rainisch, 2020 <sup>4</sup>
Age 6-11 months	0.5	0.25-1.0	Rainisch, 2020 <sup>4</sup>
<b>Medically attended outpatient</b>			
Age 0-5 months	21,600	13,200 – 21,600	Lively 2019 (base case and range) <sup>5</sup> , Hall 2009 (range) <sup>6</sup>
Age 6-11 months	24,600	17,700 – 24,600	
Age 12 -23 months	18,440	6,600 – 29,620	Jackson 2021 (base case and range) <sup>7</sup> , Hall 2009 (range) <sup>6</sup>
<b>Proportion with LRTI</b>			
Age 0-5 months	0.65	0.25-1.0	Rainisch, 2020 <sup>4</sup>
Age 6-11 months	0.3	0.1-1.0	Rainisch, 2020 <sup>4</sup>

# Methods: Epidemiology


## Mortality

	Base Case	Range	Source
<b>RSV mortality per hospitalization</b>			
<b>Age 0-5 months</b>	0.04%	0.03-0.05%	Doucette 2016 <sup>8</sup>
<b>Age 6-11 months</b>	0.04%	0.03-0.05%	
<b>Age 12 -23 months</b>	0.3%	0.24%- 0.28%	Gupta 2016 <sup>10</sup>

# Seasonality



# Methods: Inputs



# Methods: Efficacy

Variable	Base case value	Range for sensitivity analysis	Source
<b>Nirsevimab</b>			
<b>Initial efficacy (months 1-5) against RSV-associated LRTI</b>	80.0%	68.5% - 86.1%	MELODY trial and Phase 2b recommended dose
<b>Efficacy months 6-10</b>	25.0%	0.0% - 50.0%	
<b>Efficacy after 10 months</b>	0.0%		

# Methods: Provision of Nirsevimab

- Base case:
  - At birth for those born
    - October 1 – March 31
  - October for those born in
    - April (~6-month visit)
    - June (~4-month visit)
    - August (~2-month visit)
  - November for those born in
    - May (~6-month visit)
    - July (~4-month visit)
    - September (~2-month visit)

# Methods: Medical Costs

Variable	Value	Range	Source
<b>Disease-specific hospitalization costs (per hospitalization)</b>			
Age 0-11 months	\$11,487	11042 - 11933	Bowser 2022
Age 12- 23 months	\$11,469	11029 - 11910	
<b>Disease-specific ED costs (per ED visit)</b>	\$563	544 – 581	Bowser 2022
<b>Disease-specific outpatient costs (per outpatient visit)</b>	\$82	46-118	Bowser 2022

- Bowser, 2022 is a systematic review using studies from 2014-2021
- Funded by Sanofi
- All numbers updated to 2022 dollars using GDP Deflator

# Methods: Productivity Costs

Variable	Value	Range	Source
<b>Productivity burden of RSV Disease (caregiver losses)</b>			
<b>Days of lost productivity</b>			
Outpatient*	2.5	0-5	Fragaszy, 2018; Petrie, 2016; Van Wormer, 2017
ED*	2.5	0-5	Fragaszy, 2018; Petrie, 2016; Van Wormer, 2017
Hospitalization^	7.4	0-14	
<b>Lifetime productivity for those &lt;1 year old (lost from death)</b>	1,795,936		Grosse, 2019

\*Productivity for outpatient and ED based on adult influenza

^Hospitalization productivity loss = length of hospitalization + 2 days

# Methods: Intervention Cost

Variable	Value	Range	Source
<b>Immunization-related costs</b>			
Nirsevimab, per dose	\$300	\$50-\$600	Assumption

# Methods: RSV

## Health-Related Quality-of-Life

Measured in  
Days Lost

LRTI quality adjusted life DAYS lost	Base	Lower (Regnier)	Upper (JIVE)
Outpatient: Child	3.1	1.8	16.6
Outpatient: Caregiver	1.5	0	9.1
ED: Child	4.9	2.9	16.6
ED: Caregiver	2.5	0	9.1
Hospitalized: Child	6.2	3.7	26.5
Hospitalized: Caregiver	2.4	0	13.6



# Methods: Additional Inputs

- Also included nirsevimab adverse events
  - Systemic reactions
  - Injection site reactions
  - Serious adverse events
  - Medical costs
  - Productivity costs
  - Quality-adjusted life-years lost


# Methods: Uncertainty analyses

- One-way sensitivity
- Scenarios:
  - Upper respiratory infection effect
  - Timing of administration
- Additional Scenario:
  - High-risk children entering the second RSV season

# Results: Base Case


- Base Case:
  - Population of 1,000 births
  - 100% uptake in the nirsevimab group
  - First RSV season
  - \$300/dose
  - Nirsevimab only impacts LRTI

# Results: Health Outcomes




Cohort: 1,000 nirsevimab and 1,000 natural history, assuming 100% uptake in nirsevimab group

# Results: Health Outcomes




Cohort: 1,000 nirsevimab and 1,000 natural history, assuming 100% uptake in nirsevimab group

# Results: Health Outcomes



Cohort: 1,000 nirsevimab and 1,000 natural history, assuming 100% uptake in nirsevimab group


# Results: Costs



Cohort: 1,000 nirsevimab and 1,000 natural history, assuming 100% uptake in nirsevimab group

Base cost of \$300/dose

# Results: Health Outcomes



Cohort: 1,000 nirsevimab and 1,000 natural history, assuming 100% uptake in nirsevimab group

Base cost of \$300/dose

# Results: QALYs Lost

	Adverse Events	Outpatient		ED		Inpatient		Deaths	Total		Grand
		Child	Caregiver	Child	Caregiver	Child	Caregiver	Child	Child	Caregiver	Total
Natural History		1.95	0.98	0.90	0.45	0.22	0.09	0.15	3.22	1.51	4.73
Nirsevimab	0.03	1.46	0.73	0.62	0.31	0.09	0.03	0.06	2.25	1.07	3.32

Cohort: 1,000 nirsevimab and 1,000 natural history, assuming 100% uptake in nirsevimab group


# Results: Cost-Effectiveness

<b>Overall</b>	<b>Costs</b>	<b>QALYs</b>	<b>ICER (\$/QALY)</b>
<b>Natural History</b>	\$ 357,151	4.73	
<b>Nirsevimab</b>	\$ 502,077	3.32	\$ 102,805

Cohort: 1,000 nirsevimab and 1,000 natural history, assuming 100% uptake in nirsevimab group

Base cost of \$300/dose


# Sensitivity: Tornado



Cohort: 1,000 nirsevimab and 1,000 natural history, assuming 100% uptake in nirsevimab group


Base cost of \$300/dose

# Sensitivity: Cost




Cohort: 1,000 nirsevimab and 1,000 natural history, assuming 100% uptake in nirsevimab group

# Scenario: Upper Respiratory Infection Effect



# Scenario: Upper Respiratory Infection Effect




Cohort: 1,000 nirsevimab and 1,000 natural history, assuming 100% uptake in nirsevimab group  
Nirsevimab is assumed to be equally efficacious in preventing upper respiratory tract infections as lower respiratory tract infections.

# Scenario: Timing Analysis

- Cost-effectiveness of an infant receiving nirsevimab as a newborn in
  - Oct-Feb
  - Oct-March
  - Oct-April
- With varying efficacy in months 6-10
  - 0%
  - 25%
  - 50%

# Scenario: Timing and Efficacy in months 6-10



Cohort: 1,000 nirsevimab and 1,000 natural history, assuming 100% uptake in nirsevimab group  
Base cost of \$300/dose

■ Oct-Feb ■ Oct-Mar ■ Oct-Apr

Slightly Lower ICERs for Oct-Mar

# Scenario: Reduction in Palivizumab

- Potential cost impact if clinicians choose to use nirsevimab in palivizumab-eligible infants
- Savings assumptions:
  - 1.6% are high-risk (palivizumab-eligible)
  - 75% uptake in high-risk
  - 4.1 palivizumab doses/person on average
  - \$1,228/palivizumab dose

<b>Overall</b>	<b>Costs</b>	<b>QALYs</b>	<b>ICER (\$/QALY)</b>
<b>Natural History</b>	\$ 418,551	4.73	
<b>Nirsevimab</b>	\$ 502,077	3.32	\$ 59,250


Cohort: 1,000 nirsevimab and 1,000 natural history, assuming 100% uptake in nirsevimab group

Base cost of \$300/dose

# Higher-risk children entering the second RSV season

- Immunization in October (under 19 months old in October)
- Incidence of RSV-associated hospitalization and mortality per hospitalization:
  - 1x, 2x, 4x 6x, 10x higher
- Cost
  - \$600 nirsevimab costs (2x \$300/dose)
  - \$1000 nirsevimab costs (2x \$500/dose)

# Second Season, High-Risk



# Second Season, High-Risk

	ICER by cost of nirsevimab (product plus administration) (\$/QALY)	
Hospitalization and Mortality rate	\$600	\$1000
1x (base)	\$ 815,051	\$ 1,410,155
2x	\$ 449,238	\$ 800,666
4x	\$ 145,014	\$ 282,945
6x	\$ 53,061	\$ 122,409
10x	\$ 404	\$ 27,390

Cohort: 1,000 nirsevimab and 1,000 natural history, assuming 100% uptake in nirsevimab group

Cost is per overall course, for 2 doses

# Limitations

- Model Structure
  - No risk groups
  - No dynamic transmission. No impact of the vaccine on transmission and indirect effects
- Uncertain inputs
  - Nirsevimab cost
  - QALYs lost
  - Upper respiratory tract infections
  - Palivizumab utilization

# Summary

- Nirsevimab may be cost-effective
- Results sensitive to:
  - Cost per dose (Cost-Saving – 316,000 \$/QALY)
  - Efficacy (75,000 - 153,000 \$/QALY)
    - URTI/LRTI
      - Proportion of infections with LRTI
      - Or efficacy of nirsevimab against URTI
  - QALYs lost (41,000 - 125,000 \$/QALY)
    - Hospitalization, Outpatient, ED
    - Child, Parent

URTI: Upper Respiratory Tract Infection  
LRTI: Lower Respiratory Tract Infection  
QALY: Quality-Adjusted Life-Year

# Thank You

- Please send comments to:
- [dwhutton@umich.edu](mailto:dwhutton@umich.edu)